> 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17

18

full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4858 and will expire on May 31, 2014, unless renewed.

- 3. On or about September 30, 2013, Respondent was served by Certified Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4858, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery. and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 22 Rhea Court, Pittsburg, CA 94565.
- 4 Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4858.
 - California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part: 7.
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4858, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4858, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

1	()	
1	9.	Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and
2	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation	
3	and Enforcement are \$1,707.50 as of November 1, 2013.	
4	İ	<u>DETERMINATION OF ISSUES</u>
5	1.	Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Jayme Marie Palmer has
6	subjected her Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 102463 to discipline.	
7	2.	The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
8	3.	The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
9	License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the	
10	evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:	
11	a.	Business and Professions Code sections 4301 (Unprofessional Conduct), 490 and
12	4301, subdivision (l) (Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime), and 4301, subdivision (f)	
13	(Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption).	
14	//	
15	//	
16	//	
17	//	
18	//	
19	//	
20	//	
21	//	
22	//	
23	//	
24	//	
25	//	
26	//	
27	//	
28	//	
1	ı	2

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 102463, issued to Respondent Jayme Marie Palmer, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on January 27, 2014. It is so ORDERED ON December 27, 2013. **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Board President 90356447.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SF2013405029 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SUSANA A. GONZALES Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253027		
4			
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550		
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550		
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4858		
12	JAYME MARIE PALMER		
13	22 Rhea Court Pittsburg, CA 94565 A C C U S A T I O N		
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH		
15	102463		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
-21-	as-the-Executive-Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about May 20, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
23	Registration Number TCH 102463 to Jayme Marie Palmer (Respondent). The Pharmacy		
24	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in		
25	this Accusation and will expire on May 31, 2014, unless renewed.		
26			
27	///		
	11		
28			

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Code section 4300, subdivision (a), provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
 - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

6. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.]

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"(!) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

23

24

25

2-1-

22

Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or 8. revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

26 27

28

///

111

-2-1-

///

9. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration."

- 10. Section 4060 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that no person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished upon a valid prescription/drug order.
 - 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by her licensee or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES AND DANGEROUS DRUGS

- 12. Section 4021 of the Code states:
- "'Controlled substance' means any substance listed in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 11053) of Division 10 of the Health and Safety Code."
 - 13. Section 4022 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "'Dangerous drug' or 'dangerous device' means any drug or device unsafe for self use, except veterinary drugs that are labeled as such, and includes the following:

Accusation

, 12 13

11

15 16

14

18 19

17

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct) (Bus. & Prof. Code § 4301) .

- Respondent has subjected her Pharmacy Technician's Registration to disciplinary action under Code section 4301 in that Respondent unlawfully took Hydrocodone from her employer, Target. The circumstances are as follows:
- On or about March 5, 2013, at approximately 5:53 p.m., an officer from the Walnut Creek Police Department ("WCPD") was dispatched to the Target store in Walnut Creek, California, in order to investigate a theft report. Dispatch informed the officer that Target Loss Prevention had detained Respondent for theft of narcotics from the pharmacy. Respondent was a Target employee at the time. The WCPD officer arrived at Target and met with the Target investigator. Respondent was also present during the meeting. The investigator informed the officer that the Walnut Creek Target store had been having an on-going issue with the loss of Hydrocodone pills, which prompted the investigator to review surveillance footage from the pharmacy. The investigator discovered footage of Respondent stealing Hydrocodone from the Target pharmacy on or about March 3, 2013. Specifically, on or about March 2, 2013, Target received a shipment of one box of Hydrocodone. Video surveillance showed Respondent opening the box on March 3, 2013, at approximately 8:58 a.m. Respondent removed three bottles from the box. One bottle contained 500 Hydrocodone pills, and the other two bottles contained 100 Hydrocodone pills. Three minutes after removing the bottles, Respondent took all three bottles and placed them at a work station in the back of the pharmacy, near a tray full of other prescriptions. The surveillance footage then showed Respondent take one of the bottles of Hydrocodone and conceal it inside her jacket pocket. Respondent was then observed walking to another area of the pharmacy, removing a plastic bag from her jacket, and emptying the bottle of pills into the bag. Respondent was later observed placing the empty bottle of Hydrocodone in the empty bottle area in the back of the pharmacy. Respondent left work that day at approximately 1:29 p.m. The investigator showed the officer the surveillance footage that he had described. The bottle that Respondent emptied into the plastic bag was the bottle containing 500

Hydrocodone pills. The investigator then signed a citizen's arrest form for misdemeanor embezzlement.

19. The WCPD officer arrested Respondent and transported her to the WCPD to complete the booking process. The officer later questioned Respondent about the surveillance footage. Respondent denied having a narcotic addiction problem, and told the officer that she had given the pills to her brother's friend whose name she did not know. Respondent also told the officer that she only took the pills on this one occasion, and she denied having any intent to sell them. She then described to the officer exactly how she had taken the pills from the Target store.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct – Conviction) (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 490, 4301, subd. (1))

- 20. Complainant realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 17 through 19 above, and incorporates them as if fully set forth.
- 21. Respondent has subjected her Pharmacy Technician's Registration to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I), as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to the duties, functions, and qualifications of a licensee. Specifically, on or about May 22, 2013, in a criminal matter entitled *The People of the State of California v. Jayme Marie Palmer*, in the Contra Costa County Superior Court, Docket No. 1-16 2194-5, Respondent was convicted by plea of no contest to violation Penal Code section 504 (embezzlement), a misdemeanor. Respondent was sentenced to 45 days in jail, placed on probation for three years, and ordered to stay away from the Target store in Walnut Creek.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)
(Bus. & Prof. Code § 4301, subd. (f))

- 22. Complainant realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 17 through 21 above, and incorporates them as if fully set forth.
- 23. Respondent has subjected her Pharmacy Technician's Registration to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (f), in that she has engaged in acts involving

Accusation