BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 5097

OAH No. 2016080859

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

NGHI VAN DANG, P.O. Box 388

Friant, CA 93626

Pharmacist License No. RPH 54244

DANG PHARMACY CORPORATION, Doing business as FRIANT PHARMACY, NGHI VAN DANG, President and Pharmacist in Charge, 17122 N. Friant Road P.O. Box 388 Friant, CA 93626

Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47301

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on February 22, 2017.

It is so ORDERED on January 23, 2017.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California			
2	JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General	•		
3	JEFFREY M. PHILLIPS Deputy Attorney General			
4	State Bar No. 154990 1300 I Street, Suite 125			
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	·		
6	Telephone: (916) 324-6292 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY			
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10		A STATE OF THE STA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5097		
12	NGHI VAN DANG, P.O. Box 388	OAH No. 2016080859		
13	Friant, CA 93626	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF PERMIT AND LICENSE AND ORDER		
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 54244			
15	DANG PHARMACY CORPORATION, doing business as			
16	FRIANT PHARMACY, NGHI VAN DANG, President and			
17	Pharmacist in Charge, 17122 N. Friant Road			
18	P.O. Box 388 Friant, CA 93626			
19	Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47301			
20	Respondents.			
21	respondents.			
22	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGI	REED by and between the parties to the above-		
23	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:			
24	PARTIES			
25	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy			
26	(Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by			
27	Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Jeffrey M. Phillips, Deputy			
28	Attorney General.			
		1		

- 2. Nghi Van Dang and Dang Pharmacy Corporation, doing business as Friant Pharmacy, Nghi Van Dang, President and Pharmacist in Charge (Respondents) are represented in this proceeding by attorney David W. Bonilla, Esq. whose address is 901 H Street, Suite 620, Sacramento, CA 95814.
- 3. On or about March 26, 2003, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 54244 to Respondent Nghi Van Dang. The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2018, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about October 3, 2005, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit Number PHY 47301 to Dang Pharmacy Corporation, doing business as Friant Pharmacy, Nghi Van Dang, President and Pharmacist in Charge. The Pharmacy License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 1, 2017, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

5. Accusation No. 5097 was filed before the (Board), and is currently pending against Respondents. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on August 11, 2016. Respondents timely filed their Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 5097 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondents have carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5097. Respondents also have carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 7. Respondents are fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other

rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondents voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondents understand that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5097, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon its Pharmacy License and Pharmacist License.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondents agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline. Respondents hereby gives up their right to contest each cause for discipline if this matter should proceed to hearing.
- II. Respondents understand that by signing this stipulation they enable the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of Respondent's Pharmacy License and Respondent's Pharmacist License without further process.

RESERVATION

12. The admissions made by Respondents herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board of Pharmacy or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

13. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondents understand and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by any Respondent or their counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondents understand and agrees that they may not withdraw this agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for

this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile

- 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 15. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 54244 issued to Respondent Nghi Van Dang is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

- 1. Respondent surrenders Pharmacist License No. RPH 54244 as of the effective date of this decision.
- 2. Respondent shall relinquish his or her wall license and pocket renewal license to the board within ten (10) days of the effective date of this decision.
- 3. The surrender of respondent's license and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against respondent. This decision constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of respondent's license history with the board.
- 4. Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the board shall treat it as a new application for licensure.

- 5. Respondent may not apply for any license, permit, or registration from the board for three years from the effective date of this decision. Respondent stipulates that should he apply for any license from the board on or after the effective date of this decision, all allegations set forth in Accusation No. 5097 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by respondent when the board determines whether to grant or deny the application. Respondent shall satisfy all requirements applicable to that license as of the date the application is submitted to the board, including, but not limited to taking and passing the California Pharmacist Licensure Examination prior to the issuance of a new license. Respondent is required to report this surrender as disciplinary action.
- 6. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$18,054.50 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license. This payment is joint and severally liable between the Respondents.

IT IS ALSO HEREBY ORDERED that Original Permit Number PHY 47301 issued to Dang Pharmacy Corporation, doing business as Friant Pharmacy, Nghi Van Dang, President and Pharmacist in Charge is also surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

- 1. Respondent owner surrenders Original Permit Number PHY 47301 as of the effective date of this decision. Respondent owner shall relinquish the premises wall license and renewal license to the board within ten (10) days of the effective date of this decision.
- 2. The surrender of respondent's license and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against respondent. This decision constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of respondent's license history with the board.
- 3. Respondent owner shall, within ten (10) days of the effective date, arrange for the destruction of, the transfer to, sale of or storage in a facility licensed by the board of all controlled substances and dangerous drugs and devices. Respondent owner shall further provide written proof of such disposition and submit a completed Discontinuance of Business form according to board guidelines.

4. Respondent owner shall also, by the effective date of this decision, arrange for the continuation of care for ongoing patients of the pharmacy by, at minimum, providing a written notice to ongoing patients that specifies the anticipated closing date of the pharmacy and that identifies one or more area pharmacies capable of taking up the patients' care, and by cooperating as may be necessary in the transfer of records or prescriptions for ongoing patients. Within five days of its provision to the pharmacy's ongoing patients, Respondent owner shall provide a copy of the written notice to the board. For the purposes of this provision, "ongoing patients" means those patients for whom the pharmacy has on file a prescription with one or more refills outstanding, or for whom the pharmacy has filled a prescription within the preceding sixty (60) days.

- 5. Respondent owner understands and agrees that if he ever files an application for a licensed premises or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the board shall treat it as a new application for licensure. Respondent owner may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of this decision. Respondent owner stipulates that should he apply for any license from the board on or after the effective date of this decision, all allegations set forth in Accusation No. 5097 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by respondent when the board determines whether to grant or deny the application. Respondent shall satisfy all requirements applicable to that license as of the date the application is submitted to the board. Respondent is required to report this surrender as disciplinary action.
- 6. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$18,054.50 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license. This payment is joint and severally liable between the Respondents.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, David W. Bonilla, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED:	11/20/2016	ngludang
	1 1	NGHI VAN DANG, Respondent

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, David W. Bonilla, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy License. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED:	11/20	/2016	reglidang
		·	DANG PHARMACY CORPORATION.
			doing business as FRIANT PHARMACY,
			NGHI VAN DANG, PRESIDENT AND
		•	DUADAGATICT IN CHARCE

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondents Nghi Van Dang and Dang Pharmacy Corporation, doing business as Friant Pharmacy, Nghi Van Dang, President and Pharmacist in Charge, the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of

License and Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 12/14/16

DAVID W. BONILLA ESQ.

Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. Dated: Respectfully submitted, KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General ttorney General ttorneys for Complainant SA2014114541

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 5097

	11				
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS				
2					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JEFFREY M. PHILLIPS Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 154990				
4					
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255				
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-6292				
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant				
8		RE THE			
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS				
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5097			
12	NGHI VAN DANG, P.O. Box 388				
13	Friant, CA 93626	ACCUSATION			
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 54244	_			
15	DANG PHARMACY CORPORATION,				
16	doing business as FRIANT PHARMACY,				
17	NGHI VAN DANG, President and Pharmacist in Charge,	,			
18	P.O. Box 388 Friant, CA 93626				
19	Original Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 47301				
20	Respondents.				
21					
22	Complainant alleges:				
23	<u>PARTIES</u>				
24	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity				
25	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.				
26	2. On or about March 26, 2003, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License				
27	Number 54244 to Respondent Nghi Van Dang. The Pharmacist License was in full force and				
28	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2016, unless				
	1				

renewed.

1

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

7. Section 4113 of the Code states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Ÿ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(c) The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy.

Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1306.04, subsection (a), states: 8.

A prescription for a controlled substance to be effective must be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. An order purporting to be a prescription issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research is not a prescription within the meaning and intent of section 309 of the Act (21 U.S.C. 829) and the person knowingly filling such a purported prescription, as well as the person issuing it, shall be subject to the penalties provided for violations of the provisions of law relating to controlled substances.

9. Section 4307(a) of the Code states that:

Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner member, officer, director, associate, or partner of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner had knowledge or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee as follows:

- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five vears.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.

10. Health and Safety Code section 11153 states:

(a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use.

11. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES AND DANGEROUS DRUGS

- 12. Oxycodone is a semisynthetic narcotic analgesic with multiple actions qualitatively similar to those of morphine. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022, a schedule II controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M) of the Health and Safety Code, and a Schedule II controlled substance as defined by Section 1308.12 (b)(1) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations.
- 13. Hydrocodone, also known as hydrocodone bitartrate, is semisynthetic narcotic analgesic and a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(4). It is also sold under brand names Vicodin®, Norco®, Lortab®, and Lorcet®.
- 14. Carisoprodol (brand name "Soma") is a dangerous drug within the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 4022 and in 2012 became a Schedule IV controlled substance under 21 Code of Federal Regulations, section 1308.14(c)(6)).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 15. On or about February 13, 2012, the Board received an email from a pharmacy that expressed concern over the legitimacy of prescriptions from a Fresno, CA, physician, Dr. JF, for controlled substances. (Oxycodone, Norco, Xanax, and Soma.) The Board conducted a review of the Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) database that identified that Respondent Friant Pharmacy was a top-filling pharmacy for Dr. JF's prescriptions.
- 16. On or about August 21, 2013, the Board conducted an inspection of Respondent Friant Pharmacy that confirmed that this pharmacy had two employees, Pharmacist-in-charge (PIC) Nghi Dang and a non-licensed ancillary employee. During this inspection, the Board obtained a copy of Dr. JF's dispensing report and drug usage reports for oxycodone, hydrocodone and carisoprodol.

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

2526

27

- 17. Eleven patient profiles from among the list of Dr. JF's patients were obtained during the Board's inspection of Respondent Friant Pharmacy. Respondent Dang completed patient questionnaires for these 11 patients, and provided payment information, and copies of prescriptions. These documents showed a pattern of Respondents filling prescriptions for Dr. JF's patients with the same or similar combination of hydrocodone, oxygodone, and carisoprodol.
- 18. The 11 patient questionnaires filled out by Respondent Dang noted a "liaison" picked up prescriptions for Dr. JF's patients, except one. Respondent Dang stated that a patient of Dr. JF named TM picked up prescription medication for patients at her group home. Respondent then provided a list of these 37 patients to the Board. Although Respondent Dang stated that Dr. JF had provided a note authorizing TM to pickup prescription medication for these 37 patients. Respondent could not produce a copy of this note. Although TM was a liaison for a "group home," no address was provided by Respondents for the group home. Respondents did not provide any documentation from any of the 37 patients that authorized TM to pick up their prescription drugs. Respondent Dang did not meet any of the 37 patients except TM. All of TM's 37 "clients" paid cash. Approximately 412 controlled prescriptions were filled for TM's 37 clients by Respondents, between January 1, 2011 and December 15, 2012, that includes more than 20,500 units of oxycodone 30mg and 24,600 units of hydrocodone/apap. An internet search into TM's group home revealed that TM was arrested in Baton Rouge, Louisiana in December 2012 and federally charged with illegal possession with intent to distribute a large quantity of prescription drugs (hydrocodone, roxicodone, and carisoprodol).
- 19. After reviewing the list of patients, the Board Inspector requested Respondent Dang to provide the patient profiles and to complete questionnaires for four (4) additional patients: TM, CM, JM, and TMc. The combination of oxycodone, hydrocodone/apap, and carisoprodol prescribed to these four (4) patients were similar to the other 11 patient profiles reviewed by the Board Inspector.
- 20. With regard to the 15 patient profiles and questionnaires filled out by Respondent Dang of Dr. JF's patients, the evidence obtained by the Board shows: 14/15 lived 160 miles or greater from the pharmacy and lived greater than 160 miles from Dr. JF's office (93%); 15

patients paid cash (100%); 14/15 patients prescriptions were picked up by TM (93%), Respondent Dang only met 2 of the 15 patients (TM and one other) (13%), 14/15 of the patients were identified as a client of TM. The 15 patients reviewed showed a total cash sales between 1/1/11 to 12/15/12 of over \$26,000. A total of 113 hard copy prescriptions were written for the 15 patients reviewed, of which the following were dispensed: 5550 units of oxycodone 30mg (93%), 6450 units of hydrocodone/apap (combined total for strengths 10/325 and 10/500) (99%), and all prescriptions included an order for carisoprodol (100%).

- 21. The information obtained from the Board shows that between January 1, 2011 and December 15, 2012, 5287 of the 9776 controlled substance prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Friant Pharmacy were written by Dr. JF (54%), with the next closest prescriber writing 980 controlled substances (10%). Of the 5287 prescriptions written by Dr. JF, 5276 prescriptions were cash (99%), whereas 11 were listed as other payers (1%). Of the 2420 prescriptions for oxycodone 30mg dispensed by Respondent Friant Pharmacy, Dr. JF wrote 2334 of the prescriptions (96%). Of the 1566 prescriptions for carisoprodol 350mg that were dispensed by Respondent Friant Pharmacy, Dr. JF wrote 935 of the prescriptions (58%). Of the 2521 prescriptions for hydrocodone/apap 10/325 that were dispensed by Respondent Friant Pharmacy, Dr. JF wrote 2054 of the prescriptions (81%). Of the 315 prescriptions for hydrocodone/apap 10/500 that were dispensed by Respondent Friant Pharmacy, Dr. JF wrote 298 of the prescriptions (94%).
- 22. Information from the Medical Board of California indicated that another "laision" by the name of DB also picked up controlled substances for Dr. JF's patients at Respondent Friant Pharmacy.
- 23. Information obtained from the Board indicate the following: DB was a patient of Dr. JF and a "liaison" for 83 other patients of Dr. JF who picked up prescriptions from Respondent Friant Pharmacy. DB always paid cash for prescriptions at Respondent Friant Pharmacy. Of these 84 patients, between January 1, 2011 and December 15, 2012, Respondents dispensed 356 prescriptions containing 36,720 units of Oxycodone 30mg, 467 prescriptions containing 56,760 units of Hydrocodone/apap, and 39 prescriptions containing 4,590 units of Carisoprodol 350mg.

Respondent did not provide any written authorization from Dr. JF that allows DB to pick up any prescription drugs for any of these 83 patients. Respondents did not provide any documentation from any of the 83 patients that authorized DB to pick up their prescription drugs.

- 24. From these 84 patients (including DB), the Board obtained seven (7) patient profiles that indicated that between January 1, 2011 and December 15, 2012, at least sixty-seven (67) prescriptions were dispensed by Respondent Friant Pharmacy for these seven (7) patients. Of these, 66/67 prescriptions contained oxycodone 30mg (98.5%), 65/67 contained carisoprodol (97% 2 prescriptions were transferred to another pharmacy), and 66/67 contained hydrocodone/apap = 98.5%). Of the seven profiles reviewed by the Board, Respondent Dang stated that he remembered meeting four (4) of these patients seven patients.
- 25. Respondent Dang stopped filling Dr. JF's prescriptions in or about November 2012, after Respondent received a warning from his wholesaler, Cardinal, regarding his oxycodone purchases. On or about September 18, 2013, a full interim suspension order was issued by the Medical Board of California prohibiting Dr. JF from practicing medicine. On or about October 17, 2013, an Accusation was filed by the Medical Board of California against Dr. JF, containing allegations of illegal prescribing of controlled substances. On or about April 9, 2014, the Medical Board of California adopted the stipulated surrender of Dr. JF's medical license, with Dr. JF fully admitting to the excessive prescribing of controlled substances and dangerous drugs to patients, among other causes for discipline.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Clearly Excessive Furnishing of Controlled Substances)

- 26. Respondent Nghi Van Dang is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(d), based on the clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances without verifying a legitimate medical purpose in violation of Health and Safety Code 11153(a), as alleged in paragraphs 15 through 25.
- 27. Specifically from on or about 1/1/11 to on or about 12/15/12 while working at Friant Pharmacy located at 17122 North Friant Road, Friant, California, owner and pharmacist-in-charge Nghi Dang (RPH 54244) failed to verify a legitimate medical purpose when dispensing

oxycodone 30mg, carisoprodol 350mg, hydrocodone/apap 10/325 and hydrocodone/apap 10/500 prescribed by Dr. JF. Respondent Dang failed to exercise his best professional judgment by failed to question Dr. JF repetitive prescribing habits, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients' address in relation to the pharmacy, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients address in relation to the physician, the fact that all the prescriptions were cash transactions (not billed to a 3rd party), and the fact that the prescriptions were being dropped off and picked up by someone other than the patient, with no written authorization from the patients or Dr. JF.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation Of Statute Or Regulation Governing Controlled Substances And Dangerous Drugs)

- 28. Respondent Nghi Van Dang is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j), based on the clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances without verifying a legitimate medical purpose in violation of Code section 4075, and/or Health and Safety Code 11153(a) and/or Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1306.04, subsection (a), as alleged in paragraphs 15 through 25.
- 29. Specifically from on or about 1/1/11 to on or about 12/15/12 while working at Friant Pharmacy located at 17122 North Friant Road, Friant, California, owner and pharmacist-in-charge Nghi Dang (RPH 54244) failed to verify a legitimate medical purpose when dispensing oxycodone 30mg, carisoprodol 350mg, hydrocodone/apap 10/325 and hydrocodone/apap 10/500 prescribed by Dr. JF. Respondent Dang failed to exercise his best professional judgment by failed to question Dr. JF repetitive prescribing habits, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients' address in relation to the pharmacy, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients address in relation to the physician, the fact that all the prescriptions were cash transactions (not billed to a 3rd party), and the fact that the prescriptions were being dropped off and picked up by someone other than the patient, with no written authorization from the patients or Dr. JF.

26 |

28 ||

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conspiring To Violate Federal/ State Laws And Regulations Governing
Controlled Substances And Dangerous Drugs)

- 30. Respondent Nghi Van Dang is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(o), in that he assisted in, and/or abetted, and/or conspiring with Dr. JF, and/or TM, and/or DB in the violation of federal/ state laws and regulations governing controlled substances and dangerous drugs and/ or the clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances without verifying a legitimate medical purpose in violation of Code section 4075, and/or Health and Safety Code 11153(a) and/or Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1306.04, subsection (a), as alleged in paragraphs 15 through 25.
- 31. Specifically from on or about 1/1/11 to on or about 12/15/12 while working at Friant Pharmacy located at 17122 North Friant Road, Friant, California, owner and pharmacist-incharge Nghi Dang (RPH 54244) failed to verify a legitimate medical purpose when dispensing oxycodone 30mg, carisoprodol 350mg, hydrocodone/apap 10/325 and hydrocodone/apap 10/500 prescribed by Dr. JF. Respondent Dang failed to exercise his best professional judgment by failed to question Dr. JF repetitive prescribing habits, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients' address in relation to the pharmacy, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients address in relation to the physician, the fact that all the prescriptions were cash transactions (not billed to a 3rd party), and the fact that the prescriptions were being dropped off and picked up by someone other than the patient, with no written authorization from the patients or Dr. JF.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence and/or Incompetence)

- 32. Respondent Nghi Van Dang is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subsections (b) and/or (c), based on the excessive furnishing of controlled substances, as alleged in paragraphs 15 through 25.
- 33. Specifically from on or about 1/1/11 to on or about 12/15/12 while working at Friant Pharmacy located at 17122 North Friant Road, Friant, California, owner and pharmacist-in-charge Nghi Dang (RPH 54244) failed to verify a legitimate medical purpose when dispensing

oxycodone 30mg, carisoprodol 350mg, hydrocodone/apap 10/325 and hydrocodone/apap 10/500 prescribed by Dr. JF. Respondent Dang failed to exercise his best professional judgment by failed to question Dr. JF repetitive prescribing habits, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients' address in relation to the pharmacy, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients address in relation to the physician, the fact that all the prescriptions were eash transactions (not billed to a 3rd party), and the fact that the prescriptions were being dropped off and picked up by someone other than the patient, with no written authorization from the patients or Dr. JF.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(General Unprofessional Conduct)

- 34. Respondent Nghi Van Dang is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, for general unprofessional conduct based on the excessive furnishing of controlled substances, as alleged in paragraphs 15 through 25.
- 35. Specifically from on or about 1/1/11 to on or about 12/15/12 while working at Friant Pharmacy located at 17122 North Friant Road, Friant, California, owner and pharmacist-in-charge Nghi Dang (RPH 54244) failed to verify a legitimate medical purpose when dispensing oxycodone 30mg, carisoprodol 350mg, hydrocodone/apap 10/325 and hydrocodone/apap 10/500 prescribed by Dr. JF. Respondent Dang failed to exercise his best professional judgment by failed to question Dr. JF repetitive prescribing habits, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients' address in relation to the pharmacy, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients address in relation to the physician, the fact that all the prescriptions were cash transactions (not billed to a 3rd party), and the fact that the prescriptions were being dropped off and picked up by someone other than the patient, with no written authorization from the patients or Dr. JF.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with State and Federal Laws)

36. Respondent Nghi Van Dang is subject to disciplinary action under section 4113, subsection (c) for his failure to comply with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy based on the excessive furnishing of controlled substances, as alleged in paragraphs 15 through 25.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Clearly Excessive Furnishing of Controlled Substances)

- 37. Respondent Dang Pharmacy Corporation, doing business as Friant Pharmacy, is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(d), based on the clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances without verifying a legitimate medical purpose in violation of Health and Safety Code 11153(a), as alleged in paragraphs 15 through 25.
- 38. Specifically from on or about 1/1/11 to on or about 12/15/12 while working at Friant Pharmacy located at 17122 North Friant Road, Friant, California, owner and pharmacist-incharge Nghi Dang (RPH 54244) failed to verify a legitimate medical purpose when dispensing oxycodone 30mg, carisoprodol 350mg, hydrocodone/apap 10/325 and hydrocodone/apap 10/500 prescribed by Dr. JF. Respondent Dang failed to exercise his best professional judgment by failed to question Dr. JF repetitive prescribing habits, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients' address in relation to the pharmacy, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients address in relation to the physician, the fact that all the prescriptions were cash transactions (not billed to a 3rd party), and the fact that the prescriptions were being dropped off and picked up by someone other than the patient, with no written authorization from the patients or Dr. JF.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation Of Statute Or Regulation Governing Controlled Substances And Dangerous Drugs)

- 39. Respondent Dang Pharmacy Corporation, doing business as Friant Pharmacy, is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j), based on the clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances without verifying a legitimate medical purpose in violation of Code section 4075, and/or Health and Safety Code 11153(a) and/or Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1306.04, subsection (a), as alleged in paragraphs 15 through 25.
- 40. Specifically from on or about 1/1/11 to on or about 12/15/12 while working at Friant Pharmacy located at 17122 North Friant Road, Friant, California, owner and pharmacist-in-charge Nghi Dang (RPH 54244) failed to verify a legitimate medical purpose when dispensing oxycodone 30mg, carisoprodol 350mg, hydrocodone/apap 10/325 and hydrocodone/apap 10/500 prescribed by Dr. JF. Respondent Dang failed to exercise his best professional judgment by

failed to question Dr. JF repetitive prescribing habits, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients' address in relation to the pharmacy, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients address in relation to the physician, the fact that all the prescriptions were cash transactions (not billed to a 3rd party), and the fact that the prescriptions were being dropped off and picked up by someone other than the patient, with no written authorization from the patients or Dr. JF.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conspiring To Violate Federal/ State Laws And Regulations Governing
Controlled Substances And Dangerous Drugs)

- 41. Respondent Dang Pharmacy Corporation, doing business as Friant Pharmacy, is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(o), in that it assisted in, and/or abetted, and/or conspiring with Dr. JF, and/or TM, and/or DB in the violation of federal/ state laws and regulations governing controlled substances and dangerous drugs and/ or the clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of Code section 4075, and/or Health and Safety Code 11153(a) and/or Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1306.04, subsection (a as alleged in paragraphs 15 through 25.
- 42. Specifically from on or about 1/1/11 to on or about 12/15/12 while working at Friant Pharmacy located at 17122 North Friant Road, Friant, California, owner and pharmacist-incharge Nghi Dang (RPH 54244) failed to verify a legitimate medical purpose when dispensing oxycodone 30mg, carisoprodol 350mg, hydrocodone/apap 10/325 and hydrocodone/apap 10/500 prescribed by Dr. JF. Respondent Dang failed to exercise his best professional judgment by failed to question Dr. JF repetitive prescribing habits, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients' address in relation to the pharmacy, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients address in relation to the physician, the fact that all the prescriptions were cash transactions (not billed to a 3rd party), and the fact that the prescriptions were being dropped off and picked up by someone other than the patient, with no written authorization from the patients or Dr. JF.

27 |

28 ||

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence and/or Incompetence)

- 43. Respondent Dang Pharmacy Corporation, doing business as Friant Pharmacy, is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subsection (b) and/or (c), based on the excessive furnishing of controlled substances without verifying a legitimate medical purpose, as alleged in paragraphs 15 through 25.
- 44. Specifically from on or about 1/1/11 to on or about 12/15/12 while working at Friant Pharmacy located at 17122 North Friant Road, Friant, California, owner and pharmacist-incharge Nghi Dang (RPH 54244) failed to verify a legitimate medical purpose when dispensing oxycodone 30mg, carisoprodol 350mg, hydrocodone/apap 10/325 and hydrocodone/apap 10/500 prescribed by Dr. JF. Respondent Dang failed to exercise his best professional judgment by failed to question Dr. JF repetitive prescribing habits, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients' address in relation to the pharmacy, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients address in relation to the physician, the fact that all the prescriptions were cash transactions (not billed to a 3rd party), and the fact that the prescriptions were being dropped off and picked up by someone other than the patient, with no written authorization from the patients or Dr. JF.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(General Unprofessional Conduct)

- 45. Respondent Dang Pharmacy Corporation, doing business as Friant Pharmacy, is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, for general unprofessional conduct based on the excessive furnishing of controlled substances, as alleged in paragraphs 15 through 25.
- 46. Specifically from on or about 1/1/11 to on or about 12/15/12 while working at Friant Pharmacy located at 17122 North Friant Road, Friant, California, owner and pharmacist-in-charge Nghi Dang (RPH 54244) failed to verify a legitimate medical purpose when dispensing exycodone 30mg, carisoprodol 350mg, hydrocodone/apap 10/325 and hydrocodone/apap 10/500 prescribed by Dr. JF. Respondent Dang failed to exercise his best professional judgment by failed to question Dr. JF repetitive prescribing habits, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients' address in relation to the pharmacy, the remote location of Dr. JF's patients address in relation to

the physician, the fact that all the prescriptions were cash transactions (not billed to a 3rd party), and the fact that the prescriptions were being dropped off and picked up by someone other than the patient, with no written authorization from the patients or Dr. JF.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

47. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Nghi Van Dang, Complainant alleges that on or about August 10, 2013, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2013 57545 for a violation of Title 16 California Code of Regulations, section 1761 (Erroneous or Uncertain Prescriptions) and ordered Respondent to pay a fine in the amount of \$1,500.00. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Friant Pharmacy, Complainant alleges that on or about July 11, 2013, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2012 54584 for a violation of Title 16 California Code of Regulations, section 1761 (Erroneous or Uncertain Prescriptions). These Citations are now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

OTHER MATTERS

- 48. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 47301 issued to Dang Pharmacy Corporation, doing business as Friant Pharmacy, then Dang Pharmacy Corporation shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for a period not to exceed five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 47301 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 47301 is reinstated, if the permit is revoked.
- 49. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 47301 issued to Dang Pharmacy Corporation, doing business as Friant Pharmacy or if discipline is imposed on Pharmacist License No. RPH 45244 issued to Nghi Van Dang, for violations during the time that Nghi Van Dang acted as a manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner and Nghi Van Dang had knowledge or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the permit or license was disciplined, then Nghi Van Dang shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner on a license or permit issued by the Board for a period not to exceed five

Accusation

1	6. Ordering Nghi Van Dang and Dang Pharmacy Corporation, doing business as Friant			
2	Pharmacy, to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and			
3	enforcement of this case, pursuant to Busi	enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;		
4	7. Taking such other and further	q		
S	7/30/16	1 Juginia X peda		
6	DATED;	VIRGINIA HEROLD		
7		Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy		
.8		Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
9		Complainant		
10	SA2014114541 11648079.docx			
11				
12				
13	· ·			
14				
15	1			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23	}			
24				
25				
26				
27	·			
28				