for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 4571 Orchid Dr., Los Angeles, CA 90043.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about February 24, 2014, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Return to sender." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made himself available for service and therefore, has not availed himself of his right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4869.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on

file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4869, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4869, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1.250.00 as of April 4, 2014.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Tutankha Nyaju Allen has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 58569 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:
- (a) Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (l) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that, Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician as follows:
- (i) On or about March 21, 2013, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 530.5, subdivision (c)(3) [multiple identifying information theft] and one felony count of Penal Code section 502, subdivision (c) [fraudulent computer access] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Tutanka Nyaju Allen* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2013, No. BA408786.)
- (b) Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another.

|| ///

27 || ///

28 | ///

ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 58569, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent Tutankha Nyaju Allen, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This Decision shall become effective on June 20, 2014. 8 It is so ORDERED ON May 21, 2014. 9 10 BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 STAN C. WEISSER 13 14 15 **Board President** 16 17 51488208.DOC LA2013509894 18 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE Supervising Deputy Attorney General NANCY A. KAISER Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 192083 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-5794 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4869
13	TUTANKHA NYAJU ALLEN	ACCUSATION
14	4571 Orchid Dr. Los Angeles, CA 90043	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration	
16	No. TCH 58569	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about March 24, 2005, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy	
24	Technician Registration No. TCH 58569 to Tutankha Nyaju Allen (Respondent). The Pharmacy	
25	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
26	herein and will expire on August 31, 2014, unless renewed.	
27	///	
28	///	
}		1

///

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
- 5. Section 4300 provides in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Boards is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
 - 6. Section 4300.1 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

4 5

678

9

1011

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

7. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . .

(D" The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

///

///

///

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (l) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that, Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician as follows:
- a. On or about March 21, 2013, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 530.5, subdivision (c)(3) [multiple identifying information theft] and one felony count of Penal Code section 502, subdivision (c) [fraudulent computer access] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Tutanka Nyaju Allen* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2013, No. BA408786.) The Court

sentenced Respondent to serve 6 days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed him on 36 months formal probation, with terms and conditions.

The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or between August 6, 2012 and October 27, 2012, Respondent used his mother's credit cards and bank card to make unauthorized transactions and withdrawals. Respondent admitted to his mother that he had used her credit cards and bank card. Respondent was subsequently arrested when she reported the unauthorized use to police.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another. Complainant refers to, and by reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 10, subparagraph (b), as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 58569, issued to Tutankha Nyaju Allen;
- 2. Ordering Tutankha Nyaju Allen to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED:

Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant