BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 4880

CHRISTIAN WILLIAM DAPAAH 868 Sweet pea Lane Manteca, CA 95336 OAH No. 2015110963

Pharmacist License No. RPH 54207

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on July 8, 2016.

It is so ORDERED June 8, 2016.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

	11								
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS								
2	Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN								
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRISTINA T. JARVIS								
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 258229								
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255								
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550								
7	Telephone: (916) 324-5403 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant								
8	BEFORE THE								
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS								
10	STATE OF	CALIFORNIA							
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4880							
12	CHRISTIAN WILLIAM DAPAAH	OAH No. 2015110963							
13	868 Sweet Pea Lane Manteca, CA 95336	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF							
14	Pharmacist License No. RPH 54207	LICENSE AND ORDER							
15	Responden	t.							
16		<u>· </u>							
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-								
18	entitled proceedings that the following matters	are true:							
19	<u>PA</u>	ARTIES							
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.								
21	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala								
22	D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Kristina T. Jarvis, Deputy Attorney								
23	General.								
24	2. On or about March 18, 2003, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License No.								
25	RPH 54207 to Christian William Dapaah (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full force								
26	and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4880 and will expire on								
27	February 28, 2017, unless renewed.								
28	///								
		1							

3. Christian William Dapaah (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Paul Chan, Esq., whose address is 2311 Capitol Avenue, Sacramento, CA 95816-5812.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 4880 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on August 24, 2015.

Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 4880 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4880. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4880, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Pharmacist License.
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline.

Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.

10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Pharmacist License without further process.

CONTINGENCY

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 54207, issued to Respondent Christian William Dapaah, is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

- 1. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacist License and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board of Pharmacy.
- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Pharmacist in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was issued, his wall certificate within ten (10) days of the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever applies for licensure or petitions for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure.
- 5. Respondent may not apply for any license, permit, or registration from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of this decision. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for licensure in effect at the time the application or petition is filed, including, but not limited to, taking and passing the California Pharmacist Licensure Examination prior to the issuance of a new license. Respondent stipulates that should he apply for any license from the Board on or after the effective date of this decision, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 4880 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny the application or petition.
- 6. Respondent is currently involved in a criminal matter in Stanislaus County. Should Respondent be found to be not guilty at trial in this matter, or should the Superior Court dismiss the charges due to lack of probable cause or in the interest of justice, or should Respondent be found to be factually innocent, he shall be permitted to apply for reinstatement of licensure as set forth in paragraph 5, above, after one (1) year from the effective date of this decision.
- 7. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$8,120.50 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.
- 8. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of

1	California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 4880 shall be deemed					
2	to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any					
3.	other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.					
4	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>					
5	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully					
6	discussed it with my attorney, Paul Chan, Esq I understand the stipulation and the effect it will					
7	have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order					
8	voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the					
9	Board of Pharmacy.					
10,	DATED: 10					
11	CHRISTIAN WILLIAM DAPAAH Respondent					
12						
13	APPROVAL AS TO FORM AND CONTENT					
14	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Christian William Dapaah the terms and					
15	conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I					
16	approve its form and content.					
17	DATED: 4/26/16					
18	PAUL CHAN, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28	<i>III</i>					
	5					

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: April 26, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General

KXISTINA T. JARVIS
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SA2014120125 12236855.doc

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 4880

1	Kamala D. Harris							
2	Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN							
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRISTINA T. JARVIS							
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 258229							
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125							
6	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550							
7	Telephone: (916) 324-5403 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643							
8	Attorneys for Complainant							
	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY							
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
10								
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4880							
12	Christian William Dapaah 868 Sweet Pea Lane A C C U S A T I O N							
13	Manteca, CA 95336							
14	Pharmacist License No.: RPH 54207							
15	Respondent.							
16								
17								
18	Complainant alleges:							
19	<u>PARTIES</u>							
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as							
21	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.							
22	2. On or about March 18, 2003, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number RPH							
23	54207 to Christian William Dapaah (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full force and							
24	effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on February 28, 2017,							
25	unless renewed.							
26	///							
27	///							
28	///							
,	1							
}	Accusation							

///

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300 of the Code states in pertinent part that every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
 - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

6. Section 4301 of the Code states in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- "(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency..."
 - 7. Section 4306,5 of the codes states:

"Unprofessional conduct for a pharmacist may include any of the following:

"(b) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to exercise or implement his or her best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances, dangerous drugs, or dangerous devices, or with regard to the provision of services..."

8. Section 4022 of the Code states

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- "(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- "(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a ______," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.
- "(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006."
 - 9. Section 4060 of the Code states in pertinent part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052..."

- 10. Health and Safety Code section 11153 states in pertinent part:
- "(a) A prescription for controlled substances shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription..."

.10

DRUGS

- 11. Marijuana is a Schedule I controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (d)(13), and a dangerous drug as designated by Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 12. Lortab is the brand name for hydrocodone with acetaminophen, is a Schedule III controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4), and a dangerous drug as designated by Business and Professions Code section 4022.
- 13. Maxzide is the brand name for triamterene with hydrochlorothiazide and is a dangerous drug as designated by Business and Professions Code section 4022. Maxzide is prescribed to treat hypertension and edema.

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, by possessing and transporting marijuana and Lortab/hydrocodone for purposes of sale in violation of section 4060 and Health and Safety Code sections 11360, subdivision (a), 11359, 11351, and 11352, subdivision (a). The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about April 8, 2013, Respondent was stopped by the California Highway Patrol and was found to be in possession of 915 grams of marijuana, approximately \$18,920.00, and a container of pills later identified as Lortab/hydrocodone bearing the patient name E.K.

 Respondent was also in possession of a cellular telephone which contained text messages regarding the purchase and sale of marijuana and hydrocodone. Respondent stated that he had been having financial troubles and admitted that he was selling marijuana in order to purchase a sport utility vehicle.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failed to Exercise Best Professional Judgment or Corresponding Responsibility)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct pursuant to section 4301, as defined by section 4306.5, subdivision (b), in that Respondent failed to exercise his best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility by knowingly dispensing two (2) prescriptions for dangerous drugs and one (1) prescription for a controlled substance that were issued without any existing prescriber-patient relationship or examination. The circumstances are as follows:

On or about April 1, 2013, Respondent contacted Dr. E.T., who resides and practices medicine in California, and requested that he authorize a prescription for Maxzide for patient E.K., who resides in Georgia and was not an established patient of Dr. E.T. Dr. E.T. agreed to authorize the prescription without examining the patient. Respondent wrote, filled, picked up, and paid for the prescription.

- b. On or about April 2, 2013, Respondent contacted Dr. E.T., who resides and practices medicine in California, and requested that he authorize a prescription for Lortab for patient J.D., who resides in Oklahoma and was not an established patient of Dr. E.T. Dr. E.T. agreed to authorize the prescription without examining the patient. Respondent wrote, filled, picked up, and paid for the prescription.
- c. On or about April 8, 2013, the California Hoghway Patrol confiscated both prescriptions referenced in paragraphs 16(a) and (b), from Respondent's possession.
- d. On or about April 15, 2013, Respondent re-authorized, filled, picked up, and paid for a Maxzide prescription for patient E.K.
- e. On or about April 16, 2013, Respondent re-authorized, filled, picked up, and paid for a Lortab prescription for patient J.D.

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	3,	Taking such other and further	action as deemed necess	north park to the contract	
2	,	Taming out of the tall the	\	sary and prope	ir.
3	DATED:	8/17/15	()ugma	Heed	D
4 5			VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consume State of California	er Affairs	
6			State of California Complainant		
7	}				9
8	· •	•	. *		and the second s
9			,		7
10			•	. *	
11					
12		•			
. 13					
14				•	,
15		·			
16	·		•		
17				,	
18					
19		•			
20					
21					,
23	,	·			
24		•			
25					!
26					
27					
28	SA201311122 11881971.doc	2			
			7		