Respondent/Applicant's Withdrawl of Request for Hearing (2 Copies), Request for Discovery, and copies of Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6 and 11507.7 to Respondent's address on the application form, which was and is 12750 Laurel Street #507, Lakeside, CA 92040. A copy of the Statement of Issues is attached as exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by reference.

- 5. Service of the Statement of Issues was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 6. On or about September 25, 2012, Respondent appealed the denial of his application and requested a hearing in this action. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's address on the application and it informed him that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for October 23, 2013. Respondent failed to appear at that hearing.
 - 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; and where the burden of proof is on the respondent to establish that the respondent is entitled to the agency action sought, the agency may act without taking evidence.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing based upon the allegations set forth in the Statement of Issues and Respondent's failure to establish entitlement to issuance of a license.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Tony Le has subjected his application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration to denial.
- 2. Service of Statement of Issues No. 4446 and related documents was proper and in accordance with the law.

1	Kamala D. Harris	,
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336	,
4	Amanda Dodds	
	Senior Legal Analyst 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2141	
7 8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	•
ļ	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA
11		Case No. 4446
12	Against:	
13	1	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20	Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about March 1, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs	
23	received an application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Tony Le (Respondent). On	
24	or about January 31, 2012, Tony Le certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all	
25	statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on	
26	August 31, 2012.	
	August 31, 2012.	
27	August 31, 2012.	
27 28		

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

17 18

19

20

2122

23 24

25

2627

28

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

4. Section 4300 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 475 of the Code states:
- (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
- (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.
 - (2) Conviction of a crime.
- (3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a).
- (c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits.
- 6. Section 477 of the Code states:

As used in this division:

- (a) 'Board' includes 'bureau,' 'commission,' 'committee,' 'department,' 'division,' 'examining committee,' 'program,' and 'agency.'
- (b) 'License' includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by this code.
- 7. Section 480 of the Code states:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo

contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (3)(A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (3)(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
- (c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license.
- 8. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

9. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

10. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the

4 5

6

7

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26 27

'28

111

licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1768 states:
- (a) Where the board has denied an application for a license, the earliest date on which the applicant may reapply for a license is one year after the effective date of the denial.
- (b) All competent evidence of rehabilitation presented will be considered upon a reapplication. The board shall use the criteria listed in section 1769 when considering evidence of rehabilitation.

(a)(1), aggravated assault with a deadly weapon, a felony reduced to a misdemeanor on the court's own motion, pursuant to Penal Code section 17b.

- b. As a result of the conviction, on or about July 29, 2010, Respondent was granted three years informal probation, and sentenced to 90 days in the Orange County Jail, with credit for eight days. Respondent was further ordered to submit to a Fourth Amendment waiver, not own any dangerous weapon, , pay fines, fees, and restitution, and to stay away from the victim.
- November 17, 2009, officers from the Westminster Police Department were dispatched to a local church regarding an assault; the witness stated that the victim was hit with bats. Upon arrival, the officers found a 15-year-old male victim lying in the grass who appeared to be in pain. The victim was reluctant to cooperate with the officers. The victim was transported to a local hospital where he was questioned further. The victim stated that after he got off the bus near the church, he was approached by at least five subjects, four of whom were carrying baseball bats. The victim ran away, but was surrounded by the subjects who beat the victim with the bats. The victim went to the ground while they continued to beat him with the bats and kick him in face. The officer was able to extract from the victim the name "Tony" (Respondent) as one of the suspects, but the victim was afraid to provide the name of the other suspects. The following day, detectives interviewed Respondent who told the detectives that he and others waited for the victim to arrive at the church. The plan was to assault the victim because he had stolen money from one of Respondent's friends.

1//

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Denying the application of Tony Le for a Pharmacy Technician Registration;
- 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 1/7/13 Jugini

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2012803949

--