1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7		· · · · · ·	
8	BEFORE TH BOARD OF PHAR	MACY	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
-11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4758	
12	LYDIA DELIA REYES 6846 Farrier Avenue	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
13	Riverside, CA 92507	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 72680		
15	Respondent.		
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>.</b>	
17	FINDINGS OF FACT		
18	1. On November 4, 2013, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as		
19	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed		
20	Accusation No. 4758 against Lydia Delia Reyes (Respo	ndent) before the Board of Pharmacy.	
21	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
22	2. On October 27, 2006, the Board of Pharm	nacy (Board) issued Pharmacy	
23	Technician Registration No. TCH 72680 to Respondent	. The Pharmacy Technician Registration	
24	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the cl	narges brought in Accusation No. 4758	
25	and will expire on April 30, 2014, unless renewed.		
26	3. On November 21, 2013, Respondent was	s served by Certified and First Class Mail	
27	copies of Accusation No. 4758, Statement to Responder	nt, Notice of Defense, Request for	
28	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code s	sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7)	
	1		
	DEFAULT DEC	ISION AND ORDER CSBP Case Number 4758	

at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 1 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record 2 was and is 6846 Farrier Avenue, Riverside, CA 92507. 3 Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of 4. 4 Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and Business & Professions Code section 124. 5 5. On December 2, 2013, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. 6 Postal Service marked "Return to Sender, Unable to Forward." The address on the documents 7 was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated 8 address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address 9 on file. Respondent has not made herself available for service and therefore, has not availed 10 herself of her right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing. 11 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part: 12 13 (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific 14 denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the 15 agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing. 16 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon 17 her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation 18 No. 4758. 19 California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part: 8. 20(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at 21 the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express 22 admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent. 23 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds 24 Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the 2.5relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as 26 taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on 27 file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4758, finds that 28 2

1	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4758, are separately and severally, found to be true		
2	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.		
3	10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and		
4	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for		
.5	Investigation and Enforcement is \$890.00 as of March 7, 2014.		
6	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES		
7	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Lydia Delia Reyes has		
8	subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 72680 to discipline.		
9	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.		
10	3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy		
-1.1	Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are		
12	supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.		
13	a. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to		
14	discipline under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1), in that on November 16, 2010, in a		
15	criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Lydia Delia Carillo Reyes,		
16	in the Riverside County Superior Court, Moreno Valley Courthouse, case number		
17	RIM10009271, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating VC 23152,		
18	subdivisions (a), driving under the influence and (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration		
19	of 0.08 percent or more, misdemeanors that are substantially related to the qualifications,		
20	functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy technician.		
21	b. Respondent subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline		
22	under Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that on January 12, 2010, and February 4, 2013, she		
23	used alcohol to the extent and in a manner that was dangerous and injurious to herself and to the		
24	public.		
25			
26			
27	///		
28			
	3		
}	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER CSBP Case Number 4758		

f.

- - -

.

.

i

1	ORDER
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 72680, heretofore
3	issued to Respondent Lydia Delia Reyes, is revoked.
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
8	
9	This Decision shall become effective on May 12, 2014.
10	It is so ORDERED ON April 11, 2014.
11	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	$\rho$ ,
14	By
15	STAN C. WEISSER
16	Board President
17	
18	70837665.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SD2013705519
19	Attachment:
20	Exhibit A: Accusation
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER CSBP Case Number 4758

## Exhibit A

Accusation

1	Kamala D. Harris	
2	Attorney General of California ALFREDO TERRAZAS	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3037 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEBADTMENT OF CONSUMED A FEADOG	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4758	
12	LYDIA DELIA REYES	
13	6846 Farrier Avenue Riverside, CA 92507A C C U S A F FOR A C C U S A F FOR	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 72680 Respondent.	
15	Kespondent.	
16	Complainant alleges:	
17	PARTIES	
18	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official	
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
20	2. On October 27, 2006, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
21	Registration Number TCH 72680 to Lydia Delia Reyes (Respondent). Respondent has also been	
22	known as Lydia Delia Carillo Reyes. The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force	
23	and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2014,	
24	unless renewed.	
25	JURISDICTION	
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
28	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	
	1 CSBP Accusation Case Number 4758	

j.

1		4.	Section 4300, subdivision (a), of the Code provides that every license issued by the	
2	Board may be suspended or revoked.			
3		5.	Section 4300.1 of the Code states:	
4			The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued	
5			by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, cement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a	
6		license procee	by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or d with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the se or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.	
8	STATUTORY PROVISIONS			
9		6.	Section 482 of the Code states:	
10		evalua	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to te the rehabilitation of a person when:	
11	·		(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or	
12			(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.	
13			Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation ned by the applicant or licensee.	
14		1411151	ied by the applicant of needsee.	
15		7.	Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or	
16	revoke	a licen	se on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially	
17	related	to the	qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the	
18	license	was is	sued.	
19		8.	Section 493 of the Code states:	
20		<u>.</u>	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any	
21			ion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol ug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section	
22		23249	.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit	
23			ency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary	
24		action	against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct,	
25		pertai	hstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record ning to an arrest. This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug	
26			ion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 nencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in	
27	1		vision.	
28	111			
			2 CSBP Accusation Case Number 4758	

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

. . . .

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

## **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

10.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

-1-1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:

(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:

3

28

|||

**CSBP** Accusation Case Number 4758

-		
1	<ul><li>(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).</li><li>(2) Total ariminal magnet</li></ul>	
2	<ul><li>(2) Total criminal record.</li><li>(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or</li></ul>	
3	offense(s).	
4	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.	
	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.	
6 7	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:	
8	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or	
9	facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a	
10 11	substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a	
	manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.	
12	COST RECOVERY	
13		
14	12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request	
15	the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or	
16	violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation	
17	and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not	
18	being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs	
19	may be included in a stipulated settlement.	
20	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
21	(November 16, 2010 Criminal Conviction for DUI on January 12, 2010)	
22	13. Respondent has subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline under	
23	Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l), in that she was convicted of a crime that is	
24	substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered pharmacy	
25	technician. The circumstances are as follows:	
26	a. On November 16, 2010, in a criminal proceeding entitled The People of the	
27	State of California v. Lydia Delia Carillo Reyes, in the Riverside County Superior Court,	
28	Moreno Valley Courthouse, case number RIM10009271, Respondent was convicted on her plea	
	4 CSBP Accusation Case Number 4758	

of guilty to violating VC 23152, subdivisions (a), DUI and (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08 percent or more, misdemeanors.

1

2

9

10

b. As a result of the convictions, on November 16, 2010, Respondent was
granted 36 months summary probation and sentenced to be committed to the custody of the
Riverside County sheriff for 10 days, with nine days to be served under the work release
program. Respondent was also ordered to pay fines and penalty assessments, and attend and
satisfactorily complete a first offender alcohol and drug education and counseling program for
four months.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Unprofessional Conduct - Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

11 14. Respondent subjected her pharmacy technician registration to discipline under 12 Code section 4301, subdivision (h) in that on January 12, 2010, detailed in paragraph 13, above, 13 and February 4, 2013, she used alcohol to the extent and in a manner that was dangerous and 14 injurious to herself and to the public. The circumstances are as follows:

15 a. On February 4, 2013, Respondent drove at a speed of 83 miles per hour along interstate highway 5 while passing Valencia Boulevard in Santa Clarita, California. 16 Officers of the Newhall Area Office of the California Highway Patrol Southern Division who 17 clocked Respondent's speed initiated an enforcement stop. The officers immediately observed 18 Respondent's red and watery eyes and detected alcohol from Respondent's breath and from 19 inside her car. Three empty beer bottles were found in the rear seat of Respondent's vehicle but 20 she denied having anything to drink. Respondent failed the field sobriety tests, but refused to 21 submit to the preliminary alcohol screening. Respondent was thereafter transported to the Santa 22 Clarita Valley Sherrif's office to be booked. Respondent would not submit to a breath test or a 23 blood test in violation of VC section 23612, within the meaning of VC sections 23577, 23578, 24 and 23538, subdivision (b)(2). 25

b. On February 22, 2013, in a criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California vs. Lydia Delia Reyes*, in Los Angeles County Superior Court, North Valley
District, Santa Clarita Courthouse, Case Number 3NW00456, Respondent was charged with

5

**CSBP** Accusation Case Number 4758

1	violation of Vehicle Code (VC) sections 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence
2	(DUI) of alcohol, and 14601.2, driving when privilege was suspended for a prior DUI conviction,
3	misdemeanors. Respondent was also alleged to have a prior conviction within ten years of the
4	commission of the offense on November 16, 2010, for violation of VC section 23152, subdivision
5	(b) on January 12, 2010, in the Municipal Court of the Riverside Judicial District in case number
6	100092, a sentencing enhancement pursuant to VC sections 23626 and 23540. On March 28,
7	2013, Respondent failed to appear for arraignment, without sufficient excuse and when not
8	represented by counsel. Hence, the court ordered a bench warrant in the amount of \$30,000.00 for
9	Respondent's arrest.
10	PRAYER
11	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein
12	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
13	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 72680,
14	issued to Lydia Delia Reyes also known as Lydia Delia Carillo Reyes;
15	2. Ordering Lydia Delia Reyes to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of
16	the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
17	section 125.3;
18	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
19	
20	
21	
22	DATED: 11/4/13 (heina fuda
23	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer
24	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs
25	SD2012705510
26	SD2013705519 70763702.doc
27	
28	
ĺ	6 CSBP Accusation Case Number 4758

ì