1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5141	
12	TONYA MARIE PADILLA	Case 110. 3141	
13	6130 Camino Real, #119 Riverside, CA 92509	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
14		DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 114094	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	FINDINGS OF FACT		
19	1. On or about June 9, 2014, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as the		
20	Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, De	partment of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation	
21	No. 5141 against Tonya Marie Padilla (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy. (Accusation		
22	attached as Exhibit A.)		
23	2. On or about October 10, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy		
24	Technician Registration No. TCH 114094 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration		
25	expired on September 30, 2013, and has not been	n renewed.	
26	3. On or about July 3, 2014, Responder	nt was served by Certified and First Class Mail	
27	copies of the Accusation No. 5141, Statement to	Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for	
28	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at		
		1	

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 6130 Camino Real, #119, Riverside, CA 92509.

- 4 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
 5 Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section
 6 124.
- 5. On or about July 21, 2014, the aforementioned documents sent by First Class mail
 were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked ""Not at this address," "Return to Sender,
 Unable to Forward", and "Not Deliverable as Addressed." The address on the documents was the
 same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address
 with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file.
 Respondent has not made herself available for service and therefore, has not availed herself of her
 right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
- 14

21

1

2

3

6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a
notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation
not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's
right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

19 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of
20 the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5141.

8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the
agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence
and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
 Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the
 relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as
 taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on

file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5141, finds that
 the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5141, are separately and severally, found to be true
 and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and
Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation
and Enforcement is \$2,190.00 as of July 23, 2014.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

8 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Tonya Marie Padilla has
9 subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 114094 to discipline.

10

7

2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
 Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported
 by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:

a. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301,
subdivision (l) of the Code in that on or about September 4, 2013, in a criminal proceeding
entitled People of the State of California v. Tonya Marie Padilla, Riverside Superior Court, Case
number RIF1307068, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Penal Code
section 503, embezzlement, and Health and Safety Code section 11350(a), possession of a
controlled substance, both felony crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties,
and functions of a pharmacy technician.

b. Respondent has subjected her license to disciplinary action under section 4301,
subdivision (f) of the Code in that Respondent committed an act involving dishonesty, fraud,
deceit, or corruption, when she stole controlled substances from her employer while working as a
pharmacy technician.

c. Respondent has subjected her license to disciplinary action under section 4301,
subdivision (j) of the Code for violation of Health and Safety Code section 11170 in that
Respondent illegally furnished to herself controlled substances without a prescription, when she
stole hydrocodone/APAP from CVS.

1	d. Respondent has subjected her license to disciplinary action under section 4301,		
2	subdivision (0) of the Code for violation of Code section 4060 in that Respondent illegally		
3	possessed controlled substances without a prescription.		
4	ORDER		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 114094, heretofore		
6	issued to Respondent Tonya Marie Padilla, is revoked.		
7	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a		
8	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within		
9	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may		
10	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
11	This Decision shall become effective on September 26, 2014.		
12	It is so ORDERED August 27, 2014.		
13	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
15	By _ G (. Wussi		
16	STAN C. WEISSER Board President		
17			
18	70914206.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SD2014706877		
19	Attachment:		
20	Exhibit A: Accusation		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	4		

Exhibit A

Accusation

· •		· · · · · ·
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JAMES M. LEDAKIS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General NICOLE R. TRAMA	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 263607 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2143	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2145 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF C	
11	· ·	Case No. 5141
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5141
13	TONYA MARIE PADILLA	
14	6130 Camino Real, #119 Riverside, CA 92509	ACCUSATION
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	
16	114094	
17	Respondent.	
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PAR	TIES
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about October 10, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
23	Registration Number TCH 114094 to Tonya Marie Padilla (Respondent). The Pharmacy	
24	Technician Registration expired on September 30, 2013, and has not been renewed.	
25 [.]	JURISDICTION	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
28	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.	
	1	

р 1910 г. – К

11		
1	4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be	
2	suspended or revoked."	
3	5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:	
4	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued	
5	license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.	
. 6 . 7		
8	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
9	6. Section 482 of the Code states:	
10	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:	
11		
12	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or	
13	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.	
14 15	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.	
16	7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or	
17	revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related	
18	to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was	
19	issued.	
20	8. Section 493 of the Code states:	
21	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a	
22	board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person	
23	who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been	
24	convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive	
25	evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,	
26	and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in	
27	question.	
28		
	2	

i

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

. . . .

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

າາ

23

24

25

26

27

28

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing

pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

10. Section 4059 of the Code states:

(a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.

11. Section 4060 of the Code states:

No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse-midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse-midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices.

2()

12. Health and Safety Code section 11170 states that no person shall prescribe,

22 administer, or furnish a controlled substance for himself.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:

(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

H		
1	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).	
2	(2) Total criminal record.	
3	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).	
4	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.	
5	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.	
6 7	14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:	
8	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the	
9 10	qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to	
11	perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.	
12		
13	COST RECOVERY	
14	15. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request	
15	the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations	
16	of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and	
17	enforcement of the case.	
18	DRUGS	
19	16. Hydrocodone/APAP is a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and	
20	Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and	
21	Professions Code section 4022.	
22	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
23	(September 4, 2013 Criminal Conviction for Embezzlement and Possession of a Controlled	
24	Substance on May 1, 2013)	
25	17. Respondent has subjected her license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301,	
26	subdivision (1) of the Code in that she was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the	
27	qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:	
28		
	5	

ll

a. On or about September 4, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State* of California v. Tonya Marie Padilla, Riverside Superior Court, case number RIF1307068. Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Penal Code section 503, 3 embezzlement, and Health and Safety Code section 11350(a), possession of a controlled 4 substance, both felonies. 5

b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that Respondent was employed as a 6 pharmacy technician at CVS Pharmacy, located in Riverside, California. In or around April 2013, 7 supervisors at CVS Pharmacy were notified that hydrocodone/APAP was missing in the pharmacy. 8 Thereafter, the Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC) installed covert cameras to record the activities in the 9 pharmacy and she created a system to store all controlled substances in a separate waiting bin 10 which required an employee signature. 11

12

1

2

A review of the video surveillance showed that on June 16, 2013, Respondent c. removed a prescription for controlled substances from the waiting bin, placed the bag in her 13 waistband, and covered her waistband with her shirt. After concealing the drugs in her waistband, 14 Respondent continued performing her duties until the closing of the pharmacy. The prescription 15 16 that Respondent took was 120 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 5-500.

d. The video also showed that on May 19, 2013, Respondent took a prescription for a 17 controlled substance out of the waiting bin and concealed it in her waistband. On or about June 18 18, 2013, Respondent was interviewed by Loss Prevention staff, but would not answer any 19 questions. CVS Pharmacy then contacted the Riverside Police Department and Respondent was 20 arrested. Following her arrest, CVS conducted an audit and identified a loss of 5,675 tablets of 21 Hydrocodone/APAP 5-500; 3,087 tablets of Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-500; 2,276 tablets of 22 23 Hydrocodone/APAP 7.5-750; 1,364 tablets of Hydrocodone/APAP 5-325; and 259 tablets of Hydrocodone/APAP 10-325. 74

As a result of her conviction, on September 4, 2013, Respondent was ordered to serve 25 e 36 months of formal probation, sentenced to the custody of the Riverside Sheriff for 120 days, 26 with 112 days to be served in the work release program, ordered to not use or possess controlled 27 substances unless prescribed, ordered to pay restitution, required to participate and complete a 28

1	counseling, rehabilitation or treatment program, and ordered to not have any direct or indirect	
2	contact with CVS.	
3	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
4	(Dishonest Act)	
5	18. Respondent has subjected her license to disciplinary action under section 4301,	
6	subdivision (f) of the Code in that Respondent committed an act involving dishonesty, fraud,	
7	deceit, or corruption, when she stole controlled substances from her employer while working as a	
8	pharmacy technician, as detailed in paragraph 17, above, which is incorporated herein by reference.	
9	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
10	(Unlawful Furnishing of a Controlled Substance)	
11	19. Respondent has subjected her license to disciplinary action under section 4301,	
12	subdivision (j) of the Code for violation of Health and Safety Code section 11170 in that	
13	Respondent illegally furnished to herself controlled substances without a prescription, when she	
14	stole hydrocodone/APAP from CVS, as detailed in paragraph 17, above, which is incorporated	
15	herein by reference.	
16	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
17	(Unlawful Possession of a Controlled Substance)	
18	20. Respondent has subjected her license to disciplinary action under section 4301,	
19	subdivision (o) of the Code for violation of Code section 4060 in that Respondent illegally	
20	possessed controlled substances without a prescription, as detailed in paragraph 17, above, which	
21	is incorporated herein by reference.	
22	PRAYER	
23	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
24	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
25	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 114094,	
26	issued to Tonya Marie Padilla;	
27		
28		
	7	

)

()

Ordering Tonya Marie Padilla to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of 2. the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. 6/9/14 DATED: VIRGINIA Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2014706877 70840206.doc

Ę