# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 5419

HANFORD COMMUNITY HOSPITAL Dba ADVENTIST MEDICAL CENTER OAH No. 2015110545

115 Mall Drive Hanford, CA 93230 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER [DEBORAH ANN CAMACHO ONLY]

Original Permit Number No. HSP 30446

and

DEBORAH ANN CAMACHO 371 McCreary Hanford, CA 93230

Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 41441

Respondents.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is here by adopted by the

Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on September 9, 2016.

It is so ORDERED on August 10, 2016.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

i	I	ţ.		
		,		
1	Kamala D. Harris			
2	Attorney General of California Kent D. Harris	1		
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
3	PHILLIP L. ARTHUR Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 238339 1300 I Street, Suite 125	·		
5	P.O. Box 944255	j		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-0032			
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov			
8	Attorneys for Complainant			
9		RE THE		
	DEPARTMENT OF C	PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5419		
12	<u> </u>			
13	HANFORD COMMUNITY HOSPITAL dba ADVENTIST MEDICAL CENTER	OAH No. 2015110545		
14	115 Mall Drive Hanford, CA 93230	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND		
15	Original Permit Number No. HSP 30446	DISCIPLINARY ORDER [DEBORAH ANN CAMACHO ONLY]		
16		ANN CAMACHO ONLY		
	and			
17	DEBORAH ANN CAMACHO 371 McCreary			
18	Hanford, CA 93230			
19	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 41441			
20	Respondents.	·		
21				
22				
23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-			
ľ	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:			
24	<u>PARTIES</u>			
25	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.			
26				
27	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala			
28				
	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Deborah Ann Camacho Only) (5419)			
		7/1		

III

- 9. Respondent Camacho is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 10. Respondent Camacho voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 11. Respondent Camacho admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 5419.
- 12. Respondent Camacho agrees that her Original Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### CONTINGENCY

- Camacho understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of
  Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement,
  without notice to or participation by Respondent Camacho or her counsel. By signing the
  stipulation, Respondent Camacho understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her
  agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it.
  If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and
  Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible
  in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action
  by having considered this matter.
- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF), electronic, and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF, electronic, and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

- 15. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 41441 issued to Respondent Deborah Ann Camacho is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent Camacho is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

# 1. Suspension

As part of probation, Respondent Camacho is suspended from the practice of pharmacy for 270 days beginning the effective date of this Decision.

During suspension, Respondent Camacho shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the Board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent Camacho shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing, or patlent consultation; nor shall Respondent Camacho manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the Board, or have access to or controlled substances.

Respondent Camacho shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent Camacho shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent Camacho shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy

///

technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the Board.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

# 2. Obey All Laws

Respondent Camacho shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent Camacho shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency
  which involves Respondent Camacho's original pharmacist license or which is related
  to the practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing,
  billing, or charging for any drug, device, or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

# 3. Report to the Board

Respondent Camacho shall report to the Board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, Respondent Camacho shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.

# 4. Interview with the Board

22.

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent Camacho shall appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the Board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the Board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

# 5. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent Camacho shall cooperate with the Board's inspection program and with the Board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent Camacho's compliance with the terms and conditions of her probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

# 6. Continuing Education

Respondent Camacho shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the Board or its designee.

# 7. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, Respondent Camacho shall notify all present and prospective employers of the Decision in case number 5419 and the terms, conditions, and restrictions imposed on Respondent Camacho by the Decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent Camacho undertaking any new employment, Respondent Camacho shall cause her direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during Respondent Camacho's tenure of employment), and owner to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) bas/have read the Decision in case number 5419, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent Camacho's responsibility to ensure that her employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the Board.

If Respondent Camacho works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent Camacho must notify her direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and owner at every entity licensed by the Board of the terms and conditions of the Decision in case number

5419 in advance of Respondent Camacho commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the Board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent Camacho undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent Camacho shall cause her direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that they have read the Decision in case number 5419 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent Camacho's responsibility to ensure that her employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the Board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgments to the Board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist or any position for which a pharmacist license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether Respondent Camacho is an employee, independent contractor, or volunteer.

# 8. No Supervision of Interns, Serving as Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), Serving as Designated Representative-in-Charge, or Serving as a Consultant

During the period of probation, Respondent Camacho shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity licensed by the Board, nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this Order.

Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 9. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent Camacho shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$11,907.50.

Respondent Camacho shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the Board.

<del>17</del> 

There shall be no deviation from the payment schedule absent prior written approval by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent Camacho shall not relieve Respondent Camacho of her responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

# 10. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent Camacho shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

# 11. Status of License

Respondent Camacho shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled.

Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If Respondent Camacho's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication Respondent Camacho's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

# 12. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this Decision, should Respondent Camacho cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent Camacho may tender her license to the Board for surrender. The Board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent Camacho will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of Respondent Camacho's license history with the Board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent Camacho shall relinquish her pocket and wall license to the Board within ten (10) days of notification by the Board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent Camacho may not reapply for any license from the Board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent Camacho shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the Board, including any outstanding costs.

# 13. Notification of a Change in Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address, or Employment

Respondent Camacho shall notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known. Respondent Camacho shall further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address, mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 14. Tolling of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, Respondent Camacho shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of 40 hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, Respondent Camacho must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should Respondent Camacho, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease practicing as a pharmacist for a minimum of 40 hours per calendar month in California, Respondent Camacho must notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of the cessation of practice, and must further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of practice. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for Respondent Camacho's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of practice" means any calendar month during which Respondent Camacho is not practicing as a pharmacist for at least 40 hours, as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq. "Resumption of practice" means any calendar month during which Respondent Camacho is practicing as a pharmacist for at least 40 hours as a pharmacist as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

# 15. Violation of Probation

If Respondent Camacho has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent Camacho, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the Board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If Respondent Camacho violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent Camacho notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against Respondent Camacho during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

# 16. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the Board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, Respondent Camacho's license will be fully restored.

III

# 

4

# 17. Community Services Program

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent Camacho shall submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, a community service program in which Respondent Camacho shall provide free health-care related services on a regular basis to a community or charitable facility or agency for at least 96 hours per year for the first three years of probation. Within thirty (30) days of Board approval thereof, Respondent Camacho shall submit documentation to the Board demonstrating commencement of the community service program. A record of this notification must be provided to the Board upon request. Respondent Camacho shall report on progress with the community service program in the quarterly reports. Failure to timely submit, commence, or comply with the program shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 18. Remedial Education

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent Camacho shall submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to pharmacy management, pharmacy law, and controlled substances. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least ten hours per year, for the first three years of probation, which shall be completed at Respondent Camacho's own expense. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes.

Failure to timely submit or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the Board, is provided to the Board or its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may require Respondent Camacho, at her own expense, to take an approved examination to test Respondent Camacho's knowledge of the course. If Respondent Camacho does not achieve a passing score on the examination, this failure shall be considered a violation of probation. Any such examination failure shall require Respondent Camacho to take another course approved by the Board in the

22.

# 19. Supervised Practice

During the period of probation, Respondent Camacho shall practice only under the supervision of a licensed pharmacist not on probation with the Board. Upon and after the effective date of this Decision, Respondent Camacho shall not practice pharmacy and her license shall be automatically suspended until a supervisor is approved by the Board or its designee. The supervision shall be, as required by the Board or its designee, either:

Continuous – At least 75% of a work week

Substantial - At least 50% of a work week

Partial - At least 25% of a work week

be considered a violation of probation.

Daily Review - Supervisor's review of probationer's daily activities within 24 hours Within thirty (30) days of the completion of the suspension of Respondent Camacho's

license, Respondent Camacho shall have her supervisor submit notification to the Board in writing stating that the supervisor has read the Decision in case number 5419 and is familiar with the required level of supervision as determined by the Board or its designee. It shall be Respondent Camacho's responsibility to ensure that her employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge, and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the Board. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely acknowledgements to the Board shall

If Respondent Camacho changes employment, it shall be Respondent Camacho's responsibility to ensure that her employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge, and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the Board. Respondent Camacho shall have her new supervisor, within fifteen (15) days after employment commences, submit notification to the Board in writing stating the direct supervisor and pharmacist-in-charge have read the Decision in case number 5419 and are familiar with the level of supervision as determined by the Board. Respondent Camacho shall not practice pharmacy and her license shall be automatically suspended until the Board or its designee approves a new supervisor. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely acknowledgements to the Board shall be considered a

violation of probation.

Within ten (10) days of leaving employment, Respondent Camacho shall notify the Board in writing.

During suspension, Respondent Camacho shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the Board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent Camacho shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing, or patient consultation; nor shall Respondent Camacho manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the Board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing, or dispensing of dangerous drugs and controlled substances.

Respondent Camacho shall not resume practice until notified by the Board.

During suspension, Respondent Camacho shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent Camacho shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent Camacho shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the Board.

Subject to the above restrictions, Respondent Camacho may continue to own or hold an interest in any licensed premises in which she holds an interest at the time this Decision becomes effective unless otherwise specified in this Order.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

# 20. No Ownership of Licensed Premises

Respondent Camacho shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the Board. Respondent Camacho shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the Board within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this Decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written proof thereof to the Board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of

probation.

#### 21. Tolling of Suspension

During the period of suspension, Respondent Camacho shall not leave California for any period exceeding ten (10) days, regardless of purpose (including vacation). Any such absence in excess of the (10) days during suspension shall be considered a violation of probation. Moreover, any absence from California during the period of suspension exceeding ten (10) days shall toll the suspension, i.e., the suspension shall be extended by one day for each day over ten (10) days Respondent Camacho is absent from California. During any such period of tolling of suspension, Respondent Camacho must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Respondent Camacho must notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of departure, and must further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of return. The failure to provide such notification(s) shall constitute a violation of probation. Upon such departure and return, Respondent Camacho shall not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the Board that the period of suspension has been satisfactorily completed.

### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, George L. Strasser. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Original Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 6/21/2016 DEBORAH ANN O

DEBORAH ANN CAMACHO
Respondent

24 //

25 //

26 //

40 | //

27 | //

28 | 77

1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Deborah Ann Camacho the terms and		
2	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.		
3	I approve its form and content.		
4	DATED: 6/21/2016 Ling L Straw		
5	GEORGE L, STRASSER Attorney for Respondent Camacho		
6	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>		
7	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
8	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.		
9	Dated: 6/21/1/ Respectfully submitted,		
10	Dated: 6/21/16 Respectfully submitted,  KAMALA D. HARRIS		
11	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS		
12	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
13			
14	PHILLIP L. ARTHUR		
15	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant		
16			
17			
18	SA2015101886		
19	12285399.doc		
20			
21   22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	15		

# Exhibit A

Accusation No. 5419

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General	·	
3	PHILLIP L. ARTHUR Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 238339 1300 I Street, Suite 125		
5	P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-0032 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	E-mail: Phillip Arthur@doi.ca.gov		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BOARD OF	RE THE PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF C	CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA	
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against	Case No. 5419	
13	HANFORD COMMUNITY HOSPITAL dba ADVENTIST MEDICAL CENTER		
14	115 Mall Drive Hanford, CA 93230	ACCUSATION	
15	Original Permit Number No. HSP 30446	, ,	
16	and		
17	DEBORAH ANN CAMACHO		
_18_	371 McCreary Hanford, CA 93230		
19	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 41441		
20	Respondents,		
21			
22	Complainant alleges;		
23	<u>PARTIES</u>		
24	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
25	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
26	2. On or about November 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit		
27	Number HSP 30446 to Hanford Community Hospital dba Adventist Medical Center (Respondent		
28	Hanford). Deborah Ann Camacho is and has been the Pharmacist-In-Charge at Respondent		
		1	
{	Per Middle And Page	Accusation	

Hanford since December 5, 1996. The Original Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on November 1, 2015, unless renewed.

3. On or about November 2, 1987, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 41441 to Deborah Ann Camaoho (Respondent Camacho). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2017, unless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
  - 5. Code section 4300.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any-investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license:

#### BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE

- Code section 4029 states:
- (a) "Hospital pharmacy" means and includes a pharmacy, licensed by the board, located within any licensed hospital, institution, or establishment that maintains and operates organized facilities for the diagnosis, care, and treatment of human illnesses to which persons may be admitted for overnight stay and that meets all of the requirements of this chapter and the rules and regulations of the board.
- (b) A hospital pharmacy also includes a pharmacy that may be located outside of the hospital in another physical plant that is regulated under a hospital's consolidated license issued pursuant to Section 1250.8 of the Health and Safety Code. As a condition of licensure by the board, the pharmacy in another physical plant shall provide pharmaceutical services only to registered hospital patients who are on the premises of the same physical plant in which the pharmacy is located, except as provided in Article 7.6 (commencing with Section 4128). The pharmacy services provided shall be directly related to the services or treatment plan administered in the physical plant. Nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to restrict or expand the services that a hospital pharmacy may provide.
- 7. Code section 4059(a) states, "A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

19

the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, yeterinarian, or naturopathic dector

Accusation

Acousation

1	(6) Any termination of a licensed individual based on theft, diversion, or self-use of dangerous drugs,		
2	13. Code section 4113 states, in pertinent part;		
3	(a) Every pharmacy shall designate a pharmacist-in-charge and, within 30		
4 ·	days thereof, shall notify the board in writing of the identity and license number of that pharmacist and the date he or she was designated.		
5	•••		
6 7	(c) The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy		
8	14. Code section 4300 states, in pertinent part:		
9	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.		
10	(b) The board shall discipling the holder of any license issued by the board,		
11	whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found gullty, by any of the following methods:		
12	(1) Suspending Judgment.		
13	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.		
14	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.		
15	(4) Revoking his or her license.		
16	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board		
17	in its discretion may deem proper		
18_	15. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:		
19	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or		
20	misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:		
21			
22	(c) Gross negligence		
23   24	•••		
25	(q) Engaging in any conduct that subverts or attempts to subvert an		
26	investigation of the board		
27	177		
28	m		
,	. 5		
	Accusation		

Code section 4332 states, "Any person who falls, neglects, or refuses to maintain the records required by Section 4081 or who, when called upon by an authorized officer or a member 2 of the board, fails, neglects, or refuses to produce or provide the records within a reasonable time, 3 or who willfully produces or furnishes records that are false, is guilty of a misdemeanor." 4 5 Health and Safety Code section 11165(d) states: For each prescription for a Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV controlled substance, as defined in the controlled substances schedules in federal law and regulations, specifically Sections 1308.12, 1308.13, and 1308.14, respectively, of 8 Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the dispensing pharmacy, clinic, or other dispenser shall report the following information to the Department of Justice as soon 9 as reasonably possible, but not more than seven days after the date a controlled substance is dispensed, in a format specified by the Department of Justice: 10 (1) Full name, address, and, it available, telephone number of the 11 ultimate user or research subject, or contact information as determined by the Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, and the 12 gender, and date of birth of the ultimate user. 13 (2) The prescriber's category of licensure, license number, national provider identifier (NPI) number, if applicable, the federal controlled substance registration number, and the state medical license number of any prescriber using the 14 15 federal controlled substance registration number of a government-exempt facility. (3) Pharmacy prescription number, license number, NPI number, and 16 federal controlled substance registration number. 17 (4) National Drug Code (NDC) number of the controlled substance 18 dispensed. (5) Quantity of the controlled substance dispensed. 19 (6) International Statistical Classification of Diseases, 9th revision (ICD-9) or 10th revision (ICD-10) Code, if available. 20 21 (7) Number of refills ordered. 22 (8) Whether the drug was dispensed as a refill of a prescription or as a 23 first-time request. (9) Date of origin of the prescription. 24 25 (10) Date of dispensing of the prescription. 26 27 28 6

Acquisation

2

3

5

6

8

10

ĪΙ

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

enforcement of the case.

ΪΙ 

.20 

#### CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

- 23. "Codeine Phosphate/APAP," Codeine with acetaminophen, is a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022, and a schedule III controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11056(e).
- 24. "Cocaine" is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code and a schedule II controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11055(b)(6) of the Health and Safety Code,
- 25. "Fentanyl Citrate," also known by the brand name Sublimaze, is a strong analgesic, pharmacodynamically similar to meperidine and morphine. Fentanyl and fentanyl citrate preparations are Schedule II controlled substances as designated by Health and Safety Code section 17055(5)(8), and a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022.
- 26. "Hydrocodone w/APAP" (hydrocodone with acetaminophen tablets) is a semisynthetic narcotic analgesic, a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022, a Schedule III controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11056(e) of the Health and Safety Code, and a Schedule III controlled substance as defined by section 1308.13(e) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations.
- 27. "Hydromorphone Hydrochloride," also known by the brand name Dllaudid, is a semi-synthetic opioid derivative and is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(1)(I), and a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022. Hydromorphone hydrochloride is a strong analgesic used in the relief of moderate to severe pain.
- 28. "Ketamine" is a medication used mainly for starting and maintaining anesthesia. Other uses include sedation in intensive care, as a pain killer, as treatment of bronchospasm, as a treatment for complex regional pain syndrome, and as an antidepressant. It is a Schedule III controlled substance as defined by Health and Safety Code, section 11056(g).
- 29. "Meperidine Hydrochloride" is a strong synthetic opioid analgesic used in the relief of moderate to severe pain, as a pre-operative supplement to anesthesia, and to provide pain relief during labor. Also known by the brand name Demerol, meperidine hydrochloride preparations

are subject to control as Schedule II controlled substances as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(c)(17), and dangerous drugs within the meaning of Code section 4022.

- 30. "Midazolam" is a benzodiazepine, used for preoperative sedation, particularly useful when anxiety relief and diminished recall are desired. Midazalom is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(21), and a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022,
- 31. "Morphine Sulfate," aka as brand names Astramorph, Duramorph, MSIR, RMS Uniserts, and Roxanol, is for use in patients who require a potent opioid analgesic for relief of moderate to severe pain, and is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 of the Code and a Schedule II controlled substance as defined in section 11055(b)(1)(L) of the Health and Safety Code,
- 32. "Oxycodone" with acetaminophen and oxycodone with aspirin both contain oxycodone, a white odorless crystalline powder derived from the opium alkaloid, thebaine. Oxycodone is a semisynthetic narcotic analgesic with multiple actions qualitatively similar to those of morphine. It is a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022, a schedule it controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11055(b)(1)(M) of the Health and Safety Code, and a Schedule II controlled substance as defined by section 1308.12(b)(1) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations.
- 33. "Carisoprodol" is a muscle-relaxant and sedative. It is a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022.
- 34. "Methadone Hydrochloride," aka as brand names Dolophine, Methadose, and Physeptone, is a synthetic narcotic analgesic with multiple actions quantitatively similar to those of morphine, it is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4211 of the Code, and a schedule II controlled substance as defined in section 11055(c)(14) of the Health and Safety Code.
- 35. "Percocet" is the trade name for the combined generic substance Oxycodone

  Hydrochloride and Acetaminophen is a semisynthetic narcotic analgesic with multiple actions
  qualitatively similar to those of morphine, and is a controlled substance as defined in Schedule II,

.21 .22

section 11055(b)(1)(L) of the Health and Safety Code, Percocet is a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022.

#### BACKGROUND

- 36. Adventist Health Central Valley Network (Adventist) owns and operates four hospitals: Adventist Medical Center-Hanford (Respondent Hanford), Adventist Medical Center-Selma, Adventist Medical Center-Reedley; and Central Valley General Hospital. Each hospital has a pharmacy. All of Adventist's pharmacists work at each hospital. Respondent Camacho is and was the Director of Pharmacy for all four hospitals. As the Director of Pharmacy for Adventist, Camacho was responsible for the scheduling of pharmacy staff at all four of Adventist's hospitals.
- 37. After the Board received an arrest notification on Adventist's employee, E.C., a licensed pharmacist (indicating that E.C. was arrested at an airport with a large amount of controlled substances in her possession), Board Inspector D.P. conducted an investigative inspection at Respondent Hanford's pharmacy on or about March 4, 2014.
- 38. When Inspector D.P. arrived at Respondent Hanford's pharmacy, at approximately 10:30 a.m., D.P. was greeted by a pharmacy technician who informed D.P. that there was no pharmacist in the pharmacy. D.P. observed approximately five people in the pharmacy, some of whom were pulling medication from the shelf.
- 39. Approximately ten to fifteen minutes after inspector D.P.'s arrival at Respondent Hanford's pharmacy, D.P. was greeted by pharmacist A.A.K. A.A.K. relayed the following information:
- a. A pharmacist is not scheduled to be present in the pharmacy for a number of hours in the morning.
- b. Prescription orders were checked by "remote" pharmacists who check the data entry information on prescriptions.
- c. Most of the prescription medication was issued from Omnicell automated dispensing machines on the floor. The Omnicell machine provided documentation of medication removed. In general, the medication could not be removed unless a prescription order was in the system.

There are some instances where an override of that procedure could occur, such as when there is an immediate need for medication.

- d. The technicians fill prescriptions for orders of medication not stocked in the Omnicell machine, and dispense medication to restock the Omnicell machines.
- e. When a medication order is ready to be checked, a pharmacist was called from their workstation on the hospital floor, to return to the pharmacy and check the prescription dispensed.
- f. The controlled substances were kept in the Omnicell narcotic locker which only the pharmacy technicians could access. Pharmacists did not verify the counts of the controlled substances in the parcotic locker. This process was established by Respondent Camacho.
- g. The filling process for controlled substances consisted of a pharmacy technician accessing the controlled substance narcotic locker, and the medication order and pulled controlled substance were laid on the counter for the pharmacist to check. Pharmacists did not verify narcotic counts with the technicians,
- h. When controlled substances were received from the supplier, a pharmacist would sign for the order, open the box and count the controlled substances, compare their count against the wholesaler's invoice to verify inventory ordered and received, the controlled substances were then laid on top of the invoice on a counter near the narcotic looker, and at some point during the day the pharmacy technicians would put the controlled substances into the narcotic locker (this process was not supervised by a pharmacist).
- When Omnicell discrepancies occurred from other hospital locations, the head technician ran the reports and followed up on the discrepancies.
- 40. Head technician M.M. provided Inspector D.P. with the last DBA blennial inventory, dated December 21, 2013. Schedule II medication was not separate from Schedule III-V inventory. The inventory listed two columns, "Omni count" (representing the quantity of medication that the Omnicell listed as should be present) and "Current on hand" (representing the physical quantity of medication present). Of the 120 medications listed, only sixty-six had matching counts. Head technician M.M. informed D.P. that any discrepancies on this inventory were reported to Respondent Camacho.

15<sub>.</sub> 

- On or about March 7, 2014, Inspector D.P. met with Respondent Camacho.
   Respondent Camacho relayed the following:
- a. Pharmacists had access to the narcotic safe, however the pharmacists did not know how to use the Omnicelli software to access it.
- b. Respondent Camacho did not know about the discrepancies on the last DBA inventory, dated December 21, 2013.
- 42. After Respondent Camacho had technician M.M. open the narcotic safe, M.M. counted three medications in Inspector D.P. and Camacho's presence—morphine 30mg tablets; oxycodone 20 mg tablets; and carisoprodol 350 mg tablets. Following the count, it was discovered that the Omincell inventory for the morphine was 141, while actual inventory was 121, and that the Omnicell inventory for the carisoprodol was 57, while actual inventory was 38. Camacho said that if the inventory of the Omnicell was found to be inaccurate, a technician would verify the count, and that a pharmacist would verify with the technician; however, Camacho did not know if the initials of the verifying pharmacist were recorded.
- 43.. During Inspector D.P.'s meeting with Respondent Camacho on March 7, 2014, D.P. requested that Camacho immediately provide audits on the following controlled substances that were found in E.C.'s possession at the time of her arrest: meperidine, morphine (all oral strengths), oxycodone (all oral strengths), methadone (all oral strengths), amphetamine (all brands and oral strengths which contain this ingredient), hydromorphone (all), and carlsoprodol. D.P. requested that Camacho complete the audits at all four of Adventist's pharmacy campuses. D.P. requested that the audits be provided to her by March 11, 2014. Camacho failed to provide D.P. with the requested documents by March 11, 2014.
- 44. On or about March 13, 2014, Inspector D.P. met with Respondent Camacho. During the meeting, D.P. instructed Camacho to immediately conduct an audit of all blank prescription pads for all four of Adventist's hospitals. D.P. requested from Camacho a statement on the blank prescription pad accountability and loss. On or about March 18, 2014, D.P. requested that Camacho's statement on the blank prescription pad accountability and loss for all four of

II

- 45. On or about March 19, 2014, Inspector D.P. met with Respondent Camacho. D.P. requested statements from Camacho regarding the discrepancy timeline (when Camacho discovered the discrepancies in controlled substance inventory that were attributable to B.C.), security surveillance video observations of E.C.'s theft of controlled substances from Respondent Hanford, and the prescription pad accountability procedure at Respondent Hanford's pharmacy. D.P. also requested an audit showing a comparison of delivery receipt vs. perpetual inventory entry at Adventist's Hanford (Respondent Hanford) and Salma pharmacles. D.P. requested that all documents be provided to her by March 26, 2014. Camacho failed to provide the requested documents by March 26, 2014.
- 46. During Inspector D.P.'s investigation, she discovered that Respondent Hanford's pharmacy, located more than one mile from Kerr Outpatient Surgical Center (Kerr), had delivered controlled substance medications to a nursing station at Kerr. Delivery records were labeled "KOC Surgery," "KOC Recovery," "KOC GI Lab," or "KOC PACU." No DEA Form 222 was completed for the supplied Schedule II controlled substance medications. Respondent Hanford's pharmacy provided Kerr controlled substance medications and other bulk medications for an extensive time period, at least well before December 2010. The location to which these medications were furnished did not have a license to obtain, receive, or maintain the medication. The medications provided were not by patient-specific prescriptions. On and between December 1, 2013 and May 30, 2014, the following quantities of controlled substance medications were delivered to Kerr:

	<u> Medication</u>	Total	
	Acetaminophen with Cod. Blixir 120-12mg/5ml 5 ml size	5	
∥	. Cocaine 4% solution 4 ml	39	
	Fentanyl 50 meg/1ml 2 ml	450	
1	Hydrocodone/APAP 5-325mg tabs	80	
	Hydrocodone/APAP 5-500mg tabs	10	
1			

- 51. On or about June 11 and 12, 2014, Inspector D.P. interviewed four pharmacists who worked at Respondent Hanford, including A.A-K. and J.T. A.A-K. told D.P. that a pharmacist was not scheduled inside the pharmacy from 7:30 a.m. to 11 a.m. daily. J.T. told D.P. that there was no pharmacist scheduled in the pharmacy from 6 a.m. to 9 a.m. daily.
- 52. On or about June 11 and 12, 2014, Inspector D.P. interviewed four pharmacy technicians that worked at Respondent Hanford, including B.J., T.T., and L.A. B.J., T.T., and L.A. all informed D.P. that they interpreted Respondent Camacho's June 2013 e-mail as pertaining to pharmacist E.C., and that their jobs would be in jeopardy if they said anything about E.C.
- 53. On or about June 12, 2014, Inspector D.P. interviewed Respondent Camacho, who stated that she did not encourage open communication among the pharmacy staff at Adventist if an employee had concerns for patient safety due to employee impairment.
- 54. At the conclusion of Inspector D.P.'s June 12, 2014 interview with Respondent Camacho, D.P. requested various documents from Camacho, including the audit of controlled substances that was prepared by B.E. D.P. informed Camacho that a response to her request was due by June 18, 2014. D.P. did not receive the requested documents by June 18, 2014.
- 55. During the course of Inspector D.P.'s investigation, D.P. requested the following documents from Respondent Camacho: (1) completed DBA 106 for Respondent Hanford's pharmacy within fourteen days to the Board; (2) complete and accurate records of the disposition of controlled substances to Kerr; and (3) accurate records of dispensing and controlled substance inventory. Camacho failed to provide any of these documents by the deadlines D.P. specified.

25 1///

IJ

26 | //.

27 1/

28 1///

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

#### RESPONDENT HANFORD

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Furnishing Dangerous Drugs and Controlled Substances Without Prescription, In Bulk, to Unlicensed Incilities)

Respondent Hanford is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4029(b), 4059(a), 4059.5(b), and 4060 in that Hanford provided controlled substances, in bulk, without patient prescriptions, to Kerr, which is not licensed by the Board. The circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraph 46.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Directly Supervise Pharmacy Technicians)

Respondent Flanford is subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1793.7(b); and Health and Safety Code section 11207(a), in that no pharmacist was scheduled to work in the pharmacy for at least three consecutive hours daily. during which time pharmacy technicians pulled medication from the shelf, prepared and labeled medication, and access the controlled substance locker (which only pharmacy technicians had access to). The circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 37-39, and 41.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Lack of Security of Prescription Department)

- Respondent Hanford is subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714(b), in that Hanford's facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment were not maintained so that drugs were safely and properly maintained, secured, and distributed, The circumstances are as follows:
- 59. On or about March 14, 2014, an audit of acquisition and disposition records for the time period of June 28, 2011 through March 7, 2014, conducted at Respondent Hanford's pharmacy revealed a loss of the following controlled substances:
  - 10 tubs of Morphine Sulfate 30mg tablet ρ,
  - b. 20ml of Hydromorphone 4mg/ml syringe
  - 277 ml of Hydromorphone 2mg/ml syringe

1	d. 104ml of Morphine 4mg/ml Carpuject		
2	e. 352ml of Morphine 1mg/mi Vlal P-F		
3	f 100ml of Hydromorphone 2mg/ml Vial		
4	g. 100 of Oxyoodone-APAP 7.5-325mg tab		
5	60. The controlled substance loss was found to be theft by licensed pharmacist B.C.		
6	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
7	(Failure to Report Controlled Substance Theft by a Licensed Pharmacy Employee)		
8	61. Respondent Hanford is subject to disciplinary action under section 4104(c) of the		
9	Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1715.6, in that Hanford failed to		
0	provide to the Board, within fourteen days of receipt or development thereof, documentary		
1	evidence demonstrating theft, diversion, or self-use of dangerous drugs; and failed to report to the		
12	Board, within thirty days of discovery, a loss of controlled substances, including their amounts		
із 📗	and strengths. The circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 59-60 and		
4	as follows:		
15	62. After discovery of the controlled substance loss described in paragraphs 59-60,		
16	Respondent Camacho, as the pharmacist-in-charge for Respondent Hanford, submitted a DEA		
17	106. The form was dated March 14, 2014 (indicating the date the theft was discovered), however		
18	it was not submitted to the Board until June 18, 2014.		
19	RESPONDENT CAMACHO		
20	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
21	(Furnishing Dangerous Drugs and Controlled Substances Without Prescription, In		
22	Bulk, to Unlicensed Facilities)		
23	63. Respondent Camacho is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4029(b),		
24	4059(a), 4059,5(b), 4060, and 4113(c) of the Code, in that Camacho, as the pharmacist-in-charge		
25	of Respondent Hanford, provided or authorized the provision of controlled substances, in bulk,		
26	without a patient prescription, to Kerr, which is not licensed with the Board. The circumstances		
27	are described with more particularity in paragraph 46.		
28	111		
	17		

Accusation

6 7

8

9

10 Ħ

12

13 14

15

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

111

(Lack of Security of Prescription Department)

64. Respondent Camacho is subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714(d), and section 4113(e) of the Code, in that Camacho, as the pharmacist-in-charge for Respondent Hanford, failed to ensure that Hanford's facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment were maintained so that drugs were safely and properly maintained, secured, and distributed. The circumstances are as follows described with more particularity in paragraphs 58-60.

#### SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Report Controlled Substance Theft by a Licensed Pharmacy Employee)

55. Respondent Camacho is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4104(c) and 4113(o) of the Code in that Camacho, as the pharmacist-in-charge of Respondent Hanford, failed to provide to the Board, within fourteen days of receipt or development thereof, documentary evidence demonstrating theft, diversion, or self-use of dangerous drugs; and falled to report to the Board, within thirty days of discovery, a loss of controlled substances, including their amounts and strengths. The circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 59-62.

#### EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Directly Supervise Pharmacy Techniciaus)

Respondent Camacho is subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1793.7(b), Health and Safety Code section 11207(a), and section 4113(c) of the Code, in that as the pharmacist-in-charge at Respondent Hanford, Camacho failed to schedule a pharmacist to work in Hanford's pharmacy for at least three consecutive hours daily, during which time pharmacy technicians pulled medication from the shelf, prepared and labeled medication, and accessed the controlled substance locker (which only pharmacy technicians had access to) without pharmaoist supervision on site. The circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 37-39, and 41.

#### NINTEL CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Unprofessional Conduct-Gross Negligence)

- 67. Respondent Camacho is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(c) of the Code in that as the pharmacist-in-charge of Respondent Hanford, Camacho failed to:
- (1) investigate disorepancies in a December 21, 2013 DEA Biennial inventory, which allowed an environment conductive to theft of controlled substances to exist; (2) provide an environment at Adventist where concerns regarding patient safety could be voiced and investigated; and (3) investigate employee impairment, which allowed theft of controlled substances to go undetected. The circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 36, 40-42, and 52-53,

#### TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Unprofessional Conduct-Gross Negligence)

- 68. Respondent Camacho is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(c) of the Code in that Camacho falled to provide for accountability of controlled substances at Adventist, which allowed an environment for theft of controlled substances to exist over a time period of several years. The circumstances are as follows:
- 69. Pharmacist E.C. diverted a large amount of controlled substances from Respondent Hanford's pharmacy. The controlled substance diversion dated back to 2012, as evidenced from the discovery of year-2012 supplier invoices for Adventist's Central Valley General Hospital pharmacy signed as received by E.C. but not entered into pharmacy inventory.
- 70. Respondent Camacho, as the Director of Pharmacy for Adventist, was responsible for scheduling all pharmacy staff at all four of Adventist's pharmacy locations.
- 71. Respondent Camacho's primary workplace was Adventist's Central Valley General Hospital pharmacy.
- 72. Respondent Camacho neglected to monitor controlled substance usage at Adventist's Central Valley General Hospital as evidenced by the receipt of 1,035 tablets of methadone 10mg tablets compared to 130 documented as dispensed (from July 7, 2011 through March 8, 2014), and receipt of 1,057 hydromorphone 2mg tablets compared to 150 documented as dispensed (from July 7, 2011 through March 8, 2014).

 (Engaging in Conduct That Subverts an Investigation of the Board) ·

Respondent Camacho is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(a

73. Respondent Camacho is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(q) of the Code in that she engaged in conduct that subverted or attempted to subvert an investigation of the Board by failing to provide the following documents that were requested during the Board's investigation: (i) documentary evidence of Camacho's knowledge of the loss of controlled substances; (2) completed statements; (3) accurate controlled substance audits in the requested timeline; (4) accurate details of the discovery of the loss of controlled substances; (5) an audit of the prescription blanks at Adventist Medical Center Selma; and (6) an audit of supplier controlled substance invoices received by E.C. at Respondent Hanford's pharmacy compared to the controlled substances entered into inventory. The circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 43-45, 54-55, and as follows;

- 74. During each of Inspector D.P.'s interviews and meetings with Respondent Camacho, Camacho's description regarding the discovery of the loss of controlled substances at Adventist changed as follows:
- a. During Inspector D.P.'s first meeting with Respondent Camacho on March 7, 2014, Camacho stated that she had just been informed of some controlled substance discrepancies. Camacho said she had discovered discrepancies in tramadol inventory for Adventist's Selma campus, as well as questionable deductions from the perpetual inventory at Adventist's Central Valley General Hospital for methadone. Camacho stated that she suspected Adventist pharmacist E.C. of the theft. Camacho stated that after reviewing the records at Adventist's Selma campus, she asked a security officer to review video surveillance, and the security officer showed her video from the Selma campus, dated Pebruary 19, 2014, showing E.C. entering the pharmacy after it was closed, taking medication, and placing the medication in her bag.
- b. On March 11, 2014, Respondent Camacho provided Inspector D.P. with a statement, signed under penalty of perjury, stating that on February 21, 2014, Camacho contacted R.L., the Manager of Adventist's Security Department, and told him she believed there was a

TT:

possible drug diversion problem at Adventist's Selma campus, Respondent Camacho told R.L. that she believed the pharmacist involved in the theft was E.C. On Pebruary 28, 2014, R.L. reviewed the security video for February 19, 2014, which showed E.C. entering the pharmacy after it was closed with a large bag and making entries in binders on top of the narcotic cabinet. R.L. advised Camacho of his findings. On March 7, 2014, R.L. received a request from Camacho to review video at Adventist's Central Valley General Hospital for February 25, 2014.

c. On June 12, 2014, Inspector D.P. interviewed Respondent Camacho. During this interview, Camacho stated that when she told pharmacist B.E. that she had a suspicion of who was causing the controlled substance discrepancies, when B.E. provided her with a controlled substance audit showing discrepancies on February 21, 2014, she said that she did not know who it was: Camacho said that as of February 21, 2014, her suspicion was that a pharmacy technician was responsible for the controlled substance discrepancies.

#### TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Produce Required Records)

75. Respondent Camacho is subject to disciplinary action under section 4332 of the Code, by and through section 4081 of the Code, in that Camacho failed to provide the following documents as requested for the Board's investigation: (1) documentary evidence of her knowledge of loss of controlled substances; (2) completed statements within the timeframe requested by inspector D.P.; (3) accurate controlled substance audits in the requested timeframe; (4) accurate details of the discovery of the loss of controlled substances; (5) an audit of prescription blanks at Adventist's Medical Center Selma; (6) an audit of supplier controlled substance invoices received by E.C. at Adventist's Hanford (Respondent Hanford) and Medical Center Selma pharmacies compared to controlled substances entered into inventory; (7) completed DEA 106 for Adventist's Hanford (Respondent Hanford) and Medical Center Selma pharmacies within fourteen days to the Board; (8) complete and accurate records of the disposition of controlled substances to Kerr; and (9) accurate records of dispensing and controlled substance inventory. The circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 43-45 and 54-55.

#### THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Report Dispensing Information of Controlled Substances to the Department of Justice)

- 76. Respondent Camacho is subject to disciplinary action under section 4068(a)(4) of the Code, by and through California Health Safety Code section 11165, in that Respondent Camacho, as the Director of Pharmacy for Adventist, allowed Adventist's Reedley pharmacy to dispense controlled substances to emergency room patients without retaining the dispensing information. The circumstances are as follows:
- 77. On or about October 23, 2012, Inspector D.P. inspected Adventist's Medical Center, Reedley, and discovered that outpatient dispensing of controlled substances occurred from the inospital after the hospital pharmacy was closed for the day. After the inspection, D.P. informed Respondent Camacho and pharmacist-in-charge R.W. of the pharmacy law requirements for outpatient controlled substance medication dispensing from the emergency room. Camacho and R.W. told D.P. emergency room controlled substance medication dispensing would cease at that time.
- 78. On or about April 28, 2014, Inspector D.P. re-inspected Adventist Medical Center, Reedley's pharmacy. This re-inspection revealed that outpatient emergency room controlled substance medication dispensing continued to occur from October 23, 2012 through April 28, 2014. A one-year accounting of outpatient controlled substance dispensing information showed 1,045 prescriptions were dispensed. Adventist Medical Center Pharmacy Reedley did not report the dispensing information of controlled substance medication as required to the Department of Justice.

#### FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Lack of Security of Prescription Department) (Respondent Hanford's Location)

79. Respondent Camacho is subject to disciplinary action under California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714(d), in that an audit of controlled substances revealed a substantial loss of controlled substances, as well as that E.C. had the ability to steal controlled substances. The circumstances are as follows:

1	80. On or about March 14, 2014, an audit of acquisition and disposition records for the	
2	time period of June 18, 2011 through March 7, 2014, conducted at Adventist's Central Valley	
3	General Hospital's pharmacy revealed a loss of the following controlled substances:	
4	a. 200 tabs of Carlsoprodol 350mg	[
5	b. 127 tabs of Carisoprodol 350mg	
. 6	c. 899 tablets of Hydromorphone 2mg	
7	d. 21 vials of Hydromorphone PCA (.2mg/mi)	
8	e. 497 tablets of Meperidine 50mg	
9.	f, 346ml of Meperidine 50mg/ml (as Demerol 50mg/ml)	
10	g. 13ml of Meperldine 10mg/ml cartridge	
ΙΪ.	h, 77ml of Meperidine 100mg/ml (as Demerol 100mg/ml) syringe	ł
12	i. 905 tablets of Methadone HCL, 10mg	
13	j. 17 vials of Morphine PCA (1mg/ml)	
14	k, 186 tablets of Oxycodone IR 5mg	1
15	i. 400 tablets of Oxycodone HCL 5mg	
16	m. 191 tablets of Oxycodone-APAP 5-325	
17	n. 200 tablets of Oxycodone APAP 5-325	
18	o. 600 tablets of Oxycodone-APAP 5-325 (as Percocet 5-325mg)	
19	81. The controlled substance theft was found to be theft by pharmacist and Adventist	
20	employee E.C.	
21	FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
22	(Failure to Report Controlled Substance Theft by a Licensed Pharmacy Employee)	
23	82. Respondent Camacho is subject to disciplinary action under section 4104(c) of the	
24	Code in that Camacho failed to report and provide to the Board within fourteen days of receipt or	
25	development thereof, any documentary evidence demonstrating theft, diversion, or self-use of	
26	dangerous drugs. The circumstances are described with more particularity in paragraphs 79-82	
27	and as follows:	
28		
	. 23	

		ı	
1	p. 767 tablets of Oxycodone IR 5mg	٠,	
2	q. 1,000 tablets of Oxycodone HCL 5mg		
3	r. 26 of Oxycodone ER 10mg (as Oxycontin 10mg)		
. 4	s. 20 of Oxyoodone ER 20mg (as Oxyoontin 20mg)		
5	t, 290 of Oxycodone ER 80mg (as Oxycontin 80mg)		
6	u. 191 tablets of Oxycodone-APAP 5-325		
7	v. 1,163 tablets of Oxycodone-APAP 5-325		
8	PRAYER		
9	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,		
10	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:		
TT	T Revoking or suspending Hospital Pharmacy License Number HSP 30446, issued to		
. 12	Hanford Community Hospital dba Adventist Medical Center;		
13	2. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 41441, issued to	.	
14	Deborah Ann Camacho;	1	
15	3. Ordering Hanford Community Hospital and Deborah Ann Camacho to pay the Board		
16	of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to		
17	Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and		
18	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
. 19		•	
20	<b>1</b>		
21			
22	DATED: 10/20/15 () ( Come the colo)		
23	Executive Officer		
24	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
. 25			
26	I)		
27	, SA2015101886 11789905.doc		
28			
	25		
	Accusati	OΠ	