1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
· 6	
7	BEFORE THE
8	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9	STATE OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4685
12	
13	ANGELA LOUISE DU PONT DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
14	1538 Cayuga Simi Valley, CA 93065
15	[Gov. Code,§11520]
16	Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 16360
17	
18	Respondent.
19	
20	
21	FINDINGS OF FACT
22	1. On or about March 12, 2014, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as
23	the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed
24	Accusation No. 4685 against Angela Louise Du Pont (Respondent) before the Board of
25	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)
26	2. On or about June 27, 1995, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
27	Technician License No. TCH 16360 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician License expired
28	on May 31, 2011, and has not been renewed.
	1
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

ſ

3. On or about April 4, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail 1 copies of the Accusation No. 4685, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for 2 Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at 3 Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, 4 is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was 5 and is: 6 7 1538 Cayuga Simi Valley, CA 93065. 8 Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of 4

Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.

5. On or about April 10, 2014, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S.

Postal Service marked "Unclaimed."

13

6.

8.

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:

(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of

the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4685.

19 20

21

22

California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

23

24

25

26

9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4685, finds that

2

28

27

the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4685, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and
Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation
and Enforcement is one thousand nine hundred seventy-two dollars (\$1,972.00) as of June 6,
2014.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

1.Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Angela Louise Du Pont hassubjected her Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH 16360 to discipline.

2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
 License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the
 evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.:

a. <u>First Cause For Discipline</u> - (Conviction of Substantially Related Crime).
Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 490 and section 4301, subdivision (1),
in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that on or about
August 6, 2012, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating
Health and Safety Code section 11379, subdivision (a) [transportation of a controlled substance],
in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of The State of California v. Angela Louise Du Pont* (Super. Ct. Ventura County, 2012, No. 2012001882 F A).

b. <u>Second Cause For Discipline</u> - (Violating Statute Regulating Controlled
Substances and Dangerous Drugs). Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section
4301, subdivision (j), in that on or about January 16, 2012, Respondent violated a state statute
regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. Complainant refers to, and by this
reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 3, subparagraph (a), as though
set forth fully.

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

3

1	ORDER
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Licerse No. TCH 16360, heretofore issued
3	to Respondent Angela Louise Du Pont, is revoked.
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
8	This Decision shall become effective on August 7, 2014.
9	It is so ORDERED July 8, 2014.
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	
13	By
14	STAN C. WEISSER Board President
15	Bourd Tresident
16	
17	
18	51529835.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2013509381
19	Attachment:
20	Exhibit A: Accusation
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

4 :

li.

. .

, ,

•

. .

· · · ·

.

.

Exhibit A

Accusation

 KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General HEATHER HUA Deputy Attorney General State Bar No, 223418 	
 2 GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 HEATHER HUA Deputy Attorney General 	
3 HEATHER HUA Deputy Attorney General	
4 State Bar No. 223418 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
5 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2574	ļ
6 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant	
7 BEFORE THE	
8 BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4685	
11 ANGELA LOUISE DU PONT	
12 1538 Cayuga Simi Valley, CA 93065 A C C U S A T I O N	
13 Pharmacy Technician License No. TCH	
14 16360	
15 Respondent.	
16	
17 18 Complainant alleges:	
19 PARTIES	
20 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capa	icity
21 as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22 2. On or about June 27, 1995, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	1
23 License Number TCH 16360 to Angela Louise Du Pont (Respondent). The Pharmacy Tech	inician
License expired on May 31, 2011, and has not been renewed.	
25 JURISDICTION	
26 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the follow	
27 laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise ind	icated.
28	
1 Ac	cusation

١.,

1	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
2	4. Section 490 provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a
3	license on the ground that the license has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the
4	qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
5	5. Section 4300, subdivision (a), states that "[e]very license issued may be suspended
6	or revoked."
7	6. Section 4300.1 states:
8	"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by
9	operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license
10	on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board
11	of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary
12	proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."
13	7. Section 4301 states:
14	"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of
15	unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or
16	issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the
17	following:
18	
19	"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or
20	corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and
21	whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
22	"(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely
23	represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
24	
25	"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state or of the United States regulating
26	controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
27	
28	
	2 Accusation

The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and "(<u>1</u>) 1 duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 2 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled 3 substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or 4 dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the 5 record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. 6 The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order 7 to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances 8 or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the 9 qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or 10 a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning 11 of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the 12 judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made 13 suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of 14 the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not 15 guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or 16 indictment." 17

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

18

26

27

28

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
 "For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license
 pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a
 crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a
 licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a
 licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a
 manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of

3

Accusation

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
 enforcement of the case.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE - DANGEROUS DRUG

4 10. Methamphetamine is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health
5 and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (d)(2), and is categorized as a dangerous drug
6 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crime)

9 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 490 and
10 section 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section
11 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications,
12 functions or duties of a Licensed Pharmacy Technician, as follows:

On or about August 6, 2012, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of 13 a. one felony count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11379, subdivision (a) 14 [transportation of a controlled substance], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of The 15 State of California v. Angela Louise Du Pont (Super. Ct. Ventura County, 2012, No. 2012001882 16 F A), On or about January 16, 2012, Respondent was stopped by police officers at a traffic stop. 17 Respondent consented to a vehicle search of her vehicle where police officers found a small clear 18 zip lock baggie that contained approximately 1.6 grams of Methamphetamine. When questioned 19 by the police officer, Respondent admitted that the baggie contained Methamphetamine and 20 began to cry. Respondent further admitted that she recently sold Methamphetamine to someone a 21 few days ago and that she sells "20 here and there." A search of her cellular phone revealed that 22 she was arranging sales and transactions with customers. Respondent was arrested for 23 transportation of a controlled substance. 24

25

3

7

8

26 27

28

(Violating Statute Regulating Controlled Substances and Dangerous Drugs)

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j), in that on or about January 16, 2012, Respondent violated a state statute regulating

4

Accusation

controlled substances and dangerous drugs. Complainant refers to, and by this reference 1 incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 10, subparagraph (a), as though set forth 2 fully. 3 PRAYER 4 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 5 and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: б Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician License Number TCH 16360, issued 1. 7 to Respondent Angela Louise Du Pont; 8 Ordering Respondent Angela Louise Du Pont to pay the Board of Pharmacy the 2. 9 reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and 10 Professions Code section 125.3; and 11 Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 3. 12 13 14 15 16 DATED: 17 IEROLD ARG 1V Executive Officer 18 Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs 19 State of California Complainant 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 LA2013509381 51359134_2.doc 27 28 5 Accusation