1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	BEFORE THE		
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 4677	
13	Against:	OAH No. 2013100835	
14	JEFFREY WARREN YERKES 12828 Fiesta Drive Poway, CA 92064	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
15 16	Pharmacy Technician Registration	[Gov. Code, § 11520]	
17	Respondent.		
18	FINDINGS OF FACT		
19	1. On or about August 13, 2013, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity		
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs,		
21	filed Statement of Issues No. 4677 against Jeffrey Warren Yerkes (Respondent) before the Board.		
22	2. On or about July 23, 2012, Respondent filed an application dated July 6, 2012, with		
23	the Board to obtain a Pharmacy Technician Registration.		
24	3. On or about January 23, 2013, the Board issued a letter denying Respondent's		
25	application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration. On or about March 22, 2013, Respondent		
26	appealed the Board's denial of his application and requested a hearing.		
27	4. On or about August 23, 2013, an employee of the Department of Justice, served by		
28	Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Statement of Issues No. 4677, Statement to		
	1		

Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address on request for hearing, which was and is 12828 Fiesta Drive, Poway, CA 92064. A copy of the Statement of Issues is attached as Exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by reference.

- 5. Service of the Statement of Issues was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 6. On or about March 22, 2013, Respondent appealed the denial of his application and requested a hearing in this action. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's address on the application and it informed him that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for October 16, 2014. Respondent failed to appear at that hearing.
 - 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; and where the burden of proof is on the respondent to establish that the respondent is entitled to the agency action sought, the agency may act without taking evidence.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing based upon the allegation set forth in the Statement of Issues and Respondent's failure to establish entitlement to issuance of a license.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Jeffrey Warren Yerkes has subjected his application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration to denial.
- 2. Service of Statement of Issues No. 4677 and related documents was proper and in accordance with the law.

EXHIBIT A

Statement of Issues No. 4677

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K, SCHNEIDER		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336		
4	AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2141 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	Auorneys for Complainani		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:		
13	JEFFREY WARREN YERKES STATEMENT OF ISSUES		
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration		
15	Applicant,		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about July 23, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs		
23	received an application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Jeffrey Warren Yerkes		
24	(Respondent). On or about July 6, 2012, Jeffrey Warren Yerkes certified under penalty of perjury		
25	to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board		
26	denied the application on January 23, 2013.		
27	<i>HII</i>		
28	111		

STATEMENT OF ISSUES (Case No. 4677)

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The Board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 475 of the Code states:
- (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
- (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.
 - (2) Conviction of a crime,
- (3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a).
- (c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits.
- 6. Section 480 of the Code states:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203,4 of the Penal Code.

- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (3)(A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
- (c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license.

Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Bach board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the erime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

III

W 777

28 || 777

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake, Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nole contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203,4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states:
- (a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria:
- (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.
- (2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).
- (4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.
 - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant. . . .

1. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(March 18, 2003 Criminal Convictions for Statutory Rape on December 14, 2002)

- 12. Respondent's application for licensure is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a registered pharmacy technician, and would be a ground for discipline under section 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about March 18, 2003, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Jeffrey W. Yerkes*, in San Diego County Superior Court, case number SCD172688, after the Information was amended by interlineation, Respondent pled guilty to two counts of violating Penal Code section 261.5, subdivision (c), unlawful intercourse with a minor, three or more years younger, a felony.
- b. As a result of the convictions, on or about May 9, 2003, the court sentenced Respondent to serve 90 days in jail, with credit for three days, and granted three years formal probation. Respondent was ordered to pay fees and fines, and comply with probation terms. On or about August 17, 2011, the court granted Respondent's Petition for Dismissal under Penal Code section 1203.4. Respondent's guilty plea was withdrawn, a plea of not guilty was entered, and the charges were dismissed.
- c. The facts that led to the convictions, which are taken from Respondent's plea agreement, are that on or about December 14, 2002, Respondent had unlawful sexual intercourse with two underage girls at a time when he was nineteen years old, when neither of the girls was Respondent's wife.

PRAYER WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 1. Denying the application of Jeffrey Warren Yerkes for a Pharmacy Technician Registration; Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 2, DATED: Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2013705213