1	1	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	DETAI	RE THE
6	BOARD OF	PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS
7		CALIFORNIA
8		
9	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4915
10	AMY CHERYL WINTERS	
11	319 E. 20th Street Merced, CA 95340	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
12	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	IC C. J. 8115201
13	120569	[Gov. Code, §11520]
14	Demonstruct	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	FINDING	S OF FACT
18	1. On or about May 19, 2014, Complai	nant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as
19	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy	("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs,
20	filed Accusation No. 4915 against Amy Cheryl V	Winters ("Respondent") before the Board of
21	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
22	2. On or about March 15, 2012, the Bo	ard issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.
23	TCH 120569 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Tec	chnician Registration expired on April 30, 2013,
24	and has not been renewed. This lapse in licensur	re, however, pursuant to Business and
25	Professions Code section 4300.1, does not depriv	ve the Board of its authority to institute or
26	continue this disciplinary proceeding.	
27		
28		
	,	1
		DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

• •

,

,

3. On or about May 30, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail 1 copies of the Accusation No. 4915, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for 2 Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at 3 Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, 4 is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was 5 and is: 6 7 319 E. 20th Street Merced, CA 95340. 8 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of 9 Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 10 124. 11 5. On or about June 30, 2014, the aforementioned documents sent to Respondent via 12 certified mail were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Vacant; Unable to Forward: 13 Return to Sender." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the 14 Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made 15 attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made herself 16 available for service and therefore, has not availed herself of her right to file a notice of defense 17 and appear at hearing. 18 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part: 19 20(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts 21 of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion 22 may nevertheless grant a hearing. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of 7. 23 the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4915. 24 California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part: 8. 25 26(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions 27 or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

28

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
 Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the
 relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as
 taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on
 file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4915, finds that
 the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4915, are separately and severally, found to be true
 and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

8 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and
9 Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation
10 and Enforcement is \$3,902 as of July 18, 2014.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Amy Cheryl Winters has
 subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 120569 to discipline.

2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

11

14

3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported
by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:

a. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (l), for
the conviction of a substantially related crime, in that on or about November 2, 2012, in the
matter of *People v. Amy Cheryl Winters* (Super. Ct. Merced County Case No. CRM023485B),
Respondent was convicted on her plea of nolo contendere of violating Health and Safety Code
section 11351 (Possession for Sale of a Controlled Substance - Norco), a felony. The
circumstances of the substantially related crime are as follows:

i. On or about June 22, 2012, a Police Officer with the Merced Police
Department conducted a traffic stop on a vehicle with non-operational brake lights in which
Respondent was traveling in as a passenger. After initiating the traffic stop, the Officer
discovered that the driver, Mr. Jackson, was on active probation and open to search and seizure
out of Merced County, and that both the driver and Respondent had a suspended/revoked license.

Respondent consented to a search of her person and possessions, which resulted in discovery of a 1 brown zippered bag in her purse that had the word "deposit" written on it, with a large quantity of 2 yellow pills inside, later identified as Norco. Respondent first told the Officer that the pills were 3 her personal pills, she had a prescription for them, and collected several prescriptions over the last 4 few months to make that quantity. After being read her Miranda rights, Respondent told Officers 5 that she wanted to be honest and admitted that she had the pills because Mr. Jackson was giving 6 Respondent a ride to Modesto to sell the pills to a friend of Jackson's. Respondent admitted that 7 there were 500 pills in the bag, and that while she had a prescription for Norco, her prescription 8 pills were completely separate from the 500 pills she had in her possession. 9

ii. On or about June 22, 2012, after being transported to Merced Police 10 Department Central Station, Respondent admitted that she worked as a pharmacy technician at 11 the CVS Pharmacy located at the Merced Mall. Respondent further admitted that about six 12 months prior, she started selling her actual prescribed Norco for \$1 a piece. However, after being 13 approached by Mr. Jackson for a larger quantity of Norco, Respondent went to her place of work 14 at CVS pharmacy a couple weeks prior to her arrest and took a prescription bottle belonging to 15 the pharmacy that contained 500 Norco pills inside. She took the bottle to the bathroom, which is 16 17 out of view of surveillance cameras, and placed the 500 Norco pills into the brown bag that said deposit on it. Respondent then placed the brown bag in her underwear and stole the pills from the 18 19 pharmacy. Respondent admitted that she went with Mr. Jackson to Modesto and sold the first batch of 500 Norco pills. Respondent further admitted that a couple of days before her arrest on 20June 22, 2012, Respondent stole another 500 pills in the same manner as she did before, for the 21 purpose of selling them with Mr. Jackson. Respondent and Mr. Jackson were on their way to 22 Modesto to sell the 500 Norco pills when their vehicle was stopped and they were arrested. The 23 pharmacist-in-charge of the CVS pharmacy inside the Merced Mall informed the Officers that an 24 active investigation was going on for the past few month regarding the theft of Norco pills within 25 the pharmacy. 26

b. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4060 in that at times
uncertain between approximately January 1, 2012 and June 22, 2012, Respondent unlawfully

possessed a controlled substance, to wit: Norco, without authorization or a valid prescription, as
 more fully set forth above in paragraph a. and its subparts.

c. Respondent is subject to discipline under Health and Safety Code section 11351
in that at times uncertain between approximately January 1, 2012 and June 22, 2012, Respondent
unlawfully possessed a controlled substance for sale, to wit: Norco, as more fully set forth above
in paragraph a. and its subparts.

d. Respondent is subject to discipline under Health and Safety Code section 11350
in that at times uncertain between approximately January 1, 2012 and June 22, 2012, Respondent
unlawfully possessed a controlled substance which is a narcotic drug, to wit: Norco, without a
valid prescription, as more fully set forth above in paragraph a. and its subparts.

e. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4059 in that at times
uncertain between approximately January 1, 2012 and June 22, 2012, Respondent furnished to
herself a dangerous drug without a valid prescription, as more fully set forth above in paragraph
a. and its subparts.

f. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (f),
and Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a), in that at times uncertain between
approximately January 1, 2012 and June 22, 2012, Respondent committed acts involving moral
turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, corruption, misrepresentation and/or subterfuge by stealing a
controlled substance and dangerous drug, to wit: Norco, while on duty as a licensed pharmacy
technician at CVS pharmacy, as more fully set forth above in paragraph a. and its subparts.

g. Respondent is subject to discipline under Health and Safety Code section
11352, subdivision (a), in that at times uncertain between approximately January 1, 2012 and
June 22, 2012, Respondent unlawfully furnished, transported and sold, and offered and attempted
to furnish, transport, and sell a controlled substance which is a narcotic, to wit: Norco, as more
fully set forth above in paragraph a. and its subparts.

h. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (q), in
that on or about June 11 and 14, 2013, the Board sent written letters to Respondent inquiring
about the details of the incident as set forth above in paragraph a. and its subparts. Respondent

1	engaged in conduct that subverted or attempted to subvert an investigation of the board when she
2	failed to respond to the Board's written inquiries about the details of the incident.
3	i. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), in
4	that between approximately May 22, 2012 and June 22, 2012, respondent violated statutes
5	regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs, including: Code section 4059 as set forth
6	above in paragraph e.; Code section 4060 as set forth above in paragraph b.; Health and Safety
7	Code section 11351 as set forth above in paragraph c.; Health and Safety Code section 11350 as
8	set forth above in paragraph d.; and Health and Safety Code section 11352 as set forth above in
9	paragraph g.
10	j. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (o) in
11	that between approximately May 22, 2012 and June 22, 2012, Respondent violated laws
12	governing pharmacy as set forth above in paragraphs a. and its subparts, through i.
13	ORDER
14	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 120569, heretofore
15	issued to Respondent Amy Cheryl Winters, is revoked.
16	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
17	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
18	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
19	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
20	This Decision shall become effective on September 18, 2014.
21	It is so ORDERED August 19, 2014.
22	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
23	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
24	By G. Wussi
25	STAN C. WEISSER Board President
26	32015617.DOC DOJ Matter ID;SA2013112701
27	Attachment:
28	
	6 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 4915)
	Exhibit A: Accusation

ż.

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 4915

4		
	1 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	
	2 KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
	3 STEPHANIE ALAMO-LATIF Deputy Attorney General	
	4 State Bar No. 283580 1300 I Street, Suite 125	
	5 P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
	6 Telephone: (916) 327-6819 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643	i.
	7 E-mail: Stephanie.AlamoLatif@doj.ca.gov	
	8 Attorneys for Complainant	•
!	9 BEFORE THE	
1	BOARD OF PHARMACY 10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
1:	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4915	
1	13 AMY CHERYL WINTERS	
14	319 E. 20th StreetMerced, Califonria 95340ACCUSATION	
1	15 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	•
1	16 ⁻	
1	17 Respondent.	
1	18	
1	19 Virginia Herold ("Complainant") alleges:	
2	20 PARTIES	
2	21 1. (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the H	Executive
2	22 Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
2	23 2. On or about March 15, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Tech	hnician
2	24 Registration Number TCH 120569 to Amy Cheryl Winters (Respondent). The Pharmac	су
2	25 Technician Registration expired on April 30, 2013, and has not been renewed.	
2	26 \\\\	
· 2	27 \\\	
2	28 \\\	
		Accusation

,

ř		
1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of	
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.	
5	4. Code section 4300 states, in pertinent part, that every license issued may be	
6	suspended or revoked.	
7	5. Code section 4300.1 states:	
8	"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by	
9	operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license	
10	on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board	
11	of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary	
12	proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."	
13	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
14	Business and Professions Code	
15	6. Code section 4022 states, in pertinent part, that "Dangerous drug" means any drug	
16	unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:	
17	"(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without	
18	prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import."	
19	•••	
20	"(c) Any other drug that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on	
21	prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006."	
22	7. Code section 4059 states:	
23	"(a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a	
24	physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section	
25	3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a	
26	physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section	
27	3640.7."	
28		
	2 Accusation	

. Lu

n , t

. ·

•

'n		
1	8. Code section 4060 states, in pertinent part, that no person shall possess any controlled	
2	substance, except upon the prescription of an authorized prescriber.	
3	9. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:	
4	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional	
5	conduct. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:	
6		
7	"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or	
8	corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and	
9	whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not."	
10	***	
11	"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United	
12	States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs."	
13		
14	(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties	
15	of a licensee under this chapter.	
16	•••	
17	"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the	
18	violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable	
19	federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by	
20	the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.	
21	•••	
22	"(q) Engaging in any conduct that subverts or attempts to subvert an investigation of the	
23	board."	
24	•••	
25		
26		
27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
28		
	3 Accusation	

Health and Safety Code

1

2

3

4

5

15

20

25

26

10. Health and Safety Code section 11173 states, in pertinent part, that no person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact.

6 11. Health and Safety Code section 11350 states, in pertinent part, that a person may not
7 possess a controlled substance which is a narcotic drug unless upon the prescription of an
8 authorized prescriber.

9 12. Health and Safety Code section 11351 states, in pertinent part, that a person may not
10 possess for sale or purchase for the purpose of sale a controlled substance which is a narcotic
11 drug.

12 13. Health and Safety Code section 11352 states, in pertinent part, that a person may not
 13 transport, sell, furnish, or give away, or offer to transport, sell furnish, or give away a controlled
 14 substance which is a narcotic drug, unless upon the prescription of an authorized prescriber.

COST RECOVERY

16 14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
enforcement of the case.

DRUGS

15. "Norco" is among the brand names for compounds of varying dosages of
acetaminophen (aka APAP) and hydrocodone, a Schedule III controlled substance as designated
by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4) and dangerous drug as designated by
Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

27
16. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (*l*), for the
28
28
28
28
28
29
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20
20</

4

People v. Amy Cheryl Winters (Super. Ct. Merced County Case No. CRM023485B), Respondent was convicted on her plea of nolo contendere of violating Health and Safety Code section 11351
(Possession for Sale of a Controlled Substance - Norco), a felony. The circumstances of the substantially related crime are as follows:

1

2

3

4

(a) On or about June 22, 2012, a Police Officer with the Merced Police Department 5 conducted a traffic stop on a vehicle with non-operational brake lights in which Respondent was 6 traveling in as a passenger. After initiating the traffic stop, the Officer discovered that the driver, 7 Mr. Jackson, was on active probation and open to search and seizure out of Merced County, and 8 that both the driver and Respondent had a suspended/revoked license. Respondent consented to a 9 search of her person and possessions, which resulted in discovery of a brown zippered bag in her 10 purse that had the word "deposit" written on it, with a large quantity of yellow pills inside, later 11 tdentified as Norco. Respondent first told the Officer that the pills were her personal pills, she 12 had a prescription for them, and collected several prescriptions over the last few months to make 13 that quantity. After being read her Miranda rights, Respondent told Officers that she wanted to be 14 honest and admitted that she had the pills because Mr. Jackson was giving Respondent a ride to 15 Modesto to sell the pills to a friend of Jackson's. Respondent admitted that there were 500 pills 16 in the bag, and that while she had a prescription for Norco, her prescription pills were completely 17 separate from the 500 pills she had in her possession. 18

(b) On or about June 22, 2012, after being transported to Merced Police 19 Department Central Station, Respondent admitted that she worked as a pharmacy technician at the 20 CVS Pharmacy located at the Merced Mall. Respondent further admitted that about six months 21 prior, she started selling her actual prescribed Norco for \$1 a piece. However, after being 22 approached by Mr. Jackson for a larger quantity of Norco, Respondent went to her place of work 23 at CVS pharmacy a couple weeks prior to her arrest and took a prescription bottle belonging to the 24 25 pharmacy that contained 500 Norco pills inside. She took the bottle to the bathroom, which is out of view of surveillance cameras, and placed the 500 Norco pills into the brown bag that said 26 deposit on it. Respondent then placed the brown bag in her underwear and stole the pills from the 27 pharmacy. Respondent admitted that she went with Mr. Jackson to Modesto and sold the first 28

5

batch of 500 Norco pills. Respondent further admitted that a couple of days before her arrest on
June 22, 2012, Respondent stole another 500 pills in the same manner as she did before, for the
purpose of selling them with Mr. Jackson. Respondent and Mr. Jackson were on their way to
Modesto to sell the 500 Norco pills when their vehicle was stopped and they were arrested. The
pharmacist-in-charge of the CVS pharmacy inside the Merced Mall informed the Officers that an
active investigation was going on for the past few month regarding the theft of Norco pills within
the pharmacy.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Possession of a Controlled Substance)

17. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4060 in that at times uncertain
 between approximately January 1, 2012 and June 22, 2012, Respondent unlawfully possessed a
 <u>controlled substance, to wit: Norco, without authorization or a valid prescription, as more fully set</u>
 forth above in paragraph 16 and its subparts.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Possession for Sale of a Controlled Substance)

18. Respondent is subject to discipline under Health and Safety Code section 11351 in
that at times uncertain between approximately January 1, 2012 and June 22, 2012, Respondent
unlawfully possessed a controlled substance for sale, to wit: Norco, as more fully set forth above
in paragraph 15 and its subparts.

20

21

8

9

14

15

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Possession of a Controlled Substance Which is a Narcotic Drug)

19. Respondent is subject to discipline under Health and Safety Code section 11350 in
that at times uncertain between approximately January 1, 2012 and June 22, 2012, Respondent
unlawfully possessed a controlled substance which is a narcotic drug, to wit: Norco, without a
valid prescription, as more fully set forth above in paragraph 16 and its subparts.

26 27 ///

 $\parallel \parallel$

|||

28

6

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

7

8

9

16

17

18

24

25

(Furnishing Dangerous Drugs)

20. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4059 in that at times uncertain between approximately January 1, 2012 and June 22, 2012, Respondent furnished to herself a dangerous drug without a valid prescription, as more fully set forth above in paragraph 16 and its subparts.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, Corruption, Misrepresentation or Subterfuge)

10 21. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), and
11 Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a), in that at times uncertain between
12 approximately January 1, 2012 and June 22, 2012, Respondent committed acts involving moral13 turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, corruption, misrepresentation and/or subterfuge by stealing a
14 controlled substance and dangerous drug, to wit: Norco, while on duty as a licensed pharmacy
15 technician at CVS pharmacy, as more fully set forth above in paragraph 16 and its subparts.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Transporting, Selling, Furnishing, or Giving Away of Controlled Narcotic Substances)

22. Respondent is subject to discipline under Health and Safety Code section 11352,
subdivision (a), in that at times uncertain between approximately January 1, 2012 and June 22,
2012, Respondent unlawfully furnished, transported and sold, and offered and attempted to
furnish, transport, and sell a controlled substance which is a narcotic, to wit: Norco, as more fully
set forth above in paragraph 16 and its subparts.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Interference with an Investigation)

26 23. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (q), in that
27 on or about June 11 and 14, 2013, the Board sent written letters to Respondent inquiring about the
28 details of the incident as set forth above in paragraph 16 and its subparts. Respondent engaged in

7

`	
1	conduct that subverted or attempted to subvert an investigation of the board when she failed to
2	respond to the Board's written inquiries about the details of the incident.
3	NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
4	(Violation of Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)
5	24. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), in that
6	between approximately May 22, 2012 and June 22, 2012, respondent violated statutes regulating
7	controlled substances and dangerous drugs, including: Code section 4059 as set forth above in
8	paragraph 17; Code section 4060 as set forth above in paragraph 20; Health and Safety Code
9	section 11351 as set forth above in paragraph 18; Health and Safety Code section 11350 as set
10	forth above in paragraph 19; and Health and Safety Code section 11352 as set forth above in
11	paragraph 22.
12	TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
13	(Violation of Laws Governing Pharmacy)
14	25. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 4301, subdivision (o) in that
15	between approximately May 22, 2012 and June 22, 2012, Respondent violated laws governing
16	pharmacy as set forth above in paragraphs 16 through 24.
17	PRAYER
18	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
19	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
20	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 120569,
21	issued to Amy Cheryl Winters;
22	2. Ordering Amy Cheryl Winters to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of
23	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
24	125.3;
25	
26	
27	
28	<i>W</i>
	8 Accusation

3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper, 9/14 DATED: VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SA2013112701 11182761.doc Accusation