| 1 2 3 | |
|--|---|
| 4 5 6 7 | BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: PCC VENTURES LLC dba Pharmacy Care Concepts 7720 Lorraine Ave, Suite 102/103 Stockton, CA 95210 Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51484, |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | Respondent. IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the undersigned parties that the following is true: 1. The parties to this agreement are Virginia Herold, acting in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and John Mack, President and Chief Executive Officer authorized representative of Care RX, LLC, 16100 SW 72 nd Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97222. 2. On or about June 13, 2016, Care Rx, LLC, 16100 SW 72 nd Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97222 (hereinafter "applicant") submitted an application to the Board for change of ownership of Pharmacy Care Concepts, 7720 Lorraine Avenue, Suite 102/103, Stockton, CA 95210 (Original Permit No. PHY 51484). The granting of the application would require the cancellation of Original Permit No. PHY 51484 issued to PCC Ventures, LLC, 7700 NE Parkway Drive, Suite 300, Vancouver, Washington 98662, and the issuance of a new original permit number to applicant pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4201(f). 3. The existing permit (Original Permit No. PHY 51484) is currently the subject of a disciplinary order issued effective May 5, 2016, by the Board in the disciplinary matter entitled <i>In</i> |
| | 1 Stipulation For Continuing Jurisdiction |

The Matter of Accusation Against PCC Ventures, LLC, et al., Board of Pharmacy Case No. 5294.A true and correct copy of the decision and order in this matter is attached hereto as Exhibit Aand incorporated by this reference.

4 4. In exchange for expedited processing and issuance of the new permit pursuant to the
5 change in ownership, applicant understands and agrees that the Board shall have continuing
6 jurisdiction over the new permit issued to applicant such that the disciplinary order issued by the
7 Board in Case No. 5294, including any terms and conditions and remaining tenure of probation,
8 shall carry forward and be applicable to the new permit issued to applicant. The Board hereby
9 waives any right it may have had to deny issuance of the new permit.

10 5. A portable document format (PDF) or facsimile signature on this document shall be
11 binding as an original signature. Parties agree to use of PDF or facsimile signatures in lieu of
12 original signatures for all purposes relevant to enforcement of this Stipulation. /

2

8/24/2014 Dated:

ł

2

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1/2016 Dated:

Digna Hedel

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer California Board of Pharmacy

JOHN MACK President and Chief Executive Offer Authorized Representative Care RX, LLC

Stipulation For Continuing Jurisdiction

Exhibit A

Final Decision and Order Pharmacy Board Disciplinary Case No. 5294

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 5294

OAH No. 2015110440

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND

VENTURES, LLC, ET AL, ONLY

DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO PCC

PCC VENTURES LLC, dba PHARMACY CARE CONCEPTS STEPHEN L. STANGE, PIC HAROLD G. DELAMARTER, MEMBER GREGORY JOHN VISLOCKY, MEMBER RICK B. DELAMARTER, MD, MEMBER SCOTT BRADLEY HANCOCK, MEMBER TRACY WILLIAM ZARLING, MEMBER PAUL ERNEST HAFFNER, MEMBER 7720 Lorraine Avenue, Suite 102/103 Stockton, CA 95210

Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51484

and

STEPHEN L. STANGE 4230 Heron Lakes Drive Stockton, CA 95219

Pharmacist License No. RPH 28242

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is here by adopted by the Board of

Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 6, 2016.

It is so ORDERED on May 5, 2016.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

|] | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS | |
| 2 | Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRISTINA T. JARVIS | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 258229 | |
| 5 | 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 | |
| 6 | Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5403 | |
| 7 | Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant | · · · · |
| 8 | | RETHE |
| 9 | DEPARTMENT OF C | PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS |
| 10 | STATE OF C | CALIFORNIA |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 5294 |
| 12 | PCC VENTURES LLC, dba PHARMACY CARE CONCEPTS | OAH No. 2015110440 |
| 13 | STEPHEN L. STANGE, PIC | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND |
| 14 | HAROLD G. DELAMARTER, MEMBER GREGORY JOHN VISLOCKY, MEMBER | DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO PCC VENTURES, LLC, ET AL, ONLY |
| 15 | RICK B. DELAMARTER, MD, MEMBER SCOTT BRADLEY HANCOCK, | |
| 16 | MEMBER TRACY WILLIAM ZARLING, MEMBER | |
| 17 | PAUL ERNEST HAFFNER, MEMBER 7720 Lorraine Avenue, Suite 102/103 | |
| 18 | Stockton, CA 95210 | |
| 19 | Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51484 | |
| 20 | and | |
| | STEPHEN L. STANGE 4230 Heron Lakes Drive Stockton, CA 95219 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 22 | Pharmacist License No. RPH 28242 | |
| 23 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 24 | Respondents. | |
| .25 | | |
| 26 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGI | REED by and between the parties to the above- |
| 27 | entitled proceedings that the following matters a | re true: |
| 28 | /// · | |
| | | 1 |
| | ,] | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (5294) |

ļ

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (5294)

PARTIES 1 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. 2 She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala 3 D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Kristina T. Jarvis, Deputy Attorney 4 General. 5 2. On or about March 14, 2001, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Permit Number 6 PHY 45169 to Pharmacy Care Concepts, Inc., with Stephen L. Stange ("Respondent Stange") as 7 pharmacist-in-charge ("PIC") and president/treasurer. The pharmacy permit was canceled on 8 July 2, 2013, due to a change in ownership of the pharmacy, as set forth in paragraph 3 below. 9 On or about July 1, 2013, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 3. 10 51484 to PCC Ventures LLC ("Respondent PCC" or "PCC"), doing business as Pharmacy Care 11 Concepts, with Respondent Stange as PIC and Harold G. Delamarter, Gregory John Vislocky, 12 Rick B. Delamarter, MD, Scott Bradley Hancock, Tracy William Zarling, and Paul Ernest 13 Haffner as members. The pharmacy permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the 14 charges brought herein and will expire on July 1, 2016, unless renewed. 15 4. On or about April 24, 1973, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 16 28242 Respondent Stange. The pharmacist license was in full force and effect at all times 17 relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2017, unless renewed. 18 5. 19 Respondent PCC is represented in this proceeding by attorney Ivan Petrzelka, whose address is: 2855 Michelle Drive, Suite 180. Irvine, CA 92606. 20 <u>6.</u> Respondent Stange is represented in this proceeding by attorney Gregory P. Matzen, -2-1-whose address is: 2104 Big Sandy Court, Gold River, CA 95670. 22 JURISDICTION 23 7. Accusation No. 5294 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of 24 Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other 25 statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on September 24, 2015. 26 Respondents timely filed their Notices of Defense contesting the Accusation. 27 111 28 2

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (5294)

8. A copy of Accusation No. 5294 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

9. Respondent PCC has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5294. Respondent PCC has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

8 10. Respondent PCC is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to 9 a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel 10 at its own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right 11 to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to 12 compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration 13 and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California 14 Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

15 11. Respondent PCC voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each
and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

Respondent PCC understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 18 12. 19 5294, if proven at hearing constitute cause for imposing discipline upon the Pharmacy Permit. 13. For the purposes of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of 20further proceedings, Respondent PCC agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a 21 factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for 22 discipline. Respondent PCC hereby gives up their right to contest that cause for discipline exists 23 based on those charges and agrees to be bound by the Board's Decision and Order. 24

14. Respondent PCC understands that by signing this stipulation they enable the Board to
issue an order revoking its Pharmacy Permit and placing it on probation subject to the terms and
conditions set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

28 || ///

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

.3

4

5

6

7

17

<u>CONTINGENCY</u>

15. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent 2 PCC understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy 3 may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without 4 notice to or participation by Respondent PCC or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, 5 Respondent PCC understands and agrees that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to б rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to 7 adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order 8 shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action 9 between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having 10 considered this matter. 11

12 16. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile
13 copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile
14 signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

15 17. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an
integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement.
17 It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions,
negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a
writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

21- 18. The Board agrees that Respondent PCC Ventures and all of its owners and/or
managers are not prohibited from filing future or additional applications for ownership of other
licensed premises.

19. The Board also agrees to expedite the processing of any application for transfer of
ownership of Pharmacy Care Concepts if an application for a temporary permit is received by a
new prospective owner of Respondent PCC. Any such change shall contain a stipulation for
continued jurisdiction for probation by the Board for the new license should it be issued.

28 || ///

1

20. If there is any violation of probation for which a petition to revoke probation is filed by
 the Board, then all of the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5294 shall be deemed to be
 true, correct, and admitted for the purpose of that proceeding.

21. If Respondent PCC or any owners or managers should ever apply for a new or
additional license or permit by the Board or any other health care licensing agency in the State of
California, all of the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5294 shall be deemed to be true,
correct, and admitted for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking
to deny the license.

9 22. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
10 the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following
11 Disciplinary Order:

12

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51484 issued to Respondent
 PCC Ventures, LLC dba Pharmacy Care Concepts; et. al. is revoked. However, the revocation is
 stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and
 conditions.

1. Obey All Laws

Respondent PCC shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

19 Respondent PCC shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing,
20 within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

an arrest of any owner or employee, or issuance of a criminal complaint against any owner or employee for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws

- a plea of guilty or nolo contender by any owner or employee in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
 - a conviction of any crime for any owner or employee

discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency
 which involves respondent's Pharmacy license or which is related to the practice of

5

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (5294)

1 2

3

4

5

6

pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling or distributing, billing, or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report any such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. **Report to the Board**

Respondent PCC shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, Respondent PCC shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there 7 has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely 8 reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of 9 delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. 10 Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically 11 extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board. 12

13

Interview with the Board 3.

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent PCC's owner(s) shall appear in person 14 for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by 15 the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification 16 to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its 17 designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation. 18

19

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent PCC shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's 20 monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of their 21 -22 probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

23

5. **Reimbursement of Board Costs**

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent PCC shall pay 24 to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$5,368.25. Respondent's 25 owners are all jointly and severally liable for this debt. Respondent shall be permitted to make 26 said payments in a payment plan approved in writing by the Board or its designee. There shall be 27 28 no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure

б

1 || to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent or any owners of Respondent shall not relieve
Respondent of their responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and
prosecution.

5

6. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent PCC shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by
the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a
schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as
directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

10

7. Status of License

Respondent PCC shall, at all times while on probation, maintain current licensure with the board. If Respondent PCC submits an application to the board, and the application is approved, for a change of location, change of permit or change of ownership, the board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over the license, and the respondent shall remain on probation as determined by the board. Failure to maintain current licensure shall be considered a violation of probation.

17 If Respondent PCC's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any
18 time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof or otherwise, upon renewal
19 or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation
20 not previously satisfied.

21

8. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should Respondent PCC discontinue business, respondent may tender the premises license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent PCC shall relinquish the premises wall and renewal license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is 1 2 accepted. Respondent PCC shall further submit a completed Discontinuance of Business form according to board guidelines and shall notify the board of the records inventory transfer.

Respondent PCC shall also, by the effective date of this decision, arrange for the 3 continuation of care for ongoing patients of the pharmacy by, at minimum, providing a written 4 notice to ongoing patients that specifies the anticipated closing date of the pharmacy and that 5 identifies one or more area pharmacies capable of taking up the patients' care, and by cooperating 6 as may be necessary in the transfer of records or prescriptions for ongoing patients. Within five 7 days of its provision to the pharmacy's ongoing patients, Respondent PCC shall provide a copy of 8 the written notice to the board. For the purposes of this provision, "ongoing patients" means 9 those patients for whom the pharmacy has on file a prescription with one or more refills 10 outstanding, or for whom the pharmacy has filled a prescription within the preceding sixty (60) 11 days. 12

Respondent PCC and each of its owners may not apply for any new licensure from the
board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent PCC's owner(s)
shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that
license is submitted to the board.

17 Respondent PCC's owner(s) further stipulate(s) that he or she shall reimburse the board for
18 its costs of investigation and prosecution prior to the acceptance of the surrender.

19

9. Notice to Employees

20 Respondent PCC shall, upon or before the effective date of this decision, ensure that all employees involved in permit operations are made aware of all the terms and conditions of 21 probation, either by posting a notice of the terms and conditions, circulating such notice, or both, 22If the notice required by this provision is posted, it shall be posted in a prominent place and shall 23 remain posted throughout the probation period. Respondent PCC shall ensure that any employees 24 hired or used after the effective date of this decision are made aware of the terms and conditions 25 of probation by posting a notice, circulating a notice, or both. Additionally, respondent shall 26 submit written notification to the board, within fifteen (15) days of the effective date of this 27 decision, that this term has been satisfied. Failure to submit such notification to the board shall be 28

1 considered a violation of probation.

"Employees" as used in this provision includes all full-time, part-time, volunteer, temporary and relief employees and independent contractors employed or hired at any time during probation.

4 5

б

7

8

9

10

11

2

3

10. Owners and Officers: Knowledge of the Law

Respondent PCC shall provide, within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this decision, signed and dated statements from its owners, including any owner or holder of ten percent (10%) or more of the interest in respondent or respondent's stock, and any officer, stating under penalty of perjury that said individuals have read and are familiar with state and federal laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy. The failure to timely provide said statements under penalty of perjury shall be considered a violation of probation.

12

20

21

11. Posted Notice of Probation

13 Respondent PCC shall prominently post a probation notice provided by the board in a place
14 conspicuous and readable to the public. The probation notice shall remain posted during the
15 entire period of probation.

16 Respondent PCC shall not, directly or indirectly, engage in any conduct or make any
17 statement which is intended to mislead or is likely to have the effect of misleading any patient,
18 customer, member of the public, or other person(s) as to the nature of and reason for the probation
19 of the licensed entity.

Failure to post such notice shall be considered a violation of probation.

12. Violation of Probation

If Respondent PCC has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent license, and probation shall be automatically extended until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If Respondent PCC or its owner(s) violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent and its owner(s) notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and

carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not
 required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of
 the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed
 against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period
 of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is
 heard and decided.

7

13. Completion of Probation

8 Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of 9 probation, respondent license will be fully restored.

10

14. Community Services Program

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent PCC shall submit
to the board or its designee, for prior approval, a community service program in which respondent
shall provide free health-care related services to a community or charitable facility or agency
consisting of drug buy-back programs, or sharps disposal programs at an amount of \$45,000.00
over the three (3) years or probation.

Within thirty (30) days of board approval thereof, Respondent PCC shall submit
documentation to the board demonstrating commencement of the community service program.
Respondent PCC shall report on progress with the community service program in the quarterly
reports.

24 25

22

23

26 27

28

111

///

| 1 | ACCEPTANCE | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully | |
| 3 | discussed it with my attorney, Ivan Petrzelka. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will | |
| 4 | have on my Pharmacy Permit. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order | |
| 5 | voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the | |
| 6 | Board of Pharmacy. | |
| 7 | DATED: 2/19/11 At A | |
| 8 | / PCC VENTURES, LLC DBA PHARMACY CARE CONCEPTS | |
| 9 | Respondent | |
| 10 | Print Name of Representative for PCC Ventures, LLC. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | ADDONIAL AS TO FODM AND CONTENT | |
| 13 | APPROVAL AS TO FORM AND CONTENT | |
| 14 | I have read and fully discussed with Respondent PCC Ventures, LLC dba Pharmacy Care Concepts; et. al. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated | |
| 15 | Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | DATED: 279-20(6 Internet to the second secon | |
| 18 | Attorney for Respondent | |
| 19 | ENDORSEMENT | |
| 20 | The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully | |
| 21 | submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy. | |
| 22 | Dated: 2-19-2016 Respectfully submitted, | |
| 23 | KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California | |
| 24 | JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General | |
| 25 | Kuit Auris | |
| 26 | KRISTINA T. JARVIS Deputy Attorney General | |
| 27 | SA2014117593 | |
| 28 | PCC 3 years.docx | |
| | 11 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (5294) | |
| | | |

•

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 5294 -

ι

| i | | |
|-------------|---|---|
| 1 2 3 | KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRISTINA T. JARVIS Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 258229 | |
| 4 | 1300 I Street, Suite 125 | |
| 5 | P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 | |
| 6 7 | Telephone: (916) 324-5403 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | BEFOR | TF (TPLT)F |
| o 9 | BOARD OF I DEPARTMENT OF C | PHARMACY ONSUMER AFFAIRS |
| 10 | STATE OF C | ALIFORNIA |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 5294 |
| 12 | PCC VENTURES LLC, | |
| 13 | dba PHARMACY CARE CONCEPTS STEPHEN L. STANGE, PIC | ACCUSATION |
| 14 | HAROLD G. DELAMARTER, MEMBER GREGORY JOHN VISLOCKY, MEMBER RICK B. DELAMARTER, MD, MEMBER | |
| 15 16 | SCOTT BRADLEY HANĆOCK, MEMBER TRACY WILLIAM ZARLING, MEMBER PAUL ERNEST HAFFNER, MEMBER | |
| 17 | 7720 Lorraine Avenue, Suite 102/103 Stockton, CA 95210 | |
| 18 | Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51484 | |
| 19 | and | |
| 20 | STEPHEN L. STANGE | |
| 21 | 4230 Heron Lakes Drive Stockton, CA 95219 | |
| 22 | Pharmacist License No. RPH 28242 | |
| 23 | Respondents. | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | Complainant alleges; | |
| 26 | PARTIES/LICENS | E INFORMATION |
| | | ngs this Accusation solely in her official capaci |
| 27 | as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs. | |
| 27 28 | as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmac | v ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs. |

<u>1</u>1

| * | |
|----------|---|
| 1 | 2. On or about March 14, 2001, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Permit Number |
| 2 | PHY 45169 to Pharmacy Care Concepts, Inc., with Stephen L. Stange ("Respondent Stange") as |
| 3 | pharmacist-in-charge ("PIC") and president/treasurer. The pharmacy permit was canceled on |
| 4 | July 2, 2013, due to a change in ownership of the pharmacy, as set forth in paragraph 3 below. |
| 5 | 3. On or about July 1, 2013, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Permit Number PHY |
| 6 | 51484 to PCC Ventures LLC ("Respondent PCC" or "PCC"), doing business as Pharmacy Care |
| 7 | Concepts, with Respondent Stange as PIC and Harold G. Delamarter, Gregory John Vislocky, |
| 8 | Rick B. Delamarter, MD, Scott Bradley Hancock, Tracy William Zarling, and Paul Ernest |
| . 9 | Haffner as members. The pharmacy permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the |
| 10 | charges brought herein and will expire on July 1, 2016, unless renewed. |
| 11 | 4. On or about April 24, 1973, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH |
| 12 | 28242 Respondent Stange. The pharmacist license was in full force and effect at all times |
| 13 | relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2017, unless renewed. |
| 14 | JURISDICTION |
| 15 | 5. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following |
| 16 | laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated. |
| 17 | STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS |
| 18 | 6. Code section 4300 states, in pertinent part: |
| 19 | (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked. |
| 20 21 | (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods: |
| | (1) Suspending judgment. |
| 23 | (2) Placing him or her upon probation. |
| 24 | (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one |
| 25 | year. |
| 26 | (4) Revoking his or her livense.(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the |
| 27 | (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper |
| 28 | |
| | 2 |

المراجع بتعريب والصبار المراجع الم

.

• • • •

,

1 .

.

| • | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | 7. Code section 4300.1 states: | |
| 2 | The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued | |
| 3 | license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a license abell not derive the board of invited interview. | |
| 4 | licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license. | |
| 5 | a decision suspending of revoking the needse. | |
| 6 | 8. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part: | |
| 7 | The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or | |
| 8 | misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following: | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in | |
| 11 | or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing | |
| 12 | pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | 9. Code section 4032 states that "[1] icense means and includes any license, permit, | |
| 15 | registration, certificate, or exemption issued by the board and includes the process of applying for | |
| 16 | and renewing the same." | |
| 17 | 10. Code section 4022 states: | |
| 18 | "Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following: | |
| 19 | (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits | |
| 20 | dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import. | |
| 21 | (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a,""Rx only," or words of similar | |
| 22 | import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006. | |
| 25 | 11. Code section 4063 states, in pertinent part, that "[n]o prescription for any dangerous | |
| 26 | drug or dangerous device may be refilled except upon authorization of the prescriber. The | |
| 27 | authorization may be given orally or at the time of giving the original prescription" | |
| 28 | | |
| | 3 | |
| | Accusation | |

| • • 1 | |
|-------|---|
| 1 | 12. Code section 4105, subdivision (a), states that "[a]ll records or other documentation |
| 2 | of the acquisition and disposition of dangerous drugs and dangerous devices by any entity |
| 3 | licensed by the board shall be retained on the licensed premises in a readily retrievable form." |
| 4 | 13. Code section 4113, subdivision (c), states that "[t]he pharmacist-in-charge shall be |
| 5 | responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining |
| 6 | to the practice of pharmacy. |
| 7 | 14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section ("Regulation") 1714, subdivision (d), |
| 8 | states: |
| 9 | Each pharmacist while on duty shall be responsible for the security of the |
| 10 | prescription department, including provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices. Possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled |
| 11 | substances are stored shall be restricted to a pharmacist. |
| 12 | <u>COST RECOVERY</u> |
| 13 | 15. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the |
| 14 | administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of |
| 15 | the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and |
| 16 | enforcement of the case. |
| 17 | CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES/DANGEROUS DRUGS |
| 18 | 16. "Norco", "Lortab", and "Vicodin ES" are compounds consisting of varying quantities |
| 19 | of acetaminophen and hydrocodone bitartrate, also known as dihydrocodeinone, and are Schedule |
| 20 | III controlled substances as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision |
| 21 | (e)(4). Norco, Lortab, and Vicodin ES are used to relieve moderate to severe pain. |
| 22 | 17. "Percocet" is a compound consisting of oxycodone and acetaminophen, and is a |
| 23 | Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, |
| 24 | subdivision (b)(1)(M). Percocet is used to relieve moderate to severe pain. |
| 25 | 18. "Methadose", a brand of methadone hydrochloride, is a Schedule II controlled |
| 26 | substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (c)(14). |
| 27 | Methadose is used to treat opioid addiction as well as relieve severe pain. |
| 28 | |
| | 4 |
| ļ | Accusation |

1 19. "Concerta", a brand of methylphenidate, is a Schedule II controlled substance as
 2 designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(6). Concerta is used to treat
 3 attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

20. "Fentanyl" is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety
Code section 11055, subdivision (c)(8). Fentanyl is used as part of anesthesia to help prevent
pain after surgery or other medical procedure.

7 21. "Adderall XR" is a compound consisting of mixed salts of dextroamphetamine and/or
8 amphetamine, and is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code
9 section 11055, subdivision (d)(1). Adderall XR is indicated for the treatment of ADHD.

10 22. The above controlled substances are dangerous drugs within the meaning of Code
11 section 4022 in that they require a prescription under federal law.

12

BACKGROUND

23. On or about November 6, 2013, the Board received a report from PIC Stange, 13 notifying them that an unlicensed staff member, M. M., may have obtained 960 tablets of Norco 14 10/325 mg from the pharmacy without a valid prescription. PIC Stange stated that on or about 15 June 10, 2013, a legal prescription was obtained from a physician's assistant for M. M.'s 16 husband, J. M., for 240 tablets of Norco 10/325 mg, with zero refills. On August 2, 2013, M. M. 17 presented a photocopy of the prescription to the billing technician, who processed it, and the 18 prescription was then filled by pharmacy technician C. L. M. M. took the prescription before it 19 was reviewed by a pharmacist. 20

21 24. PIC Stange also stated that on August 29, 2013, September 14, 2013, and October 2,
2013, M. M. presented C. L. with prescription labels "from the initial dispensing date (August 2,
2013)." C. L. filled the prescriptions (240 tablets of Norco 10/325 mg in each instance) after
24 M. M. "promised" that she had a valid refill for each label. It appeared that M. M. took each of
25 the prescriptions before final review by a pharmacist.

26 25. PIC Stange listed various corrective actions the pharmacy had taken since the
incident, including filing a police report with the Stockton Police Department and suspending
28 ///

M. M. from her employment on October 12, 2013 (M. M. subsequently resigned on October 14, 2013).

26. On or about November 14, 2013, the Board sent a letter to PIC Stange, requesting certain information and documents pertaining to the reported theft/loss of controlled substances.

On or about December 19, 2013, the Board received various documents from PIC 27. 5 Stange, including a Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) Form 106 dated October 16, 2013. PCC 6 reported a loss of controlled substances valued at \$2,000, including 14,706 tablets of 7 hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325; the type of theft/loss was listed as "Employee Pilferage". 8 PIC Stange also provided the Board with a statement, indicating that the business was sold to 9 PCC on June 27, 2013, and that he and the new owner, pharmacist Scott Hancock ("Hancock"), 10 conducted an inventory or audit of Schedule 2 medications and hydrocodone-related products, 11 which "reflected a much larger problem than previously discovered," PIC Stange submitted a 12 13 copy of the audit; it was conducted for the time period from June 28, 2013 to November 26, 2013. PIC Stange indicated in an additional statement that the audit was based on an inventory from 14 June 27, 2013 to November 26, 2013, purchase records from various wholesalers, including 15 Valley Wholesale and HD Smith (Smart Source), prescription utilization reports, and reverse 16 distributor reports. 17

On or about January 17, 2014, PIC Stange submitted additional documents to the 28. 18 Board, including a letter dated January 10, 2014. PIC Stange stated that since the reported loss of 19 controlled substances following the sale of PCC was significant, he and Hancock conducted 20 another audit for a time period prior to the sale, specifically, from January 18, 2013 (the date the 21 last biennial inventory was completed at PPC prior to the sale) to June 27, 2013 (the date of sale). 22 23 This audit revealed significant losses as well, as set forth below. PIC Stange also provided DEA Form 106 dated January 14, 2014, showing that the losses applied to Pharmacy Care Concepts, 24 III25 III26 Ш 27

28 ///

1

2

3

4

Inc. PIC Stange stated in an additional statement to the Board that the audit was based on inventory records from January 18, 2013 to June 26, 2013, purchase records from wholesalers Valley Wholesale and HD Smith, prescription utilization reports, and reverse distributor reports.

| Drug and Strength | Quantity Reported Loss (Units) for Audit Period from 01/18/2013 – 11/26/2013 |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg | 20,601 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/500 mg | 489 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/325 mg | 2,230 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/500 mg | 705 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/750 mg | 3,604 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5/325 mg | 850 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5/500 mg | 1,192 |
| Fentanyl 12 mcg patch | 17 |
| Mixed amphetamine salts ER 20 mg | 90 |

29. On or about March 4, 2014, Board Inspector C. H. conducted an inspection and investigation at the pharmacy.

13

11

12

1

2

3

30. C. H. asked PIC Stange if they ever found the original prescription, PIC Stange told 14 C. H. that they only had a copy. C. H. asked PIC Stange why the prescription was filled when 15 only a copy of the original prescription was presented. PIC Stange stated that he thought M. M. may have exploited the normal workflow for long-term care facilities. 16

17PIC Stange explained that for some controlled substance prescriptions, the board and 31. 18 care facility had the original order from the patient or the patient's family. The care facility 19 normally faxed a copy of the original prescription to PCC so the order could be prepared for the 20 patient and delivered the same day. The fax copy of the prescription was sent through the workflow in order to get it filled, and the medication was then placed in a bin for delivery the 21 22 same day. Once the medication was delivered, the original prescription was picked up and brought to the pharmacy that day. The pharmacist would sign the original prescription as well as 23 the faxed copy, indicating final review of the prescription. The clerk or technician would keep a 24 second copy of the prescription at their workstation as a reminder to follow up with the 25 26 pharmacist and driver to ensure the original prescription was brought to the pharmacy. The only 27 copy the pharmacy had of the prescription was the one found next to clerk S. The copy had not 28 ///

7

Accusation

| 1 | been signed by a pharmacist. PIC Stange told C. H. he verified with the physician's assistant that |
|----|---|
| 2 | the prescription was valid and that there were no refills authorized. |
| 3 | 32. C. H. obtained various documents from the pharmacy, including a copy of the |
| 4 | original prescription and copies of pharmacy labels confirming that the prescription was |
| 5 | processed as RX# 1326725 on August 2, 2013, with no refills. C. H. also obtained a controlled |
| 6 | substances inventory log, purchase records from June 28, 2013 to November 26, 2013, from |
| 7 | Smart Source, Cardinal, and Valley Wholesale, and drug usage reports from June 28, 2013 to |
| 8 | November 26, 2013, for each controlled substance included in the audit. |
| 9 | 33. On or about May 30, 2014, C. H. sent HD Smith and Valley Wholesaler requests for |
| 10 | copies of records of purchases, sales, returns, and credits for certain products sold to or purchased |
| 11 | from PCC for the time period from June 28, 2013 through November 26, 2013. |
| 12 | 34. On or about June 2, 2014, C. H. received copies of purchase records from HD Smith. |
| 13 | C. H. found that the purchase record data corresponded to the data from PCC's audit. |
| 14 | 35. On or about June 5, 2014, C. H. received copies of purchase records from Valley |
| 15 | Wholesaler. C. H. reviewed the purchase data twice for accuracy and compared it to the purchase |
| 16 | data reported in PCC's audit. C. H. found no discrepancies. |
| 17 | 36. On or about June 20, 2014, C. H. used the documents she received from PCC, |
| 18 | including the inventory records, purchase records, and dispensing records, to verify their audit |
| 19 | results for all drugs which showed a significant loss, as well as oxycodone IR (all strengths), |
| 20 | methadone 5 mg, methylphenidate 36 mg, and oxycodone/acetaminophen 5/325 mg and 10/325. |
| 21 | C. H. found no discrepancies. C. H. then used the purchase records she received from HD Smith |
| 22 | and Valley Wholesaler to independently verify selected PCC audit entries for the |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | /// |
| 26 | /// |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 8 Accusation |
| 1 | |

hydrocodone/acetaminophen products with a significant loss. C. H. found no discrepancies. The audit conducted by PCC revealed the following losses as verified by C. H.:

1

2

11

12

| Drug and Strength | Reported Loss (Units) for Audit Period from 06/28/2013 – 11/26/2013 | % of Acquisition |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------|
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg | 14,706 | 34,2 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/500 mg | 204 | 40.8 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/325 mg | 911 | 13.6 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/500 mg | 614.5 | 61.4 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/750 mg | 751 | 150 |
| oxycodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg | 141 | 3,8 |
| methadone 5 mg | 100 | 8.3 |
| methylphenidate 36 mg | 30 | 33 |

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Pharmacy Law and State

Laws and Regulations Governing Pharmacy)

Respondents PCC and Stange are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code 13 37. section 4301, subdivision (0), for unprofessional conduct, in that Respondents violated or 14 attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, assisted in or abetted the violation of, or conspired to 15 violate provisions or terms of the Pharmacy Law (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4300, et seq.) and state 16 laws and regulations governing pharmacy, as follows: 17

On or about August 29, 2013, September 14, 2013, and October 2, 2013, Respondents 18 a. PCC and Stange authorized or permitted pharmacy technician C. L. to dispense refills of RX# 19 1326725, for 240 tablets of the controlled substance hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg, for 20patient J. M. when, in fact, the physician's assistant who issued the original prescription had not 21 22 authorized any refills, in violation of Code section 4063.

Respondents PCC and Stange failed to maintain on their premises and/or have b. 23 available for inspection by Board inspector C. H. the original prescription for RX# 1326725 24 25 issued for patient J. M., as set forth in paragraphs 30 and 31 above, in violation of Code section 4105. 26

On and between June 28, 2013 and November 26, 2013, Respondents PCC and 27 c. Stange failed to maintain or ensure the security of the prescription department and/or include 28

provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, resulting in a significant loss of controlled substances, as set forth in paragraph 36 above, in violation of Regulation 1714, subdivision (d).

d. On and between January 18, 2013 and June 27, 2013, Respondent Stange failed to
maintain or ensure the security of the prescription department and/or include provisions for
effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, resulting in a
significant loss of controlled substances, as set forth in paragraph 28 above, in violation of
Regulation 1714, subdivision (d).

9

1

2

3

<u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51484, issued to PCC
 Ventures LLC, doing business as Pharmacy Care Concepts;

14 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 28242, issued to Stephen
15 L. Stange;

3. Ordering PCC Ventures LLC, doing business as Pharmacy Care Concepts, and
Stephen L. Stange to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and
enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

21 DATED:

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IRGINIA

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant

SA2014117593

9/12/15

10

Accusation

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 5294

OAH No. 2015110440

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND

VENTURES, LLC, ET AL, ONLY

DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO PCC

PCC VENTURES LLC, dba PHARMACY CARE CONCEPTS STEPHEN L. STANGE, PIC HAROLD G. DELAMARTER, MEMBER GREGORY JOHN VISLOCKY, MEMBER RICK B. DELAMARTER, MD, MEMBER SCOTT BRADLEY HANCOCK, MEMBER TRACY WILLIAM ZARLING, MEMBER PAUL ERNEST HAFFNER, MEMBER 7720 Lorraine Avenue, Suite 102/103 Stockton, CA 95210

Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51484

and

STEPHEN L. STANGE 4230 Heron Lakes Drive Stockton, CA 95219

Pharmacist License No. RPH 28242

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is here by adopted by the Board of

Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 6, 2016.

It is so ORDERED on May 5, 2016.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

|] | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS | |
| 2 | Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRISTINA T. JARVIS | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 258229 | |
| 5 | 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 | |
| 6 | Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5403 | |
| 7 | Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant | · · · · |
| 8 | | RETHE |
| 9 | DEPARTMENT OF C | PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS |
| 10 | STATE OF C | CALIFORNIA |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 5294 |
| 12 | PCC VENTURES LLC, dba PHARMACY CARE CONCEPTS | OAH No. 2015110440 |
| 13 | STEPHEN L. STANGE, PIC | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND |
| 14 | HAROLD G. DELAMARTER, MEMBER GREGORY JOHN VISLOCKY, MEMBER | DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO PCC VENTURES, LLC, ET AL, ONLY |
| 15 | RICK B. DELAMARTER, MD, MEMBER SCOTT BRADLEY HANCOCK, | |
| 16 | MEMBER TRACY WILLIAM ZARLING, MEMBER | |
| 17 | PAUL ERNEST HAFFNER, MEMBER 7720 Lorraine Avenue, Suite 102/103 | |
| 18 | Stockton, CA 95210 | |
| 19 | Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51484 | |
| 20 | and | |
| | STEPHEN L. STANGE 4230 Heron Lakes Drive Stockton, CA 95219 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 22 | Pharmacist License No. RPH 28242 | |
| 23 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 24 | Respondents. | |
| .25 | | |
| 26 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGI | REED by and between the parties to the above- |
| 27 | entitled proceedings that the following matters a | re true: |
| 28 | /// · | |
| | | 1 |
| | ,] | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (5294) |

ļ

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (5294)

PARTIES 1 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. 2 She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala 3 D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Kristina T. Jarvis, Deputy Attorney 4 General. 5 2. On or about March 14, 2001, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Permit Number 6 PHY 45169 to Pharmacy Care Concepts, Inc., with Stephen L. Stange ("Respondent Stange") as 7 pharmacist-in-charge ("PIC") and president/treasurer. The pharmacy permit was canceled on 8 July 2, 2013, due to a change in ownership of the pharmacy, as set forth in paragraph 3 below. 9 On or about July 1, 2013, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 3. 10 51484 to PCC Ventures LLC ("Respondent PCC" or "PCC"), doing business as Pharmacy Care 11 Concepts, with Respondent Stange as PIC and Harold G. Delamarter, Gregory John Vislocky, 12 Rick B. Delamarter, MD, Scott Bradley Hancock, Tracy William Zarling, and Paul Ernest 13 Haffner as members. The pharmacy permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the 14 charges brought herein and will expire on July 1, 2016, unless renewed. 15 4. On or about April 24, 1973, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 16 28242 Respondent Stange. The pharmacist license was in full force and effect at all times 17 relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2017, unless renewed. 18 5. 19 Respondent PCC is represented in this proceeding by attorney Ivan Petrzelka, whose address is: 2855 Michelle Drive, Suite 180. Irvine, CA 92606. 20 <u>6.</u> Respondent Stange is represented in this proceeding by attorney Gregory P. Matzen, -2-1-whose address is: 2104 Big Sandy Court, Gold River, CA 95670. 22 JURISDICTION 23 7. Accusation No. 5294 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of 24 Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other 25 statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on September 24, 2015. 26 Respondents timely filed their Notices of Defense contesting the Accusation. 27 111 28 2

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (5294)

8. A copy of Accusation No. 5294 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

9. Respondent PCC has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5294. Respondent PCC has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

8 10. Respondent PCC is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to 9 a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel 10 at its own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right 11 to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to 12 compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration 13 and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California 14 Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

15 11. Respondent PCC voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each
and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

Respondent PCC understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 18 12. 19 5294, if proven at hearing constitute cause for imposing discipline upon the Pharmacy Permit. 13. For the purposes of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of 20further proceedings, Respondent PCC agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a 21 factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for 22 discipline. Respondent PCC hereby gives up their right to contest that cause for discipline exists 23 based on those charges and agrees to be bound by the Board's Decision and Order. 24

14. Respondent PCC understands that by signing this stipulation they enable the Board to
issue an order revoking its Pharmacy Permit and placing it on probation subject to the terms and
conditions set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

28 || ///

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

.3

4

5

6

7

17

<u>CONTINGENCY</u>

15. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent 2 PCC understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy 3 may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without 4 notice to or participation by Respondent PCC or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, 5 Respondent PCC understands and agrees that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to б rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to 7 adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order 8 shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action 9 between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having 10 considered this matter. 11

12 16. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile
13 copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile
14 signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

15 17. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an
integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement.
17 It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions,
negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a
writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

21- 18. The Board agrees that Respondent PCC Ventures and all of its owners and/or
managers are not prohibited from filing future or additional applications for ownership of other
licensed premises.

19. The Board also agrees to expedite the processing of any application for transfer of
ownership of Pharmacy Care Concepts if an application for a temporary permit is received by a
new prospective owner of Respondent PCC. Any such change shall contain a stipulation for
continued jurisdiction for probation by the Board for the new license should it be issued.

28 || ///

1

20. If there is any violation of probation for which a petition to revoke probation is filed by
 the Board, then all of the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5294 shall be deemed to be
 true, correct, and admitted for the purpose of that proceeding.

21. If Respondent PCC or any owners or managers should ever apply for a new or
additional license or permit by the Board or any other health care licensing agency in the State of
California, all of the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5294 shall be deemed to be true,
correct, and admitted for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking
to deny the license.

9 22. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
10 the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following
11 Disciplinary Order:

12

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51484 issued to Respondent
 PCC Ventures, LLC dba Pharmacy Care Concepts; et. al. is revoked. However, the revocation is
 stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and
 conditions.

1. Obey All Laws

Respondent PCC shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

19 Respondent PCC shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing,
20 within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

an arrest of any owner or employee, or issuance of a criminal complaint against any owner or employee for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws

- a plea of guilty or nolo contender by any owner or employee in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
 - a conviction of any crime for any owner or employee

discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency
 which involves respondent's Pharmacy license or which is related to the practice of

5

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (5294)

1 2

3

4

5

6

pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling or distributing, billing, or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report any such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. **Report to the Board**

Respondent PCC shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, Respondent PCC shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there 7 has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely 8 reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of 9 delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. 10 Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically 11 extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board. 12

13

Interview with the Board 3.

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent PCC's owner(s) shall appear in person 14 for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by 15 the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification 16 to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its 17 designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation. 18

19

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent PCC shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's 20 monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of their 21 -22 probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

23

5. **Reimbursement of Board Costs**

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent PCC shall pay 24 to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$5,368.25. Respondent's 25 owners are all jointly and severally liable for this debt. Respondent shall be permitted to make 26 said payments in a payment plan approved in writing by the Board or its designee. There shall be 27 28 no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure

б

1 || to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent or any owners of Respondent shall not relieve
Respondent of their responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and
prosecution.

5

6. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent PCC shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by
the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a
schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as
directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

10

7. Status of License

Respondent PCC shall, at all times while on probation, maintain current licensure with the board. If Respondent PCC submits an application to the board, and the application is approved, for a change of location, change of permit or change of ownership, the board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over the license, and the respondent shall remain on probation as determined by the board. Failure to maintain current licensure shall be considered a violation of probation.

17 If Respondent PCC's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any
18 time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof or otherwise, upon renewal
19 or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation
20 not previously satisfied.

21

8. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should Respondent PCC discontinue business, respondent may tender the premises license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent PCC shall relinquish the premises wall and renewal license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is 1 2 accepted. Respondent PCC shall further submit a completed Discontinuance of Business form according to board guidelines and shall notify the board of the records inventory transfer.

Respondent PCC shall also, by the effective date of this decision, arrange for the 3 continuation of care for ongoing patients of the pharmacy by, at minimum, providing a written 4 notice to ongoing patients that specifies the anticipated closing date of the pharmacy and that 5 identifies one or more area pharmacies capable of taking up the patients' care, and by cooperating 6 as may be necessary in the transfer of records or prescriptions for ongoing patients. Within five 7 days of its provision to the pharmacy's ongoing patients, Respondent PCC shall provide a copy of 8 the written notice to the board. For the purposes of this provision, "ongoing patients" means 9 those patients for whom the pharmacy has on file a prescription with one or more refills 10 outstanding, or for whom the pharmacy has filled a prescription within the preceding sixty (60) 11 days. 12

Respondent PCC and each of its owners may not apply for any new licensure from the
board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent PCC's owner(s)
shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that
license is submitted to the board.

17 Respondent PCC's owner(s) further stipulate(s) that he or she shall reimburse the board for
18 its costs of investigation and prosecution prior to the acceptance of the surrender.

19

9. Notice to Employees

20 Respondent PCC shall, upon or before the effective date of this decision, ensure that all employees involved in permit operations are made aware of all the terms and conditions of 21 probation, either by posting a notice of the terms and conditions, circulating such notice, or both, 22If the notice required by this provision is posted, it shall be posted in a prominent place and shall 23 remain posted throughout the probation period. Respondent PCC shall ensure that any employees 24 hired or used after the effective date of this decision are made aware of the terms and conditions 25 of probation by posting a notice, circulating a notice, or both. Additionally, respondent shall 26 submit written notification to the board, within fifteen (15) days of the effective date of this 27 decision, that this term has been satisfied. Failure to submit such notification to the board shall be 28

1 considered a violation of probation.

"Employees" as used in this provision includes all full-time, part-time, volunteer, temporary and relief employees and independent contractors employed or hired at any time during probation.

4 5

б

7

8

9

10

11

2

3

10. Owners and Officers: Knowledge of the Law

Respondent PCC shall provide, within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this decision, signed and dated statements from its owners, including any owner or holder of ten percent (10%) or more of the interest in respondent or respondent's stock, and any officer, stating under penalty of perjury that said individuals have read and are familiar with state and federal laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy. The failure to timely provide said statements under penalty of perjury shall be considered a violation of probation.

12

20

21

11. Posted Notice of Probation

13 Respondent PCC shall prominently post a probation notice provided by the board in a place
14 conspicuous and readable to the public. The probation notice shall remain posted during the
15 entire period of probation.

16 Respondent PCC shall not, directly or indirectly, engage in any conduct or make any
17 statement which is intended to mislead or is likely to have the effect of misleading any patient,
18 customer, member of the public, or other person(s) as to the nature of and reason for the probation
19 of the licensed entity.

Failure to post such notice shall be considered a violation of probation.

12. Violation of Probation

If Respondent PCC has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent license, and probation shall be automatically extended until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If Respondent PCC or its owner(s) violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent and its owner(s) notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and

carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not
 required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of
 the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed
 against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period
 of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is
 heard and decided.

7

13. Completion of Probation

8 Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of 9 probation, respondent license will be fully restored.

10

14. Community Services Program

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent PCC shall submit
to the board or its designee, for prior approval, a community service program in which respondent
shall provide free health-care related services to a community or charitable facility or agency
consisting of drug buy-back programs, or sharps disposal programs at an amount of \$45,000.00
over the three (3) years or probation.

Within thirty (30) days of board approval thereof, Respondent PCC shall submit
documentation to the board demonstrating commencement of the community service program.
Respondent PCC shall report on progress with the community service program in the quarterly
reports.

24 25

22

23

26 27

28

111

///

| 1 | ACCEPTANCE | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully | |
| 3 | discussed it with my attorney, Ivan Petrzelka. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will | |
| 4 | have on my Pharmacy Permit. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order | |
| 5 | voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the | |
| 6 | Board of Pharmacy. | |
| 7 | DATED: 2/19/11 At A | |
| 8 | / PCC VENTURES, LLC DBA PHARMACY CARE CONCEPTS | |
| 9 | Respondent | |
| 10 | Print Name of Representative for PCC Ventures, LLC. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | APPROVAL AS TO FORM AND CONTENT | |
| 13 | I have read and fully discussed with Respondent PCC Ventures, LLC dba Pharmacy Care | |
| 14 | Concepts; et. al. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated | |
| 15 | Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | DATED: 279-20(6 Internet to the second secon | |
| 18 | Attorney for Respondent | |
| 19 | ENDORSEMENT | |
| 20 | The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully | |
| 21 | submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy. | |
| 22 | Dated: 2-19-2016 Respectfully submitted, | |
| 23 | KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California | |
| 24 | JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General | |
| 25 | Kuit Auris | |
| 26 | KRISTINA T. JARVIS Deputy Attorney General | |
| 27 | SA2014117593 | |
| 28 | PCC 3 years.docx | |
| | 11 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (5294) | |
| | | |

•

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 5294 -

ι

| i | | |
|-------------|---|--|
| 1 2 3 | KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRISTINA T. JARVIS Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 258229 | |
| 4 | 1300 I Street, Suite 125 | |
| 5 | P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 | |
| 6 7 | Telephone: (916) 324-5403 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | | TF (TPLT)F |
| o 9 | BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | |
| 10 | STATE OF C | ALIFORNIA |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 5294 |
| 12 | PCC VENTURES LLC, | |
| 13 | dba PHARMACY CARE CONCEPTS STEPHEN L. STANGE, PIC | ACCUSATION |
| 14 | HAROLD G. DELAMARTER, MEMBER GREGORY JOHN VISLOCKY, MEMBER RICK B. DELAMARTER, MD, MEMBER | |
| 15 16 | SCOTT BRADLEY HANĆOCK, MEMBER TRACY WILLIAM ZARLING, MEMBER PAUL ERNEST HAFFNER, MEMBER | |
| 17 | 7720 Lorraine Avenue, Suite 102/103 Stockton, CA 95210 | |
| 18 | Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51484 | |
| 19 | and | |
| 20 | STEPHEN L. STANGE | |
| 21 | 4230 Heron Lakes Drive Stockton, CA 95219 | |
| 22 | Pharmacist License No. RPH 28242 | |
| 23 | Respondents. | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | Complainant alleges; | |
| 26 | PARTIES/LICENS | E INFORMATION |
| | | |
| 27 | | |
| 27 28 | as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmac | v ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs. |

<u>1</u>1

| * | |
|----------|---|
| 1 | 2. On or about March 14, 2001, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Permit Number |
| 2 | PHY 45169 to Pharmacy Care Concepts, Inc., with Stephen L. Stange ("Respondent Stange") as |
| 3 | pharmacist-in-charge ("PIC") and president/treasurer. The pharmacy permit was canceled on |
| 4 | July 2, 2013, due to a change in ownership of the pharmacy, as set forth in paragraph 3 below. |
| 5 | 3. On or about July 1, 2013, the Board issued Original Pharmacy Permit Number PHY |
| 6 | 51484 to PCC Ventures LLC ("Respondent PCC" or "PCC"), doing business as Pharmacy Care |
| 7 | Concepts, with Respondent Stange as PIC and Harold G. Delamarter, Gregory John Vislocky, |
| 8 | Rick B. Delamarter, MD, Scott Bradley Hancock, Tracy William Zarling, and Paul Ernest |
| . 9 | Haffner as members. The pharmacy permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the |
| 10 | charges brought herein and will expire on July 1, 2016, unless renewed. |
| 11 | 4. On or about April 24, 1973, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH |
| 12 | 28242 Respondent Stange. The pharmacist license was in full force and effect at all times |
| 13 | relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2017, unless renewed. |
| 14 | JURISDICTION |
| 15 | 5. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following |
| 16 | laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated. |
| 17 | STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS |
| 18 | 6. Code section 4300 states, in pertinent part: |
| 19 | (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked. |
| 20 21 | (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods: |
| | (1) Suspending judgment. |
| 23 | (2) Placing him or her upon probation. |
| 24 | (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one |
| 25 | year. |
| 26 | (4) Revoking his or her livense.(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the |
| 27 | (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper |
| 28 | |
| | 2 |

المراجع بتعريب والصبار المراجع الم

.

• • • •

,

1 .

.

| • | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | 7. Code section 4300.1 states: | |
| 2 | The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued | |
| 3 | license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a license abell not derive the board of invited interview. | |
| 4 | licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license. | |
| 5 | a decision suspending of revoking the needse. | |
| 6 | 8. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part: | |
| 7 | The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or | |
| 8 | misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following: | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in | |
| 11 | or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing | |
| 12 | pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | 9. Code section 4032 states that "[1] icense means and includes any license, permit, | |
| 15 | registration, certificate, or exemption issued by the board and includes the process of applying for | |
| 16 | and renewing the same." | |
| 17 | 10. Code section 4022 states: | |
| 18 | "Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following: | |
| 19 | (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits | |
| 20 | dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import. | |
| 21 | (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a,""Rx only," or words of similar | |
| 22 | import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006. | |
| 25 | 11. Code section 4063 states, in pertinent part, that "[n]o prescription for any dangerous | |
| 26 | drug or dangerous device may be refilled except upon authorization of the prescriber. The | |
| 27 | authorization may be given orally or at the time of giving the original prescription" | |
| 28 | | |
| | 3 | |
| | Accusation | |

| • • 1 | |
|-------|---|
| 1 | 12. Code section 4105, subdivision (a), states that "[a]ll records or other documentation |
| 2 | of the acquisition and disposition of dangerous drugs and dangerous devices by any entity |
| 3 | licensed by the board shall be retained on the licensed premises in a readily retrievable form." |
| 4 | 13. Code section 4113, subdivision (c), states that "[t]he pharmacist-in-charge shall be |
| 5 | responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining |
| 6 | to the practice of pharmacy. |
| 7 | 14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section ("Regulation") 1714, subdivision (d), |
| 8 | states: |
| 9 | Each pharmacist while on duty shall be responsible for the security of the |
| 10 | prescription department, including provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices. Possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled |
| 11 | substances are stored shall be restricted to a pharmacist. |
| 12 | <u>COST RECOVERY</u> |
| 13 | 15. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the |
| 14 | administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of |
| 15 | the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and |
| 16 | enforcement of the case. |
| 17 | CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES/DANGEROUS DRUGS |
| 18 | 16. "Norco", "Lortab", and "Vicodin ES" are compounds consisting of varying quantities |
| 19 | of acetaminophen and hydrocodone bitartrate, also known as dihydrocodeinone, and are Schedule |
| 20 | III controlled substances as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision |
| 21 | (e)(4). Norco, Lortab, and Vicodin ES are used to relieve moderate to severe pain. |
| 22 | 17. "Percocet" is a compound consisting of oxycodone and acetaminophen, and is a |
| 23 | Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, |
| 24 | subdivision (b)(1)(M). Percocet is used to relieve moderate to severe pain. |
| 25 | 18. "Methadose", a brand of methadone hydrochloride, is a Schedule II controlled |
| 26 | substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (c)(14). |
| 27 | Methadose is used to treat opioid addiction as well as relieve severe pain. |
| 28 | |
| | 4 |
| ļ | Accusation |

1 19. "Concerta", a brand of methylphenidate, is a Schedule II controlled substance as
 2 designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(6). Concerta is used to treat
 3 attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

20. "Fentanyl" is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety
Code section 11055, subdivision (c)(8). Fentanyl is used as part of anesthesia to help prevent
pain after surgery or other medical procedure.

7 21. "Adderall XR" is a compound consisting of mixed salts of dextroamphetamine and/or
8 amphetamine, and is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code
9 section 11055, subdivision (d)(1). Adderall XR is indicated for the treatment of ADHD.

10 22. The above controlled substances are dangerous drugs within the meaning of Code
11 section 4022 in that they require a prescription under federal law.

12

BACKGROUND

23. On or about November 6, 2013, the Board received a report from PIC Stange, 13 notifying them that an unlicensed staff member, M. M., may have obtained 960 tablets of Norco 14 10/325 mg from the pharmacy without a valid prescription. PIC Stange stated that on or about 15 June 10, 2013, a legal prescription was obtained from a physician's assistant for M. M.'s 16 husband, J. M., for 240 tablets of Norco 10/325 mg, with zero refills. On August 2, 2013, M. M. 17 presented a photocopy of the prescription to the billing technician, who processed it, and the 18 prescription was then filled by pharmacy technician C. L. M. M. took the prescription before it 19 was reviewed by a pharmacist. 20

21 24. PIC Stange also stated that on August 29, 2013, September 14, 2013, and October 2,
2013, M. M. presented C. L. with prescription labels "from the initial dispensing date (August 2,
2013)." C. L. filled the prescriptions (240 tablets of Norco 10/325 mg in each instance) after
24 M. M. "promised" that she had a valid refill for each label. It appeared that M. M. took each of
25 the prescriptions before final review by a pharmacist.

26 25. PIC Stange listed various corrective actions the pharmacy had taken since the
incident, including filing a police report with the Stockton Police Department and suspending
28 ///

5

M. M. from her employment on October 12, 2013 (M. M. subsequently resigned on October 14, 2013).

26. On or about November 14, 2013, the Board sent a letter to PIC Stange, requesting certain information and documents pertaining to the reported theft/loss of controlled substances.

On or about December 19, 2013, the Board received various documents from PIC 27. 5 Stange, including a Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) Form 106 dated October 16, 2013. PCC 6 reported a loss of controlled substances valued at \$2,000, including 14,706 tablets of 7 hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325; the type of theft/loss was listed as "Employee Pilferage". 8 PIC Stange also provided the Board with a statement, indicating that the business was sold to 9 PCC on June 27, 2013, and that he and the new owner, pharmacist Scott Hancock ("Hancock"), 10 conducted an inventory or audit of Schedule 2 medications and hydrocodone-related products, 11 which "reflected a much larger problem than previously discovered," PIC Stange submitted a 12 13 copy of the audit; it was conducted for the time period from June 28, 2013 to November 26, 2013. PIC Stange indicated in an additional statement that the audit was based on an inventory from 14 June 27, 2013 to November 26, 2013, purchase records from various wholesalers, including 15 Valley Wholesale and HD Smith (Smart Source), prescription utilization reports, and reverse 16 distributor reports. 17

On or about January 17, 2014, PIC Stange submitted additional documents to the 28. 18 Board, including a letter dated January 10, 2014. PIC Stange stated that since the reported loss of 19 controlled substances following the sale of PCC was significant, he and Hancock conducted 20 another audit for a time period prior to the sale, specifically, from January 18, 2013 (the date the 21 last biennial inventory was completed at PPC prior to the sale) to June 27, 2013 (the date of sale). 22 23 This audit revealed significant losses as well, as set forth below. PIC Stange also provided DEA Form 106 dated January 14, 2014, showing that the losses applied to Pharmacy Care Concepts, 24 III25 III26 Ш 27

28 ///

1

2

3

4

6

Inc. PIC Stange stated in an additional statement to the Board that the audit was based on inventory records from January 18, 2013 to June 26, 2013, purchase records from wholesalers Valley Wholesale and HD Smith, prescription utilization reports, and reverse distributor reports.

| Drug and Strength | Quantity Reported Loss (Units) for Audit Period from 01/18/2013 – 11/26/2013 |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg | 20,601 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/500 mg | 489 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/325 mg | 2,230 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/500 mg | 705 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/750 mg | 3,604 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5/325 mg | 850 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 5/500 mg | 1,192 |
| Fentanyl 12 mcg patch | 17 |
| Mixed amphetamine salts ER 20 mg | 90 |

29. On or about March 4, 2014, Board Inspector C. H. conducted an inspection and investigation at the pharmacy.

13

11

12

1

2

3

30. C. H. asked PIC Stange if they ever found the original prescription, PIC Stange told 14 C. H. that they only had a copy. C. H. asked PIC Stange why the prescription was filled when 15 only a copy of the original prescription was presented. PIC Stange stated that he thought M. M. may have exploited the normal workflow for long-term care facilities. 16

17PIC Stange explained that for some controlled substance prescriptions, the board and 31. 18 care facility had the original order from the patient or the patient's family. The care facility 19 normally faxed a copy of the original prescription to PCC so the order could be prepared for the 20 patient and delivered the same day. The fax copy of the prescription was sent through the workflow in order to get it filled, and the medication was then placed in a bin for delivery the 21 22 same day. Once the medication was delivered, the original prescription was picked up and brought to the pharmacy that day. The pharmacist would sign the original prescription as well as 23 the faxed copy, indicating final review of the prescription. The clerk or technician would keep a 24 second copy of the prescription at their workstation as a reminder to follow up with the 25 26 pharmacist and driver to ensure the original prescription was brought to the pharmacy. The only 27 copy the pharmacy had of the prescription was the one found next to clerk S. The copy had not 28 ///

7

| 1 | been signed by a pharmacist. PIC Stange told C. H. he verified with the physician's assistant that |
|----|---|
| 2 | the prescription was valid and that there were no refills authorized. |
| 3 | 32. C. H. obtained various documents from the pharmacy, including a copy of the |
| 4 | original prescription and copies of pharmacy labels confirming that the prescription was |
| 5 | processed as RX# 1326725 on August 2, 2013, with no refills. C. H. also obtained a controlled |
| 6 | substances inventory log, purchase records from June 28, 2013 to November 26, 2013, from |
| 7 | Smart Source, Cardinal, and Valley Wholesale, and drug usage reports from June 28, 2013 to |
| 8 | November 26, 2013, for each controlled substance included in the audit. |
| 9 | 33. On or about May 30, 2014, C. H. sent HD Smith and Valley Wholesaler requests for |
| 10 | copies of records of purchases, sales, returns, and credits for certain products sold to or purchased |
| 11 | from PCC for the time period from June 28, 2013 through November 26, 2013. |
| 12 | 34. On or about June 2, 2014, C. H. received copies of purchase records from HD Smith. |
| 13 | C. H. found that the purchase record data corresponded to the data from PCC's audit. |
| 14 | 35. On or about June 5, 2014, C. H. received copies of purchase records from Valley |
| 15 | Wholesaler. C. H. reviewed the purchase data twice for accuracy and compared it to the purchase |
| 16 | data reported in PCC's audit. C. H. found no discrepancies. |
| 17 | 36. On or about June 20, 2014, C. H. used the documents she received from PCC, |
| 18 | including the inventory records, purchase records, and dispensing records, to verify their audit |
| 19 | results for all drugs which showed a significant loss, as well as oxycodone IR (all strengths), |
| 20 | methadone 5 mg, methylphenidate 36 mg, and oxycodone/acetaminophen 5/325 mg and 10/325. |
| 21 | C. H. found no discrepancies. C. H. then used the purchase records she received from HD Smith |
| 22 | and Valley Wholesaler to independently verify selected PCC audit entries for the |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | /// |
| 26 | /// |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 8 Accusation |
| 1 | |

hydrocodone/acetaminophen products with a significant loss. C. H. found no discrepancies. The audit conducted by PCC revealed the following losses as verified by C. H.:

1

2

11

12

| Drug and Strength | Reported Loss (Units) for Audit Period from 06/28/2013 – 11/26/2013 | % of Acquisition |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------|
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg | 14,706 | 34,2 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/500 mg | 204 | 40.8 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/325 mg | 911 | 13.6 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/500 mg | 614.5 | 61.4 |
| hydrocodone/acetaminophen 7.5/750 mg | 751 | 150 |
| oxycodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg | 141 | 3,8 |
| methadone 5 mg | 100 | 8.3 |
| methylphenidate 36 mg | 30 | 33 |

CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Pharmacy Law and State

Laws and Regulations Governing Pharmacy)

Respondents PCC and Stange are subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code 13 37. section 4301, subdivision (0), for unprofessional conduct, in that Respondents violated or 14 attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, assisted in or abetted the violation of, or conspired to 15 violate provisions or terms of the Pharmacy Law (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4300, et seq.) and state 16 laws and regulations governing pharmacy, as follows: 17

On or about August 29, 2013, September 14, 2013, and October 2, 2013, Respondents 18 a. PCC and Stange authorized or permitted pharmacy technician C. L. to dispense refills of RX# 19 1326725, for 240 tablets of the controlled substance hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg, for 20patient J. M. when, in fact, the physician's assistant who issued the original prescription had not 21 22 authorized any refills, in violation of Code section 4063.

Respondents PCC and Stange failed to maintain on their premises and/or have b. 23 available for inspection by Board inspector C. H. the original prescription for RX# 1326725 24 25 issued for patient J. M., as set forth in paragraphs 30 and 31 above, in violation of Code section 4105. 26

On and between June 28, 2013 and November 26, 2013, Respondents PCC and 27 c. Stange failed to maintain or ensure the security of the prescription department and/or include 28

provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, resulting in a significant loss of controlled substances, as set forth in paragraph 36 above, in violation of Regulation 1714, subdivision (d).

d. On and between January 18, 2013 and June 27, 2013, Respondent Stange failed to
maintain or ensure the security of the prescription department and/or include provisions for
effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, resulting in a
significant loss of controlled substances, as set forth in paragraph 28 above, in violation of
Regulation 1714, subdivision (d).

9

1

2

3

<u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

12 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51484, issued to PCC
 13 Ventures LLC, doing business as Pharmacy Care Concepts;

14 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 28242, issued to Stephen
15 L. Stange;

3. Ordering PCC Ventures LLC, doing business as Pharmacy Care Concepts, and
Stephen L. Stange to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and
enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

21 DATED:

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IRGINIA

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant

SA2014117593

9/12/15

10