BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:

Case No. 4750

WILLIAM CHARLES ZIMMERMAN 15437 Stratford Drive San Jose, CA 95124

Pharmacist License No. RPH 37352

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on June 11, 2015.

It is so ORDERED on June 4, 2015.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

AMARYLIS GUTIERREZ Board President

| 1] | Kamala D. Harris | |
|-----|---|---|
| 2 | Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General SUSANA A. GONZALES | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253027 | |
| 5 | 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 | |
| | Oakland, CA 94612-0550 | |
| 6 | Telephone: (510) 622-2221 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | | RE THE PHARMACY |
| 9 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke | Case No. 4750 |
| 12 | Probation Against: | |
| 13 | WILLIAM CHARLES ZIMMERMAN 15437 Stratford Drive | STIPULATED SURRENDER OF |
| 14 | San Jose, CA 95124 | LICENSE AND ORDER |
| 15 | Pharmacist License No. RPH 37352 | |
| 16 | Respondent. | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | • |
| 19 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE | REED by and between the parties to the above- |
| | entitled proceedings that the following matters as | re true: |
| 20 | <u>PAR</u> | TIES |
| 21 | 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. | |
| 22 | She brought this action solely in her official capa | acity and is represented in this matter by Kamala |
| 23 | D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of Califo | ornia, by Susana A. Gonzales, Deputy Attorney |
| 24 | General. | , , , |
| 25 | | ondent) is represented in this proceeding by |
| 26 | attorney Robert F. Hahn, Esq., whose address is | |
| 27 | Berkeley, CA 94710. | 2000 I fillim biroot, butto 101 |
| 28 | | |
| | /// | 1 |

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

3. Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4750 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Petition to Revoke Probation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on October 10, 2013. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Petition to Revoke Probation. A copy of Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4750 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 4. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4750. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 5. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Petition to Revoke Probation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 6. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 7. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4750, agrees that cause exists for discipline and hereby surrenders his Pharmacist License No. RPH 37352 for the Board's formal acceptance.
- 8. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Pharmacist License without further process.

///

CONTINGENCY

- 9. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 10. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 11. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Surrender of License and Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 12. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 37352, issued to Respondent William Charles Zimmerman, is surrendered and accepted by the Board of Pharmacy.

1. The surrender of Respondent's Pharmacist License and the acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part of Respondent's license history with the Board of Pharmacy.

Q

///

III

///

///

///

- 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Pharmacist in California as of the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.
- 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 4. If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a new application for licensure.
- 5. Respondent may not apply for any license, permit, or registration from the board for three years from the effective date of this decision. Respondent stipulates that should he apply for any license from the board on or after the effective date of this decision, all allegations set forth in Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4750 shall be deemed to be true, correct and admitted by respondent when the board determines whether to grant or deny the application. Respondent shall satisfy all requirements applicable to that license as of the date the application is submitted to the board, including, but not limited to taking and passing the California Pharmacist Licensure Examination prior to the issuance of a new license. Respondent is required to report this surrender as disciplinary action.
- 6. Respondent shall pay the agency its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$8,952.50 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.
- 7. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4750 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Robert F. Hahn, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED:

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WILLIAM CHARLES ZIMMERMAN

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent William Charles Zimmerman the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED:

ROBERT F. HAHN, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: May 7, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Susana A. Gonzales Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

SF2013901661 90507905.doc

Exhibit A

Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4750

| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | DIANN SOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General | |
| 3 | SUSANA A. GONZALES | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253027 | |
| 5 | 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 | |
| 6 | Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2221 | |
| 7 | Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | BEFORE THE | |
| 9 | BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | |
| 10 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| | C . N 4750 | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against, Case No. 4750 | |
| 12 | WILLIAM CHARLES ZIMMERMAN | |
| 13 | 15437 Stratford Drive PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION San Jose, CA 95124 | |
| 14 | Pharmacist License No. RPH 37352 | |
| 15 | Respondent. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Complainant alleges: | |
| 18 | <u>PARTIES</u> | |
| 19 | 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her | |
| 20 | official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer | |
| 21 | Affairs. | |
| 22 | 2. On or about October 31, 1983, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License | |
| 23 | Number RPH 37352 to William Charles Zimmerman (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was | |
| 24 | in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Petition to Revoke | |
| 25 | Probation and will expire on October 31, 2013, unless renewed. | |
| 26 | /// | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | /// | |
| | 1 | |

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION (Case No. 4750)

3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of Accusation Against William Charles Zimmerman," Case No. 3182, the Board of Pharmacy, issued a decision, effective August 14, 2009, in which Respondent's Pharmacist License was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Pharmacist License was placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 6. Section 4300, subdivision (d), states, in part, "(d) [t]he board may initiate disciplinary proceedings to revoke or suspend any probationary certificate of licensure for any violation of the terms and conditions of probation."
- 7. Section 4402, subdivision (a), states "[a]ny pharmacist license that is not renewed within three years following its expiration may not be renewed, restored, or reinstated and shall be canceled by operation of law at the end of the three-year period."

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Report to the Board)

8. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:

"Reporting to the Board. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board."

20_.

- 9. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 2. Specifically, Respondent failed to timely submit Quarterly Reports, due on April 10, 2011, April 10, 2012, and July 10, 2012.
- 10. On May 20, 2011, the Board sent a non-compliance letter to Respondent, notifying him that he failed to submit his April 10, 2011 quarterly report, and instructing him to submit the report no later than May 24, 2011. On May 18, 2011, the Board received Respondent's delinquent quarterly report. Subsequently, on May 12, 2012, the Board received Respondent's April 10, 2012 quarterly report, which was delinquent at that point. Again, on September 28, 2012, the Board sent a non-compliance letter to Respondent notifying him that he failed to submit his July 10, 2012 quarterly report. On October 5, 2012, the Board received Respondent's delinquent quarterly report for July, which was dated July 1, 2012.

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Reimbursement of Board Costs)

11. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 8 stated:

"Reimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$6,000.00. Payments shall be due on a quarterly basis, with the entire amount to be paid within three (3) years. Failure to make timely payments of Board as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

"The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall relieve Respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution."

- 12. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 8. Specifically, Respondent failed to timely submit quarterly payments of \$500.00 by the required due dates of January 10, 2010, April 10, 2011, and July 10, 2012. On August 27, 2009, the Board directed Respondent to submit quarterly payments of \$500.00 to be received by the Board no later than the 10th of the month following the end of each quarter. The Board directed Respondent to make the first payment of \$500.00 no later than October 10, 2009.
- 13. On March 9, 2010, the Board sent a non-compliance letter to Respondent notifying him that he failed to timely submit his January 10, 2010, quarterly cost recovery payment.

 Respondent was advised to submit the past due payment no later than March 23, 2010. On April

20, 2011, the Board sent Respondent another non-compliance letter notifying him that he failed to submit his January 10, 2010, quarterly cost recovery payment. Respondent was instructed to submit the past due payment no later than April 5, 2010. The Board received the \$500.00 payment on April 10, 2010.

14. On May 10, 2011, the Board sent Respondent another non-compliance letter notifying him that he failed to timely submit his April 10, 2011, quarterly cost recovery payment. Respondent was advised to submit the past due payment no later than May 24, 2011. On May 18, 2011, the Board received the delinquent cost recovery payment. On September 28, 2012, the Board sent yet another non-compliance letter to Respondent notifying him that he failed to timely submit his July 10, 2012, quarterly cost recovery payment.

THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Mental Health Examination)

15. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 16 stated, in part:

"Mental Health Examination. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and on a periodic basis as may be required by the Board, Respondent shall undergo, at his own expense, psychiatric evaluation(s) by a Board-appointed or Board-approved psychiatrist or psychologist. Respondent shall sign a release authorizing the evaluator to furnish the Board with a current diagnosis and a written report regarding the Respondent's judgment and ability to function independently as a pharmacist with safety to the public. Respondent shall comply with all the recommendations of the evaluator if directed by the Board."

- 16. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 16. Specifically, within 30 days of the decision's effective date, Respondent failed to undergo, at his own expense, a psychiatric evaluation performed by any Board-appointed or Board-approved psychiatrist or psychologist.
- 17. On or about September 17, 2009, Respondent sent a letter to the Board requesting information for Dr. Robert Motano, a psychiatrist, to conduct a mental health evaluation as required by Condition 16, above. On November 23, 2009, the Board sent Respondent a letter advising him that the Board had approved Dr. Motano to conduct the mental health examination. The letter also instructed Respondent to contact Dr. Motano to set up the appointment. On

28 |

III

///

December 14, 2009, the Board sent Respondent a second letter informing him that Dr. Motano was approved to perform the required mental health examination, and instructing him to make the required appointment.

- 18. On January 29, 2010, the Board received a letter from Respondent requesting that another psychiatrist, Norman Reynolds, M.D., perform the required mental health examination. On May 26, 2010, the Board sent a letter to Respondent advising him that Dr. Reynolds was approved by the Board to perform the mental health examination. The letter also instructed Respondent to contact Dr. Reynolds to set up the appointment.
- 19. On July 13, 2010, the Board sent a non-compliance letter to Respondent regarding his failure to comply with Probation Condition 16 due to his failure to contact Dr. Reynolds and schedule a mental health evaluation. The letter advised Respondent that he was required to schedule a mental health evaluation with Dr. Reynolds no later than August 31, 2010.
- 20. On October 5, 2010, the Board received a letter from Respondent requesting that Dr. Martin Williams, M.D., be allowed to perform the required mental health examination. On December 8, 2010, the Board sent a non-compliance letter to Respondent regarding his failure to complete the required mental health evaluation, and directed him to submit the name of another mental health practitioner for Board review to conduct the mental health evaluation no later than January 10, 2011. On December 20, 2010, the Board received a letter from Respondent stating that Dr. Williams did not receive the information the Board sent to him on or about October 10, 2010. Respondent provided an alternate address for Dr. Williams. On March 24, 2011, the Board sent a letter to Respondent advising him that Dr. Williams was approved to perform the mental health examination as required.
- 21. On September 13, 2011, the Board sent another non-compliance letter to Respondent regarding his failure to complete the required mental health evaluation within 30 days of the effective date of probation. On September 19, 2011, the Board received Respondent's mental health evaluation report performed by Dr. Williams.

21⁻

FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Tolling of Probation)

22. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 13 stated:

"Tolling of Probation. Should Respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason cease to practice pharmacy for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month in California, Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within 10 days of cessation of the practice of pharmacy or the resumption of the practice of pharmacy. Such periods of time shall not apply to the reduction of the probation period. It is a violation of probation for Respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a period exceeding three years.

"'Cessation of practice' means any period of time exceeding 30 days in which Respondent is not engaged in the practice of pharmacy as defined in Section 4020 of the Business and Professions Code."

- 23. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 13. Specifically, as of August 9, 2012, Respondent failed to practice pharmacy in California for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month for a period exceeding three years.
- 24. On or about December 22, 2009, the Board sent Respondent a letter notifying him that his probation was tolled because he had not been working in the practice of pharmacy since the beginning of his probation. The Board also informed Respondent that he would be in violation of probation condition 13 if his probation remained tolled for more than three years. The letter also reminded Respondent that he was required to comply with all of the terms of his probation even while his probation was tolled.

<u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Board of Pharmacy in Case No. 3182 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed, thereby revoking Pharmacist License No. RPH 37352, issued to William Charles Zimmerman;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License No. RPH 37352, issued to William Charles Zimmerman; and

///

| 1 | 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. |
|-------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | DATED: 10/3/13 Viginia Sheld |
| 4 | VIRGINIA HEROLD |
| 5 | Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California |
| 6 | State of California Complainant |
| 7 | |
| 8 | SF2013901661 90332832.docx |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 23 | • |
| 24 | |
| 25 | · |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 7 |

Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Board of Pharmacy Case No. 3182

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| In the Matter of the Accusation. | Agains | t: |
|----------------------------------|--------|----|
|----------------------------------|--------|----|

Case No. 3182

WILLIAM CHARLES ZIMMERMAN 15437 Stratford Drive San Jose, CA 95124

Pharmacist License No. RPH 37352

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on August 14, 2009

It is so ORDERED on July 15, 2009

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ву

KENNETH H. SCHELL

Board President

| 1 | EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California | |
|-----|---|--|
| 2 | FRANK H. PACOE | |
| . 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General REBECCA HEINSTEIN, State Bar No. 173202 Deputy Attorney General | |
| 4 | 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 | |
| 5 | San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5604 | |
| 6 | Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY | |
| 9 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | |
| | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3182 | |
| 11 | WILLIAM CHARLES ZIMMERMAN OAH No. 2008110258 | |
| 12 | 15437 Stratford Drive San Jose, CA 95124 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND | |
| 13 | DISCIPLINARY ORDER | |
| 14 | Pharmacist License No. RPh 37352 | |
| 15 | Respondent. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the | |
| 18 | above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: | |
| 19 | <u>PARTIES</u> | |
| 20 | 1. Virginia K. Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of | |
| 21 | Pharmacy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter | |
| 22 | · · | |
| 23 | Deputy Attorney General. | |
| 24. | 2. Respondent William Charles Zimmerman (Respondent) is represented in | |
| 25 | this proceeding by attorney Samuel Spital, whose address is Spital and Associates, 8880 Rio San | |
| 26 | Diego Drive, Suite 800, San Diego, CA 92108-1642. | |
| 27 | 3. On or about October 31, 1983, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist | |
| 28 | License No. RPh 37352 to William Charles Zimmerman (Respondent). The Pharmacist License | |

9

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3182 and will expire on October 31, 2009, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

Accusation No. 3182 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), and 4. is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on October 22, 2008. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 3182 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and 5. understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3182. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the 6. right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up 7. each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of paragraphs 20(a) and 20(c) of the Seventh Cause for Discipline in Accusation No. 3182.
- 9. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Eighth Causes for Discipline in Accusation No. 3182, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Pharmacist License.

Respondent agrees that his Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other civil or criminal proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPh 37352 issued to Respondent William Charles Zimmerman (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. Obey All Laws. Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations substantially related to or governing the practice of pharmacy.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the Board, in writing, within 72 hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendere in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment

. 28

- a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state and federal agency which involves Respondent's license or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling or distribution or billing or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.
- 2. Reporting to the Board. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.
- 3. Interview with the Board. Upon receipt of reasonable notice, Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board upon request at various intervals at a location to be determined by the Board. Failure to appear for a scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 4. Cooperation with Board Staff. Respondent shall cooperate with the Board's inspectional program and in the Board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of his probation. Failure to comply shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 5. Continuing Education. Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the Board.
- 6. Notice to Employers. Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 3182 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on Respondent by the decision. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and within 15 days of Respondent undertaking new employment, Respondent shall cause his direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge and/or owner to report to the Board in writing acknowledging the employer has read the decision in case number 3182.

If Respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment

,15

service, Respondent must notify the direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and/or owner at every pharmacy of the and terms conditions of the decision in case number 3182 in advance of the Respondent commencing work at each pharmacy.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, parttime, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist, whether the Respondent is considered an employee or independent contractor.

- 7. No Preceptorships, Supervision of Interns, Being Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), or Serving as a Consultant. Respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist or perform any of the duties of a preceptor, nor shall Respondent be the pharmacist-in-charge of any entity licensed by the Board unless otherwise specified in this order.
- 8. Reimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$6,000.00. Payments shall be due on a quarterly basis, with the entire amount to be paid within three (3) years. Failure to make timely payments of Board costs as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

- 9. Probation Monitoring Costs. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board at the end of each year of probation. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 10. Status of License. Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active current license with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled.

If Respondent's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication, Respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

 effective date of this decision, should Respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may tender his license to the Board for surrender. The Board shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall relinquish his pocket license to the Board within 10 days of notification by the Board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent may not reapply for any license from the Board for three years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the Board.

- 12. Notification of Employment/Mailing Address Change. Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within 10 days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving and/or the address of the new employer, supervisor or owner and work schedule if known. Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within 10 days of a change in name, mailing address or phone number.
- 13. Tolling of Probation. Should Respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason cease practicing pharmacy for a minimum of forty (40) hours per calendar month in California, Respondent must notify the Board in writing within 10 days of cessation of the practice of pharmacy or the resumption of the practice of pharmacy. Such periods of time shall not apply to the reduction of the probation period. It is a violation of probation for Respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a period exceeding three years.

"Cessation of practice" means any period of time exceeding 30 days in which
Respondent is not engaged in the practice of pharmacy as defined in Section 4052 of
the Business and Professions Code.

///

.15

14. Violation of Probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be extended, until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

If Respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the Board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty which was stayed.

- 15. Completion of Probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's license will be fully restored.
- 16. Mental Health Examination. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and on a periodic basis as may be required by the Board, Respondent shall undergo, at his own expense, psychiatric evaluation(s) by a Board-appointed or Board-approved psychiatrist or psychologist. Respondent shall sign a release authorizing the evaluator to furnish the Board with a current diagnosis and a written report regarding the Respondent's judgment and ability to function independently as a pharmacist with safety to the public. Respondent shall comply with all the recommendations of the evaluator if directed by the Board.

If the psychiatrist or psychotherapist recommends, and the Board directs, Respondent shall undergo psychotherapy. Respondent shall, within 30 days of written notice of the need for psychotherapy, submit to the Board for its prior approval, the recommended program for ongoing psychotherapeutic care. Respondent shall undergo and continue psychotherapy, at Respondent's own expense, until further notice from the Board. Respondent shall have the treating psychotherapist or psychiatrist submit written quarterly reports to the Board as directed. If Respondent is determined to be unable to practice safely, upon

notification, Respondent shall immediately cease practice and shall not resume practice until notified by the Board.

17. Rehabilitation Program - Pharmacists Recovery Program (PRP). Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall contact the Pharmacists Recovery Program for evaluation and shall successfully participate in and complete the treatment contract and any subsequent addendums as recommended and provided by the PRP and as approved by the Board. The costs for PRP participation shall be borne by the Respondent.

If Respondent is currently enrolled in the PRP, said participation is now mandatory and is no longer considered a self-referral under Business and Professions Code section 4363, as of the effective date of this decision. Respondent shall successfully participate in and complete his current contract and any subsequent addendums with the PRP. Probation shall be automatically extended until Respondent successfully completes his treatment contract. Any person terminated from the program shall be automatically suspended upon notice by the Board. Respondent may not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the Board in writing. The Board shall retain jurisdiction to institute action to terminate probation for any violation of this term.

Respondent is required to participate in the PRP, Respondent, at his own expense, shall participate in random testing, including but not limited to biological fluid testing (urine, blood), breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or a drug screening program approved by the Board. The length of time shall be for the entire probation period and the frequency of testing will be determined by the Board. At all times Respondent shall fully cooperate with the Board, and shall, when directed, submit to such tests and samples for the detection of alcohol, narcotics, hypnotics, dangerous drugs or other controlled substances. Failure to submit to testing as directed shall constitute a violation of probation. Any confirmed positive drug test shall result in the immediate suspension of practice by Respondent. Respondent may not resume the practice of pharmacy until notified by the Board in writing.

MAR/18/2009/MON 04:58 PM DOJ

7

8

10

17:

12

. 13

. 14

15

16

18

19[.] 28 PAX No. 415-703-5588

P. 011

Abstable from Davys and Alcohol Ves. If the PRP evaluator concludes that Respondent is required to participate in the PRP, Respondent shall completely abstable from the possible or use of alcohol, controlled substances, dangerous drugs and their associated persphereable except when the drugs are lawfully prescribed by a Respondent practitioner as part of a documented medical treatment. Upon request of the Board, Respondent attail provide documentation from the licensed practitioner that the prescription was legitimately issued and is a necessary part of the treatment of the Respondent.

(20, Reduction of Probation Period. The period of probation (subject to any tolling or extensions) shall be reduced to three (3) years if all of the following accum:

The PRP evaluator concludes that Respondent does not need to participate in the PRP.

The Menial Health Evaluator concludes that Respondent has the ability to function independently as a pharmacist with safety to the public.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my alternaty Samuel Spital. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Supulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarity, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Egold of Pharmacy.

DATED:

21 22

23

WILLIAM CHARLES ZIMMERMAN Respondent

24 6 / / /

``)''

26 11

27

11.0

28 /

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent William Charles Zimmerman the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and .2 3 Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content. DATED: 5 6 SAMUEL SPITAL Attorney for Respondent ENDORSEMENT 8 , 9 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy. 10 11 12 EDMUND G. BROWN IR., Attorney General of the State of California 13 14 FRANK H. PACOB Supervising Deputy Attorney General 15 1.6 17 18 Deputy Attorney General 19 Attorneys for Complainant 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

Exhibit A
Accusation No. 3182

| . 1 | EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California | |
|-----|---|----|
| 2 | FRANK H. PACOE | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General REBECCA HEINSTEIN, State Bar No. 173202 Deputy Attorney General | |
| 4 | 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 | |
| 5 | Telephone: (415) 703-5604 | |
| 6 | Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| | BEFORE THE | |
| - 8 | BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | |
| 9 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 3182 | |
| 12 | WILLIAM CHARLES ZIMMERMAN 15437 Stratford Drive ACCUSATION | • |
| . | Sam Jose, CA 95124 | |
| 13 | Pharmacist License No. RPh 37352 | |
| 14 | Respondent, | |
| 1.5 | | |
| 16 | Complainant alleges: | |
| 17 | PARTIES | |
| 18 | 1. Virginia K. Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. | |
| 21 | 2. On or about October 31, 1983, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmaci | st |
| 22 | License Number RPh 37352 to William Charles Zimmerman (Respondent). The Pharmacist | |
| | License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will | |
| 23 | expire on October 31, 2009, unless renewed. | |
| 24 | JURISDICTION | |
| .25 | 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), under | er |
| .26 | the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions | |
| 27 | Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated. | |
| 28 | Code (Code) uniess onici wise intricated. | |

4. Section 118(b) of the Code states, in relevant part, that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

- 5. Section 4402(a) of the Code states that any pharmacist license that is not renewed within three years following its expiration may not be renewed, restored, or reinstated and shall be canceled by operation of law at the end of the three-year period.
- 6. Section 4300 (a) of the Code states that every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
 - 7. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(a) Gross immorality.

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty; fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

б 7

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22 23

24

25 26

> 27 28

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

8. Section 4059(a) of the Code states, in relevant part, that a person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except with the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.

.24

9. Section 4060 of the Code states, in relevant part, that no person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052.

10. Section 4327 states:

"Any person who, while on duty, sells, dispenses or compounds any drug while under the influence of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverages shall be guilty of a misdemeanor."

- Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 1770, states that for the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
- 12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUG

13. Dilaudid, also known as Hydromorphone, is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055(a)(1)(k) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

(Diversion of Controlled Substance/Dangerous Drug)

1.8

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f) of the Code in that in or about March, 2007, while on duty as the Pharmacist In Charge (PIC) at Coram Healthcare in Hayward, California (Coram), Respondent committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption by diverting an unknown quantity of Hydromorphone, a controlled substance, from Coram, as follows:

a. Respondent removed a 1cc syringe from Coram supplies, removed the wrapper from the syringe, and threw the wrapper in the garbage. Respondent removed a Hydromorphone vial, which was kept in a plastic bag, from a cabinet containing controlled substances. Respondent put the needle into the Hydromorphone vial and drew the Hydromorphone from the vial. Respondent made a motion consistent with placing his hand in his pocket. Respondent left the room for approximately ten (10) minutes and, when he returned, he disposed of the syringe in the "sharps" container.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Diversion of Controlled Substance/Dangerous Drug)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f) of the Code in that on or about May 23, 2007, while on duty as the PIC at Coram, Respondent committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption by diverting an unknown quantity of Hydromorphone, a controlled substance, from Coram, as follows:
- a. Respondent removed a Hydromorphone vial from the "white and blue steri-cycle" container, which contained outdated drugs and vials for disposal. Respondent put the Hydromorphone vial into his pocket and removed a 1cc syringe from Coram supplies.

 Respondent went to the men's restroom. After Respondent returned from the restroom, he put the Hydromorphone vial in the steri-cycle and put the syringe in the sharps container.

 Respondent appeared disoriented, had a loss of balance, had slow speech, and collapsed for five (5) seconds on a table.

11/

| THIRD C | CAUSE FOR | DISCIPLINE |
|---------|-----------|------------|
|---------|-----------|------------|

(Furnish a Controlled Substance)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301(j) and/or (o) of the Code for the violation of section 4059(a) of the Code in that Respondent unlawfully furnished Hydromorphone, a controlled substance, to himself without a prescription, as set forth in paragraphs 14 and 15, above

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Possession of Controlled Substance)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301(j) and/or (c) of the Code for the violation of section 4060 of the Code in that Respondent unlawfully . possessed Hydromorphone, a controlled substance, as set forth in paragraphs 14 and 15, above.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Use of Controlled Substance)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) of the Code, in that Respondent used Hydromorphone, a controlled substance, to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license, as set forth in paragraphs 14 and 15, above.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Under the Influence of Dangerous Drugs While on Duty)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301(j) and/or (o) of the Code for the violation of section 4327 of the Code in that Respondent, while on duty as the PIC at Coram, was under the influence of Hydromorphone, a dangerous drug, as set forth in paragraphs 14 and 15, above.

26 / / 27 / /

28 //

| 1 | SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
|-----|---|
| 1 | (Substantially Related Conviction) |
| 2 | |
| 3 | 20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(1) of the |
| 4 | Code in that he was convicted of a crime which is substantially related to the qualifications, |
| 5 | functions and duties of a pharmacist as follows: |
| б | a. On or about July 12, 2006, in a criminal proceeding entitled <u>People of the</u> |
| 7 | State of California vs. William Charles Zimmerman, Superior Court of California, County of |
| 8 | Santa Clara, Case Number CC629870, Respondent was convicted by a plea of nolo contendere of |
| 9 | violating Penal Code section 415 (fighting in public), a misdemeanor. |
| 1.0 | b. The underlying circumstances are that on or about May 7, 2006, |
| 11 | Respondent struck his seventeen (17) year old son in the face at least five (5) times, which caused |
| 12 | his son to feel "dazed." Respondent choked his son three (3) times, which caused his son to have |
| 13 | pain in his neck and trouble breathing. Respondent's son sustained a cut on his upper lift, his lip |
| 14 | was swollen, and his lip had a small amount of blood. Respondent's son also complained of sore |
| 15 | knees and elbows from falling on the ground during the assault. The sheriff's officer confiscated |
| 16 | nine (9) rifles and five (5) handguns from Respondent's home. |
| 17 | c. Respondent was sentenced to three (3) years probation, ten (10) weeks of |
| 18 | anger management, and forty (40) hours of volunteer work. Respondent was also ordered to not |
| 19 | own or possess any deadly weapons. |
| 20 | EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 21 | (Gross Immorality) |
| 22 | 21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(a) of the |
| 23 | Code in that he committed conduct which amounts to gross immorality, as set forth in paragraph |
| 24 | 20, above. |
| 25 | /// |
| 26 | /// |
| .27 | /// |
| 28. | /// |
| | I . |

OTHER MATTERS 1 On or about May 7, 2006, Respondent told his daughter that he was 22. 2 considering killing himself with one of his guns. Respondent further told the sheriff's officer that 3 he was feeling depressed because of recent deaths in the family and that he needed psychiatric 5 help. PRAYER б WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPh 37352, issued to 9 1. William Charles Zimmerman: 10 Ordering William Charles Zimmerman to pay the Board of Pharmacy the 2, 11 reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; 13 Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 14 15 16 17 18 19 Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy 20 State of California 21 Complainant 22 23 24 25

26

27

28