1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	BEFORE TH BOARD OF PHAR	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSU STATE OF CALIF	MER AFFAIRS
10	STATE OF CALIFY	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5175
12	TIMOTHY MICHAEL SCHALCHLIN	DEFAULT DECISION
13	330 La Solidad Way Oceanside, CA 92057	AND ORDER
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 94573	[Gov. Code, §11520]
15 [.]	Respondent.	
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
17	FINDINGS OF F.	ACT
18	1. On November 10, 2014, Complainant Vi	irginia K. Herold, in her official capacity
19	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Dep	partment of Consumer Affairs, filed
20	Accusation No. 5175 against Timothy Michael Schalch	lin (Respondent) before the Board of
21	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
22	2. On September 30, 2009, the Board of Ph	armacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
23	Technician Registration No. TCH 94573 to Respondent	. The Pharmacy Technician Registration
24	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the cl	narges brought in Accusation No. 5175
25	and will expire on September 30, 2015, unless renewed	· · ·
26	3. On December 4, 2014, Respondent was	served by Certified and First Class Mail
27	copies of Accusation No. 5175, Statement to Responder	nt, Notice of Defense, Request for
28	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code s	sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7)
	1	
ļ	DEFAULT DEC	ISION AND ORDER CSBP Case Number 5175

•••

AND A REAL OF STREET, AND

A A A A ANALA

1	at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code (Code)
2	section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of
3	record was and is 330 La Solidad Way, Oceanside, CA 92057.
4	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
5	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and Code section 124.
6	5. On December 11, 2014, the domestic return receipt for the aforementioned
7	documents was returned by the U.S. Postal Service indicating receipt on December 9, 2014.
8	6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
9	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific
10	denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice
11	of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
12	7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon
13	him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation
14	No. 5175.
15	8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
16	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express
17	admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
18	9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
19	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the
20	
21	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as
22	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on
23	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5175, finds that
24	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5175, are separately and severally, found to be true
25	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
26	10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Code section 125.3,
27	it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1,280.00
28	as of January 13, 2015.
	2

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER CSBP Case Number 5175

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Timothy Michael Schalchlin has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 94573 to discipline.

The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.

3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.

a. Respondent subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1) in that on April 7, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Timothy Schalchlin, aka Timothy Michael Schalchlin,* in San Diego County Superior Court, Central Division, Case Number CD254237, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty of violation of Health and Safety Code (HSC) sections 11351, possession for sale of cocaine, and 11378, possession for sale of LSD, felonies that are substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician.

b. Respondent subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), when on February 22, 2014, Respondent knowingly and voluntarily engaged in acts involving moral turpitude.

c. Respondent subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (j) in that he violated HSC sections 11351, possession for sale of cocaine, and 11378, possession for sale of LSD.

d. Respondent subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline
under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent possessed dangerous drugs and
controlled substances in violation of Code section 4060.

e. Respondent subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline
under Code section 4301, subdivision (p), in that Respondent was convicted of two felonies,
conduct that would have warranted the denial of a pharmacy technician registration under Code
section 480, subdivision (a)(1).

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER CSBP Case Number 5175

1	ORDER
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 94573, heretofore
3	issued to Respondent Timothy Michael Schalchlin, is revoked.
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
8	This Decision shall become effective on March 6, 2015.
9	It is so ORDERED February 4, 2015.
10 11	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	
12	By <u>C. Unsi</u> STAN C. WEISSER
14	Board President
15	71010846.DOC
16	DOJ Matter ID:SD2014707849
17	Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER CSBP Case Number 5175

Exhibit A

Accusation

4		
]	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Senior Assistant Attorney General	
3	JAMES M. LEDAKIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 132645 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186 5266	
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2105 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE TH	F.
9	BOARD OF PHAR DEPARTMENT OF CONSU	MACY
10	STATE OF CALIFO	
.11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5175
12	TIMOTHY MICHAEL SCHALCHLIN	ACCUSATION
13	330 La Solidad Way Oceanside, CA 92057	ACCOSATION
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 94573	
15	Respondent.	
16	*	
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	PARTIES	
19	1, Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings th	is Accusation solely in her official
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm	acy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2. On September 30, 2009, the Board of Ph	armacy issued Pharmacy Technician
22	Registration Number TCH 94573 to Timothy Michael S	Schalchlin (Respondent). The Pharmacy
23	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at a	ll times relevant to the charges brought
24	herein and will expire on September 30, 2015, unless re	newed.
25	JURISDICTIO	N
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Bo	oard of Pharmacy (Board), Department of
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following	laws. All section references are to the
28	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise	e indicated.
	1	
ļ	l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Accusation CSBP Case Number 5175

.	
1	4. Code section 118, subdivision (b) provides that the suspension, expiration,
2	surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a
3	disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued,
4	or reinstated.
5	5. Code section 4300, subdivision (a) provides that every license issued by the Board
6	may be suspended or revoked.
7	6. Code section 4300.1 states:
8	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued
9	license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a
10	license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
12	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
13	7. Code section 480 states:
14	(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
15	(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of
16 17	this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of <i>nolo contendere</i> . Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed,
18	or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of
19	a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
20	(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure
21	another.
22	(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
23	(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or
24	duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
25	(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a
26	felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that
27	he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable
28	requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate
	2 Accusation CSBP Case Number 5175
(

1 2	the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
	(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground
3	that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license.
5	8. Code section 482 states:
6	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:
7	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
8	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.
9	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation
10	furnished by the applicant or licensee.
11	9. Code section 490 provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a
12	license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the
13	qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
14	10. Code section 492 states:
15	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any
16	diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section
17	23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this
18	code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary
19	action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record
20	pertaining to an arrest. This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2
21	(commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division,
22	
23	11. Code section 493 states:
24	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by
25	a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a
26	person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has
27	been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be
28	conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of
	3
	Accusation CSBP Case Number 5175

ż

1 the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in 2 question. 3 As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.' 4 12. Code section 4022 states 5 "Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe 6 for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following: 7 Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits (a) dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import. 8 Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts (b) 9 this device to sale by or on the order of a ," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner 10 licensed to use or order use of the device, 11 Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be (c) lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006. 12 Code section 4060 states 13. 13 No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to 14 a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished 15 pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, a physician assistant 16 pursuant to Section 3502.1, a naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a 17 pharmacist pursuant to either Section 4052.1 or 4052.2. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, 18 wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or 19 physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name 20 and address of the supplier or producer. Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse 21 practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices. 22 14. Code section 4301 states: • 23 24 The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or 25 misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following: 26 27 (j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of 28 the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. Accusation CSBP Case Number 5175

The conviction of a crime substantially related to the (I)aualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of *nolo contendere* is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

15. Health & Safety Code (HSC) section 11350 states:

a) Except as otherwise provided in this division, every person who possesses (1) any controlled substance specified in subdivision (b) or (c), or paragraph (1) of subdivision (f) of Section 11054, specified in paragraph (14), (15), or (20) of subdivision (d) of Section 11054, or specified in subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11055, or specified in subdivision (h) of Section 11056, or (2) any controlled substance classified in Schedule III, IV, or V which is a narcotic drug, unless upon the written prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or veterinarian licensed to practice in this state, shall be punished by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code.

27 ||

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28 ///

111

5

1	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
2	16. California Code of Regulations, title 16 (CCR), section 1769, states:
3	
4	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a
5	personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
6	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
7	(2) Total criminal record.
8	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
9 10	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
11	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
12	17. CCR, section 1770, states:
13	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or
14	facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially
15	related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or
16 17	registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
18	COST RECOVERY
19	18. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
20	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations
21	of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
22	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not
23	being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs
24	may be included in a stipulated settlement.
25	DRUGS AT ISSUE
26	19. Cocaine is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by HSC section
27	11055, subdivision (b)(6), and is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022. It is
28	a narcotic drug.
	6
	Accusation CSBP Case Number 5175

20. Ecstasy, the street name for Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA), is a
 Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054,
 subdivision (d)(4), and a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022. It is a
 hallucinogenic drug.

5 21. LSD (lysergic acid diethylamide) is a Schedule I controlled substance as
6 designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (d)(12), and is a dangerous
7 drug within the meaning of Code section 4022. It is a hallucinogenic drug.

8 22. Methadone is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and
9 Safety Code section 11055(c)(14) and a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section
10 4022. It is a synthetic opiate.

11

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

12 || (Apr. 7, 2014 Conviction for Possession for Sale of Controlled Substances on Feb. 22, 2014)

Respondent subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under
Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1) in that Respondent was convicted of crimes that are
substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy
technician. The circumstances are as follows:

17 a. On April 7, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California vs. Timothy Schalchlin, aka Timothy Michael Schalchlin, in San Diego County 18 Superior Court, Central Division, Case Number CD254237, Respondent was convicted on his 19 plea of guilty of violation of HSC sections 11351, possession for sale of cocaine, and 11378, 20 possession for sale of LSD, felonies. Respondent admitted and the court found true the allegation 21 under Penal Code (PC) section 12022, subdivision (c), that during the commission of the 22 23 felonies, Respondent was personally armed with a firearm, a sentencing enhancement under PC section 1170, subdivision (h). Felony charges of transport for sale of a controlled substance 24 under a two-count violation of HSC section 11352, subdivision (a), one for cocaine and the other 25 for methadone, and a two-count violation of HSC section 11379, subdivision (a), one for MDMA 26 or Ecstasy and the other for LSD, were dismissed pursuant to a plea bargain. Additional felony 27 28 111

7

Accusation CSBP Case Number 5175

charges of possession for sale of methadone under HSC section 11351 and Ecstasy under HSC section 11378, were also dismissed pursuant to a plea bargain.

b. As a result of the convictions, on April 7, 2014, Respondent was sentenced to be committed to the custody of the San Diego County Sheriff for 365 days, to be served concurrent with four years in state prison, with credit for 42 days served and 42 days for good behavior, service of the remainder of which was deferred. Respondent was granted three years formal probation under treatment, therapy, counseling, drug, and alcohol conditions. Respondent was ordered to pay fines, fees, assessments, restitution, and the cost of probation. Respondent was also ordered to complete 20 days of volunteer work under the Public Service Program, and attend and successfully complete a cognitive behavioral counseling program.

С, The circumstances that led to the convictions are that on February 22, 11 2014, Respondent was stopped by an officer from the San Diego State University (SDSU) Police 12 Department for violation of traffic regulations while driving in the area of Lindo Paseo and 13 College Avenues in San Diego, California. During the initial contact with Respondent, the 14 officer saw in plain view inside the Respondent's car plastic baggies consistent with narcotics 15 usage. A subsequent search of Respondent's car yielded 59 separate items of dangerous drugs, 16 money, and weapons, including two loaded firearms, metal knuckles, and a pay and owe book. 17 Respondent was arrested for narcotics and weapons, and thereafter booked into jail. 18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Commission of Acts Involving Moral Turpitude)

24. Respondent subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under
Code section 4301, subdivision (f), in that on February 22, 2014, Respondent knowingly and
voluntarily participated in the transport and possession of drugs for sale. Respondent also
possessed two loaded firearms. Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude when he
engaged in the transportation and possession of dangerous drugs while armed and while in the
vicinity of an educational facility, as detailed in paragraph 23, above.

27

28 ///

 $\parallel \parallel$

8

Accusation CSBP Case Number 5175

1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Unprofessional Conduct – Violation of Drug Laws)
3	25. Respondent subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under
4	Code section 4301, subdivision (j) in that he violated HSC sections 11351, possession for sale of
5	cocaine, and 11378, possession for sale of LSD, statutes of the State of California regulating
6	controlled substances, as detailed in paragraph 23, above.
7	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
8	(Unprofessional Conduct – Violation of Pharmacy Laws)
9	26. Respondent subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under
10	Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that Respondent possessed dangerous drugs and controlled
11	substances in violation of Code section 4060, as detailed in paragraph 23, above.
12	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Conduct That Would Have Warranted Denial of a License)
14	27. Respondent subjected his pharmacy technician registration to discipline under
15	Code section 4301, subdivision (p), in that Respondent was convicted of two felonies, conduct
16	that would have warranted the denial of a pharmacy technician registration under Code section
17	480, subdivision (a)(1), as detailed in paragraph 23, above.
18	PRAYER
19	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
20	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
21	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 94573,
22	issued to Timothy Michael Schalchlin;
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	9 Accusation CSBP Case Number 517:

Ordering Timothy Michael Schalchlin to pay the Board of Pharmacy the 2. reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. 11/10/14 DATED: VIRGIN IEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2014707849 70968417.doc Accusation CSBP Case Number 5175