BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against	In	the N	Matter	of th	ie Ac	cusation	ı Ag	ainst
---	----	-------	---------------	-------	-------	----------	------	-------

Case No. 4981 and 5328

SOONG OK CHANG 6901 East Rutgers Drive Anaheim Hills, CA 92807

Pharmacist License No. RPH 45540

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on April 20, 2017.

It is so ORDERED on March 21, 2017.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE	,
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	DESIREE I. KELLOGG Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 126461	
5	San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
	Telephone: (619) 738-9429	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		ביי אינו אינו אינו אינו אינו אינו אינו אי
9	BOARD O	PRE THE PHARMACY
10		CONSUMER'AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
ľ	SIAIL VI	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case Nos. 4981 and 5328
13	GEE'S HEALTHCARE PHARMACY, INC., DBA CABRILLO PARK	OAH No. 2015100446
14	PHARMACY 2212 East 4th Street #102	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
	Santa Ana, CA 92705	DISCIPLINARY ORDER (SOONG OK CHANG)
15	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50066	
16	·	
17	GEE'S HEALTHCARE PHARMACY, INC., DBA YORBA PARK PHARMACY	
18	2501 E. Chapman Blvd., No. 106 Orange, CA 92869	

19	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 45771	
20	JAMES YING-MING GEE 220 Tall Oak	
21	Irvine, CA 92603	
22	Pharmacist License No. RPH 44796	
23	SOONG OK CHANG	
24	6901 East Rutgers Drive Anaheim Hills, CA 92807	
25	Pharmacist License No. RPH 45540	
26		
27		
28 -	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	4	1
		STIPULATED SETTLEMENT

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT

28-

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent Soong Chang has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Second Amended Accusation Nos. 4981 and 5328. Respondent Soong Chang has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent Soong Chang is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Second Amended Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent Soong Chang voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent Soong Chang understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Second Amended Accusation Nos. 4981 and 5328, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Pharmacist License.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Second Amended Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent Soong Chang agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Second Amended Accusation, and that Respondent Soong Chang hereby gives up her right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent Soong Chang agrees that her Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and they agree to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent Soong Chang understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent Soong Chang or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent Soong Chang understands and agrees that she may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 45540 issued to Respondent Soong Ok Chang is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent Soong Chang is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

-28

1. Suspension

As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of pharmacy for sixty (60) days beginning the effective date of this decision.

During suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances.

Respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the board.

Subject to the above restrictions, respondent may continue to own or hold an interest in any licensed premises in which they holds an interest at the time this decision becomes effective unless otherwise specified in this order.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the
 Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled
 substances laws

-28-

- a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency
 which involves respondent's pharmacist license or which is related to the practice of
 pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging
 for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

3. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

4. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of their probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

6. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the board or its designee.

.19

-28-

7. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case numbers 4981 and 5328 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment, respondent shall cause their direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case numbers 4981 and 5328, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that their employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

If respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent must notify their direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and owner at every entity licensed by the board of the terms and conditions of the decision in case numbers 4981 and 5328 in advance of the respondent commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent shall cause their direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the board in writing acknowledging that they has read the decision in case numbers 4981 and 5328 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that their employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgments to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist or any

position for which a pharmacist license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

8. No Supervision of Interns, Serving as Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), Serving as Designated Representative-in-Charge, or Serving as a Consultant

During the period of probation, respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity licensed by the board nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this order. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

9. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$16,983.00, in a payment plan to be approved by the Board.

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of their responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

10. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

11. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28renewal or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension 12.

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may tender their license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the respondent's license history with the board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall relinquish their pocket and wall license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted, Respondent may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board, including any outstanding costs.

13. Notification of a Change in Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address or Employment

Respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known. Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address, mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

14. **Tolling of Probation**

Except during periods of suspension, respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of 40 hours per calendar month. Any

month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease practicing as a pharmacist for a minimum of 40 hours per calendar month in California, respondent must notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the cessation of practice, and must further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of practice. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is not practicing as a pharmacist for at least 40 hours, as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq. "Resumption of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is practicing as a pharmacist for at least 40 hours as a pharmacist as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

15. Violation of Probation

If a respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the

 board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided, and the charges and allegations in the Second Amended Accusation shall be deemed true and correct.

16. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent's license will be fully restored.

17. Restricted Practice

Respondent's practice of pharmacy shall be restricted from dispensing Schedule II and Schedule III controlled substances, as defined in the controlled substances schedules in federal law and regulations, for the first six (6) months of probation if twenty (20) hours of remedial education is successfully completed by respondent, as set forth in paragraph 18 below, during the first six (6) months of probation. If respondent does not complete twenty hours (20) of remedial education as set forth in paragraph 18 below, respondent's practice of pharmacy shall be restricted from dispensing Schedule II and Schedule III controlled substances, as defined in the controlled substances schedules in federal law and regulations, for the first year of probation. Respondent shall submit proof satisfactory to the board of compliance with this term of probation.

18. Remedial Education

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to corresponding responsibility, drug thefts, pharmacy security and pharmacy law. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least twenty (20) hours, with fifty (50) percent in-person, which shall be completed within the first year at respondent's own expense. After the first year, respondent shall complete ten (10) hours of such remedial education, with fifty (50) percent in-person, each subsequent year of probation. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes.

Failure to timely submit or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such

remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the board, is provided to the board or its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the board or its designee may require the respondent, at their own expense, to take an approved examination to test the respondent's knowledge of the course. If the respondent does not achieve a passing score on the examination, this failure shall be considered a violation of probation. Any such examination failure shall require respondent to take another course approved by the board in the same subject area.

19. Supervised Practice

During the period of probation, respondent shall practice only under the supervision of a licensed pharmacist not on probation with the board. Upon and after the effective date of this decision, respondent shall not practice pharmacy and their license shall be automatically suspended until a supervisor is approved by the board or its designee. The supervision shall be, as required by the board or its designee, either:

Continuous - At least 75% of a work week

Substantial - At least 50% of a work week

Partial - At least 25% of a work week

Daily Review - Supervisor's review of probationer's daily activities within 24 hours

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall have their
supervisor submit notification to the board in writing stating that the supervisor has read the
decision in case numbers 498 and 5328 and is familiar with the required level of supervision as
determined by the board or its designee. It shall be the respondent's responsibility to ensure that
their employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s)
to the board. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely
acknowledgements to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent changes employment, it shall be the respondent's responsibility to ensure that their employer(s), pharmacist-in-charge and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the board. Respondent shall have their new supervisor, within fifteen (15) days after employment commences, submit notification to the board in writing stating the direct supervisor

24_.

 and pharmacist-in-charge have read the decision in case numbers 4981 and 5328 and is familiar with the level of supervision as determined by the board. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy and their license shall be automatically suspended until the board or its designee approves a new supervisor. Failure to cause the direct supervisor and the pharmacist-in-charge to submit timely acknowledgements to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

Within ten (10) days of leaving employment, respondent shall notify the board in writing.

During suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the board, or have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and controlled substances. Respondent shall not resume practice until notified by the board.

During suspension, respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of a pharmacist. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Respondent shall not perform the duties of a pharmacy technician or a designated representative for any entity licensed by the board.

Subject to the above restrictions, respondent may continue to own or hold an interest in any licensed premises in which they holds an interest at the time this decision becomes effective unless otherwise specified in this order.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

20. No Ownership of Licensed Premises

Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the board within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written-

proof thereof to the board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.

21. Tolling of Suspension

During the period of suspension, respondent shall not leave California for any period exceeding ten (10) days, regardless of purpose (including vacation). Any such absence in excess of the (10) days during suspension shall be considered a violation of probation. Moreover, any absence from California during the period of suspension exceeding ten (10) days shall toll the suspension, i.e., the suspension shall be extended by one day for each day over ten (10) days respondent is absent from California. During any such period of tolling of suspension, respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Respondent must notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of departure, and must further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of return. The failure to provide such notification(s) shall constitute a violation of probation. Upon such departure and return, respondent shall not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the board that the period of suspension has been satisfactorily completed.

22. Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the board or its designee. Failure to initiate the course during the first year of probation, and complete it within the second year of probation, is a violation of probation.

Respondent shall submit a certificate of completion to the board or its designee within five days after completing the course.

1 ACCEPTANCE I have carefully read the above Stipulated Scillement and Disciplinary Order and have full 2 discussed it with my attorney, Ivan Petrzelka. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will Í have on my Pharmacist License. I onter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntatily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the .5 Board of Pharmacy. 6 7 1/31/2017 8 9 Respondent 10 I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Soong Ok Chang, the terms and condition 11 and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve 12 its form and content. February 1, 2017 13 DATED: IVAN PETRZELKA 14 Attorney for Respondent 15 16 ENDORSEMENT 17 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully 18 submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy. 19 DATED Respectfully submitted. 20 XAVIER BECERRA 21 Attorney General of California GREGORY-A-SALUTE 22 Supervising Deputy Attorney General 23 24 Desired Kunton Deput Attorney General 25 Attofneys for Complanant 26 27 SD2013706220 8137650 doc

28

i	· ·				
1	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>				
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully				
3	discussed it with my attorney, Ivan Petrzelka. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will				
4	have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order				
5	voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the				
6	Board of Pharmacy.				
7					
8	DATED:				
9	SOONG OK CHANG Respondent				
10	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Soong Ok Chang, the terms and conditions				
l 1	and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve				
12	its form and content.				
13	DATED:				
14	IVAN PETRZELKA Attorney for Respondent				
15					
6	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>				
ا 17	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully				
8	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.				
19	DATED: Respectfully submitted,				
20	Xavier Becerra				
21	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE				
22	Supervising Deputy Attorney General				
23	,				
24	DESIREE I. KELLOGG Desyrty Attorney General				
25	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant				
26					
27	SD2013706220 _81576508.doc				
28-	'				

Exhibit A Second Amended Accusation Nos. 4981 and 5328

	·	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	•
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DESIREE I. KELLOGG	
- 4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 126461	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2996	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE 1	CHTE.
9	BOARD OF PHA DEPARTMENT OF CON	ARMACY
10	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case Nos. 4981 and 5328
13	GEE'S HEALTHCARE PHARMACY, INC., DBA CABRILLO PARK PHARMACY	SECOND AMENDED ACCUSATION
14	2212 East 4th Street #102 Santa Ana, CA 92705	
15	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50066	
16	GEE'S HEALTHCARE PHARMACY, INC., DBA YORBA PARK PHARMACY	
17	2501 E. Chapman Blvd., No. 106	
18	Orange, CA 92869	`
19	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 45771	
20	JAMES YING-MING GEE 220 Tall Oak	
21	Irvine, CA 92603	
22	Pharmacist License No. RPH 44796	
23	SOONG OK CHANG	,
ŀ	6901 East Rutgers Drive Anaheim Hills, CA 92807	
24	Pharmacist License No. RPH 45540	
25		
26		
27		,
28		

Second Amended Accusation

ANH NGOC PHAN 2 11701 Steele Dr. Garden Grove, CA 92840 Pharmacist License No. RPH 42197 5 Respondents. 6 7 8 Complainant alleges: PARTIES* 10 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Second Amended Accusation solely in her 11 official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer 12 Affairs. 13 2. On or about April 16, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit Number 14 PHY 45771 to Gee's Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Yorba Park Pharmacy 15 (Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy). From April 16, 2002 through the present, Respondent 16 James Gee has been the President and fifty percent owner of Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy. 17 The Pharmacy Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought 18 herein and will expire on April 1, 2017, unless renewed. 19 On or about September 1, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Permit 20 Number PHY 50066 to Gee's Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Cabrillo Park 21 Pharmacy (Respondent Cabrillo Park Pharmacy). From September 1, 2009, Respondent James 22 Gee has been the President and fifty percent owner of Cabrillo Park Pharmacy. The Pharmacy 23 Permit was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will 24 expire on September 1, 2016, unless renewed. 25 On or about August 26, 1991, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License 26 Number RPH 44796 to James Ying-Ming Gee (Respondent James Gee.) The Pharmacist License 27 28

Second Amended Accusation

was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2017, unless renewed.

- 5. On or about August 14, 1992, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 45540 to Soong OK Chang (Respondent Soong Chang.) The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2017, unless renewed.
- 6. On or about September 20, 1988, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 42197 to Anh Ngoc Phan (Respondent Anh Phan.) The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2016, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 7. This Second Amended Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 8. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
- 9. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
 - 10. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 11. Section 4081 of the Code states in pertinent part:
- (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least

21

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to consult appropriate patient, prescription, and other records pertaining to the performance of any pharmacy function.

Section 4307(a) of the Code states that:

Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner member, officer, director, associate, or partner of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner had knowledge or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee as follows:

- (I) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five vears.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.
- Health and Safety Code section 11153(a) states:

A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use.

17. Health and Safety Code section 11164(a)(1) states:

Each prescription for a controlled substance classified in Schedule II, III, IV, or V, except as authorized by subdivision (b), shall be made on a controlled substance prescription form as specified in Section 11162.1 and shall meet the following requirements: (1) the prescription shall be signed and dated by the prescriber in ink and shall contain the prescriber's address and telephone number; the name of the ultimate user or research subject, or contact information as determined by the Secretary of United States Department of Health and Human Services; refill information, such as the number of refills ordered and whether the prescription is a first-time request or a refill; and the name, quantity, strength, and directions for use of the controlled substance prescribed.

.3

_ 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose.

COST RECOVERY

24. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUGS

- 25. <u>Dilaudid</u> is the brand name for hydromorphine, a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11054(b)(J) and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 26. <u>Norco</u> is the brand name for hydrocodone/acetaminophen, a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(5) and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 27. Opana is the brand name for oxymorphone hydrochloride, a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11054(b)(N) and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 28. Oxycodone is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11054(b)(M) and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 29. <u>Phenergan with Codeine</u> is the brand name for promethazine with codeine, a Schedule V controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 111058(c)(1) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 30. Xanax is the brand name for alprazolam, a Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(1) and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

4.

15:

. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 31. From April 16, 2002 through the present, Respondent James Gee was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy. From September 1, 2009 through the present, Respondent James Gee was the Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Cabrillo Park Pharmacy. From September 2012 through January 2013, Respondent Soong Chang was a staff pharmacist at Respondent Cabrillo Park Pharmacy. From June 21, 2012 through November 19, 2013, Respondent Soong Chang was also a staff pharmacist at Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy. From June 21, 2012 through November 19, 2013, Respondent Anh Phan was a staff pharmacist at Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy.
- 32. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, James Gee and Soong Chang filled prescriptions for controlled substances which were written by Dr. M.S. from September 24, 2012 through January 11, 2013. Dr. M.S.'s address was listed on the prescriptions filled by Respondents as being 53 miles away from Respondent Cabrillo Park Pharmacy. Respondent Cabrillo Park Pharmacy was an average of 65 miles (one way) from the patients' addresses listed on prescriptions written by Dr. M.S. who had a general practice with a secondary practice in pediatrics and did not specialize in pain management. Patients paid for the controlled substance prescriptions in cash at Respondent Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and did not seek reimbursement from an insurance company or government agency. Patients filled prescriptions for controlled substances prescribed by multiple physicians during the same time frame at multiple pharmacies.
- 33. Respondents Yorba Park Pharmacy, James Gee, Soong Chang and Anh Phan filled prescriptions for controlled substances which were written by Dr. M.S. from June 21, 2012 through November 19, 2013. Dr. M.S.'s address was listed on the prescriptions filled by Respondents as being 51 miles away from Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy. Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy was an average of 55 miles (one way) from the patients' addresses listed on prescriptions written by Dr. M.S. who had a general practice with a secondary practice in pediatrics and did not specialize in pain management. Patients paid for the controlled substance prescriptions in cash at Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy and did not seek reimbursement from

an insurance company or government agency. Patients filled prescriptions for controlled substances prescribed by multiple physicians during the same time frame at multiple pharmacies.

- 34. Dr. M.S.'s prescriptions for controlled substances were written in an identical fashion for multiple patients as follows: (1) hydrocodone/APAP 10/325, with directions to take 1-2 tablets three times a day in a quantity of 90-100; (2) alprazolam 2 mg with directions to take 1 tablet three times a day in a quantity of 90; and (3) oxycodone 30mg with directions to take 1 tablet three times a day in a quantity of 90. There was no adjustment in the prescribing pattern for sex, age, weight, renal or hepatic function, race, diagnosis, past medications used or any other patient related factor. None of the "chronic pain patients" being treated by Dr. M.S. were receiving a long acting pain medication to control their baseline pain. Certain prescriptions filled by Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, James Gee, Soong Chang and Anh Phan were not signed by Dr. M.S. and other prescriptions were filled by them even though they were signed by a physician who was not the prescribing physician.
- 35. Additionally, drivers picked up the controlled substances for multiple patients from Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, James Gee and Soong Chang, rather than the patients themselves picking up their prescriptions. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, James Gee and Soong Chang did not possess written authorization allowing those drivers to pick up the controlled substance prescriptions for patients. To facilitate the drug transactions with the drivers, Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, James Gee and Soong Chang kept envelopes which contained prescriptions for controlled substances to be filled, next to the cash register. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, James Gee and Soong Chang telephoned the drivers to initiate a pick up when they had filled all the multiple prescriptions for controlled substances. In that same drawer, Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, James Gee and Soong Chang kept a pricing sheet for controlled substances and the telephone numbers of the drivers to further facilitate these drug transactions with the drivers.
- 36. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, Yorba Park Pharmacy and James Gee placed orders for suspiciously large amounts of controlled substances with their drug wholesalers.

 Notwithstanding those orders, Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee did not

dispense all the prescriptions for controlled substances in a timely manner as prescribed, because they sought to avoid charges that they were excessively prescribing controlled substances from the Drug Enforcement Administration and the Board.

- 37. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, Yorba Park Pharmacy, James Gee, Soong Chang and Anh Phan did not follow proper procedures for verifying if a prescription for a controlled substance was written for a legitimate medical purpose. Indeed, Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, Yorba Park Pharmacy, James Gee, Soong Chang and Anh Phan dispensed prescriptions to patients who had lost their wallets or social security cards and had been victims of identity theft. And, Respondents' furnishings of controlled substances was higher than neighboring pharmacies during the same time frame.
- 38. On or about February 6, 2014, Dr. M.S. was arrested for violating Business and Professions Code section 2052(b), Health and Safety Code section 11353(a), 11353(c) and 11354. On or about February 10, 2014, a felony Complaint was filed against Dr. M.S. for violating those code sections, among other violations. On or about March 12, 2015, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. M.S.*, etc., et al., Superior Court of California for the County of Ventura, case number 2014004170, Dr. M.S. pled guilty to a violation of Health and Safety Code section 11154, subdivision (b), aiding unlawful prescription of a control substance, a felony, and a violation of Health and Safety Code section 11352, subdivision (a), sale of a controlled substance, also a felony. The factual circumstances of his conviction included providing to his co-defendants numerous pads of blank controlled substance prescriptions, which were pre-signed by him, and/or a Nurse Practitioner working under Dr. M.S.' direct supervision. Patient information, various controlled substance names and amounts were later written on those blank prescriptions by Dr. M.S.' associates, who were not licensed medical professionals, and sold for cash to "patients" without any medical examination or medical indication whatsoever.
- 39. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee also had discrepancies in their drug inventory. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee did not maintain a lockable storage cabinet for controlled substances.. Instead, controlled substances were stored inside a desk located in the pharmacy's offices. From June 2012 through January 2013, Respondents

Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee suffered the following losses of controlled substances: 734 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 10mg/325mg and 20 tablets of hydromorphone 4mg. They did not report those losses to the Board.

- 40. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee's inventory contained overages of 165 tablets of alprazolam 2mg and 152 tablets of oxycodone 30mg. Therefore, they did not maintain complete records of acquisition for those controlled substances.
- 41. Respondents Yorba Park Pharmacy and James Gee also had discrepancies in their drug inventory. From January 31, 2013 through December 3, 2013, Respondents Yorba Park Pharmacy and James Gee suffered the following losses of controlled substances: 6,332 tablets of alprazolam 2mg, 44,111 tablets of oxycodone 30mg, 14,183 of hydrocodone/APAP 10mg/325mg and 49,855mls or 105 pints (bottles) of promethazine with codeine. They did not report those losses to the Board until prompted to do so by a Board inspector.
- 42. Discrepancies in Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy's inventory of controlled substances continued. On April 7, 2015, the Board received a report of theft or loss of controlled substances (211 tablets of acetaminophen/codeine #3), DEA Form 106 from Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy. On May 19, 2015, the Board conducted an inspection of Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy and observed that the pharmacy had a cabinet containing controlled substances which was routinely kept unlocked during business hours, despite being advised by the pharmacy's consultant to keep that cabinet locked when unattended by a pharmacist. The Board inspector also advised Respondent James Gee to keep the cabinet containing controlled substances locked when unattended. There was also no security camera recording activity around the cabinet containing controlled substances or near the containers. The Board inspector conducted an audit of Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy's inventory of acetaminophen/codeine #3 and discovered a shortage of 548 tablets of acetaminophen/codeine 300/30mg tablets from August 19, 2014 through April 11, 2015, rather than 211 tablets reported on the DEA Form 106.
- 43. Discrepancies in Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy's inventory of controlled substances continued. On February 26, 2016, the Board received a report of theft or loss of two controlled substances (607ml of promethazine with codeine and 1,316ml Guaifenesin with

28.

codeine syrup), DEA Form 106 from Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy. On March 8, 2016, the Board conducted an inspection of Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy and observed that the pharmacy had a cabinet containing controlled substances which was routinely kept unlocked during business hours, despite the admonishments referenced in paragraph 41 to keep the cabinet locked when unattended by a pharmacist. The Board inspector conducted an audit of Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy's inventory of oxycodone 30mg, hydrocodone/APAP 10mg/325mg, alprazolam 2mg and promethazine with codeine and discovered an overage of promethazine with codeine of 4,345mls and shortages of 250 tablets of oxycodone 30mg, 11,848 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 10mg/325mg and 271 tablets of alprazolam 2mg from December 3, 2013 through March 7, 2016. From April 11, 2015 through March 8, 2016, there was an overage of 41 tablets of alprazolam 2mg and losses of 1,052 tablets of hydrocodone/APAP 10mg/325mg and 197 mls of promethazine with codeine.

44. In March 2016, Respondents Yorba Park Pharmacy and James Gee also filled five prescriptions for controlled substances which were not signed by the prescribers and dated in ink in violation of Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy's controlled substances policies and procedures. Respondents Yorba Park Pharmacy and James Gee also failed to query the CURES database to establish patients' utilization patterns for particular drugs, as required by Respondent Yorba Park Pharmacy's controlled substances policies and procedures.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Current Inventory of Controlled Substance against Respondents

Yorba Park Pharmacy, Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee)

45. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, Yorba Park Pharmacy and James Gee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating Code section 4081(a) and title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1718, in that they did not maintain a current inventory of controlled substances, alprazolam, oxycodone, hydrocodone/APAP, hydromorphone, and promethazine with codeine and suffered losses in their inventory of alprazolam, oxycodone, hydrocodone/APAP, hydromorphone, Guaifenesin with codeine syrup, acetaminophen/codeine

and promethazine with codeine, as set forth in paragraphs 31 through 44 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Report Drug Losses against Respondents

Yorba Park Pharmacy, Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee)

46. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, Yorba Park Pharmacy and James Gee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1715.6, in that Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee did not report the losses of hydrocodone/APAP and hydromorphone and Yorba Park Pharmacy and James Gee did not report the losses of alprazolam, oxycodone, hydrocodone/APAP and promethazine with codeine to the Board, as set forth in paragraphs 31 through 44 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Provide Adequate Security for Controlled Substance against Respondents Yorba Park Pharmacy, Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee)

47. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy, Yorba Park Pharmacy and James Gee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating title 16, California Code of Regulations, sections 1714(b) and (d), in that Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee did not provide adequate security for hydrocodone/APAP and hydromorphone and Yorba Park Pharmacy and James Gee did not provide adequate security for alprazolam, oxycodone, hydrocodone/APAP, acetaminophen/codeine, Guaifenesin with codeine syrup and promethazine with codeine, as set forth in paragraphs 31 through 44 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Records of Acquisition against Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee)

48. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating Code section 4081(a), in that they failed to maintain all

the records of acquisition for the controlled substances, alprazolam 2mg and oxycodone 30mg, as set forth in paragraphs 31 through 44, which are incorporated herein by reference.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failing to Comply with Corresponding Responsibility for Legitimate Controlled Substance Prescriptions against Respondents)

49. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(j), for violating Health and Safety Code section 11153(a), in that they failed to comply with their corresponding responsibility to ensure that controlled substances were dispensed for a legitimate medical purpose when Respondents furnished prescriptions for controlled substances even though "red flags" were present, indicating those prescriptions were not issued for a legitimate medical purpose, as set forth in paragraphs 31 through 44 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Clearly Excessive Furnishing of Controlled Substances against Respondents)

50. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(d), for the clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code, as set forth in paragraphs 31 through 44 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dispensing Controlled Substance Prescriptions with Significant Errors, Omissions, Irregularities, Uncertainties, Ambiguities or Alterations against Respondents)

51. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating title 16, California Code of Regulations, sections 1761(a) and (b) in that they dispensed prescriptions for controlled substances, which contained significant errors, omissions, irregularities, uncertainties, ambiguities or alterations, as set forth in paragraphs 31 through 44 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

15,

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Review Patients' Medication Record Before Prescription Drugs Delivered against Respondents)

52. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1707.3, in that they dispensed prescriptions for drugs, without review of patients' medication records before each prescription drug was delivered. Such a review would have revealed numerous "red flags," as set forth in paragraphs 31 through 44 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dispensing Controlled Substances with Variations from Prescriptions against Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee)

53. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o) for violating title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1716, in that they dispensed controlled substances which deviated from the requirements of the prescriptions without the prior consent of the prescribers and dispensed controlled substances when the prescriptions were prescribed by Dr. M.S. but signed by another physician, as set forth in paragraphs 31 through 44, which are incorporated herein by reference.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dispensing Controlled Substances without Compliant Prescriptions against Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee)

54. Respondents Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and James Gee are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(j) for violating Health and Safety Code section 11164(a)(1), in that they dispensed controlled substances which from prescriptions which lacked the signature of the prescriber and the date in ink, as set forth in paragraphs 3.1 through 44, which are incorporated herein by reference.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Exercise or Implement Best Professional Judgment or Corresponding

Responsibility when Dispensing Controlled Substances

against Respondents James Gee Soong Chang and Anh Phan)

55. Respondents James Gee, Soong Chang and Anh Phan are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301(o), for violating Business and Professions Code section 4306.5(a) and (b), in that they failed to exercise or implement his or her best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility when dispensing controlled substances, as set forth in paragraphs 31 through 44 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct against Respondents)

56. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301 for unprofessional conduct in that they engaged in the activities described in paragraphs 31 through 44 above, which are incorporated herein by reference.

OTHER MATTERS

- 57. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit
 Numbers PHY 45771 issued to Gee's Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Yorba Park
 Pharmacy and PHY 50066 issued to Gee's Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Cabrillo
 Park Pharmacy, Gee's Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Yorba Park Pharmacy and
 Cabrillo Park Pharmacy shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner,
 member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit
 Numbers PHY 45771 and PHY 50066 are placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit
 Numbers PHY 45771 and PHY 50066 are reinstated if they are revoked.
- 58. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Numbers PHY 45771 issued to Gee's Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Yorba Park Pharmacy and PHY 50066 issued to Gee's Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Cabrillo Park Pharmacy while James Ying-Ming Gee has been an officer and owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, James Ying-

- 6. Prohibiting Gee's Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Cabrillo Park
 Pharmacy and Yorba Park Pharmacy from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member,
 officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Numbers
 PHY 45771 and PHY 50066 are placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Numbers PHY
 45771 and PHY 50066 are reinstated if Pharmacy Permit Numbers 45771 and 5006 issued to
 Gee's Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc. doing business as Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and Yorba Park
 Pharmacy are revoked;
- 7. Prohibiting James Ying-Ming Gee from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Numbers PHY 45771 and PHY 50066 are placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Numbers PHY 45771 and PHY 50066 are reinstated if Pharmacy Permit Numbers 45771 and 5006 issued to Gee's Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc. doing business as Cabrillo Park Pharmacy and Yorba Park Pharmacy are revoked;
- 8. Prohibiting James Ying-Ming Gee from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacist License Number RPH 44796 is placed on probation or until Pharmacist License Number RPH 44796 is reinstated if Pharmacist License Number RPH 44796 issued to James Ying-Ming Gee is revoked;
- 9. Ordering Gee's Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Cabrillo Park
 Pharmacy, Ordering Gee's Healthcare Pharmacy, Inc., doing business as Yorba Park Pharmacy
 James Ying-Ming Gee, Soong OK Chang and Anh Ngoc Phan to pay the Board of Pharmacy the
 reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and
 Professions Code section 125.3;

1	10. Taking such other and furth	er action as deemed necessary and proper.
2		1
3	6/3/16	Ougine Huld
4	DATED:	VIRGINIA HEROLD
. 5	,	Executive Officer
6	*	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
7	SD2013706220/81356844,doex	Complainant
8		
9	·	
10		
11		
12	,	
13		
14		
15		
16	·	
17	•	
18		
19		•
20		,
21		
22		
23		
24	,	•
25		
26		
27	της ·· , · · .	`` `.on
28		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Vi (C)	

Second Amended Accusation

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

GEE'S HEALTHCARE PHARMACY, INC., DBA CABRILLO PARK PHARMACY 2212 East 4th Street #102 Santa Ana, CA 92705

Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50066

GEE'S HEALTHCARE PHARMACY, INC., DBA YORBA PARK PHARMACY 2501 E. Chapman Blvd., No. 106 Orange, CA 92869

Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 45771

JAMES YING-MING GEE 220 Tall Oak Irvine, CA 92603

Pharmacist License No. RPH 44796

SOONG OK CHANG 6901 East Rutgers Drive Anaheim Hills, CA 92807

Pharmacist License No. RPH 45540

ANH NGOC PHAN 11701 Steele Dr. Garden Grove, CA 92840

Pharmacist License No. RPH 42197

Respondents.

Case Nos. 4981 and 5328

OAH No. 2015100446

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulate	d Surrender of Li	cense and Or	der is hereby	adopted by the Bo	ard of
Pharmacy, Department of Co	onsumer Affairs,	as its Decisio	on in this ma	tter.	
This Decision shall be	come effective on	•		<u> </u>	
It is so ORDERED	7		•	i	
	FOR THE BOA	RD OF PHA	RMACY		

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS