3. On or about December 3, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4767, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

1939 Ensign Way San Jose, CA 95133.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about December 6, 2014, the aforementioned documents were delivered by the U.S. Postal Service to the address of record.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him
 of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.
 4767.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on

ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 119828, heretofore issued to Respondent Phuc Tien Truong, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on May 22, 2015. It is so ORDERED April 22, 2015. **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA Wassi **Board President** 41229421.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SF2013405520 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

| ĺ | |
|----|--|
| | Kamala D. Harris |
| 1 | Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE |
| 2 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 91740 |
| 3 | 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 |
| 4 | Telephone: (415) 703-5556 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 |
| 5 | Attorneys for Complainant |
| 6 | BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY |
| 7 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS |
| 8 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 9 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4767 |
| 10 | PHUC TIEN TRUONG a.k.a. TOM TRUONG |
| 11 | a.k.a. TOMMY TRUONG A C C U S A T I O N |
| 12 | 1939 Ensign Way San Jose, CA 95133 |
| 13 | Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 119828 |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Respondent. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | Complainant alleges: |
| 18 | PARTIES |
| 19 | 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity |
| 20 | as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. |
| 21 | 2. On or about February 16, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician |
| 22 | Registration Number TCH 119828 to Phuc Tien Truong, a.k.a. Tom Truong, a.k.a. Tommy |
| 23 | Truong (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all |
| 24 | times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2015, unless renewed |
| 25 | JURISDICTION |
| 26 | 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of |
| 27 | Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the |
| 28 | Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated. |
| | |

2

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

6. Section 4300.1 of the Business and Professions Code states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

7. Section 125.3 of the Business and Professions Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 9. On or about July 19, 2012, San Jose Police Metro officers for child exploits and the Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force executed a search warrant on Respondent's residence in San Jose, California. The officers seized one laptop computer, four thumb drives, and one external hard drive.
- a. On or about September 17, 2012, the Santa Clara County District Attorney's Office filed a criminal complaint charging Respondent with knowingly possessing and controlling matter representing information, data, and image, videos, which involved a person under 18 years of age depicting that person engaging in or simulating sexual conduct in violation of Penal Code section 311.11(a), a felony.
- b. On or about April 4, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled the <u>People of the State of California v. Tom Troung</u>, the Respondent was convicted by his plea of nolo contendere to violating Penal Code section 311.11(a) possession or control of child pornography, a felony.
- c. On or about or April 4, 2013, respondent was placed on probation under specified terms and conditions for 3 years, he was sentenced to 6 months in the county jail, ordered to register as a sex offender, and was ordered to pay fines and fees.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Conviction of a Crime)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under Business and Professions Code section 4301(l) in that he was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances

| 1 | are as follows that on or about April 4, 2013, respondent pled nolo contendere to violating Penal |
|----|---|
| 2 | Code section 311.11(a) possession or control of child pornography, a felony. |
| 3 | SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 4 | (Unprofessional Conduct - Gross Immorality) |
| 5 | 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under |
| 6 | Business and Professions Code section 4301(a) in that he committed an act of gross immorality as |
| 7 | set forth above in paragraph 9. |
| 8 | THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 9 | (Unprofessional Conduct - Acts Involving Moral Turpitude) |
| 10 | 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under |
| 11 | Business and Professions Code section 4301(f) in that he committed an act involving moral |
| 12 | turpitude as set forth above in paragraph 9. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | PRAYER |
| 15 | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, |
| 16 | and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: |
| 17 | Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 119828, |
| 18 | issued to Phuc Tien Truong, a.k.a. Tom Truong, a.k.a. Tommy Truong. |
| 19 | 2. Ordering Phuc Tien Truong, Phuc Tien Truong, a.k.a. Tom Truong, a.k.a. Tommy |
| 20 | Truong to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement |
| 21 | of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; |
| 22 | 111 |
| 23 | 111 |
| 24 | 111 |
| 25 | / / / |
| 26 | / / / |
| 27 | |
| 28 | / / / |
| | 5 |

| 1 | Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | DATED: 11/10/14 Chesinia Heestel |
| 5 | VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer |
| 6 | Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California |
| 7 | State of California Complainant |
| 8 | |
| 9 | SF2013405520 41024388.doc |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 15 | * |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

Accusation