.			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	BEFORE THE		
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5157	
12			
13	SATNAM S. BAJWA 16387 Silkwood Court	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
14	Delhi, California 95315 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
15	60415		
16			
17	Respondent.		
18	· ·		
19	FINDINGS OF FACT		
20	1. On or about June 14, 2014, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the California State Board of Pharmacy (Board), filed Accusation No.		
22	5157 against Satnam S. Bajwa (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy. (Accusation attached		
23	as Exhibit A.)		
24			
25	2. On or about January 27, 2005, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration		
26	No. TCH 60415 to Respondent. The Pharmacy	-	
27	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5157 and will expired on		
28	1		
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER		
l			

April 30, 2014, and has not been renewed. This lapse in licensure, however, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 118(b) and/or agency-specific statute does not deprive the Board of 2 its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

On or about July 8, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified Mail and United States 3. 4 First Class mail with copies of the Accusation No. 5157, Statement to Respondent, Notice of 5 Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 6 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and 7 Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. 8 Respondent's address of record was and is: 16387 Silkwood Court, Delhi, California 95315. 9

4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124

As of August 4, 2014, neither that Certified nor United States First Class mail, each 5. containing the documents described in Paragraph 3, has been returned by the United States Postal Service.

6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him 7. of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.

5157. 22

1

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

111

2

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds that
 Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the
 relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this matter,
 as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained
 therein on file at the Board offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5157.
 Based thereon, the Board finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5157 are,
 separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

8 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and
9 Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation
10 and Enforcement is \$4,107.00 as of August 4, 2014.

## DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1.Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Satnam S. Bajwa has subjectedhis Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 60415 to discipline.

14

11

12

13

2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

3. The Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration
based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the
evidence contained in the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:

a. Violation of Business & Professions Code section 4301(f) for unprofessional conduct
 by Respondent's theft of more than 1,000 dosage units of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325
 from his employer, acts of moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud and corruption

b. Violation of Business & Professions Code section 4301(h) for unprofessional conduct
by Respondent's self-administration of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325.

c. Violation of Business & Professions Code section 4301(j) for unprofessional conduct
by Respondent obtaining the aforesaid controlled substances by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation
or subterfuge, and possession of controlled substances without a valid prescription.

3

27 ///

111

28

26

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

1	d. Violation of Business & Professions Code section 4301(0) for unprofessional conduc		
2	by violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, a provision or term of the Pharmacy		
3	Law, specifically Business & Professions Code section 4060.		
4	ORDER		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 60415, heretofore		
6	issued to Respondent Satnam S. Bajwa, is revoked.		
7	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a		
8	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within		
9	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may		
10	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
11	This Decision shall become effective on October 9, 2014.		
12	It is so ORDERED September 9, 2014.		
13	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
15	By _ g (. Wussi		
16	STAN C. WEISSER Board President		
17			
18	Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
25			
20			
28			
_	4		

Exhibit A Accusation

	r 🔨	· · ·
•.		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General STERLING A. SMITH Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 84287 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-0378 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARM	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUM STATE OF CALIFOR	
10		
11		Case No. 5157
12	SATNAM S. BAJWA 16387 Silkwood Court	
13		ACCUSATION
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 60415	
15	Respondent.	
16 17	Complainant alleges:	
18	PARTIES	
19	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this A	accusation solely in her official capacity
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Boar	
21 <sup>- *</sup>	2. On or about January 27, 2005, the Board issue	
ż2	Number TCH 60415 to Satnam S. Bajwa ("Respondent").	
23	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the char	ges brought herein and will expire on
24	April 30, 2014, unless renewed.	•
25	JURISDICTION	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board u	nder the authority of the following
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Profe	ssions Code unless otherwise indicated.
28	///	
	1	
		, Accusation

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

4. Code section 4300 states, in pertinent part:

(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.

(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:

(1) Suspending judgment.

(2) Placing him or her upon probation.

(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one

year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(4) Revoking his or her license.

(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper . . .

5. Code section 4300.1 states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

6. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency....

7. Code section 4022 states:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.

(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a -----," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.

(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.

8. Code section 4060 states, in pertinent part:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7,or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052.

9. Health and Safety Code section 11170 states that no person shall prescribe,

21 administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself.

10. Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part, that

23 || "[n]o person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to

24 procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit,

25 || misrepresentation, or subterfuge . . ."

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

-13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

#### COST RECOVERY

27 11. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the
28 administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of

3

Accusation

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

# <u>DRUGS</u>

12. "Norco", a brand of hydrocodone bitartrate and acetaminophen, is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4).
 Norco is also a dangerous drug within the meaning of Code section 4022 in that it requires a prescription under federal law.

#### BACKGROUND

9 13. At all times relevant to the charges brought herein, Respondent was employed as a
10 pharmacy technician at Raley's Pharmacy #310 ("Raley's") located at 2900 Geer Road in
11 Turlock, California.

14. On or about September 11, 2013, the Board received a written notice from Pharmacy 12 Supervisor J. A. that Respondent had stolen hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg from 13 Raley's. J. A. stated that Raley's pharmacist-in-charge ("PIC") had performed an audit of the 14 stolen drugs from May 8, 2013 to September 4, 2013, and had found a shortage of 574 tablets of 15 Watson brand hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg. J. A. provided the Board with copies of, 16 among other things, Respondent's handwritten statement dated September 4, 2013. Respondent 17 stated that about one year ago, he suffered a third degree sprain to his ankle. Respondent wore an 18 ankle brace for over six months and was on medication for about two months. Once 19 Respondent's medication ran out, he felt lethargic, depressed, and anxious "all the time." 20 Respondent admitted that he started taking Watson brand hydrocodone/APAP 10 mg from 21 Raley's without paying for the medication. Respondent stated that the pills alleviated his ankle 22 pain and also "made the lethargy, depression and anxiety go away." Respondent initially took 23 more hydrocodone/APAP per day and then as the "guilt kicked in", tried to wean himself off of 24 the medication. Respondent admitted that he would take a "whole bottle" of 100 tablets and 25 would sometimes take half empty bottles or pills directly out of the bottle. Respondent took 10 26 bottles of hydrocodone/APAP over a period of one year. Respondent would move a bottle of the 27 medication to a lower shelf and would put it his pocket during the final few minutes of his shift. · 28

Other times, Respondent would take a handful of pills and place them in his pocket. Respondent was aware that stealing the hydrocodone/APAP was a violation of company policy, but continued taking the medication due to his feelings of depression and anxiety.

1

2

3

4 15. On or about September 20, 2013, the Board's Inspector sent a letter to J. A.,
5 requesting that Raley's conduct an expanded audit to include the entire time period of diversion,
6 starting approximately one year prior to September 4, 2013. The Inspector also requested a
7 detailed explanation of the circumstances surrounding the theft, a copy of the Audit Summary and
8 supporting documents, and all documents pertaining to Raley's internal investigation of the theft.

9 16. On or about October 4, 2013, J. A. submitted copies of, among other things, a written
10 statement from PIC M. S., a Raley's investigative report prepared by J. S., and audit summaries
11 and supporting documentation, indicating a loss of 1,156 dosage units of

12 || hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg from May 2, 2012 to September 18, 2013.

M. S. indicated in his written statement that on May 8, 2013, a controlled substance 17. 13 inventory was conducted. On June 17, 2013, M. S. received the delivery of a 100-count bottle of 14 Watson brand hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg (the medication was no longer a 15 contracted generic with Raley's). On June 25, 2013, M. S. received another delivery of a 100-16 count bottle of Watson brand hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg. M. S. immediately 17 18 notified J. A. of the issue, and began his daily count and monitoring of the drug. On July 8, 2013, 19 J. S. discovered a shortage of 100 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg. Raley's loss prevention initiated an investigation. On July 22, 2013, additional security covert cameras 20 were installed. 21

18. J. S. stated in his investigative report that on July 7, 2013, at closing, M. S. verified
the presence of two bottles of Watson brand hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg. on the
shelf. On July 9, 2013, M. S. discovered that one of the bottles was missing, while the dispensing
record showed the medication had not been dispensed between July 8, 2013 and July 9, 2013.
J. S. reviewed surveillance video taken at Raley's on September 2, 2013. J. S. observed
Respondent relocate a Watson bottle of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg onto a lower
shelf. Respondent later withdrew the bottle and concealed it inside his left pocket.

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)		
19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301,		
subdivision (f), for unprofessional conduct, in that while employed and on duty as a pharmacy		
technician at Raley's Pharmacy #310, Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude,		
dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, as follows: On and between September 2012 and		
September 4, 2013, Respondent stole or took over 1,000 dosage units of the controlled substance		
hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325 mg from the pharmacy for self-administration.		
SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
(Self-Administration of Controlled Substances)		
20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301,		
subdivision (h), for unprofessional conduct, in that in and between September 2012 and		
September 2013, while employed and/or on duty as a pharmacy technician at Raley's Pharmacy		
#310, Respondent self-administered the controlled substance hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325		
mg and/or used the medication to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself,		
others, and/or the public, as set forth above.		
THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL		
(Violations of State Laws Regulating Controlled Substances)		
21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301,		
subdivision (j), for unprofessional conduct, in that on and between September 2012 and		
September 4, 2013, while employed and on duty as a pharmacy technician at Raley's Pharmacy		
#310, Respondent violated state laws regulating controlled substances, as follows:		
a. Respondent obtained the controlled substance hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325		
mg by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge, in violation of Health and Safety Code		
section 11173, subdivision (a), as set forth above.		
b. Respondent possessed the controlled substance hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325		
mg without a valid prescription from a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or		
naturopathic doctor, in violation of Code section 4060, as set forth above.		
6		

### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL

#### (Violations of the Pharmacy Law)

22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for unprofessional conduct, in that on and between September 2012 and September 4, 2013, while employed and on duty as a pharmacy technician at Raley's Pharmacy #310, Respondent violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, a provision or term of the Pharmacy Law (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4300, et seq.), specifically, Code section 4060, as set forth above.

### <u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 60415,
 issued to Satnam S. Bajwa;

14
2. Ordering Satnam S. Bajwa to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the
15 investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
16
125.3;

3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

7

18 19 DATED: 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

 $\cdot 21$ 

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SA2014115301

VIRGINIA

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California *Complainant* 

Accusation