///

for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 4468 Starthmore Drive, Redding, CA 96002.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about August 30, 2013, Respondent signed and returned a Notice of Defense, requesting a hearing in this matter. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's address of record and it informed him that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for August 7, 2014. Respondent failed to appear at that hearing.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
  - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4646, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4646, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

<u>ORDER</u> IT IS SO ORDERED that Original Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 96584, heretofore issued to Respondent Chang F. Saechao, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on December 5, 2014. It is so ORDERED November 5, 2014. **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA **Board President** Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 2.2. 

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS								
2	Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN								
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General   KAREN R. DENVIR								
4									
5									
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5333								
7	Facsīmile: (916) 327-8643  Attorneys for Complainant								
8									
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS								
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA								
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4646								
12	CHANG F. SAECHAO								
13	4468 Starthmore Drive Redding, CA 96002 ACCUSATION								
14	Original Pharmacy Technician Registration								
15	No. TCH 96584								
16	Respondent,								
17	Complainant alleges:								
18	<u>PARTIES</u>								
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity								
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.								
21	2. On or about December 22, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacy								
22	Technician Registration Number TCH 96584 to Chang F. Saechao (Respondent). The Original								
23	Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges								
24	brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2013, unless renewed.								
25	JURISDICTION								
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of								
27	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the								
28	Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.								
	1								

Accusation

1	4. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:							
2	(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.							
3	(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose							
4	default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:							
5	(1) Suspending judgment.							
6	(2) Placing him or her upon probation.							
7	(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.							
8	(4) Revoking his or her license.							
9	(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.							
10								
11								
12	5. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:							
13	The board shall take action against any holder of a dicense who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or							
14	misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:							
15	not minited to, saily or sail to home.							
16								
17	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be							
18	dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of							
19	the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.							
20								
21	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.							
22.	***							
23	6. Section 4022 of the Code states, in pertinent part:							
24	"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in							
25	humans or animals, and includes the following:							
26	(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without							
27	prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.							
28								

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by

- a. On or about May 26, 2012, Redding police officers were dispatched to respond to a call regarding an adult creating a disturbance. When officers arrived at the location they observed Respondent seated in the middle of the roadway, without a shirt on, punching the ground and yelling profanities. The officers attempted several times to establish a verbal dialogue with Respondent but he would not respond in a manner that was understandable. Respondent's eyes were glassy and watery, and he was speaking in a rapid manner and not making sense. The temperature was cool and overcast, yet Respondent was sweating profusely. Respondent was blocking the roadway and the officers ordered him to lie on the ground. Respondent refused to follow commands multiple times and challenged the officers to come get him. When the officers approached Respondent he began to struggle and continued to disobey commands, to the extent that one of the officers had to deploy his taser three times. Respondent admitted that he had used Ecstasy and smoked marijuana that day, and his blood tested positive for marijuana.
- b. On or about June 5, 2012, Redding police officers were dispatched to respond to a call regarding a male subject who was acting under the influence or had mental health issues. The caller stated that the subject was standing in the middle of the street screaming profanities at passing motorists, was nearly hit by several cars, and had started taking off his clothes. When the officers arrived, Respondent was identified as the subject of the call and when contacted by the officers, Respondent appeared disoriented and kept repeating the same sentence over and over. Respondent appeared to be hallucinating and was talking about things that were not present, and was yelling about being a samural, a rapper, and a singer. Respondent continued to chant about being a samural, spoke like a robot, and growled and whispered. Respondent's blood tested positive for marijuana.

### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Violation of Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j), in that he violated state statutes regulating controlled substances by being under the influence of a controlled substance, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11550, subdivision (a), as set forth in paragraph 11, above.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Unprofessional Conduct)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, in that Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct, as set forth above in paragraph 11, above.

## PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 96584, issued to Chang F. Saechao;
- 2. Ordering Chang F. Saechao to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;

٥.	raking such	omer and	iui iiiei a	acribii s	as deemed	necessary	and proper.	í
,	f		1	\ -	- ,		. /	ĺ
	8/14/	1.2	- (	1.	_ `	C/	ludd	•
ATED:	6117	$\triangleright$	\ \	.,/~	<del>-9</del> 0 ~~	<u> </u>	the second	

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant