1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		RE THE PHARMACY
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4697
12	In the Mater of the Accusation Against.	Case 110. 4097
13	KEELY MARIE NEEDHAM	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
14	18008 Clouds Rest Rd. Soulsbyville, CA 95372	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
15	5041569 VINC, CIX 75572	[Gov. Code, §11520]
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 83252	
17		
18	Respondent.	
19		
20		
21	FINDINGS OF FACT	
22	1. On or about September 19, 2013, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official	
23	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,	
24	filed Accusation No. 4697 against Keely Marie Needham (Respondent) before the Board of	
25	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
26	2. On or about May 1, 2008, the Board	of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
27	Technician Registration No. 83252 to Responde	nt. The Pharmacy Technician Registration
28	expired on October 31, 2011, and has not been re	enewed.
	1	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDE

.

1	3. On or about October 15, 2013, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class
2	Mail copies of Accusation No. 4697, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for
3	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at
4	Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100,
5	is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was
6	and is:
7	18008 Clouds Rest Rd. Soulsbyville, CA 95372.
8	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
9	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section
10	124.
11	5. On or about October 22, 2013, the aforementioned Certified and First Class Mail
12	documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Unable to forward." The address on
13	the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain
14 15	an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at
16	the address on file. Respondent has not made herself available for service and therefore, has not
10	availed herself of her right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
18	6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
19	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts
20	of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion
21	<ul><li>may nevertheless grant a hearing.</li><li>7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of</li></ul>
22	the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4697.
23	8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
24	
25	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions
26	or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
27	111
28	///
	2
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds 1 2 Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as 3 taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on 4 file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4697, finds that 5 the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4697, are separately and severally, found to be true 6 and correct by clear and convincing evidence. 7 8 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation 9 and Enforcement is \$1,760.00 as of November 20, 2013. 10 11 DETERMINATION OF ISSUES 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Keely Marie Needham has 12 subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 83252 to discipline. 13 2. 14 The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default. 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician 15 16 Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case .: 17 Respondent violated California Business and Professions Code sections 475, 490, and 18 a. 4301(*l*) of the Code in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime that is substantially related 19 20 to her qualifications, functions, and duties as a pharmacy technician. Respondent also violated California Business and Professions Code section 4301(f) in that on April 28, 2011, Respondent 21 committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption. The 22 23 circumstances are as follows: b. On or about May 27, 2011, in People v. Keely Marie Needham, Superior Court of 24 California, County of Fresno, case no. F11902809, Respondent pled nolo contendere to a 25 misdemeanor charge of second degree commercial burglary (a violation of Penal Code sections 26 459 and 560). The facts and circumstances of this conviction are as follows: 27 111 28 3

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

i. On April 28, 2011, a Clovis Police Department officer arrested Respondent and 1 her fiancé as they exited a Target store. The officer recovered merchandise valued at 2 approximately \$445.35 from Respondent. Respondent stated to the officer that while in the store, 3 she selected items to steal, placed them in her purse, and then went into the women's restroom 4 where she used her fiance's pocket knife to cut open packages and remove security tags. After 5 removing all of the security tags, Respondent concealed the items in her purse, and she and her 6 fiancé exited the store. Respondent admitted that she and her fiancé intended to sell the stolen 7 merchandise, and that she and her fiancé were willing participants in the crime. 8

c. Respondent violated California Business and Professions Code sections 4060 and
4301(j) in that on April 19, 2011, Respondent possessed a controlled substance (heroin) in
violation of California Health and Safety Code section 11364. The circumstances are as follows:

d. On or about May 6, 2011, in People v. Keely Marie Needham, Superior Court of 12 California, County of Tuolumne, case no. CRF35238, Respondent pled guilty to: (1) a felony 13 charge of possession of a controlled substance (a violation of California Health and Safety Code 14 section 11350(a)); (2) a misdemeanor charge of possession of a smoking device (a violation of 15 Health and Safety Code section 11364); and (3) a misdemeanor charge of being under the 16 influence of a controlled substance (a violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550(a)). The 17 court granted a deferred entry of judgment, and the case was dismissed upon completion of the 18 deferred entry of judgment on December 17, 2012. The facts and circumstances are as follows: 19

20 i. On April 19, 2011, two Tuolumne County Sherriff deputies conducted a traffic stop of a vehicle in which Respondent was a passenger. While interacting with the driver and 21 Respondent, one of the deputies believed both were under the influence of a controlled substance 22 based upon their slow speech and movements, and constricted pupils. After the driver gave the 23 deputy permission to search the vehicle, the deputy discovered two pieces of foil with burn marks 24 and residue on the passenger side floor board, and one piece of foil inside Respondent's purse. 25 The deputy also discovered a plastic bag with what appeared to be a piece of tar heroin on it next 26 to a toot straw with brown residue under the passenger front seat. One of the deputies placed the 27 driver, Respondent, and the other two passengers in handcuffs. After the deputy placed 28

4

1	Respondent in handcuffs, Respondent admitted that she used Methadone on April 18, 2011.	
2	While changing handcuffs on Respondent, one of the deputies discovered five pieces of crumpled	
3	foil in Respondent's hands; one of these pieces of foil had a small amount of a dark brown	
4	substance consistent with the appearance of heroin. The deputies arrested Respondent and	
5	transported her to jail. At the jail, Respondent was searched by another deputy who discovered a	
6	piece of foil in Respondent's underwear and a toot straw, with brown residue, in Respondent's	
7	bra. One of the deputies weighed and tested the suspected heroin. The substance weighed 0.4	
8	grams and tested positive for heroin.	
9	<u>ORDER</u>	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 83252, heretofore issued	
11	to Respondent Keely Marie Needham, is revoked.	
12	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a	
13	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within	
14	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may	
15	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.	
16	This Decision shall become effective on February 18, 2014.	
17	It is so ORDERED ON January 17, 2014.	
18	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
19	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
20		
21	la C. Wassi	
22	By	
23	Board President	
24		
25	11222046.DOC SA2013111722	
26	Attachment:	
27	Exhibit A: Accusation	
28		
l	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	

## Exhibit A

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 238339	
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-0032	
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9 10	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
	STATE OF CALIFOR	KNIA .
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No	. 4697
12	KEELY MARIE NEEDHAM	
13	18008 Clouds Rest Rd.Soulsbyville, CA 95372A C C U	SATION
14 15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 83252	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18 19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Act	cusation solely in her official capacity
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	<ol> <li>On or about May 1, 2008, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician</li> </ol>	
24	Registration Number 83252 to Keely Marie Needham (Re	spondent). The Pharmacy Technician
25	Registration expired on October 31, 2011, and has not bee	n renewed.
26		
	11	
27		
27 28		

]		
1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
4	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated,	
5	4. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:	
6	"(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked"	
7	5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:	
8	"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by	
9	operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license	
10	on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board	
11	of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary	
12	proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."	
13	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
14	6. Section 475 of the Code states, in pertinent part:	
15	"(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall	
16	govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:	
17	"···	
18	"(2) Conviction of a crime.	
19	"(3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to	
20	substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.	
21	"(4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in	
22	question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.	
23	(b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall	
24	govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2)	
25	of subdivision (a)"	
26	111	
27	111	
28	/// .	
	2	
Í	Accusation	

7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.

5

8.

1

2

3

4

Section 492 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion 6 program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem 7 8 assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section 23249,50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 9 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from 10 taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional 11 misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record 12 pertaining to an arrest. 13

This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division."

17

9. Section 4022 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

18 "Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self use in
19 humans or animals...."

20

10. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon 21 the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor 22 pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse 23 midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a 24 physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, 25 or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of 26 subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052. This section shall not 27 apply to the possession of any controlled substance by a manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, 28

3

pharmacist, physician, podiatrist, dentist, optometrist, veterinarian, naturopathic doctor, certified
 nurse midwife, nurse practitioner, or physician assistant, when in stock in containers correctly
 labeled with the name and address of the supplier or producer, ..."

4

8

9

11. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

5 "The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional
6 conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.
7 Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(a) Gross immorality.

1

\*\*...

"...

". . .

"...

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or
corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and
whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

13

14 "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous 15 drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to 16 oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or 17 to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the 18 practice authorized by the license.

19

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United
States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

22

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and
duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13
(commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled
substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or
dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the
record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order 1 to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances 2 or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the 3 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or 4 a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning 5 of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the 6 judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 7 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of 8 the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not 9 guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or 10 indictment. 11 n.... 12 13 "(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable 14 federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by 15

the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

17

19

16

## 18

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license...."

REGULATION

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license
pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a
crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a
licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a
licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner
consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

- 27 111
- 28 ///

5

COST RECOVERY 1 13. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the 2 administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of 3 the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and 4 enforcement of the case. 5 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 6 (Unprofessional Conduct-Conviction of a Crime Substantially Related to Qualifications, 7 Functions, and Duties of Licensee) 8 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 475, 490, and 4301(l) of 14. 9 the Code in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime that is substantially related to her 10 qualifications, functions, and duties as a pharmacy technician. The facts and circumstances of 11 this conviction are as follows: 12 13 15. On or about May 27, 2011, in People v. Keely Marie Needham, Superior Court of California, County of Fresno, case no. F11902809, Respondent pled nolo contendere to a 14 misdemeanor charge of second degree commercial burglary (a violation of Penal Code sections 15 459 and 560). The facts and circumstances of this conviction are as follows: 16 17 a. On April 28, 2011, a Clovis Police Department officer received a report from a Target 18 Loss Prevention Officer that Respondent and her fiancé were stealing merchandise from the store. Once the officer arrived at the store, he arrested Respondent and her fiancé as they exited the 19 store. The officer recovered merchandise valued at approximately \$445.35 from Respondent. 20 Respondent stated to the officer that while in the store, she selected items to steal, placed them in 21 22 her purse, and then went into the women's restroom where she used her fiance's pocket knife to cut open packages and remove security tags. After removing all of the security tags, Respondent 23 concealed the items in her purse, and she and her fiancé exited the store. Respondent admitted 24 that she and her fiancé intended to sell the stolen merchandise, and that she and her fiancé were 25 willing participants in the crime. 26 111 27 111 28 6

1	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Unprofessional Conduct-Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or	
3	Corruption)	
4	16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(f) of the Code in that	
5	on April 28, 2011, Respondent committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud,	
6	deceit, or corruption, as set forth in more particularity in paragraph 15(a).	
7	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
8	(Unprofessional Conduct—Possession of a Controlled Substance)	
9	17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4060 and 4301(j) of the	
10	Code in that on April 19, 2011, Respondent possessed a controlled substance (heroin) in violation	
11	of California Health and Safety Code section 11364. The facts and circumstances are as follows:	
12	18. On or about May 6, 2011, in People v. Keely Marie Needham, Superior Court of	
13	California, County of Tuolumne, case no. CRF35238, Respondent pled guilty to: (1) a felony	
14	charge of possession of a controlled substance (a violation of California Health and Safety Code	
15	section 11350(a)); (2) a misdemeanor charge of possession of a smoking device (a violation of	
16	Health and Safety Code section 11364); and (3) a misdemeanor charge of being under the	
17	influence of a controlled substance (a violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550(a)). The	
18	court granted a deferred entry of judgment, and the case was dismissed upon completion of the	
19	deferred entry of judgment on December 17, 2012. The facts and circumstances are as follows:	
20	a. On April 19, 2011, two Tuolumne County Sherriff deputies conducted a traffic stop	
21	of a vehicle in which Respondent was a passenger. While interacting with the driver and	
22	Respondent, one of the deputies believed both were under the influence of a controlled substance	
23	based upon their slow speech and movements, and constricted pupils. After the driver gave the	
24	deputy permission to search the vehicle, the deputy discovered two pieces of foil with burn marks	
25	and residue on the passenger side floor board, and once piece of foil inside Respondent's purse.	
26	The deputy also discovered a plastic bag with what appeared to be a piece of tar heroin on it next	
27	to a toot straw with brown residue under the passenger front seat. One of the deputies placed the	
28	driver, Respondent, and the other two passengers in handcuffs. After the deputy placed	
1	. 7	

1	Respondent in handcuffs, Respondent admitted that she used Methadone on April 18, 2011.	
2	While changing handcuffs on Respondent, one of the deputies discovered five pieces of crumpled	
3	foil in Respondent's hands; one of these pieces of foil had a small amount of a dark brown	
4	substance consistent with the appearance of heroin. The deputies arrested Respondent and	
5	transported her to jail. At the jail, Respondent was searched by another deputy who discovered a	
6	piece of foil in Respondent's underwear and a toot straw, with brown residue, in Respondent's	
7	bra. One of the deputies weighed and tested the suspected heroin. The substance weighed 0.4	
8	grams and tested positive for heroin.	
9	PRAYER	
10	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
11	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
12	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number 83252, issued to	
13	Keely Marie Needham.;	
14	2. Ordering Keely Marie Needham to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs	
15	of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code	
16	section 125.3;	
17	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
18		
19		
20 21	DATED: 9/19/13 Jugina Derold	
21 22	VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer	
22	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs	
24	State of California Complainant	
25		
26	SA2013111722. 11117263.doc	
27		
28		
	8	
	Acousation	