2 3 5 6 7 BEFORE THE 8 BOARD OF PHARMACY 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 5270 12 CHRISTOFER MICHAEL NICODEMUS DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER 6160 Arlington Ave., #D3/110 13 Riverside, CA 92504 [Gov. Code, §11520] 14 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 125709 15 Respondent. 16 17 FINDINGS OF FACT 18 1. On or about November 15, 2014, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official 19 capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, 20 filed Accusation No. 5270 against Christofer Michael Nicodemus (Respondent) before the Board 21 of Pharmacy. (A copy of the Accusation is attached as Exhibit A.) 22 2. On or about August 30, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy 23 Technician Registration No. TCH 125709 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration 24 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5270 25 and will expire on March 31, 2016, unless renewed. 26 3. On or about December 4, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class 27 Mail copies of the Accusation No. 5270, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request 28

for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

6160 Arlington Ave., #D3/110 Riverside, CA 92504

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about December 16, 2014 and December 18, 2014, the aforementioned documents served by Certified and First Class Mail, respectively, were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Return to Sender Unable to Forward." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made himself available for service and therefore, has not availed himself of his right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5270.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5270, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5270, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$722.50 as of January 12, 2015.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Christofer Michael Nicodemus has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 125709 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case.
- a. Respondent subjected his license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301(l) of the Code in that on June 3, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Christofer Michael Nicodemus*, aka Christopher Michael Nicodemus, in the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case No. RIM1403083, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty for violating Penal Code section 290.5 (shoplifting) and Health and Safety Code section 11377(a) (possession of a controlled substance methamphetamine), misdemeanors, crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician.
- b. Respondent subjected his license to discipline under section 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o) of the Code in that on February 18 2014, Respondent possessed methamphetamine without a prescription in violation of section 4060 of the Code.

Respondent subjected his license to discipline under section 4301(f) of the c. Code in that on February 18, 2014, he committed an act of dishonesty when he stole merchandise from Stater Brothers. ///: /// ///

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 125709, heretofore issued to Respondent Christofer Michael Nicodemus, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on March 13, 2015.

It is so ORDERED February 11, 2015.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By C. Wussi STAN C. WEISSER Board President

DOJ Matter ID: SD2014707748

Attachment:

Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California		
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Senior Assistant Attorney General		
3	James M. Ledakis		
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11		7	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5270	
13	CHRISTOFER MICHAEL NICODEMUS 6160 Arlington Ave., #D3/110	1 :	
14	Riverside, CA 92504	ACCUSATION	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 125709		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
.20	PARTIES		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as		
22	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about August 30, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
24	Registration Number TCH 125709 to Christofer Michael Nicodemus (Respondent). The		
25	Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges		
26	brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2016, unless renewed.		
27	111		
28	111		
.	. 1		

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 4300(a) of the Code states that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - 5. Section 4300.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490. Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code states:
- (a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- (c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the

time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

(d) The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the application of this section has been made unclear by the holding in Petropoulos v. Department of Real Estate (2006) 142 Cal.App.4th 554, and that the holding in that case has placed a significant number of statutes and regulations in question, resulting in potential harm to the consumers of California from licensees who have been convicted of crimes. Therefore, the Legislature finds and declares that this section establishes an independent basis for a board to impose discipline upon a licensee, and that the amendments to this section made by Senate Bill 797 of the 2007-2008 Regular Session.

8. Section 492 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division.

9. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

. . .

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

24

25

26

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

28

14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

COST RECOVERY

15. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

DRUGS

16. <u>Methamphetamine</u> Is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety

Code section 11055, subdivision (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section

4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(June 3, 2014 Criminal Conviction for Shoplifting and for Possession of a Controlled Substance – Methamphetamine – on February 18, 2014)

- 17. Respondent subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- 18. On June 3, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State* of California v. Christofer Michael Nicodemus, aka Christopher Michael Nicodemus, in the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, Case No. RIM1403083, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty for violating Penal Code section 290.5 (shoplifting) and Health and

28 1///

Safety Code section 11377(a) (possession of a controlled substance – methamphetamine), misdemeanors.

- 19. As a result of the conviction, the Court placed Respondent on three years summary probation and committed him to the custody of the Sheriff for 30 days, with 25 of the 30 days to be served in the Work Release Program. The Court also ordered Respondent to obey all laws, not knowingly use nor possess any controlled substances unless lawfully prescribed, submit to chemical tests of blood, saliva, breath, or urine, or any reasonable physical test upon request of probation or law enforcement officer, submit to search and seizure, pay various fines and fees, and not have any direct or indirect contact with Stater Brothers.
- 20. The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on February 18, 2014, at approximately 11:45 a.m., Riverside Police Department Officers responded to Stater Brothers Market in the City of Riverside, California, regarding a shoplifter in custody. When Officers arrived, Loss Prevention Staff (LPS) directed them to an individual that was observed selecting a package of Blistex and go to a second isle to conceal the item, then exit the store without paying for the item. The LPS told Officers that the individual (Respondent) was contacted outside of the store and asked to re-enter the store, which he did, and that he admitted to stealing the Blistex from the store. Officers placed Respondent under arrest and asked him if he had anything illegal in his possession, to which Respondent told Officers that he had Methamphetamine in his back pack. With Respondent's consent, and incident to the arrest, Officers searched the back pack and found Methamphetamine in a plastic baggie inside a small plastic sealed container. The substance field tested positive for Methamphetamine. Respondent was booked in the county jail.
- 21. On April 16, 2014, Respondent failed to appear at the arraignment and a bench warrant was issued for his arrest. On May 7, 2014, Respondent failed to appear at a warrant/arraignment and the bench warrant remained outstanding. On June 6, 2014, Respondent appeared in Court and the bench warrant was recalled.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 1 2 (Unprofessional Conduct – Illegal Possession of a Controlled Substance – Methamphetamine – on February 18, 2014) 3 4 22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (j) and 5 (o) of the Code in that on February 18 2014, Respondent was in possession of the controlled 6 substance and dangerous drug, Methamphetamine, without a prescription in violation of section 7 4060 of the Code, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 17-21, above, which are incorporated 8 here by reference. 9 THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 10 (Unprofessional Conduct – Commission of an Act Involving Dishonesty) 11 23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f) of the Code in that 12 on February 18, 2014, he committed an act of dishonesty when he stole merchandise from Stater 13 Brothers, as is more fully detailed at paragraphs 17-21, above, which are incorporated here by 14 15 reference. PRAYER 16 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 17 and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 18 Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 125709 19 20 issued to Christofer Michael Nicodemus; 2. Ordering Christofer Michael Nicodemus to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable 21 costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code 22 23 section 125.3; 111 24 111 25 26 111 /// 27

111

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
2		
3	DATED: 1115/14	Duessia Hersto
4	DATED	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer
5		Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6		State of California Complainant
7		
8	SD2014707748 70973671.doc	
9		
10 11		Č.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2526		
27		
28		
1		9

Accusation