	·		
1 2	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Senior Assistant Attorney General		
3	SHERRY L. LEDAKIS Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 131767 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P,O, Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2078		
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4803	
13	AUSTIN MICHAEL HITZEMAN 420 Tahoe Avenue	OAH No. 2014080161	
14	Placentia, CA 92870		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 97986	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF LICENSE AND ORDER	
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
20	<u>PARTIES</u>		
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.		
22			
44	She brought this action solely in her official capa		
23		acity and is represented in this matter by Kamala	
	She brought this action solely in her official capa	acity and is represented in this matter by Kamala	
23	She brought this action solely in her official capa D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California.	acity and is represented in this matter by Kamala	
23 24	She brought this action solely in her official capa D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California.	acity and is represented in this matter by Kamala fornia, by Sherry L. Ledakis, Deputy Attorney ent) is representing himself in this proceeding and	
23 24 25	She brought this action solely in her official capa D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of Calif General. 2. Austin Michael Hitzeman (Responde	acity and is represented in this matter by Kamala fornia, by Sherry L. Ledakis, Deputy Attorney ent) is representing himself in this proceeding and	
23 24 25 26	She brought this action solely in her official capa D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of Calif General. 2. Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the State of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondents to be represented by the state of Calif Austin	acity and is represented in this matter by Kamala fornia, by Sherry L. Ledakis, Deputy Attorney ent) is representing himself in this proceeding and	

3. On or about January 7, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 97986 to Austin Michael Hitzeman (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4803 and will expire on December 31, 2013, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 4803 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on April 9, 2014.

Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 4803 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in
 Accusation No. 4803. Respondent also has carefully read, and understands the effects of this
 Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 4803, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 97986 for the Board's formal acceptance.

1///

9. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Pharmacy Technician Registration without further process.

CONTINGENCY

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 97986, issued to Respondent Austin Michael Hitzeman, is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

1. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against

Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board of Pharmacy.

- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a pharmacy technician in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the board shall treat it as a new application for licensure.

Respondent may not apply for any license, permit, or registration form the board for three (3) years from the effective date of this decision. Respondent stipulates that should he or she apply for any license from the board on or after the effective date of this decision, all allegations set forth in the accusation shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by respondent when the board determines whether to grant or deny the application. Respondent shall satisfy all requirements applicable to that license as of the date the application is submitted to the board, including, but not limited to certification by a nationally recognized body prior to the issuance of a new license. Respondent is required to report this surrender as disciplinary action.

- 5. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$3,130.00 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.
- 6. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 4803 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration. I enter into this

1	Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to		
2	be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.		
3			
4	DATED: 11/10/14 Casto Harrie AUSTIN MICHAEL HITZEMAN		
5	Respondent		
6	ENDORSEMENT		
7	The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted		
8	for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.		
9			
10			
11	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
12	Senior Assistant Attorney General		
13	Thiny L. Lidakis		
14	Sherry L. Ledakis		
15	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant		
16			
17			
18 19	SD2013705555 70966791.doc		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 4803

1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SHERRY L. LEDAKIS		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 131767		
II.	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2078		
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4803	
12	AUSTIN MICHAEL HITZEMAN	ACCUSATION	
13	420 Tahoe Avenue Placentia, CA 92870		
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration		
15	No. TCH 97986		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about January 7, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
23	Registration Number TCH 97986 to Austin Mic	hael Hitzeman (Respondent). The Pharmacy	
24	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought		
25	herein and will expire on December 31, 2013, u	nless renewed.	
26	111		
27	111		
28	111		
	- International Control of Contro	- Turnery records a company or co	

Accusation

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states, "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
 - 5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to

3-

Accusation |

3

4

5

8

9

7

10 11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

22

21

2324

2526

27

28

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(May 5, 2011 Criminal Conviction for DUI on July 27, 2010)

- 13. Respondent has subjected his license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code in that he was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about May 5, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Austin Michael Hitzman*, Orange County Superior Court, case number 10NM10621, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code sections 23152(a) driving under the influence of alcohol, and 23152(b) driving with a blood alcohol content of .08 percent or above, a misdemeanor, *to wit*: .09 percent.
- b. The facts and circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on July 27, 2010, at 0313 hours, Respondent was driving his vehicle in a residential area in Placentia, California. A police officer observed that Respondent's car was traveling at about 35 miles per hour in an area where the speed limit was 25 miles per hour. The officer followed Respondent. The officer noticed that the left tail lamp on the car was not functioning. The officer conducted a traffic stop and Respondent was the driver of the car. He had two passengers with him and they were all under 21 years of age. While speaking to Respondent the officer smelled a slight odor of alcohol. Initially, Respondent stated he had not drank any alcohol, and then later admitted to drinking two glasses of wine at dinner. The officer administered field sobriety tests which Respondent was unable to complete as demonstrated. A breath test administered at the scene read .103 percent at 0320 hours. Respondent was arrested and placed in the police car. He began to bang the back of his head on the hard plastic seat. He complained that the handcuffs were too tight. The officer adjusted the handcuffs to make them more comfortable for Respondent. Shortly thereafter Respondent again began banging his head on the back of the seat. He eventually calmed down and was taken to the Placentia Police Department for booking and a blood test. At the police station, Respondent became uncooperative and did not want to have his blood tested. He stated he wanted a less accurate chemical test. It appeared to officers that Respondent was intentionally delaying the test. Respondent eventually provided a blood sample.

28 | ///

During the booking process, Respondent told the officer that he was employed as a Pharmacy Technician at St. Jude's Hospital and that, "I hope you never come to the St. Jude Pharmacy. You know, accidents happen." The officer took this as a threat.

- c. Respondent was sentenced to three years of informal probation, ordered to submit to a chemical test of blood, breath, or urine as directed by any peace officer, attend and complete a three month level one First Offender Program, attend and complete a Mother's Against Drunk Driving Victim's Impact Panel, submit to license restriction for one year, and other fees, penalties and conditions of probation.
- d. On March 20, 2013, Respondent was charged with a probation violation based upon his arrest on March 12, 2013, for driving while in possession of 1 ounce of marijuana, driving without a license and driving with a cracked windshield.
- e. On July 9, 2013, at a probation revocation hearing, Respondent's probation was reinstated on all of the same terms and conditions.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Used Alcohol/Drugs in a Manner Dangerous to Self or Others)

14. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code in that on or about July 27, 2010, Respondent used alcohol/drugs in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself or the public by driving a vehicle while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage and or a dangerous drug, which posed a serious risk of injury and/or death to himself and to the public, as detailed in paragraph 13, above, and which is incorporated herein by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Driving Under the Influence on December 17, 2012)

15. Respondent has subjected his license to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code in that he was driving a vehicle while under the influence of alcoholic beverages and or drugs, which posed a serious risk of injury and/or death to himself and to the public. The circumstances are as follows:

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

. 22

23 24

25

2627

28 ///

- On or about December 17, 2012, at approximately 8:45 p.m., two California a. Highway Patrol Officers were riding in a marked police car driving westbound on Interstate 210 near the Rosemead Blvd, off-ramp. The officers observed a black Ford Mustang stopped on the left shoulder of the off-ramp. The officers initiated a traffic stop. As the officers approached the mustang they noticed the engine was running, and Respondent was sitting behind the wheel of the car with his eyes closed and his head resting against the driver's side head rest. One of the officers knocked several times on the driver's side window and called out to Respondent to open the door of his vehicle. When Respondent finally opened his eyes and saw the police officer staring at him through the car window, he looked dazed, and then Respondent quickly attempted to put his car in gear. At this point, the second police officer knocked on the passenger side window and told Respondent to roll down the window. Respondent rolled down the passenger side window and the first officer opened the driver's side car door and told Respondent to get out of the car. As soon as the door opened, the Officer could smell a moderate odor of an alcoholic beverage and a strong odor of burnt and fresh marijuana emitting from within the vehicle. As Respondent exited the vehicle his movements were slow and lethargic. Respondent appeared confused and unaware of his surroundings. As Respondent was exiting the vehicle he appeared off balance as he braced himself against the vehicle. The officer instructed Respondent to move to the back of the vehicle and as Respondent walked he had an unsteady gait. The officers also continued to notice the smell of marijuana and alcohol emitting from Respondent's person. Respondent's eyes were watery, his pupils were dilated and his speech was slow.
- b. Respondent was placed in the backseat of the police car and taken to a safe place to complete field sobriety tests. One of the officers drove Respondent's car to a nearby parking lot. The second officer followed the mustang in the patrol car. In the parking lot, Respondent was removed from the patrol car and assisted to the sidewalk. When asked if he had used any drugs, Respondent answered he had "smoked a bowl around five-thirty or six," and he showed the officers his medical marijuana card. Respondent also told the officers that he had consumed wine earlier in the night.

- c. The officers then explained and demonstrated a series of field sobriety tests, which Respondent was unable to complete satisfactorily. A later check through CHP dispatch showed that Respondent had a prior DUI conviction and was currently on DUI probation requiring him to submit to any breath test requested by law enforcement. Respondent refused to submit to the Preliminary Alcohol Screening test even though he was on court probation for a prior DUI.
- d. A search of Respondent's car revealed multiple containers of a green leafy substance resembling marijuana, two small containers containing a brown thick substance resembling controlled marijuana (hash oil). The thick brown substance tested positive for hash oil. Respondent was transported to the Altadena CHP Office for a drug recognition evaluation (DRE) conducted by one of the officers. After the DRE evaluation, Respondent was transported to Verdugo Hills Hospital where his blood was drawn at approximately 12:25 a.m., nearly three hours and forty-five minutes after Respondent was first contacted by the CHP officers.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Used Alcohol/Drugs in a Manner Dangerous to Self or Others)

16. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code in that on or about December 17, 2012, Respondent used alcohol/drugs in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself or the public by driving a vehicle while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage and or a dangerous drug, which posed a serious risk of injury and/or death to himself and to the public, as detailed in paragraph 15, above, and which is incorporated herein by reference.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(July 9, 2013 Criminal Conviction for Possession of Marijuana, and Driving without a License, on March 12, 2013)

17. Respondent has subjected his license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1) of the Code in that he was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

- a. On or about July 9, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State* of California v. Austin Michael Hitzman, Orange County Superior Court, case number PL317708, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23222(b), possession of one ounce of marijuana, and Vehicle Code section 12500(a), driving without a valid driver's license.
- b. Respondent was sentenced to pay a \$50 fine on the driving without a valid license conviction, plus additional fees and penalties. Sentencing on the possession of marijuana charge was suspended.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(January 6, 2014 Conviction for Driving Under the Influence on November 23, 2013)

- 18. Respondent has subjected his license to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (I) of the Code in that he was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about January 6, 2014, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Austin Michael Hitzman*, Orange County Superior Court, North Justice Center Case No. 13NM13857, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code Section 23152 (a) driving under the influence of alcohol and or drugs, and Section 23152 (b) driving with a blood alcohol level above .08 percent.
- b. The facts and circumstances surrounding the convictions are that on or about November 23, 2013, at 2045 hours, an officer from the Placentia Police Department was working patrol when he observed Respondent enter an intersection on a solid red light. The officer initiated a traffic stop. When the officer asked Respondent to step out of the car he could smell the strong odor of an alcoholic beverage. Respondent told the officer that he had had "too much to drink, three regular sized margaritas." The officer observed that Respondent had bloodshot, watery eyes and was slurring his speech. Field sobriety tests were administered to Respondent which he failed. Respondent was given a breath test at the scene that revealed a blood alcohol level of .109 percent at 2119 hours. Respondent was arrested and taken to the police station for booking and then was released.

c. On January 6, 2014, Respondent pleaded guilty to driving under the influence and driving with a blood alcohol level above .08 percent. He was sentenced to five (5) years probation on several terms and conditions of probation including the payment of fines and fees, serve seventy-five (75) days in custody, attend and complete an eighteen (18) month Multiple Offender Alcohol Program, and attend and complete a Mother's Against Drunk Driving (MADD) Panel.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Used Alcohol/Drugs in a Manner Dangerous to Self or Others)

19. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code in that on or about November 23, 2013, Respondent used alcohol/drugs in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself or the public by driving a vehicle while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage and or a dangerous drug, which posed a serious risk of injury and/or death to himself and to the public, as detailed in paragraph 18, above, and which is incorporated herein by reference.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Multiple Convictions Involving the Use of Alcohol or Controlled Substances)

20. Respondent has subjected his license to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code in that on or about May 5, 2011, July 9, 2013, and January 6, 2014, Respondent sustained three convictions involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances, as detailed in paragraphs 13, 17, and 18, above, and which are incorporated herein by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 97986,
 issued to Austin Michael Hitzeman;

27 1///

28 1///

Accusation