·		
		•
	· ·	
		, .
	BEFOR BOARD OF P	HARMACY
	DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF CA	
	<u> </u>	
]	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5112
	SHIAO-EN YUSEF LEE 7966 Camino Tranquilo	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
		[Gov. Code, §11520]
] ]	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 122019	
	Respondent.	
	FINDINGS	OF FACT
	1. On or about June 14, 2014, Complain	ant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as
•	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, I	Department of Consumer Affairs, filed
	Accusation No. 5112 against Shiao-En Yusef Lee	(Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.
	(A copy of the Accusation is attached as Exhibit A	A.)
	2. On or about March 22, 2012, the Boar	rd of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
	Technician Registration No. TCH 122019 to Resp	oondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration
	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to	the charges brought in Accusation No. 5112
	and will expire on October 31, 2015, unless renew	ved.
	3. On or about July 7, 2014, Respondent	was served by Certified and First Class Mail
	copies of the Accusation No. 5112, Statement to I	Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for
	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government (	Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7)
	Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to	Business and Professions Code section 4100
	1	

P

1	and California Code of Regulations, title 16 section 1704, is required to be reported and
2	maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:
3	7966 Camino Tranquilo
4	San Diego, CA 92122
5	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
6	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section
7	124.
. 8	5. On or about July 21, 2014, the Domestic Return Receipt for the aforementioned
9	documents served by Certified Mail was returned by the U.S. Postal Service signed by
10	Respondent indicating delivery.
11	6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
12	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts
13	of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion
14	may nevertheless grant a hearing.
15	7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him
16	of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.
.17	5112.
18	8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
19	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions
20	or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
21	respondent.
22	9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
23	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the
24	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as
25	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on
26	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5112, finds that
27	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5112, are separately and severally, found to be true
28	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

-----

20

1	10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and	
2	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation	
3	and Enforcement is \$2,453.00 as of August 19, 2014.	
4	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES	
5	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Shiao-En Yusef Lee has	
6	subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 122019 to discipline.	
7	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.	
8	3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician	
9	Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported	
10	by the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:	
11	a. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under Business and	
12	Professions Code sections 490 and 4301(l) in that on November 27, 2012, in a criminal	
13	proceeding entitled People v. Shiaoen Yusef Lee, in San Diego County Superior Court, case	
14	number CD243748, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Health andSafety	
15	Code section 11378, possession of a controlled substance for sale; and Penal Code section 459,	
16	burglary, crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a	
17	pharmacy technician.	
18	b. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under section 4301(f) of	
19	the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012, he	
20	obtained controlled substances from his employer/pharmacy using fraud, deceit, and dishonesty.	
21	c. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under section 4301(j) of	
22	the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012, he	
23	knowingly violated Business and Professions Code sections 4022, 4059, and 4060, and Health	
24	and Safety Code section 11378.	
25	d. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(o) of the Code	
26	for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012, he violated	
27	federal and California statutes and regulations governing pharmacy when he obtained controlled	

28 substances using fraud and deceit.

. . . .

1	ORDER
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 122019, heretofore
3	issued to Respondent Shiao-En Yusef Lee, is revoked.
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
8	This Decision shall become effective on October 20, 2014.
9	It is so ORDERED September 19, 2014.
10	
11	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	By (. Wussi
14	STAN C. WEISSER
15	Board President
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	DOJ Matter ID: SD2014706693
27	Attachment:
28	Exhibit A: Accusation
	4

## Exhibit A

э.

Accusation

1	Kamala D. Harris	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336	
4	AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	,
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2141 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORI	THE
10	BOARD OF P DEPARTMENT OF CO	HARMACY
11	STATE OF CA	LIFORNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5112
13		ACCUSATION
14	7966 Camino Tranquilo San Diego, CA 92122	× .
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 122019	
16		
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PART	IES
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings	this Accusation solely in her official capacity
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	, Department of Consumer Affairs.
23	2. On or about March 22, 2012, the Board	d of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
24	Registration Number TCH 122019 to Shiao-En Yu	sef Lee (Respondent). The Pharmacy
25	Technician Registration was in full force and effec	t at all times relevant to the charges brought
26	herein and will expire on October 31, 2015, unless	renewed.
27	1/1	
28	1/1	
	1	
		Accusation

	11		
1		JURISDICTION	
2	3.	This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Departme	ent of
3	Consumer	r Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are t	to the
4	Business a	and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	
5	4.	Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states: "Every license issued may l	ре
6	suspended	d or revoked."	
7	5.	Section 4300.1 of the Code states:	
8		The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license	by
9	licer	ration of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement nse on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall	not
10	actio	rive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of on or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspend evoking the license.	, or ling
11		STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
12	6.	Section 482 of the Code states:	
13			
14	reha	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate bilitation of a person when:	the
15		(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or	
16		(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.	
17 18	furn	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitat ished by the applicant or licensee.	ion
19	7.	Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend	or
20	revoke a li	icense on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantial	ly
21	related to t	the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which th	ne
22	license wa	as issued.	
23	8.	Section 493 of the Code states:	
24		Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a bo	ard
25	or re	in the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspe voke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who hold	ls a
26	subs	nse, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a cri stantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee	in
27	that	stion, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the f the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into	the
28	circu	umstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree o	t
		2	
[		A	Accusatio

discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

. . . .

. . . .

. . . .

.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	10. Section 4022 of the Code states
2	"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:
4	(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
5	(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device
6	to sale by or on the order of a," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
7	(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed
8	only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.
9	11. Section 4060 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that no person shall possess any
<sub>۱</sub> 10	controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician,
11	dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor.
12	12. United States Code, title 21, section 843 states, in pertinent part:
13	(a) It shall be unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally –
14	••••
15	(3) to acquire or obtain possession of a controlled substance by misrepresentation, fraud, forgery, deception, or subterfuge;
16	
17	
18	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
19	13. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
20	· · · · ·
21	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal License on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime,
22	the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
23	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
24	(2) Total criminal record.
25	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
26	<ul><li>(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation,</li></ul>
27	restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
28	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
	4
·	Accusation

1	14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
2	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions
3	Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences
4	present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health,
5	safety, or welfare.
6	COSTS
7	15. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
8	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
9	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
10	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not
11	being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs
12	may be included in a stipulated settlement.
13	DRUG
14	16. Ecstasy, the street name for 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA), is a
15	Schedule I controlled substance pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 11054,
16	subdivision (d)(4), and is classified as a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions
17	Code section 4022.
18	17. Hydrocodone bitartate/acetaminophen (APAP), sold under the brand name Norco, is a
19	Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056,
20	subdivision (e)(4), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
21	4022.
22	COSTS
23	18. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
24	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
25	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
26	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not
27	being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs
28	may be included in a stipulated settlement.
	5
	Accusation

## FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

3

4

5

6

## (November 27, 2012 Criminal Convictions for

## Possession of a Controlled Substance for Sale & Burglary)

19. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1) of the Code in that he was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

a. On or about November 27, 2012, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Shiaoen Yusef Lee,* in San Diego County Superior Court, case number
CD243748, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Health and Safety Code
section 11378, possession for sale of a controlled substance, to wit, MDMA or Ecstasy; and Penal
Code section 459, burglary, felonies. Pursuant to Respondent's plea agreement, the court
dismissed additional counts of possession of hydrocodone for sale (Health & Saf. Code, § 11351),
and grand theft of personal property (Pen. Code, § 487(a)).

b. As a result of the convictions, on or about November 27, 2012, Respondent was
sentenced to 180 days in jail, stayed, pending successful completion of three years formal
probation. Respondent was ordered to perform 20 days of community service, register as a drug
offender, pay fees, fines and restitution, and comply with the terms of probation, which included
a Fourth Amendment waiver, and a stay away order for CVS.

The facts that led to the convictions are that on or about September 26, 2012, 19 c. 20 the Drug Enforcement Agency's Tactical Diversion Squad (TDS) in San Diego was contacted by the regional loss prevention manager for CVS Pharmacy. The loss prevention manager reported 21 that Respondent, who had been employed for 11 months at a Solana Beach CVS, prepared a 22 statement and signed a promissory note wherein he admitted stealing alprazolam and 23 hydrocodone from the pharmacy. On October 3, 2012, the TDS agents met with the loss 24 prevention manager at the CVS Pharmacy where they arrested Respondent, then conducted a 25 consensual interview. Respondent claimed that he met "Jessie" on Facebook. Respondent agreed 26 to provide Jessie with Xanax (alprazolam) and hydrocodone in exchange for money. Respondent 27 stated he would take one bottle (100 count) at a time from the pharmacy, and that he took a total 28

1	of six bottles of Xanax. Respondent would place the bottles in his pocket and walk out of the
2	store, then deliver them to Jessie. Respondent stated that the last time he stole was when he took
3	a bottle of 100 tablets of hydrocodone APAP approximately three weeks earlier, and that he sold
4	the tablets for \$2.00 each. Respondent signed a consent to search. The TDS entered
5	Respondent's residence and took custody of 13 tablets of hydrocodone and 12 tablets of Ecstasy.
6	In a February 19, 2013 written statement provided to a Board investigator, Respondent admitted
7	that he took the drugs for self-use, that he had become addicted, and that his story about selling
8	the drugs to "Jessie" was a fabrication.
9	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
10	(Commission of Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit & Corruption)
11	20. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under section 4301,
12	subdivision (f) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and
13	October 3, 2012, Respondent obtained controlled substances from his employer/pharmacy using
14	fraud, deceit, and dishonesty, as described in paragraph 19, above.
15	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
16	(Violation of California Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)
17	21. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under section 4301,
	21. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and
17	
17 18	subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and
17 18 19	subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012, Respondent knowingly violated Business and Professions Code sections 4022,
17 18 19 20	subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012, Respondent knowingly violated Business and Professions Code sections 4022, 4059, and 4060, and Health and Safety Code section 11378, as described in paragraph 19, above.
17 18 19 20 21	subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012, Respondent knowingly violated Business and Professions Code sections 4022, 4059, and 4060, and Health and Safety Code section 11378, as described in paragraph 19, above. <u>FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u>
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012, Respondent knowingly violated Business and Professions Code sections 4022, 4059, and 4060, and Health and Safety Code section 11378, as described in paragraph 19, above. <u>FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Violation of Federal & State Laws & Regulations Governing Pharmacy)
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and</li> <li>October 3, 2012, Respondent knowingly violated Business and Professions Code sections 4022,</li> <li>4059, and 4060, and Health and Safety Code section 11378, as described in paragraph 19, above.</li> <li><u>FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u></li> <li>(Violation of Federal &amp; State Laws &amp; Regulations Governing Pharmacy)</li> <li>22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o) of the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012, Respondent knowingly violated Business and Professions Code sections 4022, 4059, and 4060, and Health and Safety Code section 11378, as described in paragraph 19, above.</li> <li><u>FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Violation of Federal &amp; State Laws &amp; Regulations Governing Pharmacy) 22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012,</li></ul>
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012, Respondent knowingly violated Business and Professions Code sections 4022, 4059, and 4060, and Health and Safety Code section 11378, as described in paragraph 19, above.</li> <li><u>FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u></li> <li>(Violation of Federal &amp; State Laws &amp; Regulations Governing Pharmacy)</li> <li>22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012, Respondent violated Title 21 U.S.C. section 843, subdivision (a)(3), Board of Pharmacy</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012, Respondent knowingly violated Business and Professions Code sections 4022, 4059, and 4060, and Health and Safety Code section 11378, as described in paragraph 19, above.</li> <li><u>FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u></li> <li>(Violation of Federal &amp; State Laws &amp; Regulations Governing Pharmacy)</li> <li>22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or between June 1, 2012 and October 3, 2012,</li> <li>Respondent violated Title 21 U.S.C. section 843, subdivision (a)(3), Board of Pharmacy</li> <li>Regulations (California Code of Regulations, Title 16, Section 1700, et seq.), and the California</li> </ul>

1	PRAYER
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
3	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
4	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 122019,
5	issued to Shiao-En Yusef Lee;
6	2. Ordering Shiao-En Yusef Lee to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of
7	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
8	125.3;
9	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
10	1
11	
12	DATED: 6/14/14 ( ingine bedd
13	VIRGINIA/HAROLD Executive Officer
14	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
15	State of California Complainant
16	SD2014706693
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
27	
28	0
	8 Accusation