1		
2		
3		
5		
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WAY	1
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4589
13	JOHNIECE ROSE MARIE BROOKS	
14 15	817 E. 46th Street Long Beach, CA 90807	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
16	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	[Gov. Code, §11520]
17	Respondent.	
18		
19		
20	FINDINGS OF FACT	
21	1. On or about March 4, 2013, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed	
23	Accusation No. 4589 against Johniece Rose Marie Brooks ("Respondent") before the Board of	
24	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A).	
25	2. On or about September 15, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Pharmacy	
26	Technician Registration No. TCH 116294 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration	
27	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4589	
28	and will expire on May 31, 2013, unless renewed	d.

3. On or about March 7, 2013, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4589, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

817 E. 46th Street Long Beach, CA 90807

4. On or about March 7, 2013, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4589, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at at additional address of record:

Department of State Hospitals-Patton 3102 East Highland Ave. Patton, CA 92369

- 5. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 6. Both signed Certified Mail receipts from the mailings referenced in paragraphs 3 and 4 were returned to the Board.
 - 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4589.
 - 9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to

respondent.

- 10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4589, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4589, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 11. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1,012.50 as of April 17, 2013.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Johniece Rose Marie Brooks has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 116294 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.
- a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 of the Code on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that on or about October 29, 2011, Long Beach Police Department Officers ("Officers") responded to a call regarding a possible assault with a deadly weapon. Upon arrival at the dispatched location, Officers observed that Respondent's clothing and hands were covered in blood. Officers also observed a large amount of blood inside one of the units of the building and blood on the staircase handle and walls leading to a second unit. Officers took possession of a two-inch black handled folding pocket knife. Witnesses reported hearing the victim screaming "help me, help me, someone help me please" and observed the Respondent holding a victim by the arm. Witnesses also reported that the Respondent held the victim's hair with her left hand and stabbed the victim's neck and the right side of her body.

Exhibit 4

Long Beach Police Department Police Report

DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 116294, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent Johniece Rose Marie Brooks, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This Decision shall become effective on June 20, 2013. 8 9 It is so ORDERED ON May 21, 2013. 10 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 (. Wusi 13 14 By **Board President** 15 16 17 51278785.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2013508738 18 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 KATHERINE MESSANA Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 272953 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 5 Los Angeles, ČA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2554 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 6 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE 8 BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4589 11 JOHNIECE ROSE MARIE BROOKS AKA 12 JOHNIECE ROSIEMAE BROOKS ACCUSATION 13 817 E. 46th Street Long Beach, CA 90807 14 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 15 116294 16 Respondent. 17 18 Complainant alleges: 19 **PARTIES** 20 Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity 21 as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 22 On or about September 15, 2011, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician 23 Registration Number TCH 116294 to Johniece Rose Marie Brooks aka Johniece Rosiemae 24 Brooks ("Respondent"). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all 25 times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2013, unless renewed. 26 111 27 III28 111 1

Accusation

JURISDICTION AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300 of the Code provides, in pertinent part that every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 6. Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

COST RECOVERY

7. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct)

- 8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 of the Code on the grounds of unprofessional conduct. The circumstances constituting unprofessional conduct are, as follows:
- 9. On or about October 29, 2011, Long Beach Police Department Officers ("Officers") responded to a call regarding a possible assault with a deadly weapon. Upon arrival at the dispatched location, Officers observed that Respondent's clothing and hands were covered in blood. Officers also observed a large amount of blood inside one of the units of the building and blood on the staircase handle and walls leading to a second unit. Officers took possession of a two-inch black handled folding pocket knife. Witnesses reported hearing the victim screaming "help me, help me, someone help me please" and observed the Respondent holding a victim by the arm. Witnesses also reported that the Respondent held the victim's hair with her left hand and stabbed the victim's neck and the right side of her body. Respondent was subdued by witnesses until Officers arrived. The victim had approximately fifteen (15) stab wounds to her head, back and shoulders. Officers placed Respondent under arrest.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Act Involving Moral Turpitude)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (f) of the code on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that Respondent committed an act involving moral turpitude when, on or about October 29, 2011, she stabbed another person multiple times. The conduct is described in more particularity in paragraph 9 above, inclusive and hereby incorporated by reference.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Violations of Licensing Chapter)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o) of the code on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that Respondent violated provisions and terms

Accusation