1 2 3 5 6 7 8 BEFORE THE **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4736 12 13 LISA M. EBERLE **DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER** 14 806 Southdale Court Galt, CA 95632 15 [Gov. Code, §11520] 16 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 34600 17 18 Respondent. 19 20 21 **FINDINGS OF FACT** 22 On or about September 6, 2013, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed 23 Accusation No. 4736 against Lisa M. Eberle (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy. 24 25 (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.) On or about September 13, 2000, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy 26 2. Technician Registration No. TCH 34600 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration 27 28

was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4736 and will expire on December 31, 2013, unless renewed.

3. On or about September 27, 2013, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of Accusation No. 4736, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

806 Southdale Court Galt, CA 95632.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c), and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about October 8, 2013, the aforementioned Certified Mail documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable As Addressed—Unable To Forward." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made herself available for service and therefore, has not availed herself of her right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4736.

27 || 28 ||

///

8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

- (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4736, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4736 are separately and severally found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$4,054.50 as of November 13, 2013.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Lisa M. Eberle has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 34600 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:
- a. Respondent violated California Business and Professions Code sections 475(a)(4), 4301(f) and (g), 4051(a), and 4324(a) in that she engaged in unprofessional conduct by performing acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption. The circumstances are as follows:
- i. From on or about April of 2011 through March of 2012, while employed as a pharmacy technician at Raley's of Galt, Respondent diverted hydrocodone-containing schedule III controlled substance medications by entering fraudulent refills (via forged prescription refills)

for medications by using patient profiles of different patients, including "Patient W" and "Patient M," both of whom are deceased. Drug loss audits showed a loss of at least 984 tablets of hydrocodone-containing medications.

- b. Respondent violated California Business and Professions Code sections 4060 and 4301(j) and (o), and section 11350(a) of the California Health and Safety Code, in that she violated section 4060 of the California Business and Professions Code, and California Health and Safety Code sections 11350(a) and 11377(a), by illegally possessing a controlled substance. The circumstances are as follows:
- i. From on or about April of 2011 through March of 2012, Respondent diverted hydrocodone-containing medications from Raley's of Galt. Therefore, Respondent was in possession of those medications without a prescription for them.
- c. Respondent violated California Business and Professions Code section 4301(h), and title 21 of the United States Code, sections 829(a) and 841(a)(1), in that she unlawfully self-administered controlled substances. The circumstances are as follows:
- i. From on or about April of 2011 through March of 2012, Respondent diverted hydrocodone-containing medications from Raley's of Galt. After obtaining those medications, Respondent self-administered them.
- d. Respondent violated California Business and Professions Code sections 4051, 4059(a), 4077, and 4301(h), and title 21 of the United States Code, sections 828(a), 829(a), and 841(a)(1), in that she unlawfully sold controlled substances. The circumstances are as follows:
- i. From on or about April of 2011 through March of 2012, Respondent diverted hydrocodone-containing medications from Raley's of Galt. After obtaining those medications, Respondent sold them to others for the purpose of financial gain.
- e. The circumstances of the above-mentioned conduct subjects Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration to discipline, under California Business and Professions Code sections 475(a)(4), 4051, 4051(a), 4059(a), 4060, 4077, and 4301(h), (j), and (o), 4301(f) and (g), and 4324(a), section 11350(a) of the California Health and Safety Code, and title 21 of the United States Code, sections 828(a), 829(a), and 841(a)(1), in that: (1) Respondent's conduct constitutes

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
13 14 15 16 17 18	$\ $
16	
17	
18	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	7

acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption; (2) Respondent illegally possessed a controlled substance; (3) Respondent unlawfully self-administered controlled substances; and (4) Respondent unlawfully sold controlled substances. As a pharmacy technician, Respondent has access to a variety of controlled substances, including hydrocodone-containing schedule III medications. The above-mentioned conduct establishes that Respondent has a propensity to generate fraudulent refills for, divert, self-administer, and sell hydrocodone-containing medications which causes a significant financial loss to her employer, as well as a danger to the public in that Respondent could be working or driving while under the influence of hydrocodone-containing medications and Respondent could provide individuals (who do not possess a valid prescription) with an improper dosage of prescription medication.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 34600, heretofore issued to Respondent Lisa M. Eberle, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on January 17, 2014.

It is so ORDERED ON December 18, 2013.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ву

STAN C. WEISSER Board President

11201940.DOC DOJ Matter ID: \$A2013111625

Exhibit A

Accusation

3		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Departs Attaches Contact to the Contac	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 238339 1300 I Street, Suite 125	
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
6	Telephone: (916) 322-0032 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643	
7	E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4736	
12	LISA M. EBERLE	
13	806 Southdale Galt, CA 95632 ACCUSATION	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	
15	34600	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about September 13, 2000, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
24	Registration Number TCH 34600 to Lisa M. Eberle (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician	
25	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and	
26	will expire on December 31, 2013, unless renewed.	
27		
28		
	1	

Accusation

26

27

28

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked. . . . "

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 475 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:

"...

- "(3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license. . . ."
 - 6. Section 4022 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

"(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.

"··

- "(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006."
 - 7. Section 4051 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, it is unlawful for any person to manufacture, compound, furnish, sell, or dispense any dangerous drug or dangerous device, or to dispense or compound any prescription pursuant to Section 4040 of a prescriber unless he or she is a pharmacist under this chapter. . . . "

8. Section 4059 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

- "(a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7..."
 - 9. Section 4060 of the Code states:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified nurse midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer.

Nothing in this section authorizes a certified nurse midwife, a nurse practitioner, a physician assistant, or a naturopathic doctor, to order his or her own stock of dangerous drugs and devices."

10. Section 4077 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that except as provided in subdivisions (b) and (c) of this section, no person shall dispense any dangerous drug upon prescription except in a container correctly labeled with the information required by Section 4076.

///

11. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(a) Gross immorality.
- ". . .
- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"...

- "(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.
 - "(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license. . . ."
 - 12. Section 4324 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Every person who signs the name of another, or of a fictitious person, or falsely makes, alters, forges, utters, publishes, passes, or attempts to pass, as genuine, any prescription

REGULATIONS

- 15. Title 21 of the United States Code, section 828 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Unlawful distribution of controlled substances. It shall be unlawful for any person to distribute a controlled substance in schedule I or II to another except in pursuance of a written order of the person to whom such substance is distributed, made on a form to be issued by the Attorney General in blank in accordance with subsection (d) and regulations prescribed by him pursuant to this section. . . ."
 - 16. Title 21 of the United States Code, section 829 states, in pertinent part:
- "(b) Schedule III and IV substances. Except when dispensed directly by a practitioner, other than a pharmacist, to an ultimate user, no controlled substance in schedule III or IV, which is a prescription drug as determined under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act [21 USCS §§ 301 et seq.], may be dispensed without a written or oral prescription in conformity with section 503(b) of that Act [21 USCS § 353(b)]. Such prescriptions may not be filled or refilled more than six months after the date thereof or be refilled more than five times after the date of the prescription unless renewed by the practitioner...."
 - 17. Title 21 of the United States Code, section 841 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Unlawful acts. Except as authorized by this title, it shall be unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally--
- "(1) to manufacture, distribute, or dispense, or possess with intent to manufacture, distribute, or dispense, a controlled substance; or
- "(2) to create, distribute, or dispense, or possess with intent to distribute or dispense, a counterfeit substance..."

COST RECOVERY

18. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

///

///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Corrupt Acts)

- 19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 475(a)(4), 4301(f) and (g), 4051(a), and 4324(a) of the Code in that she engaged in unprofessional conduct by conducting acts involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption. The circumstances are as follows:
- 20. From on or about April of 2011 through March of 2012, while employed as a pharmacy technician at Raley's of Galt, Respondent diverted hydrocodone-containing schedule III controlled substance medications by entering fraudulent refills (via forged prescription refills) for medications by using patient profiles of different patients, including "Patient W" and "Patient M," both of whom are deceased. Drug loss audits showed a loss of at least 984 tablets of hydrocodone-containing medications.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Possession of a Controlled Substance)

- 21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4060 and 4301(j) and (o) of the Code, and section 11350(a) of the California Health and Safety Code, in that she violated section 4060 of the Code, and California Health and Safety Code sections 11350(a) and 11377(a) by illegally possessing a controlled substance. The circumstances are as follows:
- 22. From on or about April of 2011 through March of 2012, Respondent diverted hydrocodone-containing medications from Raley's of Galt. Therefore, Respondent was in possession of those medications without a prescription for them.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlawful Self-Administration of Controlled Substances)

23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(h) of the Code, and title 21 of the United States Code, sections 829(a) and 841(a)(1) in that she unlawfully self-administered controlled substances. The circumstances are as follows: