1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		RE THE PHARMACY
9	DEPARTMENT OF C	ONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5536
12	RALEY'S CORP. dba RALEY'S	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
13	PHARMACY #247 1842 Fort Jones Street	[RUSSELL DEAN SANDERS ONLY]
14	Yreka, CA 96097	[Gov. Code, §11520]
15	Original Permit Number PHY 30855	
16	and	
17	RALEY'S HOLDING COMPANY dba RALEY'S PHARMACY #247	·
18	1842 Fort Jones Street, Yreka, CA 96097 Yreka, CA 96097	
19	Original Permit Number PHY 53495	
20	and	,
21	RUSSELL DEAN SANDERS	
22	P.O. Box 9 Grenada, CA 96038-0009	
23	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 30311	
24	Respondents.	
25		
26	///	
27		
28	///	1

(RUSSELL DEAN SANDERS ONLY) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER Case No. 5536

# FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On or about February 20, 2016, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. 5536 against Raley's Corp. dba Raley's Pharmacy #247, Raley's Holding Company dba Raley's Pharmacy #247 (Respondent Raley's), and Russell Dean Sanders (Respondent Sanders) before the Board of Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)
- 2. On or about June 22, 1976, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 30311 to Russell Dean Sanders (Respondent Sanders). Respondent Sanders was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Raley's from May 3, 1990 through January 18, 2014. The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, however it expired on September 30, 2014, and has not been renewed.
- 3. On or about March 10, 2016, Respondent Sanders was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of Accusation No. 5536, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent Sanders's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent Sanders's address of record was and is:

P.O. Box 9 Grenada, CA 96038.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about March 18, 2016, the aforementioned Certified and First Class Mail documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Return To Sender Unable To Forward."

26 | ///

27 | 111

28 || ///

- 6. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
- (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent Sanders failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5536.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent . . . .
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent Sanders is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits, and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5536, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5536 against Respondent Sanders, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement are \$1,957.00 as of May 25, 2016.

#### DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Sanders has subjected his Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 30311 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent Sanders's Original Pharmacist License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:

Respondent Sanders violated Business and Professions Code section 4301(d), by and a. 1 through violations of Health and Safety Code section 11153(a) and Code of Federal Regulations, 2 title 21, section 1306.04(a), in that Sanders, as the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Raley's, 3 allowed the excessive furnishing of controlled substances by Raley's pharmacy employees 4 without a legitimate medical purpose to seven patients between May 18 and July 22, 2013. 5 ORDER 6 IT IS SO ORDERED that Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 30311, heretofore issued 7 to Respondent Russell Dean Sanders, is revoked. 8 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent Sanders may 9 serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on 10 within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent Sanders. The agency in its 11 discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in 12 the statute. 13 This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 19, 2016. 14 It is so ORDERED on July 20, 2016. 15 16 BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 17 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 18 19 20 By 21 Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. 22 **Board President** 23 24 12219548.DOC 25 SA2015104446 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 26

27

28

# Exhibit A

Accusation

l.		
1	Kamala D. Harris	
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR	· :
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 238339	
	1300 I Street, Suite 125	
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
6	Telephone: (916) 322-0032 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643	
7	E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov  Attorneys for Complainant	
8		RETHE
9	BOARD OF	PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS
10		CALIFORNIA
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5536
13	RALEY'S CORP. dba RALEY'S PHARMACY #247	
14	1842 Fort Jones Street Yreka, CA 96097	ACCUSATION
15	Original Permit Number PHY 30855	
16	and	
. 17	RALEY'S HOLDING COMPANY dba	
18	RALEY'S PHARMACY #247	
	1842 Fort Jones Street, Yreka, CA 96097 Yreka, CA 96097	
19.	Original Permit Number PHY 53495	
20	and	
21	RUSSELL DEAN SANDERS	
22	P.O. Box 9 Grenada, CA 96038-0009	
. 23		
24	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 30311	
25	Respondents.	
26	•	
27	<i>                                   </i>	
. 28	<i>[                                    </i>	
ı		

(RALEY'S CORP. DBA RALEY'S PHARMACY #247; RALEY'S HOLDING COMPANY DBA RALEY'S PHARMACY #247; RUSSELL DEAN SANDERS) ACCUSATION

III

## PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about November 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit Number PHY 30855 to Raley's Corp. dba Raley's Pharmacy #247 (Respondent Raley's). The Original Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, however it was canceled on May 20, 2015 pursuant to a change of ownership and has not been renewed.
- 3. On or about May 19, 2015, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit Number PHY 53495 to Raley's Holding Company dba Raley's Pharmacy #247 (Respondent Raley's). The Original Permit is in full force and effect and will expire on May 1, 2016, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about June 22, 1976, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 30311 to Russell Dean Sanders (Respondent Sanders). Respondent Sanders was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Raley's from May 3, 1990 through January 18, 2014. The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, however it expired on September 30, 2014, and has not been renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

- 5. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
  - 6. Code section 4300.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is

not limited to, any of the following:

Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

(d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code. . . .

8. Code section 4081 states:

- (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, receipt, shipment, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, physician, dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices.
- (b) The owner, officer, and partner of a pharmacy, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, or veterinary food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge, responsible manager, or designated representative-in-charge, for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section.
- (c) The pharmacist-in-charge, responsible manager, or designated representative-in-charge shall not be criminally responsible for acts of the owner, officer, partner, or employee that violate this section and of which the pharmacist-in-charge, responsible manager, or designated representative-in-charge had no knowledge, or in which he or she did not knowingly participate.

# HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE

- 9. Health and Safety Code section 11153 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use. . .

·24 

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714 states, in pertinent part:

(b) Each pharmacy licensed by the board shall maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment so that drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed. The pharmacy shall be of sufficient size and unobstructed area to accommodate the safe practice of pharmacy.

(d) Each pharmacist while on duty shall be responsible for the security of the prescription department, including provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices. Possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled substances are stored shall be restricted to a pharmacist. . . .

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1718, states:

"'Current Inventory' as used in Sections 4081 and 4332 of the Business and Professions Code shall be considered to include complete accountability for all dangerous drugs handled by every licensee enumerated in Sections 4081 and 4332.

"The controlled substances inventories required by Title 21, CFR, Section 1304 shall be available for inspection upon request for at least 3 years after the date of the inventory."

# CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

- 12. Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, section 1306.04 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A prescription for a controlled substance to be effective must be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. An order purporting to be a prescription issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research is not a prescription within the meaning and intent of section 309 of the Act (21 U.S.C. 829) and the person knowingly filling such a purported prescription, as well as the person issuing it, shall be subject to the penalties provided for violations of the provisions of law relating to controlled substances.

# COST RECOVERY

13. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

\_ \_

#### CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

- 14. "Hydrocodone w/APAP" (hydrocodone with acetaminophen tablets) is a semisynthetic narcotic analgesic, a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022, a Schedule III controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11056(e) of the Health and Safety Code, and a Schedule III controlled substance as defined by section 1308.13(e) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations.
- 15. "Carisoprodol" is a muscle-relaxant and sedative. It is a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022 and a schedule IV controlled substance as defined by section 1308.14(c)(6) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations.
- 16. "Oxycodone" with acetaminophen and oxycodone with aspirin both contain oxycodone, a white odorless crystalline powder derived from the opium alkaloid, thebaine. Oxycodone is a semisynthetic narcotic analgesic with multiple actions qualitatively similar to those of morphine. It is a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022, a schedule II controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11055(b)(1)(M) of the Health and Safety Code, and a Schedule II controlled substance as defined by section 1308.12(b)(1) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

#### BACKGROUND

#### Events occurring in 2013

- 17. On or about September 24, 2013, the Board received a complaint alleging that during a two-month period, Respondent Sanders, as the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Raley's, failed to consider red-flag warnings and dispensed controlled substances to over eight people from a physician 450 miles away in Fresno, Dr. J. F.
- 18. In response to the complaint, Board Inspector K. R.-P. ("Inspector") requested CURES<sup>1</sup> reports for Dr. J. F. (from January 1, 2012 through October 10, 2013) and Respondent Raley's (from January 1 through October 10, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CURES is a database containing information on Schedule II through IV controlled substances dispensed in California. It is a valuable investigative, preventive, and educational tool for the healthcare community, regulatory boards, and law enforcement.

- 19. The Inspector reviewed the CURES reports and selected the following patients which had prescriptions written by Dr. J. F.: A. F., B. B., D. W., E. N., E. B., J. N., S. W., and D. M. The Inspector requested various information from Respondents Raley's and Sanders regarding prescriptions that had been issued to these patients from January 1, 2011 through November 4, 2013. When Sanders responded to the request, he admitted filling the referenced prescriptions from May 18 through July 22, 2013. Sanders admitted there were oddities in the prescriptions in that they were issued from Dr. J. F., in Fresno, for patients residing in Weed, California (which is 400 miles from Dr. J. F.'s office and twenty-four miles from Raley's). Sanders also admitted that all of the prescriptions were brought in by a "caregiver," R. B., who picked up prescriptions for six of the seven patients. Sanders further admitted that all seven patients paid cash for their prescriptions; no third-party insurance was billed.
- 20. According to prescription documents provided by Respondent Raley's, Raley's filled a total of twenty-two prescriptions written by Dr. J. F. between January 1 and October 10, 2013. The Inspector confirmed twenty prescriptions with CURES data reports from Dr. J. F. and Raley's.
- 21. A review of the patient profiles from the CURES report, selected by the Inspector, which had prescriptions written by Dr. J. F. and filled by Respondent Raley's between May 18 and July 22, 2013, revealed the following: (1) all seven of the patients received oxycodone 30 mg (2) six of the seven patients received hydrocodone/apap 10/325mg; (3) six of the seven patients received carisoprodol 350mg; (4) two of the seven patients received meloxicam 15mg; (5) two of the seven patients received ibuprofen 800mg; (6) one of the seven patients received alprazolam 2mg; and (7) two of the seven patients received methadone 10mg. Every prescription presented was filled and picked up.

#### Events occurring in 2014

22. On or about September 11, 2014, Respondent Raley's provided the Board with a copy of Report of Theft or Loss of Controlled Substances DEA Form 106 stating that a Pharmacy Clerk had been terminated for theft of hydrocodone/apap 10mg/325mg on or about September 6, 2014.

III

23. On or about October 22, 2014, the Board received an audit summary from Respondent Raley's regarding the amount of loss/theft of hydrocodone/apap. The audit summary indicated the following:

,	Count at Last Inventory		Dispensed RXs	Returns/ <u>Destruction</u>	Actual Inventory 10/9/14 COB	<u>Variance</u>
DRUG hc/apap 10/325	6,368	118,421	121,888	. 0	564	-2,337
hc/apap 5/300	432.	700	913	0 .	160	-59
hc/apap 5/500	1,667	57 <b>,</b> 900	59,102	0 .	0	-465
hc/apap 7.5/500	1,240	.0	120	1,120	0	0
hc/apap 7.5/325	120	1,720	1,590	0	230	-20
hc/apap 5/325	624 10,17	70 ' .	10,715	0	0	-79

- 24. Board Inspector J. W. reviewed the audit summary and discovered several discrepancies with the identification of the reported drug loss. J. W. subsequently requested a corrected or updated audit summary.
- 25. On or about February 9, 2015, the Board received an updated audit summary from Respondent Raley's. The audit summary indicated the following:

1		Count at	Purchases	Dispensed RXs	Returns/ <u>Destruction</u>	Actual Inventory	<u>Variance</u>	
2		Last <u>Inventory</u>	·			10/9/14 COB		
3	DRUG			•	•			
4 5	hc/apap 10/325	6,368	118,421	121,888	0	564	-2,337	
6	hc/apap 5/300	432	700	913	0	160	-59	
7 8	hc/apap 5/325	1,667	57,900	59,102	. 0	0 -	-465	
9	hc/apap 5/500	1,240	0 .	120	1,120	0	0	
10 11	hc/apap 7.5/500	120	1,720	1,590	0	230	20	
12 13	hc/apap 7.5/325	624	10,170	10,715	0	0	-79	
14	26.	On or abou	t February 1	7, 2015, Board In	spector J. W. obta	ined Respon	dent Raley's	
15	DEA inventory for 2014 and DEA inventory of hydrocodone/apap products from October 6, 2014							
16	(a snapshot showing inventory for that day).							
17	27. On or about February 19, 2015, Respondent Raley's Pharmacist-in-Charge provided							
18	Board Inspector J. W. with Raley's perpetual inventory log sheets for several hydrocodone/apap							
19	products from October 6-20, 2015.							
20	28. Using the DEA inventories and Respondent Raley's perpetual inventory log sheets,							
21	Board Inspector J. W. reviewed Raley's updated audit summary and discovered a discrepancy in							
22	the identification of the reported drug loss. Specifically, J. W. noted that 564 dosage units were							
23	reported as ending inventory by Raley's for hydrocodone/apap 10mg/325mg, however the							
24	perpetual inventory logs revealed that ending inventory was 156 dosage units. J. W. calculated							
25	the following numbers for beginning and ending inventory as follows:							
26	1//					٠.		
27	111			·				
امما	1						I	

28 ///

	DEA Inventory 1/17/	14 (BOB)	Perpetual Log I	nventory 10/9/14 (COB)
DRUG		•		
hc/apap 10/325	6,368		156	
hc/apap	374		160	
5/300				•
hc/apap	1,667		. 0	•
5/325	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		·	
hc/apap 5/500	1,240	•	, ,	•
hc/apap	120	•	230	,
7.5/500			, ,	
hc/apap	624		0	•
7.5/325		,		
29.		•	•	audit summary, using 37
<u> </u>				Omg, and 156 dosage uni
for the end	ling inventory for hydro	codone/apap 10n	ng/325mg, and gene	erated the following audit
summary:				
111	•			
111	• •	•	,	
/// ///			, ,	
			, , , ,	
111				
/// ///				
111 111 111				
111 111 111 111				
111 111 111 111 111				
111 111 111 111 111 111				
111 111 111 111 111 111				

"	IJ.						
1 2	·	Count at Last Inventory	<u>Purchases</u>	Dispensed RXs	Returns/ <u>Destruction</u>	Actual Inventory 10/9/14 COB	<u>Variance</u>
3 4	DRUG hc/apap 10/325	6,368	118,421	121,888	0	156	-2,745
6	hc/apap 5/300	374	700	913	0	160	-1
7 8	hc/apap 5/325	1,667	57,900	59,102		0	-465 .
9 10	hc/apap 5/500	1,240	0	120	1,120	0	0
11	hc/apap 7.5/500	120	1,720	1,590	0	230	0
12 13	hc/apap 7.5/325	624	10,170	10,715	0	0	-79
14	30.	Based upon	Board Insp	ector J. W.'s corre	ected audit summa	ry for Respo	ondent
15	Raley's, Raley's failed to account for the loss of approximately 2,745 dosage units of						
16	hydrocodoi	ne/apap 10m	g/325mg, or	ne dosage unit of l	nydrocodone/apap	5mg/300mg	g, 465 dosage

units of hydrocodone/apap 5mg/325mg, and seventy-nine dosage units of hydrocodone/apap 7.5mg/325 mg.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### RESPONDENT RALEY'S

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Responsibility for Legitimacy of Prescription; Corresponding Responsibility of Pharmacist; Knowing Violation)

Respondent Raley's is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(d), by and through Health and Safety Code section 11153(a) and Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, section 1306.04(a), in that Raley's, by and through its pharmacist employees, excessively furnished controlled substances without a legitimate medical purpose to seven patients between May 18 and July 22, 2013. The facts and circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 17-21, above.

3 4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

111

111

III

III

26

27

28

(Unsecured Pharmacy)

32. Respondent Raley's is subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, section 1714(b), in that Raley's facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment were not maintained so that drugs were safely and properly maintained, secured, and distributed, when a pharmacy clerk employed by Raley's stole hydrocodone/apap 10mg/325mg on or before September 6, 2014. The circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraph 22. above.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Failure to Maintain Current Inventory)

Respondent Raley's is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4081(a), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1718, in that Raley's, by and through its pharmacist employees, failed to keep an accurate controlled substance current inventory for at least three years after the date of the inventory, as alleged above in paragraphs 22-30.

# RESPONDENT SANDERS

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Responsibility for Legitimacy of Prescription; Corresponding Responsibility of Pharmacist; Knowing Violation)

Respondent Sanders is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(d), by and through Health and Safety Code section 11153(a) and Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, section 1306.04(a), in that Sanders, as the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Raley's, allowed the excessive furnishing of controlled substances by Raley's pharmacist employees without a legitimate medical purpose to seven patients between May 18 and July 22, 2013. The facts and circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 17-21, above.

11

 SA2015104446

11992545.doc

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Original Permit Number PHY 30855, issued to Raley's Corp. dba Raley's Pharmacy #247;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Original Permit Number PHY 53495, issued to Raley's Holding Company dba Raley's Pharmacy #247;
- 3 Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 30311, issued to Russell Dean Sanders;
- 4. Ordering Raley's Corp. dba Raley's Pharmacy # 247, Raley's Holding Company dba Raley's Pharmacy #247, and Russell Dean Sanders to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
  - 5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

TED: 2/20/16

VIRGINIA HEROLD
Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

,