1			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8		RE THE PHARMACY	
9	DEPARTMENT OF C	CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA	
10	the same and the s	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5530	
12	I V FAMILY PHARMACY, INC., DBA HOPE PHARMACY	Case No. 3330	
13	420 Heffernan Avenue, Suite A Calexico, CA 92231	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER AS	
14	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 48589	TO ONOFRE MARROQUIN ONLY	
15	JADINE CELINA MAH		
16	420 Heffernan Avenue, Suite A Calexico, CA 92231	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
17	Pharmacist License No. RPH 45475	`	
18	JOSE CAMILO MELENDEZ		
19	911 Heffernan Avenue Calexico, CA 92231	;	
20	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	;	
21	52678		
22	ONOFRE MARROQUIN 401 E. 8 <sup>TH</sup> Street Holtville, CA 92250		
24	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH		
25	78446		
26	Respondents.		
27	Supplemental and the supplemen		
28	///·		
	1		
	(I.V. FAMILY PHARMÁCY, INC., DBA HOPE PHARMACY) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER AS TO ONOFRE MARROQUIN ONLY (Case No. 5530)		

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On or about September 16, 2016, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation-No. 5530 against Onofre Marroquin (Respondent) before the Board. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)
- 2. On or about September 17, 2007, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 78446 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5530. Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 78446 expired on September 30, 2015 and was cancelled pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4402(e). Pursuant to Business and Professions Code (Code) sections 118(b) and 4300.1, the expiration or cancellation of a board-issued license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the board, or a court of law, shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
- 3. On or about September 30, 2016, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 5530, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 401 E. 8<sup>th</sup> Street, Holtville, CA 92250.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
  - 5. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5530.
  - 7. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent . . . .
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5530, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5530, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$2,000.00 as of November 10, 2016.

#### DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Onofre Marroquin has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 78446 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
  - a. Code sections 4059(a) and 4060 for unlawful furnishing of controlled substances;
- b. Code section 4301(o), in conjunction with Health and Safety (H&S) Code section 11350(a), for unlawful possession of controlled substances; and,

1	c. Code section 4301(j) and H&S Code section 11350(a) for stealing controlled	
2	substances/dangerous drugs.	
3	<u>ORDER</u>	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 78446 heretofore	
5	issued to Respondent Onofre Marroquin is revoked.	
6	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a	
7	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within	
8	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may	
9	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.	
10	This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on January 16, 2017.	
11	It is so ORDERED on December 16, 2016.	
12		
13	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16	Agheforting	
17		
18	ByAmy Gutierrez, Pharm.D.	
19	Board President	
20	81509048.doc	
21	DOJ Matter ID;SD2015801601	
22	Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
Ì	<b>4</b>	

# Exhibit A

Accusation

·
and a second control of the second control o
,
•
THE
ARMACY NSUMER AFFAIRS
LIFORNIA
<del></del>
Case No. 5530
ACCUSATION
•
9
78
46
espondents.
·

13<sub>.</sub>

///

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about May 1, 2007, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 48589 to I V Family Pharmacy, Inc., dba Hope Pharmacy (Respondent Hope Pharmacy). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and will expire on May 1, 2017, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about August 12, 1992, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 45475 to Jadine Celina Mah (Respondent Mah). Respondent Mah was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Hope from May 1, 2007 to April 17, 2014, the Interim Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Hope from May 20, 2014 to September 25, 2014, and the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Hope since September 25, 2014. The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and will expire on July 31, 2018, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about September 17, 2007, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 78446 to Onofre Marroquin (Respondent Marroquin). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, expired on September 30, 2015, and was then cancelled pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4402, subdivision (e).
- 5. On or about November 17, 2003, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 52678 to Jose Camilo Melendez (Respondent Melendez). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and expired on March 31, 2013, and was cancelled on July 1, 2013 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4402, subdivision (e).

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

6. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

- 7. Section 4300 of the Code states:
  - (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
  - (1) Suspending judgment.
  - (2) Placing him or her upon probation.
- (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
  - (4) Revoking his or her license.
- (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.
- (c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy, including, but not limited to, the following:
  - (1) Medical or psychiatric evaluation.
  - (2) Continuing medical or psychiatric treatment.
  - (3) Restriction of type or circumstances of practice.
  - (4) Continuing participation in a board-approved rehabilitation program.
  - (5) Abstention from the use of alcohol or drugs.
  - (6) Random fluid testing for alcohol or drugs.
- (7) Compliance with laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy.
- (d) The board may initiate disciplinary proceedings to revoke or suspend any probationary certificate of licensure for any violation of the terms and conditions of probation. Upon satisfactory completion of probation, the board shall convert the probationary certificate to a regular certificate, free of conditions.

(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

#### Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

## STATUTORY AUTHORITIES

## 9. Section 4059 of the Code states, in relevant part:

(a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.

#### Section 4060 of the Code states:

A person shall not possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, a naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to Section 4052.1, 4052.2, or 4052.6. This section does not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, if in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

This section does not authorize a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

## 11. Section 4081 of the Code states, in relevant part:

(a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, receipt, shipment, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider,

1

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

III

28

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has clapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

6

(B) Communicate to the prescriber the fact that a medication error has

28

occurred.

23. Vicodin, hydrocodone 5mg combined with acetaminophen 500mg, is a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

#### FACTS

- 24. On February 24, 2014, Respondent Mah e-mailed the Board a Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) Notification stating that Respondent Hope Pharmacy had lost 1,790 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5/500mg (HPAP 5/500) and 1,500 ml's of promethazine with codeine (promethazine) via employee pilferage.
- 25. On July 2, 2014, in response to the Board's request, Respondent Mah provided documents to the Board including: Respondent Hope Pharmacy's audit summary statement; Respondent Hope Pharmacy's audit; a Statement form from Respondent Mah declaring under penalty of perjury that the audit and statement were true; notification of the termination of two pharmacy technicians, Respondents Melendez and Marroquin, and an unlicensed pharmacy clerk; a description into the investigation of these three former employees; signed admissions from the three employees; police reports filed with the Calexico Police Department; and DEA inventories and acquisition and disposition records backing up the audit.
- 26. According to the audit, Respondent Hope Pharmacy was short 16,919 tablets of HPAP 5/500, 19,043 ml's of promethazine with codeine, and 16,814 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg (HPAP 10/325). Respondent Mah's audit summary statement concluded that she had determined there was HPAP 10/325 missing after the DEA visited to investigate the loss.
- 27. On November 6, 2014, the Board's inspectors conducted an inspection of Respondent Hope Pharmacy. Respondent Mah was present and assisted with the inspection. Respondent Mah informed the inspectors that a floater pharmacist came in a few days a week to assist her. The pharmacy was using ScriptPro prescription software. During the inspection, Respondent Mah was unable to locate a theft and impaired employee policy, a technician job description; a temporary absence of a pharmacist policy, or an interpretive service policy. Electronic controlled

12 13

14

15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

23 · 24

25

26 27

21 28 substance prescriptions were received in Respondent Hope Pharmacy via fax without being converted into verbal orders.

- Respondent Hope Pharmacy's security and business practice. Technician staff took out the trash. The office was unorganized, with loose papers and folders all over Respondent Mah's desk.

  There were invoices and bottles of CII medications on the counter when the inspectors arrived. When asked for documentation related to the routine inspection such as invoices and self-assessment, because of the disorganization, it took Respondent Mah some time to locate the responsive documents. Respondent Hope Pharmacy was more organized than Respondent Mah's office. However, there were drugs still located in shipping boxes scattered throughout the office and pharmacy, as well as a recently received order from their wholesaler left open on the floor of Respondent Hope Pharmacy.
- During the November 6, 2014 inspection, the Board's inspectors questioned Respondent Mah about the reported loss, Respondent Mah was not sure exactly how the loss occurred, but believed some drugs were stolen from will call. She knew there were three former employees involved in the loss, including two pharmacy technicians, Respondents Melendez and Marroquin, and an unlicensed pharmacy clerk. Respondent Mah stated the ScriptPro computer software tracks the location of where a prescription is in the filling process. One Monday, Respondent Mah looked for a prescription to consolidate with a prescription for the same patient filled on the previous Friday. According to the computer software, the last person who had handled the prescription was Respondent Melendez, who was not working that day. Respondent Mah called and spoke with Respondent Melendez, who told her the prescription should be in will call, but it was not. Later that same day, Respondent Mah noticed the prescription status in the computer had been changed again, this time to return to stock (RTS). The initials of the person completing the change belonged to Respondent Melendez. Since Respondent Melendez was not working, Respondent Mah had to guess as to who had used Respondent Melendez's login to change the prescription status. The unlicensed pharmacy clerk admitted to Respondent Mah that he logged in using Respondent Melendez's login, and changed the status of the prescription to

1<del>9</del> 

RTS, at Respondent Melendez's request. Respondent Mah also noticed some prescriptions coming from the ScriptPro Automated Filling Robot had been designated RTS in the computer, but had not been actually returned to stock. Respondent Marroquin worked with the filling robot. When confronted, all three employees admitted stealing to Respondent Mah, and signed admission statements. Respondent Mah did not recall anything about the loss of promethazine with codeine, other than the unlicensed pharmacy clerk was involved. After Respondent Melendez was fired, Respondent Mah alerted Farmacia Del Pueblo, where Respondent Melendez also worked, that he had been fired for theft from Respondent Hope Pharmacy. After she discovered the theft, Respondent Mah filed police reports. Respondents Melendez and Marroquin did not seem to be under the influence of controlled substances.

- 30. During the November 6, 2014 inspection, the Board inspectors verified with Respondent Mah that her original loss reported to the DEA was much smaller than the loss she identified after completing her audit. The inspectors also discussed technicians being able to order the medications, and then steal them after they were ordered. According to Respondent Mah, there was no a particular technician who always ordered or put away the order. During the discussion, Respondent Mah stated she believed that Respondents Melendez and Marroquin and the unlicensed pharmacy clerk may have stolen some of the drugs via ordering them, and taking the drugs when they came in. The inspectors discussed the technicians placing drugs in the trash and then stealing them after taking out the trash, as well as physical security for the pharmacy. When asked about what changes had been made to Respondent Hope Pharmacy's operations to prevent further theft, Respondent Mah included HPAP being locked in the controlled cabinet, retaining a hard copy of the patient signed prescription delivery slips listing the medications received from deliveries to patients, and that controlled substances were now double counted.
- 31. On November 6, 2014, the Board inspectors interviewed another pharmacy technician at Respondent Hope Pharmacy who had known Respondent Melendez for multiple years, and she stated that she would never have suspected Respondent Melendez to steal medication. This pharmacy technician further confirmed that Respondent Melendez never seemed to be under the influence of controlled substances.

- 32. On November 13, 2014, in response to further questions from the Board's inspectors, Respondent Mah e-mailed to the Board that she became interim Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Hope Pharmacy because she was submitting an application for a new site location of a pharmacy, and could not be a Pharmacist-in-Charge of both locations as the other pharmacy is located beyond the allowable distance (50 miles). She named herself as the Pharmacist-in-Charge of the new pharmacy since she did not have one for the new site at the time of submitting the application. In the meantime, she was hoping to find a replacement Pharmacist-in-Charge for Respondent Hope Pharmacy, but failed to do so before the 120 day limit.
- 33. On November 13, 2014, Respondent Mah responded to questions from the Board's inspectors about the three terminated employees.
- a. Respondent Mah reported that Respondent Melendez was no longer a pharmacy technician because she discovered when she did the pharmacy self-assessment that his license had lapsed. His main job function was inputting prescriptions. Respondent Melendez was not allowed to fill, or do anything that only a licensed technician could do. Respondent Melendez did have access to ordering or keying an item to any orders that were open, especially since there was a computer that was often used next to him. Many employees are cross-trained, and worked as a tearn on a lot of tasks. 90% of Respondent Melendez's time was inputting prescriptions and answering the phones. Respondent Melendez did help put away the orders on occasions. Respondent Melendez was terminated on January 20, 2014.
- b. Respondent Mah reported that Marroquin's main job duties were filling, putting away the order, answering the phones, and filling the robot. Respondent Marroquin could order if need be. Respondent Marroquin was terminated on February 12, 2014.
- c. Respondent Mah reported that the unlicensed technician's main job duties were customer service in the front, i.e. checking out customers, sorting prescriptions into alphabetical order in will call, answering phones, closing out the register at the end of the day, and on rare occasions, delivering prescriptions when needed. The unlicensed technician was terminated on February 12, 2014.

///

- 34. On November 26, 2014, the Board's inspectors received additional documents from Respondent Mah including: policy and procedures for interpretive services, language line instructions, impaired employee policy, and a temporary absence of pharmacist policy; acquisition records from wholesalers; and disposition records. Respondent Mah indicated that she had found the policies and procedures for theft and impairment shortly after the inspection.
- 35. The Board's inspectors sent letters to Respondents Melendez and Marroquin. Only Respondent Marroquin responded. Respondent Marroquin reported that he worked at Respondent Hope Pharmacy from March 2009 to February 2014. Respondent Marroquin reported that he took Vicodin from Respondent Hope Pharmacy for self-use, and did so from 2013 to 2014. Respondent Marroquin reported that he was aware that Respondent Melendez was also taking drugs from Respondent Hope Pharmacy, but he did not know if he sold them or took them himself. Respondent Marroquin reported that he took the drugs because they "[m]ade me feel good, accessable [sic], easy to get." Respondent Marroquin reported taking about 300 pills within a year. Respondent Marroquin explained how he took the drugs from Respondent Hope Pharmacy: "When patients didn't want their Vicodin I would (sometimes) take them. 30 at a time [sic], because they fit in my pocket and were easy to take out." Respondent Marroquin reported that he was not arrested or prosecuted. Respondent Marroquin reported that he was sorry about what happened, ashamed of himself, and was no longer seeking employment in a pharmacy.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Safely and Properly Prepare, Maintain, Secure and Distribute Drugs Respondents Hope Pharmacy and Mah)

36. Respondents Hope Pharmacy and Mah are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j), of the Code, in that Respondents could not account for the loss of 16,919 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5/500 mg, 19,043 ml's of promethazine with codeine, and 16,814 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg between October 26, 2011 and May 7, 2014 in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (b), as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.

8

13

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

.23 24

25

26

27

28

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Majutain Records of Acquisition, Disposition, and Current Drug Inventory -Respondents Hope Pharmacy and Mah)

Respondents Hope Pharmacy and Mah are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j), of the Code, in that Respondents could not account for the loss of 16,919 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5/500 mg, 19,043 ml's of promethazine with codeine; and 16,814 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg between October 26, 2011 and May 7, 2014 in violation of sections 4081, subdivision (a), and 4105, subdivision (a) of the Code, as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.

# THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Theft and Impairment Policies and Procedures -Respondents Hope Pharmacy and Mah)

Respondents Hope Pharmacy and Mah are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, in that during an inspection on October 17, 2011, theft and impairment policies were not available and a correction was issued, and during an inspection on November 6, 2014, theft and impairment policies were again not located in violation of section 4104, subdivisions (a) and (b), of the Code, as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.

# FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Possession of Controlled Substances - Respondents Melendez and Marroquin)

. 39. Respondents Melendez and Marroquin are subject to disciplinary action under section 4059, subdivision (a), and 4060 of the Code, in that Respondents obtained controlled substances/dangerous drugs from Respondent Hope Pharmacy, without a prescription, as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.

# PIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Possession of Controlled Substances - Respondents Melendez and Marroquin)

Respondents Melendez and Marroquin are subject to disciplinary action under section. 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, in that Respondents possessed controlled substances without a

,20

2<u>1</u> 

28 | .

prescription which they stole from Respondent Hope Pharmacy, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.

## SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Controlled Substances, Dangerous Drug Violations

## - Respondents Melendez and Marroquin)

41. Respondents Melendez and Marroquin are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j), of the Code, in that Respondents Melendez and Marroquin stole controlled substances/dangerous drugs from Respondent Hope Pharmacy, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.

## SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(May 24, 2016 Conviction of Embezzlement and Furnishing a Dangerous Drug Without a

Prescription – Respondent Melendez)

- 42. Respondent Melendez is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (I), of the Code for conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician in that on May 24, 2016, in *People v. State of California v. Jose Melendez*, Imperial County Superior Court, Brawley Jail Department, Case No. JCF35644, Respondent was convicted on his guilty plea of violation of Penal Code section 504, embezzlement, and Code section 4059(a), furnishing a dangerous drug without a prescription, as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.
- 43. As a result of his conviction, Respondent Melendez was placed on formal probation for three years, sentenced to County Jail for 4 days, required to participate in counseling/educational program, abstain from the possession or use of any drugs, narcotics, or other illicit substances, submit to alcohol/drug testing, to have no contact with Respondent Mah and was prohibited from entering the premises or grounds of Hope Pharmacy, among other terms and conditions.

///

15°

 44. Pursuant to Section 4307, if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 48589 issued to I V Family Pharmacy, Inc., dba Hope Pharmacy is suspended, revoked or placed on probation, and Respondent Mah, while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner, had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 48589 was revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, Respondent Mah shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board.

45. Pursuant to Section 4307, if Pharmacist License Number RPH 45475 issued to Jadine Celina Mah is suspended or revoked, Respondent Mah shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee.

#### DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 46. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondents, Complainant alleges that on or about May 17, 2012, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2011 50067 to Respondent Hope Pharmacy for violation of Code section 4104, subdivisions (a) and (b), for failing to have policies and procedures in place on October 17, 2011, for taking action when licensed individual is impaired or known to have diverted or used drugs; and, for violation of Code section 4125, subdivision (a) and title 16, CCR, section 1711, subdivision (c), for failing to have written policies and procedures for a quality assurance as it pertains to medication errors during an inspection on October 17, 2011. The Citation ordered Respondent Hope Pharmacy to pay \$1,500.00 in civil penalties. That Citation is now final, and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 47. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondents, Complainant alleges that on or about May 17, 2012, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2011 52333 to Respondent Mah for violation of Code section 4104, subdivisions (a) and (b), for failing to have policies and procedures in place on October 17, 2011, for taking action when licensed individual is impaired or known to have diverted or used drugs; and, for violation of Code section 4125, subdivision (a), and title 16, CCR, section 1711,

I.V. FAMILY PHARMACY, INC., DBA HOPE PHARMACY, JADINE CELINA MAH, ONOFRE MARROQUIN,

JOSE CAMILO MELENDEZ ACCUSATION

- 11	·
1	6. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	9/16/16 Vuginia Xeel
3	DATED:
4	Executive Officer
5	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6	Complainant
7	SD2015801601
.8	812T6649 doc.docx
9	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	18