1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		RE THE PHARMACY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		· · ·
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4807
12		
13	STEPHANIE E. BECHLE	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
14	39829 Western Jay Way Murrieta, CA 93277	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration	[Gov. Code, §11520]
16	No. TCH 30272	
17		
18	Respondent.	
19		
20		<u>S OF FACT</u>
21		plainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity—
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmac	· · · · ·
23	Accusation No. 4807 against Stephanie E. Bechl	e (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.
24	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
25		bard of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
26	Technician Registration No. TCH 30272 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration	
27	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4807	
28	and will expire on November 30, 2014, unless re	newed.
Ì		1

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

1	3. On or about October 31, 2013, Respondent was served by First Class Mail and
2	Certified Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4807, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense,
3	Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6,
4	and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions
5	Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's
6	address of record was and is:
7	39829 Western Jay Way Murrieta, CA 93277.
8	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
9	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section
10	124.
11	5. On or about November 4, 2013, the signed Certified Mail receipt was returned by the
12	U.S. Postal Service.
13	6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
14 15	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall
16 17	constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
18	7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of
19	the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4807.
20	8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
21	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the
22	hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to
23	respondent.
24	9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
25	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the
26	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as
27	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on
28	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4807, finds that
(2

1	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4807, are separately and severally, found to be true
2	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
3	10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and
4	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation
5	and Enforcement is \$552.50 as of December 18, 2013.
6	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES
7	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Stephanie E. Bechle has
8	subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 30272 to discipline.
9	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
10	3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
11	Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported
12	by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
13	a. Violation of Business and Professions Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1) of
14	the Code, in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications,
15	duties and functions of a pharmacy technician. On or about May 30, 2013, in a criminal
16	proceeding entitled People of the State of California v. Stephanie Elizabeth Bechle, in the
17	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, in Case No. SWM1302926, Respondent was
18	convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152(a) (DUI), and 23152(b)
19	(driving with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or more [0.31 percent BAC]),
20	misdemeanors, with an admitted allegation pursuant to Vehicle Code section 23578 of driving a
21	motor vehicle while having a blood alcohol concentration of 0.15 percent or more by weight.
22	
23	111
24	///
25	111
26	111
27	///
28	111
	3

1	ORDER
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 30272, heretofore
3	issued to Respondent Stephanie E. Bechle, is revoked.
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
8	This Decision shall become effective on February 24, 2014.
9	It is so ORDERED ON January 24, 2014.
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	
13	la C. Wussi
14	By (. WEISSER
15	Board President
16	
17	70798215.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SD2013705569
18	Attachment:
19	Exhibit A: Accusation
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

_

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3037 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
. 8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
10		CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
11		1
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4807
13	STEPHANIE E. BECHLE 39829 Western Jay Way	
14	Murrieta, CA 93277	ACCUSATION
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 30272	
16	Respondent.	
17		
- 18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PAR	TIES
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity as
22	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy,	Department of Consumer Affairs.
23	2. On or about August 18, 1999, the Be	oard of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
24	Registration Number TCH 30272 to Stephanie E	. Bechle (Respondent). The Pharmacy
25	Technician Registration was in full force and effe	ct at all times relevant to the charges brought
26	herein and will expire on November 30, 2014, un	less renewed.
27	111	
28	111	
		1 Accusation

()

I		
1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.	
5	4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be	
6	suspended or revoked."	
7	5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:	
8	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued	
9	license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a	
10	licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.	
11	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
12	6. Section 482 of the Code states:	
13	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to	
14	evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:	
15	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or	
16	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.	
17	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.	
18		
19	7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or	
20	revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related	
21	to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was	
22	issued.	
23	8. Section 493 of the Code states:	
24	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or	
25	to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person	
26	who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive	
27	evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and	
28	///	
	2 Accusation	

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

///

///

111

1	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
2	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
3	
4 5	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present
6	eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
7	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
8	(2) Total criminal record.
9	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
10	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
11	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
12	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
13	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or
14	facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related
15 16	to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the
17	public health, safety, or welfare.
18	COST RECOVERY
19	12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
20	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
21	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
22	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being
23	renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be
24	included in a stipulated settlement.
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	///
	4 Accusation

()

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

(May 30, 2013 Criminal Conviction for DUI and for Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol With Blood Alcohol Concentration of 0.08% or More [0.31% BAC] on April 10, 2013)

13. Respondent has subjected her registration to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code, in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties ad functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

14. On or about May 30, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Stephanie Elizabeth Bechle*, in the Superior Court of California, County of Riverside, in Case No. SWM1302926, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty of violating Vehicle Code sections 23152(a) (DUI), and 23152(b) (driving with a blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 percent or more [0.31 percent BAC]), misdemeanors, with an admitted allegation pursuant to Vehicle Code section 23578 of driving a motor vehicle while having a blood alcohol concentration of 0.15 percent or more by weight.

15. As a result of the conviction, the Court placed Respondent on 36 months probation 14 and ordered her to serve 20 days in the Riverside County Jail, with one day credit for time served, 15 with the 19 remaining days to be served through the Work Release Program. The Court also 16 ordered Respondent to pay various fines and fees, not drive with any measurable amount of 17 alcohol in her blood or within 6 hours of consuming alcohol or drugs, submit to blood, breath or 18 urine tests as requested by arresting officer, not drive unless properly licensed nor without 19 insurance or valid registration, attend and satisfactorily complete a 9-month First Offender DUI 20 Program, attend 44 AA meetings or an approved alternative program, and enroll in and complete 21 the MADD Victim Impact Panel session. 22

16. The circumstances that led to the conviction are that on April 10, 2013, at
approximately 9:00 a.m., Murrieta Police Department Officers were dispatched to a call of a
disabled vehicle on Los Alamos Road and Hancock Avenue. Upon arrival, officers made contact
with the driver (Respondent) who told officers that she had run out of gasoline and was on her
way to a gas station from her residence in Murrieta. Officers smelled an odor of alcohol emitting
from her person and observed that Respondent's eyes were bloodshot and watery. Respondent

1	initially denied consuming alcohol and later admitted to drinking alcohol the night prior.	
2	Respondent refused field sobriety tests and the officers determined that Respondent was too	
. 3	intoxicated to safely operate a motor vehicle and placed her under arrest and transported to the	
4	Murrieta Police Department where she submitted to a blood sample. Respondent was later	
5	booked at the county jail. The blood sample analysis showed Respondent had a 0.31 percent	
6	blood alcohol concentration.	
7	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
8	(Unprofessional Conduct - Use of Alcohol in a Manner Dangerous to Self or Others)	
9	17. Respondent has subjected her registration to disciplinary action under section 4301,	
10	subdivision (h) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on April 10, 2013, Respondent	
11	operated a motor vehicle while substantially impaired by the use of alcoholic beverages, as detailed	
12	at paragraphs 13-16, above, which are incorporated here by reference.	
13	PRAYER	
14	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
15	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
16	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 30272	
17	issued to Stephanie E. Bechle;	
18	2. Ordering Stephanie E. Bechle to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of	
19	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section	
20	125.3;	
21	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
22	γ /	
23	interior () in the day	
24	DATED: 10/21/13 VIRGIN/AHEROLD	
25	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy	
26	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
27	Complainant	
28	SD2013705569 70762796.doc	
i	6 Accusation	

()

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j$