#### BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

RAAFAT G. GERGES DBA DANIEL'S PHARMACY 12730 Heacock Street, Ste. 1 Moreno Valley, CA 92553

Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47339

and

RAAFAT GEORGE GERGES 14405 Ashton Lane Riverside, CA 92508

Pharmacist License No. RPH 45091

Respondents.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of

Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on October 2, 2015.

It is so ORDERED September 2, 2015.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

Case No. 5224

OAH No. 2014120737

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO RESPONDENT RAAFAT GEORGE GERGES ONLY

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF C	RE THE PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: <b>RAAFAT G. GERGES DBA DANIEL'S</b> <b>PHARMACY</b> 12730 Heacock Street, Ste. 1 Moreno Valley, CA 92553 Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47339 and <b>RAAFAT GEORGE GERGES</b> 14405 Ashton Lane Riverside, CA 92508 Pharmacist License No. RPH 45091	Case No. 5224 OAH No. 2014120737 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
20	Respondents.		
21 22 23	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
24		entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: <u>PARTIES</u>	
25	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.		
26	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala		
27	D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Nicole R. Trama, Deputy Attorney		
28	General.		

12.

Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 5224)

.

 Raafat G. Gerges, doing business as Daniel's Pharmacy (Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy) and Raafat George Gerges (Respondent Gerges) are represented in this proceeding by attorney Herbert Weinberg, whose address is Fenton Law Group, LLP, 1990 South Bundy Drive, Ste. 777, Los Angeles, California 90025.

3. On or about October 3, 2005, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47339 to Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy. The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation No. 5224 and expired on October 1, 2013, and has not been renewed.

9 4. On or about March 9, 1992, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License No.
10 RPH 45091 to Respondent Gerges. The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all
11 times relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation No. 5224 and will expire on
12 January 31, 2017, unless renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

First Amended Accusation No. 5224 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondents. The Accusation
 and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on September
 16, 2014. Respondents timely filed their Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. On May
 12, 2015, the Board filed First Amended Accusation No. 5224. A copy of First Amended
 Accusation No. 5224 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

6. Respondents have carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understand the
 charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation No. 5224. Respondents also carefully read,
 fully discussed with counsel, and understand the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and
 Disciplinary Order.

7. Respondents are fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including the right to a
hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to confront and
cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on their own
behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the

2

Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 5224)

.

production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; 1 and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable 2 3 laws. 8. Respondents voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waive and give up each and 4 every right set forth above. 5 CULPABILITY 6 9. Respondents understand that the charges and allegations in First Amended 7 8 Accusation No. 5224, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon 9 Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47339 and Pharmacist License No. RPH 45091. 10. For the purpose of resolving the First Amended Accusation without the expense and 10 uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondents agree that, at a hearing, Complainant could 11 establish a factual basis for the charges in First Amended Accusation No. 5224 and that those 12 charges constitute cause for discipline! Respondents hereby give up their right to contest that 13 cause for discipline exists based on those charges. 14 11. Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy understands that by signing this stipulation it enables 15 the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47339 without 16 further process. 17 Respondent Gerges agrees that his Pharmacist License No. RPH 45091 is subject to 12. 18 discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the 19 Disciplinary Order below. 20CONTINGENCY 21 This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondents 13. 22 understand and agree that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may 23 communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation, without notice to or participation 24 by Respondents or their counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondents understand and agree 25 26 that they may not withdraw their agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and 27Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for 28 3

Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 5224)

this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 15. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an
  integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement.
  It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions,
  negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary
  Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a
  writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 12 16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
  13 the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:
- 14

1

2

3

4

5

#### <u>ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47339, issued to Respondent
Raafat G. Gerges doing business as Daniel's Pharmacy (Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy), is
surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

- Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy surrenders Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47339 as of
   the effective date of this Decision. Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy shall relinquish the premises
   wall license and renewal license to the Board within ten (10) days of the effective date of this
   Decision.
- 22 2. The surrender of Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy's license and the acceptance of the
   23 surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent
   24 Daniel's Pharmacy. This Decision constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of
   25 Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy license history with the Board.

3. Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy shall, within ten (10) days of the effective date,
arrange for the destruction of, the transfer to, sale of or storage in a facility licensed by the Board
of all controlled substances and dangerous drugs and devices, as well as, the records of

4

Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 5224)

-Jehanan -

acquisition and disposition for those dangerous drugs. Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy shall further provide written proof of such disposition and submit a completed Discontinuance of Business form according to Board guidelines.

4. Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy shall also, by the effective date of this Decision, 4 5 arrange for the continuation of care for existing patients of the pharmacy by, at minimum, providing a written notice to existing patients that specifies the anticipated closing date of the 6 pharmacy and that identifies one or more area pharmacies capable of taking up the patients' care, 7 8 and by cooperating as may be necessary in the transfer of records or prescriptions for existing 9 patients. Within five days of its notice to the pharmacy's existing patients, Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy shall provide a copy of the written notice to the Board. For the purposes of this 10 provision, "ongoing patients" means those patients for whom the pharmacy has on file a 14 prescription with one or more refills outstanding, or for whom the pharmacy has filled a 12 prescription within the preceding sixty (60) days. 13

14 5. If Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy ever applies for an application for a licensed 15 premises or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new 16 application for licensure. Respondent Daniel's Pharmacy must comply with all the laws, 17 regulations, and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, 18 and all of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 5224 shall be 19 deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines to grant or 20 deny the application or petition.

IT IS FURTHER HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 45091 issued
 to Raafat George Gerges (Respondent Gerges) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and
 Respondent Gerges is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and
 conditions:

#### 1. Suspension

25

2

3

As part of probation, Respondent Gerges is suspended from the practice of pharmacy until he provides proof of completion of six (6) hours of remedial education on the topic of corresponding responsibility.

During suspension, Respondent Gerges shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of 1 the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor 2 of drugs which is licensed by the Board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and 3 devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent Gerges shall not practice pharmacy 4 nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, 5 dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to 6 7 any licensee of the Board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing 8 of dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances.

9 Respondent Gerges shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment
10 of a pharmacist. Respondent Gerges shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of
11 pharmacy. Respondent Gerges shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a
12 designated representative for any entity licensed by the Board.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Obey All Laws and

13

14

15

28

Respondent Gerges shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

16 Respondent Gerges shall report any of the following occurrences to the Board, in writing,
17 within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the
 Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled
 substances laws

a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any
 criminal complaint, information or indictment

• a conviction of any crime

1.97

discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency
 which involves respondent's pharmacist license or which is related to the practice of
 pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging
 for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

# 3. Report to the Board

Respondent Gerges shall report to the Board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the 2 Board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. 3 Among other requirements, Respondent Gerges shall state in each report under penalty of perjury 4 whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to 5 submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any 6 period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of 7 probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be 8 automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board. 9

10

1

#### 4. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent Gerges shall appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the Board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the Board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

16

#### 5. Cooperate with Board Staff

17 Respondent Gerges shall cooperate with the Board's inspection program and with the
18 Board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent Gerges' compliance with the terms and
19 conditions of his probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

20

#### 6. Continuing Education

Respondent Gerges shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a
pharmacist as directed by the Board or its designee.

23

#### 7. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, Respondent Gerges shall notify all present and prospective
employers of the Decision in ease number 5224 and the terms, conditions and restrictions
imposed on Respondent Gerges by the Decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision, and within fifteen (15) days of
Respondent Gerges undertaking any new employment, Respondent Gerges shall cause his direct

supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the Board in writing acknowledging 2 that the listed individual(s) has/have read the Decision in case number 5224, and terms and 3 conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent Gerges' responsibility to ensure that his 4 employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the Board. 5

If Respondent Gerges works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment 6 service. Respondent Gerges must notify his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and owner at 7 every entity licensed by the Board of the terms and conditions of the Decision in case number 8 9 5224 in advance of the Respondent commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the Board upon request. 10

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision, and within fifteen 11 (15) days of Respondent Gerges undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy 12 employment service, Respondent shall cause his direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment 13 service to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that he has read the Decision in case 14 number 5224 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent Gerges' 15 responsibility to ensure that his employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely 16

acknowledgment(s) to the Board. 17

1

25

26

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those 18 employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgments to the Board shall be considered a violation of 19 20 probation.

21 "Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, 22 part-time, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist or any position for which a pharmacist license is a requirement or criterion for employment. 23 whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer. 24

No Supervision of Interns, Serving as Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), Serving as Designated Representative-in-Charge, or Serving as a Consultant

During the period of probation, Respondent Gerges shall not supervise any intern 2728 pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity

licensed by the Board nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this order.
 Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

4

1

2

3

#### 9. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent Gerges shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$17,136. Respondent shall make said payments as follows: On the effective date of the Decision, and on the first of each month thereafter, Respondent Gerges shall pay to the Board \$300.00 per month until the total costs have been paid in full.

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the Board or
its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of
probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve respondent of his responsibility to
reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

15

#### 10. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent Gerges shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

20

11. Status of License

Respondent Gerges shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license
with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to
maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If Respondent Gerges' license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at
any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or
otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication Respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and
conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

1	12. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension	
2	Following the effective date of this Decision, should Respondent Gerges cease practice due	
3	to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation,	
4	respondent may tender his license to the Board for surrender. The Board or its designee shall	
5	have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems	
6	appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent	
7	Gerges will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender	
8	constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the respondent's license history with	
9	the Board.	
10	Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall relinquish his pocket and wall license to	
11	the Board within ten (10) days of notification by the Board that the surrender is accepted.	
12	Respondent Gerges may not reapply for any license from the Board for three (3) years from the	
13	effective date of the surrender. Respondent Gerges shall meet all requirements applicable to the	
14	license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the Board, including	
15	any outstanding costs.	
16	13. Notification of a Change in Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address or	
17	Employment	
18	Respondent Gerges shall notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of	
19	employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new	
20	employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known. Respondent	
21	Gerges shall further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name,	
22	residence address, mailing address, or phone number.	
23	Failure to timely notify the Board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or	
24	phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.	
25	14. Tolling of Probation	
26	Except during periods of suspension, Respondent Gerges shall, at all times while on	
27	probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of forty (40) hours per	
28	calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of	

probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during
which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, Respondent
Gerges must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

1 N P

Should Respondent Gerges, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease practicing as a pharmacist for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month in California, Respondent Gerges must notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of the cessation of practice, and must further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of practice. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for Respondent Gerges' probation to remain tolled pursuant to
the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive
months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is not practicing as a pharmacist for at least forty (40) hours, as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq . "Resumption of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is practicing as a pharmacist for at least forty (40) hours as a pharmacist as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

#### 15. Violation of Probation

If Respondent Gerges has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board
shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent Gerges, and probation shall automatically be
extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the Board has taken other action as
deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate
probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If Respondent Gerges violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent
Gerges notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary
order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions
stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of

the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against Respondent Gerges during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

16. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the Board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, Respondent Gerges' license will be fully restored.

6 7

1

2

3

4

5

#### 17. Community Services Program

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent Gerges shall 8 9 submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, a community service program in which Respondent Gerges shall provide free health-care related services on a regular basis to a 10 community or charitable facility or agency for at least twenty-five (25) hours per year of 11 probation. Within thirty (30) days of Board approval thereof, Respondent Gerges shall submit 12 documentation to the Board demonstrating commencement of the community service program. A 13 record of this notification must be provided to the Board upon request. Respondent Gerges shall 14 report on progress with the community service program in the quarterly reports. Failure to timely 15 submit, commence, or comply with the program shall be considered a violation of probation. 16

17

#### 18. **Remedial Education**

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent Gerges shall 18 submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial 19 education related to dispensing controlled substances, and corresponding responsibility 20 therapeutics of controlled substances, particularly in opioid therapy. The program of remedial 21 education shall consist of at least twenty-five (25) hours, which shall be completed within the first. 22 year of probation at Respondent's own expense. All remedial education shall be in addition to, 23 and shall not be credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal 24 25 purposes.

Failure to timely submit or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the Board, is provided to the Board or its designee.

çi 🤅

Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may require the
Respondent, at his own expense, to take an approved examination to test the Respondent's
knowledge of the course. If the Respondent does not achieve a passing score on the examination,
this failure shall be considered a violation of probation. Any such examination failure shall
require respondent to take another course approved by the Board in the same subject area.

7

1

# 19. Supervised Practice

8 During the period of probation, Respondent Gerges shall practice only under the 9 supervision of a licensed pharmacist not on probation with the Board. Upon and after the 10 effective date of this Decision; Respondent Gerges shall not practice pharmacy and his license 11 shall be automatically suspended until a supervisor is approved by the Board or its designee. The 12 supervision shall be as follows:

Daily Review- Supervisor's review of probationer's daily activities within
24 hours.

"Daily review" as this term is used herein shall not require that the supervising pharmacist
be engaged in physical supervision of Respondent's activities in real time, but shall require that
the supervising pharmacist, by no later than close of business on each day following, review all
transactions performed by Respondent and records associated with those transactions to ensure
compliance with state and federal statutes and regulations and with the requirements of this
Decision.

The Board, or its designee, retains the discretion to increase the level of supervision during
Respondent's probation, if warranted by circumstances, or by violations or omissions discovered
during Daily Review, to any of the following:

24

25

26

- Continuous- At least 75% of a work week
- Substantial- At least 50% of a work week
- Partial- At least 25% of a work week

.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent Gerges shall have
his supervisor submit notification to the Board in writing stating that the supervisor has read the

Decision in case number 5224 and is familiar with the required level of supervision as determined by the Board. It shall be the Respondent's responsibility to ensure that his employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the Board. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely acknowledgements to the Board shall be considered a violation of probation.

1

2

3

4

5

If Respondent Gerges changes employment, it shall be the Respondent's responsibility to 6 ensure that his employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge and/or supervisor(s) submit timely 7 acknowledgement(s) to the Board. Respondent Gerges shall have his new supervisor, within 8 fifteen (15) days after employment commences, submit notification to the Board in writing stating 9 the direct supervisor and pharmacist-in-charge have read the Decision in case number 5224 and is 10 familiar with the level of supervision as determined by the Board. Respondent Gerges shall not 11 12 practice pharmacy and his license shall be automatically suspended until the Board or its designee approves a new supervisor. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to 13 submit timely acknowledgements to the Board shall be considered a violation of probation. 14

Within ten (10) days of leaving employment, Respondent Gerges shall notify the Board in
writing.

During suspension, Respondent Gerges shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of 17 18 the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the Board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and 19 devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent Gerges shall not practice pharmacy 20 21 nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to 22 any licensee of the Board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing 23 of dangerous drugs and controlled substances. Respondent Gerges shall not resume practice until 24 notified by the Board. 25

During suspension, Respondent Gerges shall not engage in any activity that requires the
professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent Gerges shall not direct or control any aspect
of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent Gerges shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy

technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the Board.

Subject to the above restrictions, Respondent may continue to own or hold an interest in any licensed premises in which he holds an interest at the time this Decision becomes effective unless otherwise specified in this order.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

5 6

1

2

3

4

# 20. No Ownership of Licensed Premises

Respondent Gerges shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a 7 8 manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business. 9 firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the Board. Respondent 10 Gerges shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the Board within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this Decision and shall immediately 11 thereafter provide written proof thereof to the Board. Failure to timely divest any legal or 12 beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of 13 probation. 14

# 15 21

#### 21. Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent Gerges
shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Board or
its designee. Failure to initiate the course during the first year of probation, and complete it
within the second year of probation, is a violation of probation.

Respondent Gerges shall submit a certificate of completion to the Board or its designee
within five days after completing the course.

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

timulated Sott

15

i.

Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 5224)

ACCEPTANCE 1 2 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney. Herbert Weinberg. I understand the stipulation and the effect it 3 will have on my Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47339 and Pharmacist License No. RPH 45091. I 4 enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and 5 intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy. б 7 8 DATED: RAAFAT G. GERGES, as an individual and as 9 authorized agent on behalf of DANIEL'S PHARMACY 10 Respondents 11 12 I have read and fully discussed with Respondents the terms and conditions and other 13 matters contained in this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and 14 content. 2018 15 DATED: 16 HERBERT WEINBERG Attorney for Respondent 17 18 **ENDORSEMENT** 19 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully 20 submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. 21 Dated: Respectfully submitted, 8/5/2015 22 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California 23 JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General 24 TRAMOL 25 26 NICOLE R, TRAMA Deputy Attorney General 27 Attorneys for Complainant 28 SD2014707459 71083577.doc 16

Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order (Case No. 5224)

# Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation No. 5224

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICOLE R. TRAMA	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 263607	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2143 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	· · ·
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORM	NIA
11		Case No. 5224
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	
13	RAAFAT G. GERGES DBA DANIEL'S PHARMACY 12730 Heacock Street, Ste. 1	FIRST AMENDED
14	Moreno Valley, CA 92553	ACCUSATION
15	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47339	
16	and	
17	RAAFAT GEORGE GERGES 14405 Ashton Lane	
18	Riverside, CA 92508	
19	Pharmacist License No. RPH 45091	
20	Respondents.	
21	Carry entended with an of the provide second and the contract of the contract of the second of the s	
22	Complainant alleges:	
23	PARTIES	
24	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this First	Amended Accusation solely in her
25	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer	
26	Affairs.	
27	2. On or about October 3, 2005, the Board of Phar	macy issued Pharmacy Permit
28	Number PHY 47339 to Raafat G. Gerges to do business as Daniel's Pharmacy, with Raafat	
	· · 1	. ,
		First Amended Accusation

1	Gerges as Pharmacist-in-Charge (Respondent). The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect	
2	at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on October 1, 2013, and has not	
3	been renewed.	
4	3. On or about March 9, 1992, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License	
5	Number RPH 45091 to Raafat George Gerges (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full	
6	force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31,	
7	2017, unless renewed.	
8	JURISDICTION	
9	4. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
10	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
11	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.	
12	5. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both	
13	the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances	
14	Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].	
15	6. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be	
16	suspended or revoked.	
17	7. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:	
18	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued	
19	license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a	
20	license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the	
21	licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.	
22	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
23	8. Section 4022 of the Code states:	
24	"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe	
25	for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:	
26	(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.	
27	(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this	
28	device to sale by or on the order of a," "Rx only," or words of similar import,	
	2	

	1
Arrend	the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
2 3	(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
4	9. Section 4113, subdivision (c) of the Code states: "The pharmacist-in-charge shall be
5	responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining
6	to the practice of pharmacy."
7	10. Section 4301 of the Code states:
8	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of
9	unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but
10	is not limited to, any of the following:
11	• • • •
12	(c) Gross negligence.
13	(d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of
14	subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code.
15	
16	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
17	· · · · · · ·
18	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or
19	abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing
20	pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
21	reading regulatory agointy.
22	11. Section 4307(a) of the Code states that:
23	
24	Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was
25	under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner member, officer, director, associate, or partner of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose amplication for a license has been denied or revolved is under summaries or
26	whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manger, administrator, owner, member officer director associate, or partner had knowledge or knowledge
27	member, officer, director, associate, or partner had knowledge or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator
28	placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator,
	3
	First Amended Accusation

	owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee as follows:
1	(1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed
2 3	on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
4	(2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.
5	12. Health and Safety Code section 11153 states in pertinent part:
6	(a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a
7	legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing
8	and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription.
9 10	Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of
11	professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the
12	course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances,
13	sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use. 13. Health and Safety Code section 11173 states in pertinent part:
14	(a) No person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or
15 16	procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact.
17	
18	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
19	14. Code of Federal Regulations, title 21, section 1306.04 states in pertinent part:
20	(a) A prescription for a controlled substance to be effective must be issued for
21	a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing
22 22	and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription.
23 24	An order purporting to be a prescription issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research is not a prescription
25	within the meaning and intent of section 309 of the Act (21 U.S.C. 829) and the person knowingly filling such a purported prescription, as well as the person
26	issuing it, shall be subject to the penalties provided for violations of the provisions of law relating to controlled substances.
27	
28	
	4
	First Amended Accusa

.

15. Code of Federal regulations, title 21, section 1306.11 states in part: 1 (a) A pharmacist may dispense directly a controlled substance listed in 2 Schedule II that is a prescription drug as determined under section 503 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 353(b)) only pursuant to a written 3 prescription signed by the practitioner, except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section. A paper prescription for a Schedule II controlled substance may be 4 transmitted by the practitioner or the practitioner's agent to a pharmacy via facsimile 5 equipment, provided that the original manually signed prescription is presented to the pharmacist for review prior to the actual dispensing of the controlled substance, 6 except as noted in paragraph (e), (f), or (g) of this section. The original prescription shall be maintained in accordance with §1304.04(h) of this chapter. 7 8 16. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761 states: 9 10 (a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or 11 alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription. 12 (b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not 13 compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a 14 legitimate medical purpose. 15 COST RECOVERY 16 Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the 17. 17 administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of 18 the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and 19 enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being 20 renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be 21included in a stipulated settlement.  $\overline{22}$ DRUGS 23 Alprazolam, the generic name for Xanax, is a Schedule IV controlled substance 18. 24 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1), and a dangerous drug 25 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. 2627285

Fentanyl is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code 19. section 11055(c)(8), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2 4022.

20.Morphine Sulfate, the generic name for MSContin and Avinza, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(L), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

Oxycodone, the generic name for Oxycontin, is a Schedule II controlled substance 21. 7 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M), and a dangerous drug 8 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. 9

Vicodin, a brand name for acetaminophen and hydrocodone bitartrate, is a Schedule 22. 10 III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4), 11 and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. 12

13

1

3

4

5

6

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

At all times mentioned herein and from October 3, 2005 to February 18, 2014, Raafat 14 23.G. Gerges was the Pharmacist-in-Charge (Respondent PIC) of Daniel's Pharmacy (Respondent 15 Pharmacy) located in Moreno Valley, California. 16

In or around January 2013, the Board of Pharmacy initiated an investigation of 17 24. Respondents following notification from Cardinal Health that they suspended all sales of 18 controlled substances to Respondent Pharmacy due to a risk for potential diversion. The Board 19 inspector discovered that Respondents filled numerous prescriptions for patients and doctors 20outside of Moreno Valley, that different patients had filled sequential prescriptions from the same 21 doctors at Respondent Pharmacy, and that Respondent filled prescriptions early. 22

Patient J.M., who resided in Los Angeles, lived approximately 67 miles from 25. 23 Respondent Pharmacy. On January 6, 2011, Respondents filled RX No. 143202 for Dilaudid 4 2.4mg and RX No. 143203 for Promethazine with Codeine 6.25-10 syp for patient J.M., who was 25 prescribed these drugs by P.A. S.W. located in Riverside, California. Patient J.M. paid cash for 26 the drugs. 27

6

Immediately after filling patient J.M.'s drugs, Respondents filled RX No. 143204 for
 Dilaudid 4 mg and RX No. 143205 for Promethazine with Codeine 6.25-10 syp for patient R.C.,
 who also resides in Los Angeles (approximately 68 miles from Respondent pharmacy) and who
 was also prescribed the same drugs in the same dosages as patient J.M. by the same P.A. (P.A.
 S.W.). Patient R.C. paid cash for the drugs.

27. Respondents also filled prescriptions for controlled substances to patients who were
doctor shopping.<sup>1</sup> Respondents did not review information from CURES or their own patient
profiles to determine that patients were doctor shopping, filling controlled substances early or
filling controlled substance prescriptions at multiple pharmacies.

10

# <u>PATIENT CA</u>

28. Patient C.A., who resided in Moreno Valley, saw physicians in Northridge, Perris,
Corona, Redlands, Altadena, Moreno Valley, Colton, San Bernardino, and Riverside. During the
period that Respondents were filling patient C.A.'s prescriptions, C.A. went to sixteen different
doctors to obtain prescriptions for hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 and used at least sixteen different
pharmacies to fill those prescriptions. Patient C.A. primarily paid cash for the controlled
substances that were filled by Respondents.

On March 18, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 71561 for 60 tablets of а. 17 hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 for patient C.A., even though patient C.A. received 90 tablets of 18 hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (30 day supply) just nine days prior on March 9, 2009 from another 19 pharmacy. On March 31, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 72928 for 120 tablets of 20hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 for patient C.A., which was seven days too early from their last fill. 21 Therefore, patient C.A. received a 74 day supply of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 within 22 days. 22 b. On April 20, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 75015 for 120 tablets of 23 hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 for patient C.A., even though patient C.A. received 90 tablets of 24 25 <sup>1</sup> "Doctor Shopping" is a term used when a patient uses multiple providers and pharmacies to obtain multiple prescriptions for controlled substances, often without the providers and 26

pharmacies knowing about the other prescriptions. Doctor shopping is against the law.
 California's primary doctor shopping law is Health and Safety Code section 11173(a) which prohibits a person from obtaining a prescription by fraud or concealing a material fact.

7

hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (30 day supply) just sixteen days prior on April 4, 2009 from another 1 pharmacy and doctor. 2 On June 4, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 79929 for 90 tablets of c. 3 hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (30 day supply) for patient C.A. Eight days later on June 12, 2009 4 (and twenty-two days too early), Respondents filled RX No. 80894 for 120 tablets of 5 hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 written by a different doctor for patient C.A. б d. On July 20, 2009, Respondents filled 90 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (23 7 day supply) for patient C.A. Fourteen days later on August 3, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 8 85573 for 120 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (25 day supply) written by another doctor 9 for patient C.A. 10 On August 18, 2009, Respondent filled RX No. 86932 for 90 tablets of e. 11 hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (23 day supply) for this patient. Nine days later on August 27, 2009, .12 Respondent filled RX No. 87959 for 60 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (30 day supply) 13 for patient C.A. Twelve days later, on September 8, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 88956 for 14 120 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 written by another doctor for patient C.A. 15 PATIENT RA 16 29. Patient R.A, who resided in Los Angeles, lived approximately 63 miles from 17 Respondent pharmacy. R.A.'s treating physicians were located in Whittier and Downey, 18 approximately 60 and 50 miles from Respondent pharmacy, respectively, R.A. only paid cash for 19 the controlled substances filled by Respondent. 20 On August 7, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 85992 for 100 tablets of Diazepam 10 21 a. mg (33 day supply). On September 4, 2009 (and seven days too early), Respondents filled RX 22 23 No. 88778 for 100 tablets of Diazepam 10 mg (33 day supply) for patient R.A. On October 1, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 91809 for 100 tablets of Diazepam (33 day supply) for patient 24 R.A. On October 29, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 95054 for 100 tablets of Diazepam for 25patient R.A. Therefore, Respondent's dispensed a 132 day supply of Diazepam to patient R.A. in 2.6a span of 83 days. 27 PATIENT KB 28

30. Patient K.B., who resided in San Jacinto, lived approximately 22 miles from Respondent pharmacy and saw physicians in Hemet, Perris, Los Angeles, Long Beach, Redlands, Coachella, Riverside, and Moreno Valley. From October 2009 to January 2012 and during the time that Respondents filled prescriptions for controlled substances for K.B., K.B. travelled to 6 different pharmacies and 8 doctors.

#### PATIENT OB

7 31. Patient O.B., who resided in Riverside, saw physicians in Perris, Temecula, Moreno
8 Valley, Murrieta, Orange, Pomona, San Bernardino, Pico Rivera, and San Diego. During the time
9 that Respondents filled prescriptions for controlled substances for O.B., O.B. travelled to 11
10 different pharmacies and 9 doctors. During the time period that Respondents filled prescriptions
11 for O.B., there were multiple instances where O.B. received duplicate therapy from Respondents
12 and other pharmacies.

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

a. On April 13, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 74204 for 120 tablets of Vicodin ES
 for patient O.B., even though O.B. had received 100 tablets of Vicodin ES (25 day supply) from a
 different prescriber at a different pharmacy 12 days prior on April 1, 2009.

b. On June 5, 2009, Respondents refilled RX No. 74204 for 120 tablets of Vicodin ES
for patient O.B., even though O.B. had received 120 tablets of Vicodin ES (30 day supply) from a
different pharmacy just 15 days prior.

c. On June 26, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 74201 for 60 tablets of alprazolam .5
 mg (30 day supply) for patient O.B. Just five days later on July 1, 2009 (and 25 days too early),
 Respondents refilled RX No. 74201 for 60 tablets of alprazolam (30 day supply) for patient O.B.
 Seven days later on July 8, 2009, Respondents filled RX 82560 for 60 tablets of alprazolam for
 patient O.B.

d. On November 16, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 96915 for 120 tablets of Vicodin
ES (30 day supply) for patient O.B. On December 3, 2009 (and twelve days too early),
Respondents filled RX No. 98752 for 120 tablets of Vicodin ES (30 day supply) for patient O.B.
On December 28, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 101496 for 120 tablets of Vicodin ES (30 day
supply) for patient O.B. On January 18, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 104031 for 120 tablets

of Vicodin ES for patient O.B. Therefore, Respondents dispensed a 120 day supply of Vicodin ES to patient O.B. in a span of 63 days.

e. On January 26, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 105185 for 60 tablets of diazepam 10 mg (30 day supply) for patient O.B. and then refilled that prescription for another 60 tablets of diazepam 10 mg (30 day supply) the very next day on January 27, 2010 (twenty-nine days early).

f. On May 17, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 118528 for 120 tablets of Vicodin ES
(30 day supply) for patient O.B. and then refilled that prescription for another 120 tablets of
Vicodin ES on June 4, 2010 (twelve days early). On June 25, 2010, Respondents filled RX No.
122653 for 120 tables of Vicodin ES for patient O.B. (nine days early).

g. On September 23, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 129765 for 180 tablets of
Vicodin ES (30 day supply) and then refilled that prescription for another 180 tablets of Vicodin
ES the very next day on September 24, 2010 (twenty-nine days early). On October 15, 2010,
Respondents refilled RX No. 129765 for 180 tablets of Vicodin ES (30 day supply) for patient
O.B. (nine days early). Therefore, Respondents dispensed a 90 day supply of Vicodin ES to
patient O.B. over a span of 22 days,

h. On October 25, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 113249 for 60 tablets of diazepam
10 mg (30 day supply) for patient O.B., and then refilled that prescription for another 60 tablets of
diazepam 10 mg two days later on October 27, 2010 (twenty-eight days early). On November 15,
2010, Respondents filled RX No. 135770 for 60 tablets of diazepam 10 mg for patient O.B.
(eleven days early). Therefore, Respondents dispensed a 90 day supply of Vicodin ES to patient
O.B. within 21 days.

i. On May 4, 2011, Respondents filled RX No. 157754 for 180 tablets of Vicodin ES
(30 day supply). Twenty days later on May 24, 2011, Respondents refilled RX No. 15774 for 180
tablets of Vicodin ES for patient O.B. (ten days early).

j. On July 6, 2011, Respondents filled RX No. 162386 for 180 tablets of Vicodin ES
(30 day supply) for patient O.B. On July 18, 2011, Respondents filled RX No. 165430 for 150
tablets of Vicodin ES for patient O.B. (eighteen days early).

28

1

2

3

4

#### PATIENT LB

1

32. Patient L.B., who resided in Moreno Valley, saw physicians in Compton, Inglewood,
San Clemente, Moreno Valley, Rancho Cucamonga, San Bernardino, Yucipa, Riverside,
Lakewood, Los Angeles, Long Beach, Studio City, Perris, Northridge, Loma Linda, Corona,
Santa Ana, Laguna Beach, Wildomar, and Huntington Beach. During the period that
Respondents filled prescriptions for Patient L.B., L.B. travelled to 28 different pharmacies and 39
doctors. Respondents also dispensed duplicate pain therapy from different doctors.

On April 27, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 75923 for 100 tablets of 8 а. 9 hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (25 day supply) for patient L.B., and then refilled this prescription seven days later on May 4, 2009 (eighteen days early). Eleven days later on May 15, 2009, 10 Respondents again refilled RX No. 75923 for 100 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (25 day 11 supply) for patient L.B. On June 2, 2009, Respondents again refilled RX No. 75923 for 100 12 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (25 day supply) for patient L.B. On June 17, 2009, 13 Respondents filled RX No. 81311 for 100 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (25 day supply) 14 for patient L.B. Five days later on June 22, 2009, Respondents refilled RX No. 75923 for 100 15 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (25 day supply) for patient L.B. Therefore, between April 16 27, 2009 and June 22, 2009 (56 days), Respondents dispensed a 150 day supply of 17 hydrocodone/APAP to this patient. 18

b. On June 23, 2011, Respondents filled RX No. 163041 for 120 tablets of oxycodone
30 mg (24 day supply). Fifteen days later on July 8, 2011, Respondents filled RX No. 164458 for
240 tablets of oxycodone 30 mg (30 day supply) written by a different doctor, for patient L.B.
(fifteen days early).

23

#### PATIENT MB

33. Patient M.B., who resided in Moreno Valley, saw physicians in Perris, Los Angeles,
Moreno Valley, Redlands, Fontana, Corona, Loma Linda, Lake Elsinore, Hemet, Riverside, San
Clemente, and Murrieta. During the period that Respondents were filling prescriptions for
controlled substances for patient M.B., M.B. traveled to 11 pharmacies and 27 doctors.

11

a. On September 20, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 125440 for 50 tablets of
 hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (13 day supply) and then refilled that prescription for another 120
 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 just two days later on September 22, 2010 (eleven days early).

b. On October 22, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 133871 for 120 tablets of
hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (30 day supply) to patient M.B. On November 15, 2010,
Respondents filled RX No. 133871 (30 day supply) for another 120 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP
7.5/750 for patient M.B. On December 6, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 133871 for another
120 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (30 day supply) for this patient. Therefore,
Respondents dispensed a 90 day supply of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 to patient M.B. within 45
days.

#### <u>PATIENT LH</u>

1

2

3

4

12

21

34. Patient L.H., who resided in Moreno Valley, saw physicians in Lake Elsinore,
Corona, Perris, Moreno Valley, Fontana, Oklahoma City, Riverside, and Redlands. During the
period that Respondents filled controlled substance prescriptions for patient L.H., L.H. traveled to
6 different pharmacies and 14 doctors to obtain prescriptions.

a. On April 13, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 74317 for 100 tablets of
hydrocodone/APAP 10/325 (20 day supply) for patient L.H. Fifteen days later on April 28, 2009
(and five days early), Respondents filled RX No. 76103 for 150 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP
10/325, written by a different doctor, for patient L.H.

#### <u>PATIENT LM</u>

35. Patient L.M., who resided in San Jacinto, saw physicians in Perris, Moreno Valley,
Riverside, San Jacinto, Long Beach, West Covina, Coachella, San Bernardino, Banning, and
Altadena. During the time that Respondents filled controlled substance prescriptions for patient
L.M., L.M. travelled to 13 different pharmacies and 12 doctors to obtain controlled substances.
Patient L.M. only paid cash for the controlled substances that were filled by Respondents.

a. On February 12, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 68342 for 120 tablets of
acetaminophen #3 with codeine ("Apap #3")(30 day supply) for patient L.M. On March 2, 2009,

(and twelve days early), Respondents filled RX No. 70088 for 120 tablets of Apap #3 (30 day supply), written by a different doctor, for patient L.M. Ten days later on March 12, 2009 (and twenty days early), Respondents filled RX No. 71129 for 120 tablets of Apap #3 (30 day supply) for patient L.M. Therefore, Respondents dispensed a 90 day supply of Apap #3 to patient L.M. within 28 days.

b. On April 13, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 73977 for 120 tablets of Apap #3 (30
day supply) for patient L.M., even though L.M. received 100 tablets of Apap #3 (33 day supply)
from a different pharmacy and a different doctor eight days prior on April 5, 2009. On May 4,
2009 (and nine days early), Respondents filled RX No. 76711 for 100 tablets of Apap #3 (20 day
supply), written by a different physician, for patient L.M. Therefore, Respondents dispensed an
83 day supply of Apap #3 within 29 days.

c. On July 19, 2011, Respondents filled RX No. 165693 for 90 tablets of Apap #3 (30
day supply) for patient L.M. On August 4, 2011 (and seventeen days early), Respondents refilled
RX No. 165693 for 50 tablets of Apap #3 (17 day supply) for patient L.M.

#### PATIENT KM

15

1

2

3

4

5

# 36. Patient K.M., who resided in Perris, saw physicians in Downey (approximately 55 miles away), Whittier (approximately 55 miles away), Los Angeles (approximately 69 miles away), and Riverside. During the time that Respondents filled controlled substance prescriptions for patient K.M., K.M. travelled to 11 pharmacies and obtained controlled substance prescriptions from 7 doctors. K.M. filled prescriptions for controlled substances at pharmacies in Moreno Valley, Long Beach, Los Angeles, Lynwood, Hawthorne, and Riverside. K.M. only paid cash for the controlled substances filled by Respondents.

a. On April 6, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 71167 for 150 tablets of Apap #4 (25
day supply) for patient K.M. Nine days later on April 15, 2009 (and sixteen days early),
Respondents filled RX No. 74528 for 150 tablets of Apap #4 for patient K.M.

26b.On May 14, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 77996 for 100 tablets of diazepam 1027mg (33 day supply) for patient K.M., even though K.M. had received 60 tablets of diazepam 10

mg (30 day supply) just thirteen days prior on May 1, 2009 from another pharmacy and by another doctor.

c. On August 7, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 85989 for 100 tablets of diazepam 10
mg and RX No. 85991 for 120 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 for patient K.M., even
though K.M. received 60 tablets of diazepam 10 mg (30 day supply) and 100 tablets of
bydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (25 day supply) just ten days prior from another pharmacy and by
another doctor on July 28, 2009.

d. On November 27, 2009, Respondents filled RX No. 98150 for 100 tablets of
diazepam 10 mg and RX No. 98149 for 120 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 for patient
K.M., even though K.M. received 60 tablets of diazepam 10 mg (30 day supply) and 100 tablets
of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (25 day supply) just ten days prior on November 17, 2009.

e. On February 16, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 107700 for 120 tablets of
hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (30 day supply) for patient K.M., even though K.M. received a 25
day supply of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 just seventeen days prior on January 30, 2010 from
another pharmacy and doctor.

f. On March 16, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 111123 for 120 tablets of
hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (20 day supply) for patient K.M., even though K.M. received a 25
day supply of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 just eighteen days prior on February 26, 2010 from
another pharmacy and doctor.

g. On October 12, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 134024 for 150 tablets of
hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (25 day supply) for patient K.M., even though K.M. received a 16
day supply of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 from another pharmacy just eight days prior on
October 4, 2010.

h. On December 17, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 138138 for 100 tablets of
hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (25 day supply) for patient K.M., even though K.M. received a 25
day supply of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 from another pharmacy just fourteen days prior on
December 3, 2010.

28

1

i. On March 16, 2011, Respondents filled RX No. 154042 for 100 tablets of
 hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (25 day supply) for patient K.M., even though K.M. received a 25
 day supply of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 from another pharmacy just eleven days prior on
 March 5, 2011.

### PATIENT CR

1

2

3

4

5

37. Patient C.R., who resides in Sun City, saw physicians in Moreno Valley, Los
Angeles, Perris, Murrieta, Loma Linda, Lake Elsinore, and Temecula. During the period that
Respondents filled controlled substance prescriptions for patient C.R., C.R. travelled to 13
different pharmacies and obtained controlled substance prescriptions from 8 doctors.

a. On April 7, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 114029 for 60 tablets of alprazolam 2
mg (30 day supply) for patient C.R. Nine days later on April 16, 2010 (and twenty one days
early), Respondents filled RX No. 115176 for 60 tablets of alprazolam 2 mg, written by a
different physician, for patient C.R.

Ь. On May 5, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 117060 for 60 tablets of alprazolam (30 14 day supply) for patient C.R. Twelve days later on May 17, 2011 (and eighteen days early), 15 Respondents filled RX No. 118482 for 60 tablets of alprazolam (30 day supply), written by a 16 different physician, for patient C.R. On June 4, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 120605 for 60 17 tablets of alprazolam 2 mg (30 day supply) for patient C.R. That same day, on June 4, 2010, 18 Respondents filled another prescription (RX No. 120685) for 60 tablets of alprazolam 2 mg (30 19 day supply), written by a different doctor, for patient C.R. Therefore, Respondents dispensed a 20180 day supply of alprazolam to patient C.R. within 58 days. 21

c. On April 13, 2011, Respondents filled RX No. 155302 for 60 tablets of alprazolam
(30 day supply) for patient C.R. Nine days later on April 22, 2011, Respondents filled RX No.
155302 for another 60 tablets of alprazolam (30 day supply) for patient C.R. (twenty-one days
early).

d. On June 29, 2011, Respondents filled RX No. 163622 for 90 tablets of alprazolam
(30 day supply) for patient C.R. Fifteen days later on July 14, 2011, Respondents filled RX No.
165159 for 60 tablets of alprazolam (30 day supply) for patient C.R. (fifteen days early).

e. On June 11, 2012, Respondents filled RX No. 110250 for 90 tablets of carisoprodol
350 mg (30 day supply) for patient C.R. Eight days later on June 19, 2012, Respondents refilled
RX No. 110250 for another 90 tablets of carisoprodol 350 mg (30 day supply). Eight days later
on June 27, 2012, Respondents filled RX. No. 111144 for another 90 tablets of carisoprodol 350
mg (30 day supply). Therefore, Respondents dispensed a 90 day supply carisoprodol 350 mg to
patient C.R. within 16 days.

f. On July 24, 2012, Respondents filled RX No. 112438 for 90 tablets of carisoprodol
350 mg (30 day supply) for patient C.R. On August 15, 2012, Respondents refilled RX No.
12438 for 90 tablets of carisoprodol 350 mg (30 day supply). Twelve days later on August 27,
2012, Respondents filled RX No. 114067 for another 90 tablets of carisoprodol 350 mg (30 day
supply). Therefore, Respondents dispensed a 90 day supply of carisoprodol 350 mg to patient
C.R. within 34 days.

13

#### PATIENT SS

1438.Patient S.S., who resided in Moreno Valley, saw physicians in Downey15(approximately 60 miles away), Los Angeles (approximately 65 miles away), Huntington Park16(approximately 65 miles away), Riverside, and Murrieta. Patient S.S. filled prescriptions for17controlled substances at pharmacies in Long Beach, Compton, Gardena, Moreno Valley, and18Riverside. During the period that Respondents filled controlled substance prescriptions for19patient S.S., S.S travelled to five different pharmacies and obtained controlled substance20prescriptions from twelve doctors.

On December 16, 2010, Respondents filled RX No. 141154 for 90 tablets of a. 21 hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (45 day supply) to patient S.S., and then refilled this prescription for 22 another 90 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (45 day supply) nine days early on January 21, 23 24 2011. On February 8, 2011, (and twenty-seven days early), Respondents refilled RX No. 141154 again for 90 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (45 day supply) for patient S.S. Therefore, 25 within 54 days, Respondents dispensed 135 day supply of hydrocodone/APAP to patient S.S. 26 b. On December 14, 2011, Respondents filled RX No. 172964 for 60 tablets of 27

b. On December 14, 2011, Respondents filled KX No. 172964 for 60 tablets of
hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (30 day supply). Eight days later on December 22, 2011 (and

twenty-two days early), Respondents filled RX No. 183220 for 90 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 for patient S.S.

c. On January 20, 2012, Respondents filled RX No. 185717 for 90 tablets of
hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750 (30 day supply) for patient S.S. On February 8, 2012 (and twelve days early), Respondents filled RX No. 187494 for 120 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 7.5/750,
written by a different physician, for patient S.S.

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Failure to Implement Corresponding Responsibility)

39. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code ø section 4301, subdivision (j), for violation of Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision 10(a), in that Respondents failed to comply with their corresponding responsibility to ensure that 11 controlled substances are dispensed for a legitimate medical purpose. The circumstances are that 12 Respondents failed to evaluate the totality of the circumstances (information from the patient, 13 physician, CURES and other sources) to determine the prescriptions' were issued for a legitimate 14 medical purpose in light of information showing that several patients demonstrated drug seeking 15 behaviors such as doctor and pharmacy shopping, patients had addresses outside Respondents' 16 normal trade area, patients saw providers outside Respondents' normal trade area, patients from 17out of the area came in groups from the same out of area doctor for only controlled substances, 18 having no personal knowledge about prescribers' practice or patients' treatment histories, among 19 other things, as set forth in paragraphs 23 through 38, which are incorporated herein by reference. 20

21

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

22

#### (Unprofessional Conduct – Filling of Erroneous or Uncertain Prescriptions)

40. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code
section 4301, subdivision (o), for unprofessional conduct as it relates to California Code of
Regulations section 1761, in that Respondents dispensed prescriptions which contained
significant errors, irregularities, uncertainties, or ambiguities, as set forth in paragraphs 23
through 38, which are incorporated herein by reference.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 1 (Unprofessional Conduct - Excessive Furnishing of Controlled Substances) 2 3 41. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301, subdivision (d), for unprofessional conduct in that Respondents clearly excessively 4 5 furnished controlled substances to patients, as set forth in paragraphs 23 through 38, which are incorporated herein by reference. 6 FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 7 (Unprofessional Conduct – Assisting/Abetting the Violation of State Law) 8 9 42. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for unprofessional conduct in that Respondents assisted in or 10 abetted doctor-shopping patients in obtaining controlled substance prescriptions by fraud, deceit, 11 misrepresentation, or subterfuge, or by concealment of a material fact in violation of Health and 12 Safety Code section 11173(a), as set forth in paragraphs 23 through 38, which are incorporated 13 herein by reference. 14 FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 15 (Unprofessional Conduct – Gross Negligence) 16 43. Respondent Gerges is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under 17 18 Code section 4301, subdivision (c), in that Respondent was grossly negligent in dispensing controlled substances. The circumstances are that Respondent knew or should have known that 19 the controlled substances prescribed were likely to be used for other than a legitimate medical 20purpose and Respondent failed to take appropriate steps when presented with numerous 21prescriptions for controlled substances from doctor-shopping patients, patients residing outside 2223Respondent's normal trade area, patients seeking early refills of controlled substances, and/or patients seeking to fill prescriptions written by prescribers outside Respondent's normal trade 24 area. Respondent failed to perform additional investigation to determine whether the 25 prescriptions were issued for a legitimate medical purpose, as set forth in paragraphs 23 through 2638, which are incorporated herein by reference. 27

28

Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 45091, issued to Raafat
 George Gerges;

3. Prohibiting Daniel's Pharmacy from serving as a manager, administrator, owner,
 member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit
 Number PHY 47339 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 47339 is
 reinstated if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 47339 issued to Daniel's Pharmacy is revoked;

Prohibiting Raafat George Gerges from serving as a manager, administrator,
owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacist
License Number RPH 45091 is placed on probation or until Pharmacist License Number RPH
45091 is reinstated if Pharmacist License Number RPH 45091 issued to Raafat George Gerges is
revoked;

5. Ordering Respondents to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

6. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

5/12/15 DATED:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

 $\mathbf{28}$ 

SD2014707459 71078736.docx

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California *Complainant*