

California State Board of Pharmacy 1625 N. Market Blvd, N219, Sacramento, CA 95834 Phone: (916) 574-7900 Fax: (916) 574-8618

exempted by Section 1798.40 of the Civil Code.

www.pharmacy.ca.gov

RECEIVED BY CALIBUSINESS, CONSUMER SERVICES AND HOUSING AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR.

2015 NOV -2 PM 3: 02

APPLICATION FOR VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF PREMISES LICENSE

PLEASE PRINT IN BLACK OR BLUE INK OR TYPE YOUR RESPONSES		
Name: Cleconer Pharmaal Toc Case No. 41014		
Address of Record:		
321 NOrth CHAIS AVENUE		
0 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
COVINA, CA 911 123		
Pursuant to the terms and conditions of probation against my premises license with the California State Board		
of Pharmacy (Board) in Case No, I hereby request to surrender my premises		
license, License No. PHY45665 The Board or its designee shall have the discretion		
whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon		
formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, the premises will no longer be subject to the terms and		
conditions of probation. I understand that this surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a		
part of the premises license history with the Board.		
Upon the acceptance of the surrender, I shall relinquish my premises license to the Board within ten (10) days		
of notification by the Board that the surrender is accepted. I understand that I shall, among other things, submit		
a completed Discontinuance of Business form according to board guidelines and shall notify the board of the		
records inventory transfer. I may not reapply for any new licensure from the board for three (3) years from the		
effective date of the surrender. I further understand that I shall meet all requirements applicable to the license		
sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the Board.		
THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF VOLUB PROPERTY.		
PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT YOU ARE NOT RELIEVED OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF YOUR PROBATION UNLESS THE BOARD NOTIFIES YOU THAT YOUR REQUEST TO SURRENDER YOUR LICENSE HAS		
BEEN ACCEPTED.		
/ c		
Applicapt's Signature Date		
Applicant's Signature Date		
10/29/15		
Executive Officer's Approval Date		
All items on this application are mandatory in accordance with your probationary order and the Board's Disciplinary Guidelines as		
authorized by Title 6, California Code of Regulations section 1760. Failure to provide any of the requested information or providing unreadable information will result in the application being rejected as incomplete. The information provided on this form will be used		
to determine eligibility for surrender. The official responsible for information maintenance is the Executive Officer, telephone (916)		
574-7900, 1625 N. Market Blvd., Suite N-219, Sacramento, CA 95834. The information you provide may also be disclosed in the following circumstances: (1) in response to a Public Records Act request; (2) to another government agency as required by state or		
federal law; or, (3) in response to a court or administrative order, a subpoena, or a search warrant. Each individual has the right to review the files or records maintained on them by our agency, unless the records are identified as confidential information and		

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 4614

GLESENER PHARMACY 321 n. Citrus Street Covina, CA 91723 Pharmacy License No. PHY 45665

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO GLESENER PHARMACY ONLY

JOSEPH L. D'ANGELO 321 N. Citrus Street Covina, CA 91723 Pharmacist License No. RPH 22883

ANTONY M. BRADLEY 321 N. Citrus street Covina, CA 91723 Pharmacist License No. RPH 36740

and

DOUGLAS JAY AUSTIN 22702 Eaglespur Road Diamond Bar, CA 91765 Pharmacist License No. RPH 40244

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is here by adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on December 9, 2014.

It is so ORDERED on December 3, 2014.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

STAN C. WEISSER, Board President

(. Wusi

ļ			
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CRISTINA FELIX		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 195663	. •	
	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2455		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 E-mail: Cristina.Felix@doj.ca.gov		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFOR	RE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
		CALIFORNIA	
0		1	
1	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4614	
12	GLESENER PHARMACY	OAH No. 2013110777	
13	321 N. Citrus Street	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
14	Covina, CA 91723 Pharmacy License No. PHY 45665	DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO GLESENER PHARMACY ONLY	
15	JOSEPH L. D'ANGELO	GLESEN GRATING GIVE	
6	321 N. Citrus Street		
	Covina, CA 91723 Pharmacist License No. 22883		
.7			
8	ANTONY M. BRADLEY 321 N. Citrus Street		
.9	Covina, CA 91723		
20	Pharmacist License No. 36740		
$_{1}$	and		
	POTTOT AC TANY ATTOMPNY		
22	DOUGLAS JAY AUSTIN 22702 Eaglespur Road		
23	Diamond Bar, CA 91765	. ,	
24	Pharmacist License No. 40244	·	
25	Respondents.		
6	///		
27			
28			
, ,		1	
- 1		1	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the aboveentitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

- Virginia Herold ("Complainant") is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.
 She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala
 Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Cristina Felix, Deputy Attorney
 General.
- Respondent Glesener Pharmacy ("Respondent") is represented in this proceeding by attorney Richard A. Moss, Esq. whose address is: Law Offices of Richard A. Moss
 South Marengo Avenue, Pasadena, CA 91101.
- 3. On or about July 9, 2003, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy License Number PHY 45665 to Glesener Pharmacy. The Pharmacy License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 1, 2014, unless renewed

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 4614 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on September 18, 2013. Respondent timely filed its Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 4614 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4614. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at its own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to

present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 9. Respondent admits that at hearing Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4614, and that those charges and allegations are cause for discipline. Respondent hereby give up his right to contest those charges and allegations.
- 10. Respondent agrees that its Pharmacy License is subject to discipline and they agree to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or its counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions,

has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

requirements, respondent owner shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent owner shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent owner shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of their probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$5,000.00 within one year of the effective date of this order. Respondent shall make payment by cashier check or money order payable to "Board of Pharmacy." Payment shall be mailed to Board of Pharmacy, 1625 North Market Boulevard, Suite N219, Sacramento, CA 95834-1924 and indicate the citation number for which payment is being made.

Respondent further agrees that he will be individually, and jointly and severally, liable with Respondents Joseph L. D' Angelo and Antony M. Bradley for payment in full of the board's costs of investigation and prosecution totaling \$15,000.00, with regard to Accusation No. 4614, within one year of the effective date of this order.

24,

///

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

6. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent owner shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

7. Status of License

Respondent owner shall, at all times while on probation, maintain current licensure with the board. If respondent owner submits an application to the board, and the application is approved, for a change of location, change of permit or change of ownership, the board shall retain continuing jurisdiction over the license, and the respondent shall remain on probation as determined by the board. Failure to maintain current licensure shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent owner's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent owner's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

8. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent owner discontinue business, respondent owner may tender the premises license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent owner shall relinquish the premises wall and renewal license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent owner shall further submit a completed Discontinuance of Business form according to board guidelines and shall notify the board of the records inventory transfer.

Respondent owner shall also, by the effective date of this decision, arrange for the continuation of care for ongoing patients of the pharmacy by, at minimum, providing a written notice to ongoing patients that specifies the anticipated closing date of the pharmacy and that identifies one or more area pharmacies capable of taking up the patients' care, and by cooperating as may be necessary in the transfer of records or prescriptions for ongoing patients. Within five days of its provision to the pharmacy's ongoing patients, Respondent owner shall provide a copy of the written notice to the board. For the purposes of this provision, "ongoing patients" means those patients for whom the pharmacy has on file a prescription with one or more refills outstanding, or for whom the pharmacy has filled a prescription within the preceding sixty (60) days.

Respondent owner may not apply for any new licensure from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent owner shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board.

Respondent owner further stipulates that he or she shall reimburse the board for its costs of investigation and prosecution prior to the acceptance of the surrender.

9. Notice to Employees

Respondent owner shall, upon or before the effective date of this decision, ensure that all employees involved in permit operations are made aware of all the terms and conditions of probation, either by posting a notice of the terms and conditions, circulating such notice, or both. If the notice required by this provision is posted, it shall be posted in a prominent place and shall remain posted throughout the probation period. Respondent owner shall ensure that any employees hired or used after the effective date of this decision are made aware of the terms and conditions of probation by posting a notice, circulating a notice, or both. Additionally, respondent owner shall submit written notification to the board, within fifteen (15) days of the

effective date of this decision, that this term has been satisfied. Failure to submit such notification to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employees" as used in this provision includes all full-time, part-time, volunteer, temporary and relief employees and independent contractors employed or hired at any time during probation.

10. Owners and Officers: Knowledge of the Law

Respondent shall provide, within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this decision, signed and dated statements from its owners, including any owner or holder of ten percent (10%) or more of the interest in respondent or respondent's stock, and any officer, stating under penalty of perjury that said individuals have read and are familiar with state and federal laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy. The failure to timely provide said statements under penalty of perjury shall be considered a violation of probation.

11. Posted Notice of Probation

Respondent owner shall prominently post a probation notice provided by the board in a place conspicuous and readable to the public. The probation notice shall remain posted during the entire period of probation.

Respondent owner shall not, directly or indirectly, engage in any conduct or make any statement which is intended to mislead or is likely to have the effect of misleading any patient, customer, member of the public, or other person(s) as to the nature of and reason for the probation of the licensed entity.

Failure to post such notice shall be considered a violation of probation.

12. Violation of Probation

If a respondent owner has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent license, and probation shall be automatically extended until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

P. 011

If respondent owner violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent owner notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

13. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent license will be fully restored.

Separate File of Records

Respondent owner shall maintain and make available for inspection a separate file of all records pertaining to the acquisition or disposition of all controlled substances. Failure to maintain such file or make it available for inspection shall be considered a violation of probation.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Richard Moss, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

For GLÆSENER PHARMAC

Respondent

27

26

24

25

			•
1	I have read and fully discussed v	vith Respondent Glesener Pharmacy	the terms and
2	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Ord		md Disciplinary Order.
3	l approve its form and content.	2///	
4	DATED: 6/4/14	mult	9 72
5		Richard Moss, Esq. Attorney for Respondent	,
6	:		
7		ENDORSEMENT	
8		nent and Disciplinary Order is hereby	/ respectfully
9	submitted for consideration by the Box	ard of Pharmacy.	
10	Dated:	Respectfully submitted	1,
11		KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of C	alifornia
12		Gregory J. Satute Supervising Deputy A	
13		, ,	- -
14		Cristina Felix	
15		Deputy Attorney Gene Attorneys for Complai	ral
16	LA2013509380	Anormaya jor Compani	r Edyce 3 G
17	51495443_2.doc		
18	<u>'</u>		
19	:		
20	; <i>'</i>		
21	,		
22	:		
23	:		
24	,	·	
25			
26			
27			
28	,		
	COUNTY IS A THOUSAND	10 EMENT AS TO GLESENER PHARMAC	V ONI V (Coop No. 4514)
1	II. SITEOLATED SELLI	PENYONI VOIO CHOCOMINEN LUNININA	- ALTER (CONDETION ACTA)

1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Glesener Pharmacy the terms and		
2	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order		
3	I approve its form and content.		
4	DATED:		
5	Richard Moss, Esq. Attorney for Respondent		
6			
7	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>		
8	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully		
9	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.		
10	Dated: $06/05/2014$ Respectfully submitted,		
11	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
12	GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
13	Max m		
14	CRISTINA FELIX		
15	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant		
16	LA2013509380.		
17	51495443_2.doc		
18			
19			
20			
21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	10		

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 4614

	,		
1	Kamala D. Harris	• • •	
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE	•	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CRISTINA FELIX	•	
4	Deputy Attorney General		
5	State Bar No. 195663 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702		
	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2455		
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant		
7		RE THE	
. 8	BOARD OF	PHARMACY	
9		CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA	
10		7	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4614	
.12	GLESENER PHARMACY	·	
13	321 N. Citrus Street Covina, CA 91723	ACCUSATION	
14	Pharmacy License No. PHY 45665		
15	JOSEPH D'ANGELO		•
16	321 N. Citrus Street Covina, CA 91723		•
17	Pharmacist No. 22883		
	ANTONY M. BRADLEY		
18	321 N. Citrus Street		
19	Covina, CA 91723 Pharmacist No. 36740		
20	and		
21			
22	DOUGLAS JAY AUSTIN 22702 Eaglespur Road		
23	Diamond Bar, CA 91765 Pharmacist No. 40244	·	
24	•	,	
25	Respondents.]	
26	///		
27	 		
28.			
		1 .	

Complainant alleges:

PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about July 9, 2003, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy License Number PHY 45665 to Glesener Pharmacy. The Pharmacy License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 1, 2014, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about April 8, 1963, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number 22883 to Joseph D'Angelo (Respondent D'Angelo). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2014, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about October 19, 1981, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number 36740 to Anthony M. Bradley (Respondent Bradley). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2015, unless renewed.
- 5. On or about August 21, 1986, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number 40244 to Douglas Jay Austin (Respondent Austin). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2014, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 6. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

///

12. Section 4051 of the Code states:

- (a) Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, it is unlawful for any person to manufacture, compound, furnish, sell, or dispense any dangerous drug or dangerous device, or to dispense or compound any prescription pursuant to Section 4040 of a prescriber unless he or she is a pharmacist under this chapter.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other law, a pharmacist may authorize the initiation of a prescription, pursuant to Section 4052, and otherwise provide clinical advice or information or patient consultation if all of the following conditions are met:
- (1) The clinical advice or information or patient consultation is provided to a health care professional or to a patient.
- (2) The pharmacist has access to prescription, patient profile, or other relevant medical information for purposes of patient and clinical consultation and advice.
- (3) Access to the information described in paragraph (2) is secure from unauthorized access and use."
- 13. Section 4077 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that except as provided in subdivisions (b) and (c), of this section, no person shall dispense any dangerous drug upon prescription except in a container correctly labeled with the information required by Section 4076.

14. Section 4081 of the Code states:

- (a) All records of manufacture and of sale, acquisition, or disposition of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices shall be at all times during business hours open to inspection by authorized officers of the law, and shall be preserved for at least three years from the date of making. A current inventory shall be kept by every manufacturer, wholesaler, pharmacy, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, physician, dentist, podiatrist, veterinarian, laboratory, clinic, hospital, institution, or establishment holding a currently valid and unrevoked certificate, license, permit, registration, or exemption under Division 2 (commencing with Section 1200) of the Health and Safety Code or under Part 4 (commencing with Section 16000) of Division 9 of the Welfare and Institutions Code who maintains a stock of dangerous drugs or dangerous devices.
- (b) The owner, officer, and partner of any pharmacy, wholesaler, or veterinary food-animal drug retailer shall be jointly responsible, with the pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge, for maintaining the records and inventory described in this section.
- (c) The pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge shall not be criminally responsible for acts of the owner, officer, partner, or employee that violate this section and of which the pharmacist-in-charge or representative-in-charge had no knowledge, or in which he or she did not knowingly participate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

.26

27

24.25.

COSTS

23. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUGS

24. Oxycontin, a brand name formation of oxycodone hydrochloride, is an opioid agonist and a Schedule II controlled substance with an abuse liability similar to morphine. OxyContin is for use in opioid tolerant patients only. It is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FACTS

Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent D'Angelo.

- 25. Respondent Glesner Parmacy fills approximately 2200 prescriptions per week. In addition to filling outpatient prescriptions, the pharmacy contracts with approximately 45 board and care homes, dialysis centers and the Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health. Medications filled for the board and care homes are delivered by employees of Glesener Pharmacy.
- 26. Respondent Bradley and Respondent D'Angelo are each 50% owners of Respondent Glesener Pharmacy. Usually four pharmacists work Monday through Friday and one on Saturday. Each pharmacist is assigned a designated area and task within the pharmacy.
- 27. Respondent Bradley oversaw the sales of durable medical equipment in the front end of the pharmacy and oversaw the overall operations of the pharmacy.
- 28. Respondent D'Angelo oversaw the paperwork, including drug purchase and delivery, and human resources.

///

///

Pharmacy Technicians JH, CR and XC

- 29. Pharmacist Technician JH¹ worked at Glesener Pharmacy from 2008 until she resigned on November 10, 2011. She worked in the dispensing area of the pharmacy where the Schedule II controlled drugs were kept.
- 30. Pharmacist Technician CR began working at Glesener Pharmacy in 2001 and assisted with durable equipment. CR became a licensed technician in 2010. In or about March of 2011, she began working in the dispensing area of the pharmacy where the Schedule II controlled drugs were kept.
- 31. Pharmacist Technician XC worked for Glesener Pharmacy for approximately 14 years and was assigned to data entry. Pharmacy Technician TH also worked for Glesener Pharmacy in 2011.

Operational Standards and Security

Respondent Austin and the CSOS System Password

32. Respondent Austin worked as a pharmacist at Glesener Pharmacy from 2005 until November 14, 2011, when he was terminated as a result of missing medication from the pharmacy. Respondent Austin was responsible for ordering Schedule II controlled drugs. He ordered drugs under the Drug Enforcement Administration's (DEA) Controlled Substance Ordering System (CSOS) program² through the use of his CSOS password, also known as a private key. Respondent Austin also checked in and signed the delivery of the Schedule II controlled drugs. Respondent Austin was assigned to fill and dispense outpatient prescriptions

¹ Initials are used to protect the identity of individuals. Identities will be disclosed during discovery

discovery.

This system allows for secure electronic ordering of controlled substances through a protected CSOS password. A CSOS Certificate is a digital identity issued by the DEA's CSOS Certification Authority that allows for electronic ordering for Schedule I and II (as well as III-V) controlled substances. Each CSOS certificate is issued to only one individual person. This person, called a CSOS Subscriber, is an individual who enrolled in the CSOS program with the DEA and whose name appears in the digital certificate. A digital signature using a CSOS certificate is required when submitting an electronic order for controlled substances. Only the individual subscriber whose name appears in the certificate is authorized to perform this digital signature. While the paper DEA Form-222 ordering process is still allowed, CSOS is the only method for ordering Schedule I and II controlled substances electronically.

Monday through Friday, 40 hours per week, with one hour lunch break. Respondent Austin maintained a personal monthly log that documented what Schedule II controlled drugs were dispensed. He maintained this record at home but it was not maintained accurately each month. However, he was aware that the pharmacy dispensed an average of 300 pills per month of Oxycontin 80 mg.

- 33. Respondent Austin's CSOS password was not secure and was located in a folder, with instructions how to order drugs, accessible to others in the pharmacy. Anyone could log into his account and electronically order Schedule II controlled drugs for the pharmacy. From May 1, 2011 to November 14, 2011, Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent Bradley and Respondent Austin failed to maintain records for the CSOS electronic orders.
- 34. From May 1, 2011 to November 14, 2011, Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent Bradley and Respondent Austin knowingly allowed pharmacy staff to use a CSOS password registered to Respondent Austin to order Schedule II controlled drugs, including Pharmacist Technicians XC and TH. From September 7, 2011 through September 23, 2011, Respondent Austin was on vacation and not at the pharmacy. Respondent Austin's password was used on September 15, 2011 and September 22, 2011 by staff other than Respondent Austin to order Schedule II controlled drugs. Pharmacist Technician XC placed drug orders in Respondent Austin's absence.

Acquisition, Disposition and Storage of Drugs

35. From May 1, 2011 to November 14, 2011, typically each morning, drugs were delivered to the pharmacy by AmerisourceBergen's delivery driver into the back room of the pharmacy. Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley or Respondent Austin would sign for the drug delivery and then the drugs were "stickered." Schedule II controlled drugs were placed in a locked cabinet located in the dispensing area or left on the dispensing counter. There was no log book or any record keeping of any Schedule II narcotics for receipt or dispensing. Employees worked in the backroom bubble packing 30 day dispensing cards for board and care homes. The employees would come to the dispensing counter and, without telling a pharmacist or showing

them prescription labels, would take whatever Schedule II controlled drugs they needed to bubble pack.

36. A pharmacist had a key to the locked drawer which contained Schedule II controlled drugs were kept in the locked drawer, except Percocet. However, the drawer was unlocked at the start of the day and left open until a pharmacist locked it at the end of the day. The drawer was not secure and was accessible to others in the pharmacy.

Loss of Controlled Substances

- 37. In early October of 2011, Respondent D'Angelo was considering changing the wholesaler from whom they purchased drugs and, therefore, examined the recent Schedule II controlled drug purchases. He noticed an escalation in purchases of Schedule II controlled drugs and advised Respondent Bradley of same.
- 38. Respondent Bradley then noticed a larger amount of Oxycodone was ordered by the pharmacy. He held a staff meeting and reminded staff that they should not accept any prescriptions for Oxycodone and Oxycontin from customers outside the immediate area. He also instructed staff to check to see if the prescribing physician had any disciplinary actions prior to accepting the prescription.
- 39. On November 7, 2011, Respondent Austin approached Respondent Bradley and stated that he ordered three bottles of Oxycontin 80 mg on November 4, 1011 and two bottles were missing. There were no prescriptions for Oxycontin 80 mg dispensed. Respondent Bradley reviewed the Schedule II controlled drug delivery receipt and noticed Respondent Austin had failed to mention he had also ordered 4 bottles of Oxycodone 10 mg and 4 bottles of Oxycodone 30 mg.
- 40. A review of 8 months of purchase, usage and inventory showed a loss of Schedule II controlled drugs for a six month period beginning in May 1, 2011 to November 2011. An analysis of the Schedule II controlled drug invoices revealed the pharmacy was missing tablets of Oxycodone and Oxycontin.

41. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent Austin, while working at Glesener Pharmacy, was aware that the pharmacy was ordering excessive amounts of Oxycontin 80 mg tablets without reason. Further, while he was responsible for ordering the Schedule II controlled substances, 7, 200 tablets of Oxycontin 80 mg, 3,450 tablets of Oxycontin 60 mg and 7, 520 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg were unaccounted for and he could not provide disposition records for that medication.

42. On November 14, 2011, Respondent Austin was terminated from his employment with Respondent Glesener Pharmacy.

43. On or about the November 15, 2011, Respondent D'Angelo, as partner of Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, filed a U.S. Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) Report of Theft or Loss of Controlled Substances. The report stated that Respondent Austin, using his CSOS password, purchased "all" Schedule II controlled drugs. The report stated that, Respondent Austin, when confronted by Respondent D'Angelo, admitted to purchasing several times more Oxycodone than was going to be dispensed. The report also stated that Respondent Austin's purchases included the following, for example, for Oxycontin 80 mg:

Month	Usage	<u>Purchased</u>
June 2011	300	800
July 2011	300 .	1300
August 2011	300	1600
September 2011	300	1900
November 2011	300	1600

/// ///

]//

44. The report further stated that a loss of Schedule II controlled drugs occurred between May 2011 and November 7, 2011 as follows:

Type of Drugs Missing	Amount of Drug
Oxycodone 30 mg tablets	2806 tablets
Oxycontin 60 mg tablets	2950 tablets
Oxycontin 80 mg tablets	5766 tablets

- 45. The report also stated that a theft report had been made with the Covina Police Department.
- 46. A further review of the purchase records of AmerisourceBergen, the dispensing records, and Respondent Glesener Pharmacy's annual inventory, indicates that the actual loss of controlled substance is as follows:

Type of Drugs Missing	Amount of Drug
Oxycodone 30 mg tablets	7520 tablets
Oxycontin 60 mg tablets	3450 tablets
Oxycontin 80 mg tablets	7200 tablets

- 47. Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent Bradley, Respondent D'Angelo, from May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, did not maintain disposition records for and could not account for these missing drugs.
- 48. On November 23, 2011, the Board received a copy of the report filed with the DEA by Respondent D'Angelo.
- 49. When questioned by a Board Inspector regarding why he ordered large amounts of three different strengths of Oxycodone days apart, Respondent Austin replied "I don't know." When asked why he ordered an average of 1300 tablets of Oxycotin a month, after he confirmed that the pharmacy dispensed an average of 300 Oxycontin 80 mg tablets per month, Respondent Austin again replied "I don't know."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Lack of Operational Standards and Security-Pharmacy

(Against Respondent Glesener Pharmacy)

- 50. Respondent Glesener Pharmacy is subject to discipline under section 4301, subsection (o) of the Code, and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subsection (b), for failure to maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment so that drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent Glesener Pharmacy could not account for the following drugs: 7, 200 tablets of Oxycontin 80 mg, 3,450 tablets of Oxycontin 60 mg and 7, 520 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 49 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- b. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Schedule II controlled drugs were placed in a locked cabinet located in the dispensing area or left on the dispensing counter. There was no log book or any record keeping of any Schedule II narcotics for receipt or dispensing. Employees worked in the backroom bubble packing 30 day dispensing card for board and care homes. The employees would come to the dispensing counter and, without telling a pharmacist or showing them prescription labels, would take whatever Schedule II controlled drugs they needed to bubble pack. A pharmacist had a key to the locked drawer which contained Schedule II controlled drugs, except Percocet. However, the drawer was unlocked at the start of the day and left open until a pharmacist locked it at the end of the day. The drawer was not secure and was accessible to others in the pharmacy. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 35 through 36 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

///

27 | ///

28 | ///

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Lack of Operational Standards and Security-Pharmacists

(Against Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent Austin)

- 51. Respondent D' Angelo, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent Austin are each and severally subject to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714, subdivision (d), for failure to maintain the security of the prescription department, including provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices and to ensure that possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled substances are stored is restricted to pharmacists. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent D'Angelo and Respondent Bradley failed to maintain the security of the prescription department and allowed and/or were aware the pharmacy was ordering excessive amounts of Schedule II controlled drugs without reason. A total of 7,200 tablets of Oxycontin 80 mg, 3,450 tablets of Oxycontin 60 mg and 7,520 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg were unaccounted for. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 49 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- b. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent D'Angelo and Respondent Bradley failed to maintain the security of the prescription department and allowed Schedule II controlled drugs to be placed in a locked cabinet located in the dispensing area or left on the dispensing counter. There was no log book or any record keeping of any Schedule II narcotics for receipt or dispensing. Employees worked in the backroom bubble packing 30 day dispensing card for board and care homes. The employees would come to the dispensing counter and, without telling a pharmacist or showing them prescription labels, would take whatever Schedule II controlled drugs they needed to bubble pack. A pharmacist had a key to the locked drawer which contained all Schedule II controlled drugs, except Percocet. However, the drawer was unlocked at the start of the day and left open until a pharmacist locked it at the end of the

day. The drawer was not secure and was accessible to others in the pharmacy. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 35 through 36 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

- c. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent D'Angelo, and Respondent Bradley knowingly allowed pharmacy technicians to order Schedule II controlled drugs electronically using a CSOS password/account assigned to Respondent Austin. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- d. From May 1, 2011 to November 14, 2011, Respondent Austin knowingly left his CSOS password to electronically order Schedule II controlled rugs available for any staff to access. Using his encrypted password, 1,200 Oxycontin 80 mg tablets were ordered by someone other than himself between September 15, 2011 and September 23, 2011 and could not be accounted for. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- e. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011 while working at Respondent Glesener Pharmacy and Respondent Austin ordered an excessive amount of Oxycontin 80 mg tablets, although he was aware the pharmacy dispensed on average 300 tablets of Oxycontin 80 mg per month, and could not explain why. 7, 200 tablets of Oxycontin 80 mg, 3,450 tablets of Oxycontin 60 mg and 7, 520 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg were unaccounted for.. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 49 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Failure to Maintain Records of Acquisition and Disposition of Dangerous Drugs
(Against Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo and Respondent Bradley)

52. Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, and Respondent Bradley, are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4081, subdivision (a), and section 4105, of the Code, for failure to maintain all records of acquisition or disposition of dangerous drugs at all times open to inspection and preserved for at least three years from the date of making. The circumstances are as follows:

a. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent D' Angelo, did not maintain disposition records for 7,200 tablets of Oxycontin 80 mg, 3,450 tablets of Oxycontin 60 mg and 7,520 tablets of Oxycodone 30 mg. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 40 through 47 inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Maintain Records for CSOS Electronic Orders

Against Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley

and Respondent Austin)

- 53. Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent Austin are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code and under Code of Federal Regulations section 1311.60, subdivision (a), for unprofessional conduct in that they violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, any provision of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy when they failed to maintain records of CSOS electronic orders and any linked orders for two years. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley and Respondent Austin failed to maintain records of CSOS electronic orders as required by law. They knowingly allowed pharmacy staff to use a CSOS password registered to Respondent Austin to order Schedule II controlled drugs. The password was located in a folder accessible by all staff and was used on at least September 15, 2011 and September 22, 2011 by staff other than Respondent Austin to order Schedule II controlled drugs. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

///

26 | ///

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Prevent Unauthorized Use of CSOS Password

(Against Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley, and

Respondent Austin)

- 54. Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent Austin are each and severally subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, and under Code of Federal Regulations section 1311.30, subdivision (c), for unprofessional conduct in that they violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, any provision of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy when they failed to prevent unauthorized use of CSOS password for digitally signing orders. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, Respondent D'Angelo, and Respondent Bradley encouraged Respondent Austin to provide his CSOS password to the pharmacy staff so they could order Schedule II controlled drugs when he was not present. The private password assigned to Respondent Austin was located in a folder accessible by all staff and was used on September 15, 2011 and September 22, 2011 by staff other than Respondent Austin to order Schedule II controlled drugs. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- b. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent Austin failed to prevent the unauthorized use of his CSOS password. He willingly left his CSOS password in a folder for any staff to access in his absence and it was used on September 15, 2011 and September 22, 2011 by someone other than himself. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

///

26 | ///

27 | ///

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Dishonesty

(Against Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley and Respondent Austin)

Respondent D'Angelo, Respondent Bradley, and Respondent Austin are each and severally subject to discipline under section 4301, subdivisions (f) and (p), of the Code, for unprofessional conduct in that they were dishonest and knowingly allowed pharmacy technicians to represent Respondent Austin and use a CSOS password registered to Respondent Austin to electronically order Schedule II controlled drugs from a drug wholesaler. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Falsely Representing Facts (Against Respondent D'Angelo and Respondent Bradley)

Respondent D'Angelo and Respondent Bradley are each and severally subject to discipline under section 4301, subdivision (g), of the Code, for unprofessional conduct in that they knowingly made or signed a certificate or other document that falsely represented the existence of the fact that only Respondent Austin used his CSOS password to order all Schedule II controlled drugs, when they knowingly allowed pharmacy technicians to use a CSOS password registered to Respondent Austin to electronically order Schedule II controlled drugs from a drug wholesaler. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, and 43, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

///

///

///

///

26

2

3

5

6

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Unprofessional Conduct: Failure to Report Loss, Theft or Compromise of Private Key or Password to Certification Authority Within 24 Hours

(Against Respondent Austin)

Respondent Austin is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), of the Code, and under Code of Federal regulations 1311.30, subdivision (e), for unprofessional conduct in that he violated or attempted to violate, directly or indirectly, any provision of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy when he failed to report the loss, theft or compromise of his CSOS password within 24 hours of the loss, theft or compromise to the Drug Enforcement Administration's Certification Authority³. From May 1, 2011 through November 14, 2011, Respondent Austin, while working at Respondent Glesener Pharmacy, knew his CSOS password had been used by staff other than himself, including on September 15, 2011 and September 22, 2011, and did not report the compromise to the Drug Enforcement Administration's Certification Authority. Complainant hereby incorporates paragraphs 32 through 34, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacy License Number PHY 45665, issued to 1. GLESENER PHARMACY;
 - 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist Number 22883, issued to JOSEPH D'ANGELO:
- Revoking or suspending Pharmacist Number 36740, issued to ANTONY M. BRADLEY;

///

26

³ As set forth above, the CSOS Certification Authority is operated by the DEA and issues CSOS Certificates for the electronic ordering of controlled substances.