	·
DEECD	TO CONTROL
BOARD OF P	PHARMACY
In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4638
VANESSA MURIEL SPAGNOLO	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
PO BOX 400913 Hesperia, CA 92340	
Pharmacy Technician Registration	[Gov. Code, §11520]
No. TCH 56160	
Respondent.	
FINDINGS	OF FACT
1. On or about September 11, 2014, Cor	mplainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official
capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of	Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,
filed Accusation No. 4638 against Vanessa Murie	el Spagnolo (Respondent) before the Board of
Pharmacy. The Accusation is attached as Exhibit	A.
2. On or about May 28, 2004, the Board	issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.
TCH 56160 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Techr	nician Registration was in full force and effect at
all times relevant to the charges brought herein ar	nd will expire on July 31, 2015, unless renewed.
3. On or about September 26, 2014, cop	ies of Accusation No. 4638, Statement to
Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Disc	overy, and Discovery Statutes (Government
Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) we	ere sent to Respondent's address of record by
	VANESSA MURIEL SPAGNOLO PO BOX 400913 Hesperia, CA 92340 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 56160 Respondent. FINDINGS 1. On or about September 11, 2014, Corcapacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of filed Accusation No. 4638 against Vanessa Murie Pharmacy. The Accusation is attached as Exhibit 2. On or about May 28, 2004, the Board TCH 56160 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technal times relevant to the charges brought herein are

Certified and First Class Mail. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136, Respondent is required to report and maintain his address with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

P.O Box 400913 Hesperia, CA 92340

- 4. After being served with copies of copies of Accusation No. 4638, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7), Respondent signed a "Domestic Return Receipt" on or about October 15, 2014.
- 5. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and Business & Professions Code section 124.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4638.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4304, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4638, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

1	10. Taking official notice of its own internal records and Exhibit 3 of the Default
2	Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
3	125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement are
4	\$2,697.50 .
5	<u>DETERMINATION OF ISSUES</u>
6	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Vanessa Muriel Spagnolo has
7	subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 56160 to discipline.
8	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
9	3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
10	Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported
11	by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
12	a. Business and Professions sections 4301, subdivision (l) and 490, in conjunction with
13	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted or
14	three (3) crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy
15	technician.
16	b. Business and Professions section 4301, subdivision (k), in that on or about January
17	20, 2012 Respondent was convicted of a crime involving the use of dangerous drugs.
18	
19	
20	
21	//
22	
23	// .
24	//
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 56160, heretofore issued to Respondent Vanessa Muriel Spagnolo, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on May 1, 2015.

It is so ORDERED April 1, 2015.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By CTAN C WEIGGER

Board President

Attachment:

Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California
2 3	ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General KRITHTHIKA VASUDEVAN
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 247590
. 5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephones (213) 807-2540
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2540 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	OTATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4638
12	VANESSA MURIEL SPAGNOLO PO BOX 400913 A C C U S A T I O N
13	Hesperia, CA 92340
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 56160
15	Respondent.
16	Kespondent
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).
22	2. On or about May 28, 2004, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.
23	TCH 56160 to Vanessa Spagnolo (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in
24	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31,
25	2015, unless renewed.
26	<u>JURISDICTION</u>
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following
28	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
	1

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b) provides, in pertinent part that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
- 6. Section 4300 provides in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
- 7. Section 4300.1 provides in pertinent part, "[T]he expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

21 22

20

23 24

25 26

27

28

8. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

- "(i) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, (k) consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drugs or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- "(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the

.15

17.

judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES AND DANGEROUS DRUGS

10. Methamphetamine is listed as a Schedule II controlled substance per Health and Safety Code section 11054(d) (2).

COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crimes)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (I) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:

- a. On or about August 16, 2013, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 29800, subdivision (a) [possession of a firearm by a felon with priors] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Vanessa Muriel Spagnolo* (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2013, No. FVI1301465). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 16 months in prison.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about May 22, 2013, the Apple Valley Gang Enforcement Detail got a call that a suspect was seen at an internet café carrying a gun. When deputies arrived at the location, they stopped a car driven by "J.J." Respondent was in the passenger seat. When the deputies did a search of the car, they found a gun partially hidden under the front seat floor board. Respondent told one of the deputies that the gun was hers and "J.J." had nothing to do with it. When the deputy, told her that he did not believe her, and had reason to believe the gun belonged to "J.J." Respondent denied it. The deputy told her that he believed "J.J." handed the gun to Respondent when "J.J." knew he was going to get caught by the deputies. Respondent stated, "I can't be a rat." Respondent stated she could not "rat" because "J.J." would follow up on her and find paperwork on her that she provided the information. The deputies had also found a glass pipe in Respondent's purse. Respondent admitted it was hers. Respondent stated she used methamphetamine the night before. She stated she uses approximately \$10 to \$20 worth of methamphetamine a day. When she used methamphetamine, she preferred to smoke it.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivisions (j) and (l) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about November 5, 2012, after pleading nolo contendere to one felony count of violating Health and Safety Code section 11377(a) [possession of a controlled substance], Respondent was placed into the deferred entry of judgment program in the criminal proceeding

entitled *The People of the State of California v. Vanessa Muriel Spagnolo* (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2013, No. FVI1200759). On August 16, 2013, the court found Respondent had violated her probation by picking up another felony charge [see paragraph 12 above]. The Court convicted and sentenced Respondent to serve 16 months in prison concurrent to case no. FVI1301465.

b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 20, 2012, the High Desert Crime Team conducted a parole compliance check on Respondent's home. In her home they found a pipe that contained usable amount of methamphetamine. Respondent admitted the pipe and the contents belonged to her. She stated that she had gotten rid of everything because she did not use methamphetamine anymore.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of Substantially Related Crimes)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (I) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about March 12, 2008, after pleading nolo contendere to one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 415(2) [causing loud noise], Respondent was ordered to pay fines in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Vanessa Muriel Spagnolo* (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2013, No. MVI800413).
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 13, 2007, Respondent went to her ex-boyfriend's home. She saw her ex-boyfriend and another female having sex. Respondent tried to get into the house through an open window by pushing out the screen. The occupants prevented Respondent from entering the home. Respondent, her ex-boyfriend, and his new girlfriend began arguing loudly in front of the home. When police arrived, they saw that Respondent was extremely angry, belligerent, had bloodshot and watery eyes, slurred speech, and emitted an odor of alcohol. Respondent admitted that she had drank three