1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		RE THE
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	
12	ORLANDO HERNANDEZ	Case No. 4769
13	10712 Crockett Street	, ,
14	Sun Valley, CA 91352	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 97566	[Gov. Code, §11520]
16	Respondent.	
17		J
18	FINDINGS OF FACT	
19	1. On or about July 14 2014, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as	
20	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy,	Department of Consumer Affairs, filed
21	Accusation No. 4769 against Orlando Hernandez	z ("Respondent") before the Board of Pharmacy.
22	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
23	2. On or about March 3, 2010, the Boar	rd of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Pharmacy
24	Technician Registration No. TCH 97566 to Resp	oondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration
25	expired on July 31, 2011, and has not been renew	ved.
26	3. On or about July 25, 2014, Responde	ent was served by Certified and First Class Mail
27	copies of the Accusation No. 4769, Statement to	Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for
28	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government	Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at
		1
		DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 4769)

	·	
1	Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100,	
2	is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was	
3	and is: 10712 Crockett Street, Sun Valley, CA 91352.	
4	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of	
5 -	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section	
6	124.	
7	5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:	
8	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent	
9	files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall	
10	constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.	
11	6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him	
12	of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.	
13	4769.	
14	7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:	
15	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the bearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions	
16	hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.	
17		
18	8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds	
19	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the	
20	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as	
21	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on	
22	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4769, finds that	
23	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4769, are separately and severally, found to be true	
24	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.	
25	9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and	
26	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation	
27	and Enforcement is \$2,787.50 as of August 26, 2014.	
28	///	
	2	
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 4769)	

[		
1	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES	
2	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Orlando Hernandez has	
3	subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 97566 to discipline.	
4	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.	
5	3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician	
6	Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported	
7	by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:	
8	(a) Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions	
9	Code section 4301, subdivision (1) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations,	
10	title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to	
11	1 the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:	
12	(i) On or about May 23, 2011, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor	
13	count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) [possession of a	
14	controlled substance- Methamphetamine] in the criminal case entitled The People of the State of	
15	California v. Orlando Hernandez (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2010, No. 1GN01493).	
16	(b) Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions	
17	Code section 4301, subdivision (j) as defined in section 4060, in that on or about April 16, 2011,	
18	Respondent obtained or possessed Methamphetamine, a controlled substance.	
19	(c) Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Business and Professions	
20	Code section 4301, subdivision (h), in that on or about April 16, 2011, Respondent admitted that	
21	he used Methamphetamine and that he was in possession of a Methamphetamine pipe and two	
22	baggies that were for his own personal use.	
23		
24		
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
	3	
1	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 4769)	

.

1	ORDER		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 97566, heretofore		
3	issued to Respondent Orlando Hernandez, is revoked.		
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a		
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within		
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may		
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.		
8			
9	This decision shall become effective on May 8, 2015.		
10	It is so ORDERED on April 8, 2015.		
11	BOARD OF PHARMACY		
12	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14	la C. Wassi		
15	By		
16	Board President		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	51588385.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2013509951 03132015		
26	Attachment:		
27	Exhibit A: Accusation		
28			
	4 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 4769)		

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER (Case No. 4769)

# Exhibit A

.	3		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	Armando Zambrano		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ELYSE M. DAVIDSON		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 285842		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2533 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE	1	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4769		
12	ORLANDO HERNANDEZ A C C U S A T I O N		
13	10712 Crockett Street	ļ	
14	Sun Valley, CA 91352		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 97566		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19			
1	PARTIES		
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about March 3, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy		
23	Technician Registration No. TCH 97566 to Orlando Hernandez (Respondent). The Pharmacy		
24	Technician Registration expired on July 31, 2011, and has not been renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following		
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated		
28			
	<u> </u>		
	Accusatio	n	

ų N

'. .

.

## STATUTORY PROVISIONS

4. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:

"(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued."

7 "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to
8 discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under
9 subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties
10 of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued."

"(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

5. Section 492 states, in pertinent part:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion 18 program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem 19 assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of 20 Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 21 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that 22 division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for 23 24 professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest." 25

6. Section 4060 of the Code provides in pertinent part, that no person shall possess any
controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician,
dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or other authorized prescriber.

2

7. Section 4300 provides in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.

8. Section 4300.1 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional
conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.
Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

13

19

22

. . . .

. . . .

. . . .

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any
dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or
injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to
the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to
the public the practice authorized by the license.

20 "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United
21 States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and
duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13
(commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled
substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or
dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the
record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

3

The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order 1 to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances 2 or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the 3 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or 4 a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning 5 of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the 6 judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 7 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of 8 the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not ò guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or 10 indictment. 11 **REGULATORY PROVISIONS** 12 California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part: 10. 13 "For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license 14 pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a 15 crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a 16 licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a 17 licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner 18 consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare." 19 **COST RECOVERY** 20 Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative 11. 21 law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing 22 act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the 23 24 case. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE 25 "Methamphetamine," is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by the 12. 2.6 Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2) and is a stimulant having effect on the 27 28 central nervous system. 4

## 1 2

3

4

5

6

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (1) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:

On or about May 23, 2011, pursuant to a plea agreement, Respondent was convicted a. 7 of one misdemeanor count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a) 8 [possession of a controlled substance- Methamphetamine] in the criminal case entitled The 9 People of the State of California v. Orlando Hernandez (Super. Ct. L.A. County, 2010, No. 10 1GN01493). The Court deferred pronouncement of sentence for 18 months pending 11 Respondent's completion of a drug diversion program. On November 26, 2012, Respondent 12 failed to appear, and the Court terminated the Respondent's Deferred Entry Judgment and 13 reinstated the criminal proceedings. 14

b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 16, 2011, 15 while on routine patrol, Fontana Police Department officer(s) observed Respondent sitting in a 16 parked car, and contacted him. While speaking to Respondent, the officer observed him to be 17 18 lethargic, slow in answering the officer's questions, and had red eves. Respondent admitted that 19 he used Methamphetamine about a month ago. Respondent's hands were shaking and he appeared to be nervous. When asked if he had anything illegal on his person, Respondent pointed 20 to his front left pants pocket and stated, "Yes. I have a meth pipe." When asked if he had 21 anything else on his person or in his vehicle, Respondent stated, "Yes. I have two baggies with 22 meth right here." He pointed to his front right pants pocket. Respondent admitted that the 23 Methamphetamine pipe and the two baggies containing Methamphetamine were for his own 24 personal use. 25

- 26 || ///
- 27 ///

///

28

5

_	SECOND CALLEE FOD DISCUST THE
1	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Obtained or Possessed a Controlled Substance)
3	14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j) as
4	defined in section 4060, in that on or about April 16, 2011, Respondent obtained or possessed a
5	controlled substance. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations
6	set forth above in paragraph 13 and all subparagraphs, as though set forth fully.
7	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
8	(Use/Under the Influence of a Controlled Substance)
9	15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h), in
10	that on or about April 16, 2011, Respondent, by his own admission, admitted that he used
11	Methamphetamine about a month ago and that the Methamphetamine pipe and two baggies were
12	for his own personal use. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the
13	allegations set forth above in paragraph 13 and all subparagraphs, as though set forth fully.
14	PRAYER
15	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
1.6	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
17	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 97566, issued
18	to Orlando Hernandez;
19	2. Ordering Orlando Hernandez to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of
20	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sectio
21	125.3; and
22	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
23	DATED: 7/14/14 tiona Lecolo
24	VIRGINIA)HEROLD Executive/Office:
25	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
26	State of California Complainant
27	LA2013509951
28	51522351.docx 06062014
	· ·

.

•