- 1	П					
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY					
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5203				
12	in the Watter of the Accusation Against.	Case Ivo. 3203				
13	JOHN DAVID GARCIA	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER				
14	4538 East 52nd Place Maywood, CA 90870	DEFROIT DECISION AND ORDER				
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 113645	[Gov. Code, §11520]				
16	1(0, 1011110010					
17	Respondent.					
18	F					
19						
20	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>					
21	1. On or about November 15, 2014, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official					
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,					
23	filed Accusation No. 5203 against John David Garcia (Respondent) before the Board of					
24	Pharmacy (Board). (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)					
25	2. On or about August 3, 2011, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.					
26	TCH 113645 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect					
27	at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5203 and expired on September 30,					
28	2014, and has not been renewed. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4300.1, this					
		1				

lapse in licensure does not deprive the Board of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

- 3. On or about December 11, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 5203, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 4538 East 52nd Place, Maywood, CA 90870.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5203.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5203, finds that

///

28

ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 113645, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent John David Garcia, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This decision shall become effective on April 24, 2015. 8 It is so ORDERED on March 25, 2015. 9 10 BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 (. Wusi 13 By 14 STAN C. WEISSER **Board President** 15 16 51707720.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2014511770 17 mc (2/26/15) 18 19 Attachment: 20 Exhibit A: Accusation 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General GILLIAN E. FRIEDMAN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 169207 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2564 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant					
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY					
9		CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA				
10	,					
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	, Case No. 5203				
12	JOHN DAVID GARCIA					
13	4538 East 52nd Place	ACCUSATION				
14	Maywood, CA 90870					
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 113645	•				
16	Respondent,					
17						
18	Complainant alleges:					
19	PARTIES					
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity					
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.					
22	2. On or about August 3, 2011, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.					
23	TCH 113645 to John David Garcia (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in					
24	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on					
25	September 30, 2014, unless renewed.					
26	JURISDICTION					
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following					
28	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated,					

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
- 5. Section 4300 provides in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Boards is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
 - 6. Section 4300.1 states;

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

6

5

7

9 10

11 12

14

13

1516

17

18 19

20

21. 22

23

24 25

26

2728

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . .

The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13. (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable

.

federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300, and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about February 26, 2014, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% or more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. John David Garcia* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2014, No. 3BF06190.) Respondent admitted the charged enhancement that he had a

0.20% or more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23556. The Court sentenced Respondent to serve one day in Los Angeles County Jail, ordered him to enroll in a 9-month first offender alcohol program, and placed him on 36 months probation, with terms and conditions.

b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 9, 2013, the Whittier Police Department responded to a traffic collision. When the officer arrived, Respondent was standing on the sidewalk and having difficulty maintaining his balance. The officer detected a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage and Respondent was observed to have bloodshot, watery eyes. Respondent submitted to series of field sobriety tests, which he was unable to perform successfully. During the booking procedure, Respondent submitted to a blood test that resulted in a blood alcohol content level of 0.30%.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h), in that on or about November 9, 2013, Respondent used alcoholic beverage to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself, another person, or the public when he operated a vehicle while having 0.30% of alcohol in his blood. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 9, subparagraph (b), as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct / Violation of Licensing Chapter)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, (o), in that Respondent committed acts of unprofessional conduct and / or violated provisions of the licensing chapter. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 9-10, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 113645, issued to John David Garcia;
- 2. Ordering John David Garcia to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: _	11/15/14	_ <i>)</i> u	enlaga	Der	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	VIRGIN	IA HEROLD		
		Executiv	le Officer		

Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2014511770 51521959.doc mc (5/27/14)

б