	3.	On or about December 22, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class
Mail	copie	s of the Accusation No. 5183, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request
for D	iscov	ery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and
1150	7.7) a	t Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
sectio	on 41(00, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of
recor	d was	and is:

711 N. Santa Fe Visalia, California 93292.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. No documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked as undeliverable.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5183.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5183, finds that

the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5183, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$2,996.50 as of February 11, 2015.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Chio Kaung Saephan has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 64424 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 4301, subdivision (*l*), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacy technician. Specifically, on or about February 19, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Chio Kaung Saephan*, Tulare County Superior Court, Case No. VCF288743, Respondent was convicted by the court on his plea of nolo contendere to violating Penal Code section 487(a) (grand theft by embezzlement), a felony. The circumstances of the crime are that on or about and between July 27, 2011, and June 16, 2013, while employed as a pharmacy technician at CVS, Respondent took money and personal property exceeding \$950 in value from CVS. Respondent admitted to CVS management that between in or around September 2012 and June 2013, he took approximately 320 tablets of Norco and 200 tablets of Xanax 2 mg. from CVS without permission or a valid prescription. Respondent also admitted that during that same time period he self-administered Norco without a valid prescription.
- b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that he committed acts involving

moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and/or corruption. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraph 3, subpart (a) above.

- c. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (j), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that while on duty as a registered pharmacy technician at CVS, during, but not limited to, that period of time between in on or around September 2012 and June 2013, Respondent violated statutes regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs, as follows:
- i. <u>Health & Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent obtained Norco and Xanax, controlled substances, by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge, by taking the drugs from CVS supplies. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraph 3, subparts (a) and (b) above.
- ii. Health & Safety Code section 11170: Respondent furnished and administered to himself, Norco, a controlled substance. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraph 3, subparts (a) and (b) above.
- iii. <u>Business and Professions Code section 4060</u>: Respondent had possession of controlled substances, Norco and Xanax, without authorization or a valid prescription therefore. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraph 3, subparts (a) and (b) above.
- iv. <u>Business and Professions Code section 4059, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent furnished Norco and Xanax, dangerous drugs, to himself, without a prescription, from CVS inventory. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraph 3, subparts (a) and (b) above.
- d. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that while on duty as a registered pharmacy technician at CVS, Respondent violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, the laws of pharmacy. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraph 3, subparts (a) through (c) above.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 64424, heretofore issued to Respondent Chio Kaung Saephan, is revoked.

1	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
2	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
3	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
4	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
5	This decision shall become effective on April 10, 2015.
6	It is so ORDERED on March 11, 2015.
7	BOARD OF PHARMACY
8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	La C. Wussi
11	BySTAN C. WEISSER
12	Board President
13	11734748.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SA2014115620
14	Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation
15	Exhibit A: Accusation
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	
28	

Accusation No. 5183

ĺ			
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	Kent D. Harris Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	STEPHANIE ALAMO-LATIF		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 283580 1300 I Street, Suite 125		
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6	Telephone: (916) 327-6819 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 5183		
12	CHIO KAUNG SAEPHAN 711 N. Santa Fe		
13	Visalia, California 93292 ACCUSATION		
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 64424		
15	Respondent.		
16	reapolitont,		
17	Virginia Herold ("Complainant") alleges:		
18	PARTIES		
19	1. Complainant brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive		
20	Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	Pharmacy Technician Registration		
22	2. On or about August 10, 2005, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration		
23	Number TCH 64424 to Chio Kaung Saephan ("Respondent"). The pharmacy technician		
24	registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will		
25	expire on June 30, 2015, unless renewed.		
26	<i>1//</i>		
27	<i>///</i>		
28	<i>///</i>		
	1 Accusation		

JURISDICTION

- 3. Business and Professions Code ("Code") section 4300 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- (b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:
 - (1) Suspending judgment.
 - (2) Placing him or her upon probation.
 - (3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
 - (4) Revoking his or her license.
- (5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper . . .
- 4. Code section 4300.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

5. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- (f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- (h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

1//

26

27

28

28

1

- (1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.
- (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency

6. Code section 4022 states:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- (a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- (b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a _____," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- (c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.

7. Code section 4059(a) states:

(a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.

8. Code section 4060 states, in pertinent part:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7,or furnished pursuant

approximately 320 tablets of Norco and 200 tablets of Xanax 2 mg. from CVS without permission or a valid prescription. Respondent admitted that during that same time period he self-administered Norco without a valid prescription.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (*l*), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensed pharmacy technician. Specifically, on or about February 19, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Chio Kaung Saephan*, Tulare County Superior Court, Case No. VCF288743, Respondent was convicted by the court on his plea of nolo contendere to violating Penal Code section 487(a) (grand theft by embezzlement), a felony. The circumstances of the crime are that on or about and between July 27, 2011, and June 16, 2013, while employed as a pharmacy technician at CVS, Respondent took money and personal property exceeding \$950 in value from CVS.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that he committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and/or corruption. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraphs 14 through 16, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (j), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that while on duty as a registered pharmacy technician at CVS, during, but not limited to, that period of time between in on or around September 2012 and June 2013, Respondent violated statutes regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs, as follows:

- a. <u>Health & Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent obtained Norco and Xanax, controlled substances, by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge, by taking the drugs from CVS supplies. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraphs 14 through 17, above.
- b. <u>Health & Safety Code section 11170</u>: Respondent furnished and administered to himself, Norco, a controlled substance. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraphs 14 through 17, above.
- c. <u>Business and Professions Code section 4060</u>: Respondent had possession of controlled substances, Norco and Xanax, without authorization or a valid prescription therefore. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraphs 14 through 17, above.
- d. <u>Business and Professions Code section 4059, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent furnished Norco and Xanax, dangerous drugs, to himself, without a prescription, from CVS inventory. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraphs 14 through 17, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL

(Violation of Pharmacy Law)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that while on duty as a registered pharmacy technician at CVS, Respondent violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, the laws of pharmacy. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraphs 14 through 18, above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 64424, issued to Chio Kaung Saephan;
- 2. Ordering Chio Kaung Saephan to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	
3	DATED: 12/3/14 Legina VIRGINIA HEROLD
4	Executive Officer
5	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6	Complainant
7	SA2014115620
8	11357239.doc
9	·
10	
11	
12	•
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	7 Accusation