]		· · · ·	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORI	THE	
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4753	
12	TAHMINA ZADRAN 8389 Mayhews Landing Road		
13	Newark, CA 94560	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
14	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 79450		
16		[Gov. Code, §11520]	
17	Respondent.		
18	FINDINGS	ΩΈΕΔΩΤ	
19		lainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity	
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy		
20			
22	Accusation No. 4753 against Tahmina Zadran (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy. A copy of the Accusation is attached hereto as Exhibit A.		
23			
24			
25	Technician License No. TCH 79450 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4752 and will		
26	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4753 and will		
27	expire on October 31, 2013, unless renewed. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4300.1, any lapse in licensure by expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, suspension, retirement, or		
27	voluntary surrender, does not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to render a disciplinary decision.		
20			

[
1	3. On or about September 19, 2013, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class	
2	Mail with copies of: Accusation No. 4753; a Statement to Respondent; a Notice of Defense (2	
3	copies); a Request for Discovery; and the text of the Discovery Statutes (Gov. Code, §§ 11507.5,	
4	11507.6, and 11507.7), to Respondent's address of record which was and is: 8389 Mayhews	
5	Landing Road, Newark, CA 94560.	
6	4. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136 and/or 4100, and/or California	ι
7	Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1704, Respondent's address of record, and any changes	
8	thereto, are required to be reported and maintained with the Board.	
9	5. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under Government Code	
10	section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.	
11	6. On or about October 10, 2013, Respondent communicated by telephone with the	
12	Office of the Attorney General, and confirmed her intent not to respond to the Accusation.	
13	7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:	
14	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent	
15	files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall	
16	constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.	
17	8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of	•
18	the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4753.	
19	9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:	
20	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions	
21	or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.	
22		
23	10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds	
24	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the	
25	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as	
26	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on	
27	file at the Board's offices, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4753 are,	
28	separately and severally, true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.	
	2	
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	R

1	11. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and	
2	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation	
3	and Enforcement is \$1,485.00 as of October 10, 2013.	
4	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES	
5	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Tahmina Zadran has subjected	
6	her Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 79450 to discipline.	
7	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.	
8	3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician	
9	License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the	
10	evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:	
11	a. Respondent's License is subject to revocation pursuant to Business and Professions	
12	Code section 4301(f), for acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption,	
13	in that between in or about June 2012 and August 2012, Respondent, while functioning as a	
14	caretaker for an elderly client/patient (83 years old), stole money from the wallet or person of the	
15	client/patient, in an amount of at least \$300.00 and up to \$600.00;	
16	b. Respondent's License is subject to revocation pursuant to Business and Professions	
17	Code section(s) 4301(l) and/or 490, by reference to California Code of Regulations, title 16,	
18	section 1770, for the conviction of substantially related crime(s), in that on or about January 25,	
19	2013, in People v. Tahmina Zadran, Case No. B1261976 in Santa Clara County Superior Court,	
20	Respondent was convicted, on the basis of the facts described above, of violating Penal Code	
21	section 368, subdivision (e) (Theft/Embezzlement of \$950.00 or Less By a Caretaker From an	
22	Elder/Dependent Adult), a misdemeanor.	
23	c. Respondent's License is subject to revocation pursuant to Business and Professions	
24	Code section 4301, in that Respondent, as described above, engaged in unprofessional conduct.	
25		
26	///	
27	///	
28		
	3	
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	

ORDER	
IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 79450, heretofore issued	
to Respondent Tahmina Zadran, is revoked.	
Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a	
written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within	
seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may	
vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.	
This Decision shall become effective on January 17, 2014.	
It is so ORDERED ON December 18, 2013.	
BOARD OF PHARMACY	
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
La C. Wassi	
By	
Board President	
40789666.DOC DOJ Matter ID:SF2013404915	
Attachment:	
Exhibit A: Accusation	
4 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	

-

•

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

Exhibit A

Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE		
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	JOSHUA A. ROOM Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 214663		
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 703-1299 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
	Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFORE THE		
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4753		
11	TAHMINA ZADRAN		
12	8389 Mayhews Landing Road		
13	Newark, CA 94560 A C C U S A T I O N		
14	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 79450		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17			
	Complainant alleges:		
18	Parties		
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about December 3, 2007, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
22	License Number TCH 79450 to Tahmina Zadran (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician		
23	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will		
24	expire on October 31, 2013, unless renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of		
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the		
28	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.		
	1		
	Accusation		

Accusation

Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both 4. the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].

5. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.

Section 4300.1 of the Code provides that the expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or 6. suspension of a Board-issued license, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee, shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

11

12

13

14

15

10

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

Section 4301 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board shall take action 7. against any holder of a license who is guilty of "unprofessional conduct," defined to include, but not be limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and 16 whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not. 17

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties 18 of a licensee under this chapter. 19

Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may suspend or 20 8. revoke a license when it finds that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related 21 to the qualifications, functions or duties of the license. 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, provides, in pertinent part, that 9. a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by her license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

- ///

10. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed its reasonable costs of investigation and enforcement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

11. Between in or about June 2012 and August 2012, Respondent, while functioning as a caretaker for an elderly client/patient (83 years old), stole money from the wallet or person of the client/patient. At least \$300.00 and up to \$600.00 was taken by Respondent.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Act(s) Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit or Corruption)

12. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(f) of the Code in that Respondent, as described in paragraph 11 above, committed one or more acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime(s))

13. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301(1) and/or section 490 of the 16 Code, by reference to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, for the conviction of 17 substantially related crime(s), in that on or about January 25, 2013, in People v. Tahmina Zadran, 18 Case No. B1261976 in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent was convicted of 19 violating Penal Code section 368, subdivision (e) (Theft/Embezzlement of \$950.00 or Less By a 20 Caretaker From Elder/Dependent Adult), a misdemeanor. The conviction was entered as follows: 21 On or about August 27, 2012, on the basis of the conduct described in 22 а. paragraph 11, Respondent was charged by Misdemeanor Complaint in Case No. B1261976 with 23 one count of violating Penal Code section 368, subdivision (e) (Theft/Embezzlement of \$950.00 24 or Less By a Caretaker From Elder/Dependent Adult), a misdemeanor; 25 On or about January 25, 2013, Respondent pleaded nolo contendere and was 26 b.

- 27 || found guilty of violating Penal Code section 368, subdivision (e) (Theft/Embezzlement of
- 28 || \$950.00 or Less By a Caretaker From Elder/Dependent Adult), a misdemeanor;

3

c. On or about January 25, 2013, imposition of sentence was suspended in favor of a period of court probation of eighteen (18) months, with terms and conditions including a ban on engaging in any caretaking or fiduciary duties for elderly or dependent adults, eighty (80) hours of community service, and payment of fines, fees, and restitution.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

14. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 4301 of the Code in that Respondent, as described in paragraphs 11 to 13 above, engaged in unprofessional conduct.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 79450, issued to Tahmina Zadran (Respondent);

2. Ordering Rspondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

Taking such other and further action as is deemed necessary and proper.

4

DATED:

3.

SF2013404915 40742653.doc

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant