# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petitions for Early Termination of Probation by:

JADINE CELINA MAH, Pharm.D.

Pharmacist License No. RPH 45475

and

I.V. FAMILY PHARMACY, INC., dba HOPE PHARMACY

Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 48589

Case No. 5530

OAH No. 2021050587

#### **DECISION**

This matter was heard by video conference before a quorum of the Board of Pharmacy (Board) in Sacramento, California, on May 27, 2021. Jonathan Lew, Administrative Law Judge, Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH), presided at the hearing.

Kristina Jarvis, Deputy Attorney General, appeared pursuant to Government Code section 11522.

Petitioner Jadine C. Mah, Pharm.D., appeared on her own behalf, as well for I.V. Family Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Hope Pharmacy.

Evidence was received, the record was closed, and the matter was submitted for decision on May 27, 2021.

#### **FACTUAL FINDINGS**

#### **Background and Procedural History**

- 1. On August 12, 1992, the Board issued petitioner Pharmacist License No. RPH 45475 (license). Petitioner's license will expire on July 31, 2022, unless renewed or revoked. On May 1, 2007, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 48589 (permit), to I.V. Family Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Hope Pharmacy. The permit will expire on May 1, 2022, unless renewed or revoked.
- 2. On September 16, 2016, complainant Virginia K. Herold, a former Executive Officer for the Board, issued an Accusation against petitioners. Petitioner was either the pharmacist-in-charge or interim pharmacist-in-charge of Hope Pharmacy from May 1, 2007, to present. Complainant alleged that petitioner, as part owner and the pharmacist-in-charge of Hope Pharmacy, violated laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy. The specific violations included failure to safely and properly prepare, maintain, secure and distribute drugs; failure to maintain records of acquisition, disposition, and current drug inventory; and failure to have in place and to maintain theft and impairment policies. These violations related to petitioner's failure

to account for the loss of 16,919 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen (5/500 mg), 19,043 ml's of promethazine with codeine, and 16,814 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen (10/325 mg) between October 26, 2011, and May 7, 2014. Petitioner admitted to the truth of each and every allegation in the Accusation.

3. On May 30, 2017, the Board issued its Decision and Order by which terms petitioners' licenses and permits were revoked, stayed and placed on probation for five years, subject to various terms and conditions, including to obey all laws, submit quarterly reports, not supervise interns, not serve as a pharmacist-in-charge for any pharmacy other than Hope Pharmacy, or as a consultant, reimburse the Board \$7,755.25 for investigation and enforcement costs, complete remedial education, and complete a Board-approved ethics course. The Board's Decision and Order was effective on June 29, 2017.

#### **Petitions for Early Termination of Probation**

- 4. On June 23, 2020, petitioner signed and thereafter filed with the Board a Petition for Early Termination of Probation (Petition), for both pharmacist and pharmacy licenses. Petitioner has not previously applied for termination of her probation. Petitioner submitted in support of her Petition documentation of compliance, inspection report, psychological evaluation, community outreach, five letters of recommendation, and proof of completing continuing education. In her Petition, as well as her testimony at hearing, petitioner explained the circumstances surrounding the events that gave rise to the discipline imposed on her license and her rehabilitation and corrective efforts from that time.
- 5. Petitioner is currently in compliance with the terms and conditions of her Board probation. Petitioner has completed nearly four years of her probation term, which ends on or about June 28, 2022. She completed the required

remedial/continuing education and ethics course, and paid the ordered investigation and enforcement costs. Petitioner is compliant with all probation terms and conditions.

6. Petitioner explained that in 2014 she made changes and took corrective action when she became aware of the matters that led to disciplinary action against her pharmacist license and pharmacy permit. Three employees were implicated in stealing controlled substances. She notified both the Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) and the Board that Hydrocodone (5/500 mg) and Promethazine with Codeine were stolen. She was unaware that Hydrocodone (10/325 mg) was also taken until after the DEA conducted its investigation.

Petitioner has implemented a number of pharmacy protocols that she believes will prevent recurrence of the violations in this case. She detailed the following measures currently in place:

After filling controlled prescriptions, II – IV, the back count is performed and the physical quantity should match the perpetual quantity in the computer system. After each fill, the person filling must document the amount remaining and make sure it matches what [sic] the computer system's inventory. This is done on a continuous basis. Any differences are investigated as soon as possible to determine the source of the problem. We use all the tools available to us; cameras, perpetual inventory history, Eyecon (counting machine) data, interviews, invoices, etc.

The reconciliation for C2's are performed every 3 months as required by law (except we will go 6 months during this

Covid crisis). This further provides another way to catch a potential mistake thar may not have been discovered sooner.

- 7. Petitioner noted that being on probation has helped her ensure she has proper procedures in place so that controlled substance drug inventory is accurately maintained, both on the receiving and dispensing/disposition end. In addition, her completing the quarterly Community Self-Assessment has helped her stay current on all laws and regulations, and ensuring that the pharmacy is compliant. She now has available a policy manual for new employees.
- 8. Petitioner submitted documents and testified regarding the continuing education efforts she has undertaken. She is a member of the National Community Pharmacists Association and the California Pharmacists Association. Although there is no local pharmacy association in the Imperial Valley, she goes to Wholesaler Trade shows, attends presentations sponsored by drug companies, and takes advantage of continuing education course to keep abreast of pharmacy developments. Petitioner is actively engaged in community service. She volunteers as a pharmacist on medical teams to Baja California, and has served the homeless in Calexico. She is fluent in Spanish and Chinese and this has greatly assisted her ability to serve a community that is 95 percent Spanish speaking. Hope Pharmacy has approximately 15 employees, including two full-time pharmacists. It dispenses approximately 500 prescriptions per day. From January 2021, it dispensed COVID-19 vaccines daily for three months.
- 9. Petitioner takes full responsibility for the conditions in Hope Pharmacy that led to disciplinary action. She was unaware that controlled substances were being taken by employees for personal use or sale. She acknowledges past disorganization

of the pharmacy, and noted that her sister being diagnosed with cancer may have contributed to her inattentiveness to the disorganization.

Being on probation prevents petitioner from supervising pharmacy interns. She would like to do so in order to expand Hope Pharmacy's ability serve more members of the community. Petitioner is requesting early termination of her probation so that she can return to full and unrestricted pharmacy practice. She believes early termination of probation "would allow me to focus and devote more of my time and energy to doing what I am meant to do which is to help and serve my patients and community whole-heartedly."

Petitioner fully acknowledges and accepts responsibility for past deficiencies, and has measures in place to meet regulatory compliance standards for acquisition, disposition and current drug inventory of controlled substances. She believes full restoration of her license and pharmacy permit will not pose any appreciable risk of repetition of past errors.

#### Recommendations

10. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4309, subdivision (b),<sup>1</sup> petitioner submitted five letters of recommendation, four of which were verified. A sample of letter comments follow:

The petition shall state any facts required by the Board, and the petition shall be accompanied by two or more verified recommendations from holders of licenses issued by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Business and Professions Code section 4309, subdivision (b), provides:

- a. Phillip Evans is a licensed pharmacist who has worked with petitioner at Hope Pharmacy as a full-time dispensing pharmacist from April 2020. He confirmed that petitioner keeps a "tight rein on our controlled inventory" and that stringent procedures are utilized daily in the ordering, stocking and storage of all controlled medications. He detailed a number of steps that are followed, including having all inventory received electronically into the perpetual inventory on hand, and having each controlled substance counted by a licensed technician, in his presence pursuant to a lawful prescription, and then double counted by him and the technician. He supports early termination of probation, noting that petitioner "strictly operates her pharmacy, confirming her ability to operate without a loss of controlled substances."
- b. Elvis Atencio, Pharm.D., is a licensed pharmacist who has known petitioner for many years, and worked with her in the past in Calexico. Dr. Atencio is aware of the Board's disciplinary action and also the changes that petitioner has implemented at Hope Pharmacy. He supports early termination of probation, noting that "people that know her, work with her and her patients can see the changes made at Hope Pharmacy."
- c. John A. Williams is a licensed pharmacist who is the pharmacist-in-charge at Solara Medical Supplies in Chula Vista. He has known petitioner since 2011, when he worked at Hope Pharmacy. He has the highest regard for her professional abilities and

Board to which the petition is addressed, and two or more recommendations from citizens, each having personal knowledge of the disciplinary penalty imposed by the Board and the activities of the petitioner since the disciplinary penalty was imposed.

was stunned to hear about the circumstances leading to Board discipline. Having since communicated with petitioner and staff at Hope Pharmacy, he supports early termination of her probation, noting how petitioner "has continued her efforts to exemplify the practice of pharmacy including continued community service and volunteer service."

d. Sonia Baeza is a school teacher who has known petitioner for 40 years. The two are neighbors. She is aware of the Board's disciplinary action. Ms. Baeza detailed petitioner's many civic and charitable contributions over the years, noting how "her determination and perseverance to serve our economic and culturally diverse community is admirable." She believes petitioner has learned from, rectified and grown from her mistakes and fully supports early termination of her probation.

#### **Analysis**

11. Petitioner has completed nearly four years of her five-year Board probation. She is fully compliant with all terms and conditions of her probation, and now has measures in place to meet regulatory compliance standards for acquisition, disposition and current drug inventory of controlled substances. Petitioner has accepted full responsibility for past mistakes and failures to comply with the Board's laws and regulations.

Being placed on Board probation has restricted petitioner's ability to expand pharmacy services, particularly with regard to supervising pharmacy interns. She would like to focus and devote her full time and energy to her pharmacy practice, free of probation terms and conditions restricting her practice. Petitioner accepts full responsibility for past regulatory deficiencies, has demonstrated her ability to safely and responsibly manage controlled substances in her pharmacy, and is committed to

continuing practices to prevent any recurrence of events that led to her discipline in this case.

12. When all the evidence is considered, no further public interest will be served by continuing petitioner on probation. Petitioner demonstrated that she and Hope Pharmacy are capable of practicing as a pharmacist and pharmacy without restrictions, and without harm to the public.

#### **LEGAL CONCLUSIONS**

- 1. In a proceeding for reinstatement of a license, including early termination of probation, the burden at all times is on the petitioner to establish rehabilitation. (See *Flanzer v. Board of Dental Examiners* (1990) 220 Cal.App.3d 1392, 1398, citing *Housman v. Board of Medical Examiners* (1948) 84 Cal.App.2d 308, 315.) The standard of proof is clear and convincing evidence to a reasonable certainty. (*Hippard v. State Bar* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 1084, 1091-1092; *Feinstein v. State Bar* (1952) 39 Cal.2d 541.)
- 2. Business and Professions Code section 4309, subdivision (d), sets forth the following factors for consideration when the Board reviews a petition for early termination of probation:
  - (1) All the activities of the petitioner since the disciplinary action was taken.
  - (2) The offense for which the petitioner was disciplined.
  - (3) The petitioner's activities during the time the license was in good standing.

(4) The petitioner's documented rehabilitative efforts.

(5) The petitioner's general reputation for truth and

professional ability.

3. When all the relevant rehabilitation criteria set forth in Business and

Professions Code section 4309, subdivision (d), are considered, petitioner established

that it would be consistent with the public health, safety, and welfare to terminate her

and Hope Pharmacy's probation.

**ORDER** 

1. The Petition for Early Termination of Probation of Jadine Celina Mah,

Pharm.D., Pharmacist License No. RPH 45475, is GRANTED.

2. The Petition for Early Termination of Probation of I.V. Pharmacy, Inc., dba

Hope Pharmacy, Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 48589, is GRANTED.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 24, 2021.

It is so ORDERED on October 25, 2021.

BOARD OF PHARMACY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFE

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D.

**Board President** 

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

I. V. FAMILY PHARMACY, INC., DBA HOPE PHARMACY 420 Heffernan Avenue, Suite A Calexico, CA 92231 Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 48589

JADINE CELINA MAH 1101 Emil Hashem Street Calexico, CA 92231 Pharmacist License No. RPH 45475

JOSE CAMILO MELENDEZ 911 Herrernan Avenue Calexico, CA 92231 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52678

ONOFRE MARROQUIN
401 E. 8<sup>th</sup> Street
Holtville, CA 92250
Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 78446

Case No. 5530

OAH No. 2017020570

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER (I.V. FAMILY PHARMACY, INC., DBA HOPE PHARMACY ONLY)

Respondents.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 29, 2017.

It is so ORDERED on May 30, 2017.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

-		
1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA	•
J	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 147392	•
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9435	
Ì	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	REFO	RE THE
9	BOARD OF	PHARMACY
10		CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	 1
		Case No. 5530
12	I.V. FAMILY PHARMACY, INC. DBA HOPE PHARMACY	OAH No. 2017020570
13	420 Heffernan Avenue, Suite A Calexico, CA 92231	
14	Calexico, CA 92251	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO I.V.
15	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 48589	FAMILY PHARMACY, INC.
16	JADINE CELINA MAH	DBA HOPE PHARMACY ONLY
}	1101 Emil Hashem Street	
17	Calexico, CA 92231	
18	Pharmacist License No. RPH 45475	
19	JOSE CAMILO MELENDEZ	
20	911 Herrernan Avenue Calexico, CA 92231	·
21	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	
22	52678	·
23	ONOFRE MARROQUIN 401 E. 8 <sup>TH</sup> Street	
24	Holtville, CA 92250	·
25	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	
26	78446	
27		
28	Respondents.	
40	1	· ·

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AS TO I.V. FAMILY PHARMACY, INC., DBA HOPE PHARMACY ONLY (5530)

2.7

2.8

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the aboveentitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Marichelle S. Tahimic, Deputy Attorney General.
- 2. Respondent I.V. Family Pharmacy, Inc., dba Hope Pharmacy (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Elizabeth Brady, whose address is: 8880 Rio San Diego, Suite 800, San Diego, CA 92108-1642.
- 3. On or about May 1, 2007, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 48589 to I.V. Family Pharmacy, Inc., dba Hope Pharmacy (Respondent). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5530, and will expire on May 1, 2018, unless renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. Accusation No. 5530 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 30, 2016. Respondent timely filed its Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 5530 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5530. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 5530.
- 10. Respondent agrees that its Pharmacy Permit is subject to discipline and it agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### CONTINGENCY

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that it may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary

25

26

27

28

has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

#### 3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent owner shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent owner shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of their probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 5. **Reimbursement of Board Costs**

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent owner, jointly and severally with Jadine Celina Mah, shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$\$7,755.25. Respondent owner may pay the Board's costs through a payment plan approved by the Board.

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation,

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent owner shall not relieve respondent of their responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

#### 6. **Probation Monitoring Costs**

Respondent owner shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a

10

12 13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 7. Status of License

Respondent owner shall, at all times while on probation, maintain current licensure with the board. If respondent owner submits an application to the board, and the application is approved, for a change of location, change of permit or change of ownership, the board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over the license, and the respondent shall remain on probation as determined by the board. Failure to maintain current licensure shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent owner's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent owner's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

#### License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent owner discontinue business, respondent owner may tender the premises license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent owner shall relinquish the premises wall and renewal license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent owner shall further submit a completed Discontinuance of Business form according to board guidelines and shall notify the board of the records inventory transfer.

Respondent owner shall also, by the effective date of this decision, arrange for the continuation of care for ongoing patients of the pharmacy by, at minimum, providing a written notice to ongoing patients that specifies the anticipated closing date of the pharmacy and that identifies one or more area pharmacies capable of taking up the patients' care, and by cooperating as may be necessary in the transfer of records or prescriptions for ongoing patients. Within five

days of its provision to the pharmacy's ongoing patients, Respondent owner shall provide a copy of the written notice to the board. For the purposes of this provision, "ongoing patients" means those patients for whom the pharmacy has on file a prescription with one or more refills outstanding, or for whom the pharmacy has filled a prescription within the preceding sixty (60) days.

Respondent owner may not apply for any new licensure from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent owner shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board.

Respondent owner further stipulates that he or she shall reimburse the board for its costs of investigation and prosecution prior to the acceptance of the surrender.

#### 9. Notice to Employees

Respondent owner shall, upon or before the effective date of this decision, ensure that all employees involved in permit operations are made aware of all the terms and conditions of probation, either by posting a notice of the terms and conditions, circulating such notice, or both. If the notice required by this provision is posted, it shall be posted in a prominent place and shall remain posted throughout the probation period. Respondent owner shall ensure that any employees hired or used after the effective date of this decision are made aware of the terms and conditions of probation by posting a notice, circulating a notice, or both. Additionally, respondent owner shall submit written notification to the board, within fifteen (15) days of the effective date of this decision, that this term has been satisfied. Failure to submit such notification to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employees" as used in this provision includes all full-time, part-time, volunteer, temporary and relief employees and independent contractors employed or hired at any time during probation.

#### 10. Owners and Officers: Knowledge of the Law

Respondent shall provide, within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this decision, signed and dated statements from its owners, including any owner or holder of ten percent (10%) or more of the interest in respondent or respondent's stock, and any officer, stating under penalty

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of perjury that said individuals have read and are familiar with state and federal laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy. The failure to timely provide said statements under penalty of perjury shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### **Posted Notice of Probation**

Respondent owner shall prominently post a probation notice provided by the board in a place conspicuous and readable to the public. The probation notice shall remain posted during the entire period of probation.

Respondent owner shall not, directly or indirectly, engage in any conduct or make any statement which is intended to mislead or is likely to have the effect of misleading any patient, customer, member of the public, or other person(s) as to the nature of and reason for the probation of the licensed entity.

Failure to post such notice shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 12. **Violation of Probation**

If a respondent owner has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent license, and probation shall be automatically extended until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If respondent owner violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent owner notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

#### 13. **Completion of Probation**

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent license will be fully restored.

#### 14. Consultant for Owner or Pharmacist-In-Charge

During the period of probation, Respondent owner shall retain an independent consultant at its own expense who shall be responsible for reviewing pharmacy operations on a monthly basis for compliance by Respondent with state and federal laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy and for compliance by Respondent with the obligations of a pharmacist-in-charge. The consultant will be a pharmacist licensed by, but not on probation with, the Board and whose name shall be submitted to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision. During the period of probation, the Board or its designee, retains the discretion to reduce the frequency of the consulting pharmacist's review of the operations of Respondent I V Family Pharmacy, Inc., dba Hope Pharmacy.

Failure to comply with the terms of this condition shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Elizabeth Brady. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on I V Family Pharmacy, Inc., dba Hope Pharmacy's Pharmacy Permit. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 4/21/2017

JADINE CELINA MAH, Authorized Agent for I.V. FAMILY PHARMACY, INC., DBA HOPE PHARMACY
Respondent

27 | ///

1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent I.V. Family Pharmacy, Inc., dba Hope		
2	Pharmacy the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated		
3	Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.		
4			
5	DATED:April 23, 2017		
6	ELIZABETH BRADY Attorney for Respondent		
7	,		
8	ENDORSEMENT		
9	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
10	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.		
11			
12	Dated: Cypil 24, 2017 Respectfully submitted,		
13	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California		
14	Antoiney General of Cantofna  Antoinette B. Cincotta  Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
15	Marithelle Takinic		
16	MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC		
17	Deputy Attorney General  Attorneys for Complainant		
18			
19	ODDO15001601		
20	SD2015801601 12660959,docx		
21			
22			
23			
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>			
26	,		
27			
28			
20	·		

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 5530

1 2 3 4 5	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California ANTOINETTE B. CINCOTTA Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 147392 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 783-9435			
7 8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant			
9	BEFORE THE			
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5530		
13	I V FAMILY PHARMACY, INC.,			
14	DBA HOPE PHARMACY 420 Heffernan Avenue, Suite A	ACCUSATION		
15	Calexico, CA 92231			
16	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 48589			
17	JADINE CELINA MAH 1101 Emil Hashem Street Calexico, CA 92231			
18	Pharmacist License No. RPH 45475			
20	JOSE CAMILO MELENDEZ 911 Herrernan Avenue			
21	Calexico, CA 92231			
22	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52678			
23	ONOFRE MARROQUIN 401 E. 8 <sup>TH</sup> Street			
24	Holtville, CA 92250			
25	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 78446			
26	Respondents.			
27	///			
28	   ///			
	1			
	I.V. FAMILY PHARMACY, INC., DBA HOPE PHARMACY, JADINE CELINA MAH, ONOFRE MARROQUIN, JOSE CAMILO MELENDEZ ACCUSATION			

///

///

///

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about May 1, 2007, the Board issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 48589 to I V Family Pharmacy, Inc., dba Hope Pharmacy (Respondent Hope Pharmacy). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and will expire on May 1, 2017, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about August 12, 1992, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 45475 to Jadine Celina Mah (Respondent Mah). Respondent Mah was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Hope from May 1, 2007 to April 17, 2014, the Interim Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Hope from May 20, 2014 to September 25, 2014, and the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Hope since September 25, 2014. The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and will expire on July 31, 2018, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about September 17, 2007, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 78446 to Onofre Marroquin (Respondent Marroquin). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, expired on September 30, 2015, and was then cancelled pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4402, subdivision (e).
- 5. On or about November 17, 2003, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 52678 to Jose Camilo Melendez (Respondent Melendez). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, and expired on March 31, 2013, and was cancelled on July 1, 2013 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4402, subdivision (e).

- JURISDICTION 6. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following 2 laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise 3 indicated. 4 Section 4300 of the Code states: 7. 5 6 (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked. 7 (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board. whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and 8 found guilty, by any of the following methods: 9 (1) Suspending judgment. 10 (2) Placing him or her upon probation. 11 (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one vear. 12 (4) Revoking his or her license. 13 (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board 14 in its discretion may deem proper. 15 (c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any 16 applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any 17 terms or conditions not contrary to public policy, including, but not limited to, the following: 18
  - (1) Medical or psychiatric evaluation.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- (2) Continuing medical or psychiatric treatment.
- (3) Restriction of type or circumstances of practice.
- (4) Continuing participation in a board-approved rehabilitation program.
- (5) Abstention from the use of alcohol or drugs.
- (6) Random fluid testing for alcohol or drugs.
- (7) Compliance with laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy.
- (d) The board may initiate disciplinary proceedings to revoke or suspend any probationary certificate of licensure for any violation of the terms and conditions of probation. Upon satisfactory completion of probation, the board shall convert the probationary certificate to a regular certificate, free of conditions.

(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

#### 8. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

#### STATUTORY AUTHORITIES

#### 9. Section 4059 of the Code states, in relevant part:

(a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.

#### 10. Section 4060 of the Code states:

A person shall not possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, a naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to Section 4052.1, 4052.2, or 4052.6. This section does not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, if in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

This section does not authorize a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

#### 11. Section 4081 of the Code states, in relevant part:

(a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, receipt, shipment, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, third-party logistics provider,

3

5

6

7

8

11

28

#### 15. Section 4301 of the Code states, in relevant part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

26 | /// 27 | ///

- 11 . .

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Ö

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28 | ///

(a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee as follows:

- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.
- (b) "Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner," as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in that capacity in or for a licensee.
- (c) The provisions of subdivision (a) may be alleged in any pleading filed pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. However, no order may be issued in that case except as to a person who is named in the caption, as to whom the pleading alleges the applicability of this section, and where the person has been given notice of the proceeding as required by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. The authority to proceed as provided by this subdivision shall be in addition to the board's authority to proceed under Section 4339 or any other provision of law.

#### REGULATIONS

- 17. Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR), section 1714, states in relevant part:
  - (c)(1) Each quality assurance program shall be managed in accordance with written policies and procedures maintained in the pharmacy in an immediately retrievable form.
  - (2) When a pharmacist determines that a medication error has occurred, a pharmacist shall as soon as possible:
  - (A) Communicate to the patient or the patient's agent the fact that a medication error has occurred and the steps required to avoid injury or mitigate the error.
  - (B) Communicate to the prescriber the fact that a medication error has occurred.

I.V. FAMILY PHARMACY, INC., DBA HOPE PHARMACY, JADINE CELINA MAH, ONOFRE MARROQUIN,

JOSE CAMILO MELENDEZ ACCUSATION

 23. Vicodin, hydrocodone 5mg combined with acetaminophen 500mg, is a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

#### **FACTS**

- 24. On February 24, 2014, Respondent Mah e-mailed the Board a Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) Notification stating that Respondent Hope Pharmacy had lost 1,790 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5/500mg (HPAP 5/500) and 1,500 ml's of promethazine with codeine (promethazine) via employee pilferage.
- 25. On July 2, 2014, in response to the Board's request, Respondent Mah provided documents to the Board including: Respondent Hope Pharmacy's audit summary statement; Respondent Hope Pharmacy's audit; a Statement form from Respondent Mah declaring under penalty of perjury that the audit and statement were true; notification of the termination of two pharmacy technicians, Respondents Melendez and Marroquin, and an unlicensed pharmacy clerk; a description into the investigation of these three former employees; signed admissions from the three employees; police reports filed with the Calexico Police Department; and DEA inventories and acquisition and disposition records backing up the audit.
- 26. According to the audit, Respondent Hope Pharmacy was short 16,919 tablets of HPAP 5/500, 19,043 ml's of promethazine with codeine, and 16,814 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg (HPAP 10/325). Respondent Mah's audit summary statement concluded that she had determined there was HPAP 10/325 missing after the DEA visited to investigate the loss.
- 27. On November 6, 2014, the Board's inspectors conducted an inspection of Respondent Hope Pharmacy. Respondent Mah was present and assisted with the inspection. Respondent Mah informed the inspectors that a floater pharmacist came in a few days a week to assist her. The pharmacy was using ScriptPro prescription software. During the inspection, Respondent Mah was unable to locate a theft and impaired employee policy, a technician job description; a temporary absence of a pharmacist policy, or an interpretive service policy. Electronic controlled

17

16

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

2526

27

28

substance prescriptions were received in Respondent Hope Pharmacy via fax without being converted into verbal orders.

- 28. During the November 6, 2014 inspection, the Board's inspectors reviewed Respondent Hope Pharmacy's security and business practice. Technician staff took out the trash. The office was unorganized, with loose papers and folders all over Respondent Mah's desk. There were invoices and bottles of CII medications on the counter when the inspectors arrived. When asked for documentation related to the routine inspection such as invoices and self-assessment, because of the disorganization, it took Respondent Mah some time to locate the responsive documents. Respondent Hope Pharmacy was more organized than Respondent Mah's office. However, there were drugs still located in shipping boxes scattered throughout the office and pharmacy, as well as a recently received order from their wholesaler left open on the floor of Respondent Hope Pharmacy.
- During the November 6, 2014 inspection, the Board's inspectors questioned Respondent Mah about the reported loss. Respondent Mah was not sure exactly how the loss occurred, but believed some drugs were stolen from will call. She knew there were three former employees involved in the loss, including two pharmacy technicians, Respondents Melendez and Marroquin, and an unlicensed pharmacy clerk. Respondent Mah stated the ScriptPro computer software tracks the location of where a prescription is in the filling process. One Monday, Respondent Mah looked for a prescription to consolidate with a prescription for the same patient filled on the previous Friday. According to the computer software, the last person who had handled the prescription was Respondent Melendez, who was not working that day. Respondent Mah called and spoke with Respondent Melendez, who told her the prescription should be in will call, but it was not. Later that same day, Respondent Mah noticed the prescription status in the computer had been changed again, this time to return to stock (RTS). The initials of the person completing the change belonged to Respondent Melendez. Since Respondent Melendez was not working, Respondent Mah had to guess as to who had used Respondent Melendez's login to change the prescription status. The unlicensed pharmacy clerk admitted to Respondent Mah that he logged in using Respondent Melendez's login, and changed the status of the prescription to

 RTS, at Respondent Melendez's request. Respondent Mah also noticed some prescriptions coming from the ScriptPro Automated Filling Robot had been designated RTS in the computer, but had not been actually returned to stock. Respondent Marroquin worked with the filling robot. When confronted, all three employees admitted stealing to Respondent Mah, and signed admission statements. Respondent Mah did not recall anything about the loss of promethazine with codeine, other than the unlicensed pharmacy clerk was involved. After Respondent Melendez was fired, Respondent Mah alerted Farmacia Del Pueblo, where Respondent Melendez also worked, that he had been fired for theft from Respondent Hope Pharmacy. After she discovered the theft, Respondent Mah filed police reports. Respondents Melendez and Marroquin did not seem to be under the influence of controlled substances.

- 30. During the November 6, 2014 inspection, the Board inspectors verified with Respondent Mah that her original loss reported to the DEA was much smaller than the loss she identified after completing her audit. The inspectors also discussed technicians being able to order the medications, and then steal them after they were ordered. According to Respondent Mah, there was no a particular technician who always ordered or put away the order. During the discussion, Respondent Mah stated she believed that Respondents Melendez and Marroquin and the unlicensed pharmacy clerk may have stolen some of the drugs via ordering them, and taking the drugs when they came in. The inspectors discussed the technicians placing drugs in the trash and then stealing them after taking out the trash, as well as physical security for the pharmacy. When asked about what changes had been made to Respondent Hope Pharmacy's operations to prevent further theft, Respondent Mah included HPAP being locked in the controlled cabinet, retaining a hard copy of the patient signed prescription delivery slips listing the medications received from deliveries to patients, and that controlled substances were now double counted.
- 31. On November 6, 2014, the Board inspectors interviewed another pharmacy technician at Respondent Hope Pharmacy who had known Respondent Melendez for multiple years, and she stated that she would never have suspected Respondent Melendez to steal medication. This pharmacy technician further confirmed that Respondent Melendez never seemed to be under the influence of controlled substances.

32.

12<sub>13</sub>

of the new pharmacy since she did not have one for the new site at the time of submitting the application. In the meantime, she was hoping to find a replacement Pharmacist-in-Charge for Respondent Hope Pharmacy, but failed to do so before the 120 day limit.

33. On November 13, 2014, Respondent Mah responded to questions from the Board's inspectors about the three terminated employees.

a. Respondent Mah reported that Respondent Melendez was no longer a pharmacy

Respondent Mah e-mailed to the Board that she became interim Pharmacist-in-Charge of

Respondent Hope Pharmacy because she was submitting an application for a new site location of

a pharmacy, and could not be a Pharmacist-in-Charge of both locations as the other pharmacy is

located beyond the allowable distance (50 miles). She named herself as the Pharmacist-in-Charge

On November 13, 2014, in response to further questions from the Board's inspectors,

- a. Respondent Mah reported that Respondent Melendez was no longer a pharmacy technician because she discovered when she did the pharmacy self-assessment that his license had lapsed. His main job function was inputting prescriptions. Respondent Melendez was not allowed to fill, or do anything that only a licensed technician could do. Respondent Melendez did have access to ordering or keying an item to any orders that were open, especially since there was a computer that was often used next to him. Many employees are cross-trained, and worked as a team on a lot of tasks. 90% of Respondent Melendez's time was inputting prescriptions and answering the phones. Respondent Melendez did help put away the orders on occasions. Respondent Melendez was terminated on January 20, 2014.
- b. Respondent Mah reported that Marroquin's main job duties were filling, putting away the order, answering the phones, and filling the robot. Respondent Marroquin could order if need be. Respondent Marroquin was terminated on February 12, 2014.
- c. Respondent Mah reported that the unlicensed technician's main job duties were customer service in the front, i.e. checking out customers, sorting prescriptions into alphabetical order in will call, answering phones, closing out the register at the end of the day, and on rare occasions, delivering prescriptions when needed. The unlicensed technician was terminated on February 12, 2014.

12.

///

- 34. On November 26, 2014, the Board's inspectors received additional documents from Respondent Mah including: policy and procedures for interpretive services, language line instructions, impaired employee policy, and a temporary absence of pharmacist policy; acquisition records from wholesalers; and disposition records. Respondent Mah indicated that she had found the policies and procedures for theft and impairment shortly after the inspection.
- 35. The Board's inspectors sent letters to Respondents Melendez and Marroquin. Only Respondent Marroquin responded. Respondent Marroquin reported that he worked at Respondent Hope Pharmacy from March 2009 to February 2014. Respondent Marroquin reported that he took Vicodin from Respondent Hope Pharmacy for self-use, and did so from 2013 to 2014. Respondent Marroquin reported that he was aware that Respondent Melendez was also taking drugs from Respondent Hope Pharmacy, but he did not know if he sold them or took them himself. Respondent Marroquin reported that he took the drugs because they "[m]ade me feel good, accessable [sic], easy to get." Respondent Marroquin reported taking about 300 pills within a year. Respondent Marroquin explained how he took the drugs from Respondent Hope Pharmacy: "When patients didn't want their Vicodin I would (sometimes) take them. 30 at a time [sic], because they fit in my pocket and were easy to take out." Respondent Marroquin reported that he was not arrested or prosecuted. Respondent Marroquin reported that he was sorry about what happened, ashamed of himself, and was no longer seeking employment in a pharmacy.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Safely and Properly Prepare, Maintain, Secure and Distribute Drugs – Respondents Hope Pharmacy and Mah)

36. Respondents Hope Pharmacy and Mah are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j), of the Code, in that Respondents could not account for the loss of 16,919 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5/500 mg, 19,043 ml's of promethazine with codeine, and 16,814 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg between October 26, 2011 and May 7, 2014 in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (b), as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Records of Acquisition, Disposition, and Current Drug Inventory –

Respondents Hope Pharmacy and Mah)

37. Respondents Hope Pharmacy and Mah are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j), of the Code, in that Respondents could not account for the loss of 16,919 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5/500 mg, 19,043 ml's of promethazine with codeine, and 16,814 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg between October 26, 2011 and May 7, 2014 in violation of sections 4081, subdivision (a), and 4105, subdivision (a) of the Code, as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Failure to Maintain Theft and Impairment Policies and Procedures – Respondents Hope Pharmacy and Mah)

38. Respondents Hope Pharmacy and Mah are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, in that during an inspection on October 17, 2011, theft and impairment policies were not available and a correction was issued, and during an inspection on November 6, 2014, theft and impairment policies were again not located in violation of section 4104, subdivisions (a) and (b), of the Code, as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Unlawful Possession of Controlled Substances -Respondents Melendez and Marroquin)

39. Respondents Melendez and Marroquin are subject to disciplinary action under section 4059, subdivision (a), and 4060 of the Code, in that Respondents obtained controlled substances/dangerous drugs from Respondent Hope Pharmacy, without a prescription, as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.

#### FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Possession of Controlled Substances - Respondents Melendez and Marroquin)

40. Respondents Melendez and Marroquin are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, in that Respondents possessed controlled substances without a

prescription which they stole from Respondent Hope Pharmacy, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.

#### SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Unprofessional Conduct: Controlled Substances, Dangerous Drug Violations - Respondents Melendez and Marroquin)

41. Respondents Melendez and Marroquin are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j), of the Code, in that Respondents Melendez and Marroquin stole controlled substances/dangerous drugs from Respondent Hope Pharmacy, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11350, subdivision (a), as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (May 24, 2016 Conviction of Embezzlement and Furnishing a Dangerous Drug Without a Prescription – Respondent Melendez)

- 42. Respondent Melendez is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (I), of the Code for conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacy technician in that on May 24, 2016, in *People v. State of California v. Jose Melendez*, Imperial County Superior Court, Brawley Jail Department, Case No. JCF35644, Respondent was convicted on his guilty plea of violation of Penal Code section 504, embezzlement, and Code section 4059(a), furnishing a dangerous drug without a prescription, as set forth in paragraphs 24 through 35, which are incorporated here by this reference.
- 43. As a result of his conviction, Respondent Melendez was placed on formal probation for three years, sentenced to County Jail for 4 days, required to participate in counseling/educational program, abstain from the possession or use of any drugs, narcotics, or other illicit substances, submit to alcohol/drug testing, to have no contact with Respondent Mah and was prohibited from entering the premises or grounds of Hope Pharmacy, among other terms and conditions.

///

#### OTHER MATTERS

- 44. Pursuant to Section 4307, if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 48589 issued to I V Family Pharmacy, Inc., dba Hope Pharmacy is suspended, revoked or placed on probation, and Respondent Mah, while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner, had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 48589 was revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, Respondent Mah shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee of the Board.
- 45. Pursuant to Section 4307, if Pharmacist License Number RPH 45475 issued to Jadine Celina Mah is suspended or revoked, Respondent Mah shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee.

#### DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 46. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondents, Complainant alleges that on or about May 17, 2012, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2011 50067 to Respondent Hope Pharmacy for violation of Code section 4104, subdivisions (a) and (b), for failing to have policies and procedures in place on October 17, 2011, for taking action when licensed individual is impaired or known to have diverted or used drugs; and, for violation of Code section 4125, subdivision (a) and title 16, CCR, section 1711, subdivision (c), for failing to have written policies and procedures for a quality assurance as it pertains to medication errors during an inspection on October 17, 2011. The Citation ordered Respondent Hope Pharmacy to pay \$1,500.00 in civil penalties. That Citation is now final, and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 47. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondents, Complainant alleges that on or about May 17, 2012, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2011 52333 to Respondent Mah for violation of Code section 4104, subdivisions (a) and (b), for failing to have policies and procedures in place on October 17, 2011, for taking action when licensed individual is impaired or known to have diverted or used drugs; and, for violation of Code section 4125, subdivision (a), and title 16, CCR, section 1711,

subdivision (c), for failing to have written policies and procedures for a quality assurance as it pertains to medication errors during an inspection on October 17, 2011. The Citation ordered Respondent Mah to pay \$1,000.00 in civil penalties. That Citation is now final, and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

48. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondents, Complainant alleges that on or about December 17, 2015, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2014 64917 to Respondent Hope Pharmacy for violation of title 16, CCR, section 1716. The circumstances are that on October 24, 2014, pharmacist V.N. while working at Hope Pharmacy, deviated from the requirements of a prescription without prior consent of the prescriber. That Citation is now final, and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 48589 issued to Respondent Hope Pharmacy;
- Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number Pharmacist License No. RPH
   45475 issued to Respondent Mah;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52678 issued to Respondent Melendez;
- 4. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 78446 issued to Respondent Marroquin;
- 5. Ordering Respondents to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

26 | ///

27 ///

28 ///

1	6. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
2	duly 1) 1/10	
3	DATED: Cugina Levela	
4	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer	
5	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
6	Complainant	
7	SD2015801601	
8	81216649 doc.docx	
9		
10		
1.1		
12		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	·	
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
	19	