	•		
1			
2		•	
3			
4		·	
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10		·	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5094	
12	in the water of the Accusation Against.	Case 110. 3094	
13	ROSS DOMINIC FORBES CASTRO	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
14	20912 S. Van Deene Avenue Torrance, CA 90502	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 83189	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
16			
17	Respondent.		
18	Tespondent.		
19			
20	FINDINGS OF FACT		
21	1. On or about December 18, 2014, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official		
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,		
23	filed Accusation No. 5094 against Ross Dominic Forbes Castro (Respondent) before the Board of		
24	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
25	2. On or about May 1, 2008, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy		
26	Technician Registration No. TCH 83189 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration		
27	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5094,		
28	expired on July 31, 2013, and is now cancelled. The expiration of Respondent's registration,		
		1	

6

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

however, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 118(b) and 4300.1 does not deprive the Board of its authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

- 3. On or about January 15, 2015, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 5094, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:
- 20912 S. Van Deene Avenue Torrance, CA 90502.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- On or about January 23, 2015, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Return to Sender Unable to Forward." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made himself available for service and therefore, has not availed himself of his right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
- 6. On or about February 12, 2015, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 5094, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at the following alternate address discovered in the Board's investigative file: 429 W. 229th Street Carson, CA 90745.
- Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of 7. Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.

- 8. On or about March 3, 2015, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Return to Sender Unable to Forward." Respondent has not made himself available for service and therefore, has not availed himself of his right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
  - 9. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 10. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 5094.
  - 11. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 12. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 5094, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5094, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 13. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$7,955.00 as of March 16, 2015.

### DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Ross Dominic Forbes Castro has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 83189 to discipline.

///

2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (l) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that he was convicted of the following crimes which are substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician: burglary (2013); possession of a smoking device (2013); possession of controlled substance paraphernalia (2013); receiving known stolen property (2013); second degree burglary (2013); possession of unlawful paraphernalia (2012); and trespass by entering and occupying (2012).
- b. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another.
- c. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivisions (j) and (0), for violating Business and Professions Code section 4060, in that Respondent was in illegal possession of the controlled substance methamphetamine on or about December 26, 2012.
- d. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (o), in that he committed acts of unprofessional conduct and/or violated provisions of the licensing chapter.
- e. The accusation also charges, as a disciplinary consideration, that on or about July 8, 2011, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs.

# ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 83189, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent Ross Dominic Forbes Castro, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This decision shall become effective on May 8, 2015. 8 It is so ORDERED on April 8, 2015. 9 10 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 (. Wussi 13 By 14 STAN C. WEISSER **Board President** 15 16 51722330.DOCX DOJ Matter ID:LA2014511313 17 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 2 3 4 5 6	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI Supervising Deputy Attorney General M. TRAVIS PEERY Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 261887 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-0962 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9		ONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5094	
12	ROSS DOMINIC FORBES CASTRO	ACCUSATION	
13	20912 S. Van Deene Avenue Torrance, CA 90502		
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration		
15	No. TCH 83189		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).		
22	2. On or about May 1, 2008, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.		
23	TCH 83189 to Ross Dominic Forbes Castro (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician		
24	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein. The		
25	Pharmacy Technician Registration expired on July 31, 2013, and is now cancelled.		
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following		
28	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.		
		1.	
		Accusațion	

4. Section 4300 provides in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Boards is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.

#### 5. Section 4300.1 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
  - 7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

· 20 21

> 22 23

24

25 26

27

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(0) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable

 federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

### **COST RECOVERY**

9. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

#### CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE/DANGEROUS DRUG

10. "Methamphetamine," is a schedule II controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2), and is categorized a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Convictions of Substantially Related Crimes)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (I) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:

- a. On or about December 17, 2013, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 459 [burglary] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Ross Dominic Castro* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2012, No. TA130772.) The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 270 days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed him on 36 months probation, with terms and conditions.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 8, 2013, Respondent entered a Rite-Aid, selected a sweater, took off the tag, and put it on. He continued to the camera section of the store and selected a digital camera, two memory cards, and a pocket radio. He then took off the sweater and placed it in his backpack along with the other items. Respondent then went to an unmanned cash register, picked up 4 KitKat bars, and placed them in his backpack. Respondent then walked past several manned cash registers and made no attempt to pay for the merchandise he had selected. Respondent was detained outside of the store by a Rite-Aid Loss Prevention Officer.
- c. On or about October 9, 2013, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11364.1, subdivision (a)(1) [possession of a smoking device] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Ross Dominic Castro* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2013, No. 3CP05387.) The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 30 days in Los Angeles County Jail and denied probation.
- d. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about October 7, 2013, a Los Angeles County Sheriff's officer approached Respondent after observing him riding his bicycle at night without lights. The officer asked Respondent to remove his hand from his pockets several times but Respondent would not comply. The officer then asked Respondent if he had anything illegal and if he could conduct a pat down search. Respondent replied, "No, I don't have anything illegal. But yea sir, I'm all yours." During the pat down, the officer felt a tube with a bulb attached at one end, which the officer recognized to be a pipe commonly used to smoke Methamphetamine. As the officer recovered the pipe from his waistband, Respondent spontaneously stated, "You know, I found that over there." The officer removed the pipe and saw

· 

it contained an off white crystal like substance resembling methamphetamine. Respondent was subsequently arrested for violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance] and Health and Safety Code section 11364.1, subdivision (a) [possession of smoking device].

- e. On or about October 15, 2013, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11364, subdivision (a), [possession of controlled substance paraphernalia] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Ross Dominic Castro* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2013, No. 3SY06064.) The Court placed Respondent on 3 years probation, with terms and conditions.
- f. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about August 23, 2013, while conducting foot patrol of the Redondo Beach Pier, a Redondo Beach Police officer was contacted by an employee of a gelato shop regarding a male who had stolen money from a tip jar the day before. The employee indicated that the male appeared to be transient and was hiding in the bench area behind the business. The officer located Respondent downstairs below another business in an area that was not for public access and was near utility rooms, storage rooms, and vent rooms for the businesses above. The officer located an elongated glass pipe with a bulbous burnt end which was sitting on top of a Golden State Advantage Card in Respondent's name. The officer immediately recognized the glass pipe as an instrument used to smoke methamphetamine.
- g. On or about July 16, 2013, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 496, subdivision (a) [receiving known stolen property] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Ross Dominic Castro* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2013, No. 3SY04115) The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 20 days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed him on 3 years probation, with terms and conditions.

- h. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about July 6, 2013, Respondent entered a business that was closed for the day, but had the front door unlocked, and took a cell phone belonging to the person who was cleaning the inside of the business. The theft was reported to the Torrance Police Department who subsequently detained Respondent after they tracked the phone using GPS, matched the description of the suspect to Respondent, and recovered the stolen cell phone from Respondent.
- i. On or about March 22, 2013, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 459-460, subdivision (b) [second degree burglary] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Ross Dôminic Castro* (Super. Ct. San Jose County, 2013, No. C1349464.) The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 15 days in San Jose County Jail and placed him on 2 years probation, with terms and conditions.
- j. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 19, 2013, Respondent stole an Acer Aspire laptop valued at \$849.99 from a Costco store in Santa Clara, CA, by taking it out of its box, placing it under his shirt, and exiting the store without paying for the merchandise. On or about January 25, 2013, Respondent returned to the same Costco and stole another Acer Aspire laptop, this one valued at \$699.99, in the same manner. A loss prevention officer detained Respondent outside of the store and recovered the laptop. The Santa Clara Police Department arrested Respondent, who admitted to entering the Costco store for the sole purpose of stealing a laptop. Respondent also admitted to stealing the first laptop on January 19, 2013, and selling it on the street for \$100.00.
- k. On or about October 4, 2012, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11364.1, subdivision (a)(1) [possession of unlawful paraphernalia] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Ross Dominic Castro* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2012, No. 2CP05796.) The Court sentenced Respondent to serve five days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed him on 12 months probation, with terms and conditions.

12.

- 1. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about October 2, 2012, a Los Angeles County Sheriff's officer approached Respondent after observing him riding his bicycle without a light. The officer ran Respondent's name thru a mobile computer which revealed an outstanding warrant. Respondent was subsequently placed under arrest. The officer asked Respondent if he had anything illegal on his person and in reply Respondent admitted to having Methamphetamine. The officer looked inside his backpack and found a baggie containing an off white crystal like substance resembling Methamphetamine and a glass narcotic pipe. Respondent was subsequently arrested for violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance] and Health and Safety Code section 11364.1, subdivision (a) [possession of smoking device].
- m. On or about July 31, 2012, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 602, subdivision (m) [trespass by entering and occupying] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Ross Dominic Castro* (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2012, No. 2CP04309.) The Court sentenced Respondent to serve five days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed him on 24 months probation, with terms and conditions.
- n. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about July 29, 2012, a

  Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department deputy responded to a disturbance call of a male adult
  wearing a white hoody refusing to leave a residential location. When the deputy arrived,

  Respondent was slamming his right shoulder against the pedestrian garage door as though he was
  trying to break it down. The officer contacted the person staying at the residence who indicated
  that he was housesitting for the homeowners, that Respondent had showed up a week earlier at the
  home, and the homeowner had instructed the house sitter not to let him in if he returned.

  Respondent arrived at the location and rang the doorbell several times. He also began knocking
  on and trying to open all the windows. The officer went over to check the pedestrian garage door,
  where he had seen Respondent, and found a spatula wedged in the door frame near the locking
  mechanism and door knob. Respondent told the officer he was pushing the garage pedestrian
  door because he was trying to gain entry into his aunt's bedroom to lie on the couch because he

was homeless. Respondent was subsequently arrested for violating Penal Code section 664/459 [attempted burglary].

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 11, subparagraphs (b), (h), and (j), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Illegal Possession of a Controlled Substance)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j) and (o), for violating section 4060, in that Respondent was in possession of a controlled substance, as follows:
- a. On or about December 26, 2012, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department responded to a call reporting a burglary by a Hispanic adult male with no shirt who was seen breaking into a green van. When the officers arrived, Respondent was inside the van on the rear seat. The officer observed that Respondent's hands were clenched. When the officer asked to see his hands, Respondent opened them and a white plastic baggie came out of his right hand. The officer recovered the white plastic baggie and opened it. It contained an off white crystal like substance resembling Methamphetamine. Respondent was arrested for violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a controlled substance]. The substance was subsequently tested by the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department laboratory and found to contain methamphetamine.
- b. On or about October 2, 2012, Respondent was in possession of a controlled substance. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 11, subparagraph (l), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

28 | ///

· 19 

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Unprofessional Conduct/ Violation of Licensing Chapter)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, (o), in that Respondent committed acts of unprofessional conduct and/ or violated provisions of the licensing chapter. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 11-13, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

### DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 15. To determine the degree of discipline, Complainant alleges the following:
- a. On or about July 8, 2011, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), [driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs] and one misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code Section 23152, subdivision (b), [driving while having 0.08% or more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Ross Dominic Forbes Castro* (Super. Ct. Orange County, 2011, No. 11NM12585.) The Court placed Respondent on 3 years probation, with terms and conditions
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about June 10, 2011, an officer of the California Highway Patrol conducted a traffic stop of Respondent's vehicle after observing it driving erratically and coming close to striking the rear of a sedan in front of it. Upon contacting Respondent, the officer smelled a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from the vehicle. Respondent admitted to the officer that he "had one jack and coke" in the last twelve hours and was following a car full of his friends to get something to eat. Respondent performed poorly on the field sobriety tests administered by the officer and a breath test resulted in blood alcohol content readings of .13% and .12%.

.

///

111.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 83189, issued to Ross Dominic Forbes Castro;
- 2. Ordering Ross Dominic Forbes Castro to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:	_ Vigina Secol
7 7 1	VIRGINIA HEROLD
	Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy
Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

LA2014511313 51482277.doc

Accusation