2.8

at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is 22650 Bay Avenue, Moreno Valley, CA 92553.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On September 30, 2014, the domestic return receipt for the aforementioned documents was returned by the U.S. Postal Service indicating receipt on September 26, 2014.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5217.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5217, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5217, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1,443.30 as of October 30, 2014.

9

13 14

12

16 17

15

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

///

///

28

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Dominique Joel Dimarco has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 47136 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.
- Respondent has subjected his pharmacy technician registration to a. discipline under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I), in that on March 17, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Dominique Joel Dimarco. aka Dominique J. Di Marco, aka Dominque Joel Marco, in the Riverside County Superior Court, Riverside Hall of Justice, Case Number RIM1402592, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code (VC) sections 23152, subdivisions (a), driving while under the influence of alcohol (DUI), and (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08 percent or more, misdemeanors substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician. Respondent admitted and the court found true the allegation that Respondent's BAC was .15 percent or more, a sentencing enhancement pursuant to VC section 23578. Respondent was also convicted on his plea of guilty to violating VC section 23154, subdivision (a), driving with a BAC of 0.01 percent or more while on probation for a violation of VC section 23152, an infraction.
- Respondent has subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that on January 10, 2014, he used alcohol to the extent and in a manner that was dangerous and injurious to himself and to the public.
- C, Respondent has subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (k) in that on March 17, 2014, he was convicted of more than one misdemeanor involving the use or consumption of alcohol.

ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 47136, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent Dominique Joel Dimarco, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This decision shall become effective on December 26, 2014. 8 It is so ORDERED on November 26, 2014. 9 10 BOARD OF PHARMACY 11 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 (. Wussin 14 By STAN C. WEISSER 15 **Board President** 16 17 70974398.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SD2014707382 18 Attachment: 19 Exhibit A: Accusation 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN Acting Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 132645 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	STATE OF CALIFO	JKNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5217
13	DOMINIQUE JOEL DIMARCO 22650 Bay Avenue	ACCUSATION
14	Moreno Valley, CA 92553	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 47136	,
16	Respondent,	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official	
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On August 25, 2003, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
23	Registration Number TCH 47136 to Dominique Joel Dimarco (Respondent). Respondent has	
24	also been known as Dominque Joel Marco and Dominique J. Di Marco. The Pharmacy	
25	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
26	herein and will expire on November 30, 2014, unless renewed.	
27	/// ·	
28	<i>III</i>	
	1	

Accusation CSBP Case Number 5217

Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the

This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of

3.

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

2728

Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 4300, subdivision (a), of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.

5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

7. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

1 ///

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

COST RECOVERY

10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(March 17, 2014 Criminal Conviction for DUI on January 10, 2014)

- Respondent has subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On March 17, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Dominique Joel Dimarco, aka Dominique J. Di Marco, aka Dominique Joel Marco*, in the Riverside County Superior Court, Riverside Hall of Justice, Case Number RIM1402592, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code (VC) sections 23152, subdivisions (a), driving while under the influence of alcohol (DUI), and (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08 percent or more, misdemeanors, and 23154, subdivision (a), driving with a BAC of 0.01 percent or more while on probation for a violation of VC section 23152, an infraction. Respondent admitted and the court found true the

/// ///

allegation that Respondent's BAC was .15 percent or more, a sentencing enhancement pursuant to VC section 23578.

- b. As a result of the convictions, on April 14, 2014, Respondent was sentenced to be committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for 30 days, to be served under the work release program. Respondent was granted 48 months summary probation under standard alcohol conditions and ordered to pay fines, restitution, and penalty assessments. Respondent was also ordered to attend and satisfactorily complete an Offender Drinking Driver Program for 18 months. On August 7, 2014, Respondent was found in violation of probation term number 3 in this case and term number 12 in Case Number RIM1210080, detailed in paragraph 16, below. As a result of the violations, Respondent's probation was revoked.
- c. The facts that led to the conviction are that on January 10, 2014, Respondent sideswiped another vehicle while driving westbound on state route 60, east of Rubidoux Boulevard in Jurupa Valley, California. Respondent continued driving at over 100 miles per hour, passed a California Highway Patrol (CHP) vehicle. The officer driving the CHP vehicle conducted an enforcement stop. During the interview, the officer noticed Respondent's slow and clumsy movements, thick and slurred speech, disheveled clothing, and smell of alcohol. Respondent admitted to taking large doses of Robitussin and Nyquil. Respondent failed the series of field sobriety tests and was transported to the CHP area office in Riverside, where he consented to a blood draw. Respondent's subsequent chemical test results indicated a BAC of .19 percent.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

Respondent has subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that on January 10, 2014, he used alcohol to the extent and in a manner that was dangerous and injurious to himself and to the public, as described in the cause above, which are incorporated by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Alcohol Related Felony)

13. Respondent has subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (k) in that on March 17, 2014, he was convicted of more than one misdemeanor involving the use or consumption of alcohol.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 14. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges:
- In July 2001, in a prior criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Dominique Joel Dimarco*, in the Los Angeles County Superior Court, Pomona Courthouse South, Respondent was convicted on his plea of *nolo contendere* to violating Penal Code section 488, petty theft, a misdemeanor. As a result of the conviction, Respondent served two days in the Los Angeles County Jail and paid a fine of \$100.00. The facts that led to the conviction are that Respondent walked out without paying a pair of pants from the Robinsons-May store inside Puente Hills Mall in Industry, California.
- State of California v. Dominique Joel Dimarco, aka Dominique J. Di Marco, aka Dominique Joel Marco, in the Riverside County Superior Court, Riverside Hall of Justice, Case Number RIM1210080, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating VC section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08 percent or more, a misdemeanor. Respondent admitted and the court found true the allegation that Respondent's BAC was .15 percent or more, a sentencing enhancement pursuant to VC section 23578. A misdemeanor charge for violation of VC section 23152, subdivision (a), DUI, was dismissed pursuant to a plea bargain. As a result of the conviction, on August 10, 2012, Respondent was sentenced to be committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for 20 days, with the remaining 19 days to be served under the Sheriff's Labor Program. Respondent was granted 36 months summary probation under standard alcohol conditions and ordered to pay fines, fees, and penalty assessments. Respondent was also ordered to attend and satisfactorily complete a First

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

7