ł		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	,	RE THE PHARMACY
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		1
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4634
13	CHRISTINA MARIE LAFLOWER 23401 Peggy Lane	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
14	Wildomar, CA 92595	[Gov. Code, §11520]
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 119491	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18		
19		S OF FACT
20	1. On or about July 22, 2013, Complai	nant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed	
22	Accusation No. 4634 against Christina Marie Laflower (Respondent) before the Board of	
23	Pharmacy. (The Accusation is attached as Exhibit A.)	
24	2. On or about January 10, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy	
25	Technician Registration No. TCH 119491 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration	
26	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4634.	
27	The Pharmacy Technician Registration expired on July 31, 2013. Section 4300.1 of the Code	
28	provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a Board-issued license shall not deprive the	
		1
		DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part: 8. 1 2 (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to 3 respondent. 4 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds 5 Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the 6 relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as 7 taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on 8 file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4634, finds that 9 the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4634, are separately and severally, found to be true 10 and correct by clear and convincing evidence. 11 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and 12 Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation 13 and Enforcement is \$612.50 as of September 5, 2013. 14 **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES** 15 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Christina Marie Laflower has 16 subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 119491 to discipline. 17 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default. 18 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician 19 20 Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case. 21 4. Respondent has subjected her registration to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, 22 subdivisions (j) and (l) of the Code in that on or about October 16, 2012, in a criminal proceeding 23 entitled People of the State of California v. Christina Marie Laflower, in San Diego County 24 25 Superior Court, case number SCD243043, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to 26 violating Health and Safety Code section 11379, subdivision (a), manufacturing a controlled substance, and Penal Code section 273a, subdivision (a), child abuse. 27 111 28

3

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

1		
1	Board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee	
2	or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.	
3	3. On or about August 8, 2013, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail	
4	copies of the Accusation No. 4634, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for	
5	Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at	
6	Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100	
7	and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1704, is required to be reported and	
8	maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:	
9	23401 Peggy Lane	
10	Wildomar, CA 92595	
11	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of	
12	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section	
13	124.	
14	5. On or about August 26, 2013 and September 4, 2013, the aforementioned documents	
15	served by First Class and Certified Mail, respectively, were returned by the U.S. Postal Service	
16	marked "Return to Sender – Attempted Not Known – Unable to Forward." The address on the	
17	documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an	
18	updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the	
19	address on file. Respondent has not made herself available for service and therefore, has not	
20	availed herself of her right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.	
21	6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:	
22	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent	
23	files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall	
24	constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.	
25	7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of	
26	the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4634.	
27	///	
28	111	
	2	
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	

1	ORDER	
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 119491, heretofore	
3	issued to Respondent Christina Marie Laflower, is revoked.	
4	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a	
5	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within	
6	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may	
7	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.	
8	This Decision shall become effective on November 22, 2013.	
9	It is so ORDERED ON October 23, 2013.	
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY	
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
· 12		
13	& C. Wussi	
14	By <u>STANC WEISSEP</u>	
15	Board President	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	DOJ Matter ID: SD2011801852	
26	Attachment:	
27	Exhibit A: Accusation	
28		
	4	
!	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	· .
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4	AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst	
. 5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2141 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFOI	RETHE
10	BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF C	PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4634
13	CHRISTINA MARIE LAFLOWER	ACCUSATION
14	23401 Peggy Lane Wildomar, CA 92595	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 119491	
16		
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PAR	TIES
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Accusation solely in her official capacity
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about January 10, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
24	Registration Number TCH 119491 to Christina Marie Laflower (Respondent). The Pharmacy	
25	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
26	herein and will expire on July 31, 2013, unless renewed.	
27	111	
28	111	
		1
		Accusation (Case No. 4634

1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
4	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	
5	4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be	
6	suspended or revoked."	
7	5. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:	
8	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license	
9 10	by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render	
11	a decision suspending or revoking the license.	
12	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
13	6. Section 482 of the Code states:	
14 15	Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:	
	(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or	
16 17	(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.	
18	Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.	
19	7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or	
20	revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially	
21	related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the	
22	license was issued.	
23	8. Section 493 of the Code states:	
24	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a	
25	board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who	
26	holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board	
27		
28	may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order	
	2	
	Accusation (Case No. 4634)	

to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo chapter. contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

10. Section 4022 of the Code states

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.

(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a ______," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.

3

.		
1	(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.	
3	REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
4	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:	
5		
6	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a nervoral	
7	 (b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal License on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria: (1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s). 	
8		
9	(2) Total criminal record.	
10	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).	
11	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation,	
12	restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.	
13	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.	
14	12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:	
15	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and	
16 17 18	Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the	
19	COSTS	
20	13. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the	
21	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of	
22	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and	
23	enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being	
24	renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be	
25	included in a stipulated settlement.	
26		
27	111	
28	111	
	4	
	Accusation (Case No. 4634)	

DRUG 1 Tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) is a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022 and a 14. 2 Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11054. 3 subdivision (d)(20). 4 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 5 (December 5, 2012 Criminal Convictions for Manufacturing a Controlled Substance 6 & Child Abuse on September 6, 2012) 7 Respondent has subjected her registration to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, 15. 8 subdivision (1) of the Code in that she was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the 9 qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows: 10 On or about October 16, 2012, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the* a. 11 State of California v. Christina Marie Laflower, in San Diego County Superior Court, case 12 number SCD243043, Respondent entered a plea of guilty to violating Health and Safety Code 13 section 11379, subdivision (a), manufacturing a controlled substance, to wit, concentrated 14 15 cannabis, a felony; and Penal Code section 273a, subdivision (a), child abuse, reduced to a misdemeanor pursuant to Penal Code section 17b.. 16 b. As a result of the plea, on or about December 5, 2012, Respondent was 17 18 sentenced to 90 days in jail, with credit for nine days, stayed pending successful completion of four years court-supervised probation. Respondent was ordered to perform 10 days of 19 community service, complete a 52-week Child Abuse Program, pay fines and fees, and submit to 20 a Fourth Amendment waiver. The court further ordered Respondent abstain from the use and/or 21 22 possession of alcohol, to stay away from any place where alcohol is the main item for sale, not possess any controlled substance without a valid prescription, and not use medical marijuana 23 unless approved by the court. 24 25 The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about September 6, 2012, c. 26 special agents from the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), deputies from the San Diego 27 County Sheriff's Office (SDSO), and officers from other law enforcement agencies executed a 28 search warrant on a Pauma Valley residence Respondent shared with her boyfriend and several

5

Accusation (Case No. 4634)

H		
1	roommates. The law enforcement officers discovered and seized a marijuana cultivation	
2	operation, including 110 live marijuana plants and a tetrahydrocannabinol extraction laboratory.	
3	Also seized were 9.7 gross kilograms of processed marijuana, 80.5 gross grams of liquid gamma-	
4	hydroxybutyric acid (GHB), nine tablets of Zanax in an unmarked container, three digital scales,	
5	and a sundry of non-drug items such as \$2,484 in U.S. currency, cellular telephones, and "pay-	
6	owe" notes indicative of marijuana sales. Three juvenile children, including Respondent's young	
7	son, were taken into protective custody by Child Welfare Services.	
8	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
9	(Violation of California Statutes Regulating Controlled Substances)	
10	16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (j) of the	
11	Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about September 6, 2012, Respondent violated	
12	Health and Safety Code section 11379, subdivision (a), for manufacturing concentrated cannabis,	
13	as described in paragraph 15, above.	
14	PRAYER	
15	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
16	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
17	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 119491,	
18	issued to Christina Marie Laflower;	
19	2. Ordering Christina Marie Laflower to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable	
20	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions	
21	Code section 125.3;	
22	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
23		
23		
24	DATED: 72213 Liginia Hudd	
	Execut(ve Officer	
26	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs	
27	State of California Complainant	
28	SD2013805104	
	6	
	Accusation (Case No. 4634)	