

California State Board of Pharmacy 1625 N. Market Blvd, N219, Sacramento, CA 95834 Phone: (916) 574-7900 Fax: (916) 574-8618

www.pharmacy.ca.gov

BUSINESS, CONSUMER SERVICES AND HOUSING AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR.

APPLICATION FOR VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF PHARMACIST / INTERN LICENSE

LEASE PRINT IN BLACK OR BLUE INK OR TYPE YOUR RESPONSES	
Name: IJEOMA N. ESOMONU	Case No. AC 4579
Address of Record:	
16 MINARET ROAD	
OAKLEY CA. 94561	
たったが	california State Board of Pharmacy (Board) to surrender my license, its designee shall have the discretion
whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other acti	
Jpon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, I will no	longer be subject to the terms and
conditions of probation. I understand that this surrender constit	utes a record of discipline and shall
become a part of my license history with the Board.	An Albert Association of the Ass
•	
Joon the acceptance of the surrender, I shall relinquish my poc en (10) days of notification by the Board that the surrender is a eapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the	ccepted. I understand that I may not
urther understand that I shall meet all requirements applicable	_
application for that license is submitted to the Board, including a	ny outstanding costs.
PLEASE BE ADVISED THAT YOU ARE NOT RELIEVED OF T PROBATION UNLESS THE BOARD NOTIFIES YOU THAT YO LICENSE HAS BEEN ACCEPTED.	HE REQUIREMENTS OF YOUR OUR REQUEST TO SURRENDER YOUR
George Somony	July 31, 2017
Applicant's Signature Juginia Held	Date 7/3//17
xecutive Officer's Approval	Date
M (4	

All items on this application are mandatory in accordance with your probationary order and the Board's Disciplinary Guidelines as authorized by Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 1760. Failure to provide any of the requested information or providing unreadable information will result in the application being rejected as incomplete. The information provided on this form will be used to determine eligibility for surender. The official responsible for information maintenance is the Executive Officer, telephone (916)

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Amended Accusation Against:

Case No. 4579

FRUITVALE AVENUE PHARMACY INC. 2693 Fruitvale Avenue Oakland, CA 94601

OAH No. 2014061007

Pharmacy License No. PHY 50064,

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO RESPONDENT IJEOMA NWAYIOCHA ESOMONU ONLY

IJEOMA NWAYIOCHA ESOMONU FRUITVALE AVENUE PHARMACY INC. 16 Minaret Road Oakley, CA 94561

Pharmacist License No. RPH 53516,

and

IROEGBU CLIFFORD ESOMONU FRUITVALE AVENUE PHARMACY INC. 6726 Corte Santa Maria Pleasanton, CA 94566

Pharmacist No. RPH 53445

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order are hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on April 8, 2015.

It is so ORDERED on April 1, 2015.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

STAN C. WEISSER, Board President

(. Wussi

		•	
1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF	,	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General TIMOTHY J. McDONOUGH		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 235850		
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550		
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550		
	Telephone: (510) 622-2134 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270		
7	E-mail: Tim.McDonough@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant		
8		RE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10		CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Amended Accusation	Case No. 4579	
12	Against:		
13	FRUITVALE AVENUE PHARMACY INC.	OAH No. 2014061007	
14	2693 Fruitvale Avenue Oakland, CA 94601	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
15	Pharmacy License No. PHY 50064,	DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO RESPONDENT IJEOMA NWAYIOCHA	
16	IJEOMA NWAYIOCHA ESOMONU	ESOMONU ONLY	
17	FRUITVALE AVENUE PHARMACY INC. 16 Minaret Road		
18	Oakley, CA 94561		
19	Pharmacist License No. RPH 53516,		
20	and		
	IROEGBU CLIFFORD ESOMONU	*	
21	FRUITVALE AVENUE PHARMACY INC. 6726 Corte Santa Maria		
22	Pleasanton, CA 94566		
23	Pharmacist No. RPH 53445		
24	Respondents.		
25			
26		3	
27			
28	· E		
		1	

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (4579)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Complainant and Respondent Ijeoma Nwayiocha Esomonu to the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

- Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.
 She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala
 Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Timothy J. McDonough, Deputy
 Attorney General.
- 2. Respondent Ijeoma Nwanyiocha Esomonu (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Mitchell Green, whose address is:

Nossaman LLP 50 California Street, 34th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111

3. On or about April 23, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License No. 53516 to Ijeoma Nwanyiocha Esomonu (Respondent). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Amended Accusation No. 4579 and will expire on April 30, 2015, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Amended Accusation No. 4579 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board),
 Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Amended
 Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on
 January 2, 2014. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- A copy of Accusation No. 4579 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Amended Accusation No. 4579. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Amended Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

 Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Amended Accusation No. 4579.
- 10. Respondent agrees that her Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent in this Stipulation are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board of Pharmacy or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or

effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. 53516 issued to Respondent Ijeoma Nwanyiocha Esomonu (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed, and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the
 Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled
 substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime

discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency
which involves respondent's pharmacist license or which is related to the practice of
pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging
for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of her probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the board or its designee.

3 4 5

6. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 4579 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment, respondent shall cause her direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 4579, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that her employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

If respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent must notify her direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and owner at every entity licensed by the board of the terms and conditions of the decision in case number 4579 in advance of the respondent commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, respondent shall cause her direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the board in writing acknowledging that she has read the decision in case number 4579 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that her employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgments to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief or pharmacy management service as a pharmacist or any

position for which a pharmacist license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

7. No Supervision of Interns, Serving as Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), Serving as Designated Representative-in-Charge, or Serving as a Consultant

During the period of probation, respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity licensed by the board nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this order. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

8. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$12,500. Respondent shall make payments as follows: Respondent will pay at least \$208.33 each month for which she is on probation until the amount is paid in full.

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by respondent shall not relieve respondent of her responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

9. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

10. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current license with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If Respondent's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

11. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may tender her license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the respondent's license history with the board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall relinquish her pocket and wall license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board, including any outstanding costs.

Notification of a Change in Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address or Employment

Respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known. Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address, mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

13. Tolling of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of 40 hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease practicing as a pharmacist for a minimum of 40 hours per calendar month in California, respondent must notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the cessation of practice, and must further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of practice. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is not practicing as a pharmacist for at least 40 hours, as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq. "Resumption of practice" means any calendar month during which respondent is practicing as a pharmacist for at least 40 hours as a pharmacist as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4000 et seq.

14. Violation of Probation

If Respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that

was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

15. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent's license will be fully restored.

16. Community Services Program

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, a community service program in which respondent shall provide free health-care related services on a regular basis to a community or charitable facility or agency for at least 120 hours. Within thirty (30) days of board approval thereof, Respondent shall submit documentation to the board demonstrating commencement of the community service program. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request. Respondent shall report on progress with the community service program in the quarterly reports. Failure to timely submit, commence, or comply with the program shall be considered a violation of probation.

17. Remedial Education

Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to pharmacy operations management and prescription drug abuse prevention. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least 10 hours, which shall be completed within one year at Respondent's own expense. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes.

Failure to timely submit or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the board,

 is provided to the board or its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the board or its designee may require the Respondent, at her own expense, to take an approved examination to test the Respondent's knowledge of the course. If Respondent does not achieve a passing score on the examination, this failure shall be considered a violation of probation. Any such examination failure shall require respondent to take another course approved by the board in the same subject area.

18. No Supervision of Ancillary Personnel

During the period of probation, Respondent shall not supervise any ancillary personnel, including, but not limited to, pharmacy technicians or designated representatives in any entity licensed by the board. Respondent shall not work as the sole pharmacist on duty at any time.

Failure to comply with this provision shall be considered a violation of probation.

19. No Ownership of Licensed Premises

Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the board within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written proof thereof to the board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.

20. Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the board or its designee. Failure to initiate the course during the first year of probation, and complete it within the second year of probation, is a violation of probation.

Respondent shall submit a certificate of completion to the board or its designee within five days after completing the course.

///

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Mitchell Green. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED:	2/15/2015	Geoman Wang och Lsonony
7		LEOMA NWANYIOCHA ESOMONU Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Ijeoma Nwanyiocha Esomonu the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary

Order. I approve its form and content.

13 | DATED: 2 | 14 2010

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

14

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23 24

25

26 27

28

Mitchell Green Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.

Dated: 2-17-2015

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General

TIMOTHY I MICDONOUGH Departy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

SF2013901373 90481361.doc

Exhibit A

Amended Accusation No. 4579

1				
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS			
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF	2 g		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General TIMOTHY J. McDonough	*		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 235850			
	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor	· ·		
5	P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550			
6	Telephone: (510) 622-2134 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270			
7	E-mail: Tim.McDonough@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant			
8		DV GVV		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY			
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4579		
	FRUITVALE AVENUE PHARMACY INC.			
13	2693 Fruitvale Avenue Oakland, CA 94601	AMENDED ACCUSATION		
14	Pharmacy License No. PHY 50064,			
15	IJEOMA NWAYIOCHA ESOMONU			
16	FRUITVALE AVENUE PHARMACY INC. 16 Minaret Road			
17	Oakley, CA 94561			
18	Pharmacist License No. RPH 53516,			
19	and	*		
20	IROEGBU CLIFFORD ESOMONU			
21_	FRUITVALE AVENUE PHARMACY INC. -6726-Corte-Santa Maria			
22	Pleasanton, CA 94566			
23	Pharmacist No. RPH 53445			
	Respondents.			
24	,	1		
25				
26	*			
27		ş.		
28	2	<i>s.</i>		
		1		
		1 Amended Accusation		

PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about October 21, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy License Number PHY 50064 to Fruitvale Avenue Pharmacy Inc. (Respondent FAP). The Pharmacy License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on October 1, 2013, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about April 23, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 53516 to Ijeoma Nwanyiocha Esomonu (Respondent INE). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on April 30, 2015, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about March 28, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number RPH 53445 to Iroegbu Clifford Esomonu (Respondent ICE). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on September 30, 2013, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 6. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
 - 7. Section 4300 of the Code states:
 - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- "(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:

"(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year. "(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its "(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Section 4300.1 of the Code provides that "[t]he expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision STATUTORY/REGULATORY PROVISIONS "The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following: "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to

Amended Accusation

28

to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United

States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"(I) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

- 10. Section 4113, subdivision (c), of the Code provides that "[t]he pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy."
- 11. Section 490 of the Code provides, in relevant part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the license.

12. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'"

13. Health and Safety Code section 11165, subdivision (d), states:

- "For each prescription for a Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV controlled substance, as defined in the controlled substances schedules in federal law and regulations, specifically Sections 1308.12, 1308.13, and 1308.14, respectively, of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the dispensing pharmacy or clinic shall provide the following information to the Department of Justice on a weekly basis and in a format specified by the Department of Justice:
- "(1) Full name, address, and the telephone number of the ultimate user or research subject, or contact information as determined by the Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, and the gender, and date of birth of the ultimate user.

Amended Accusation

"(8) Prescription blanks shall contain a statement printed on the bottom of the prescription blank that the 'Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted."

- "(b) Each batch of controlled substance prescription forms shall have the lot number printed on the form and each form within that batch shall be numbered sequentially beginning with the numeral one."
 - 16. Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), states:

"A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use."

- 17. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, states:
- "(a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription.
- "(b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose."
 - 18. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
 - "For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license

pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

- 19. Section 4021 of the Code states:
- "Controlled substance' means any substance listed in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 11053) of Division 10 of the Health and Safety Code."
- 20. Oxycodone is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M).
- 21. Hydrocodone with acetaminophen is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4).
- 22. Alprazolam is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1).
- 23. Promethazine with codeine is a Schedule V controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11058.

COST RECOVERY

24. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in relevant part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

25. From about October 21, 2009, to the present, Respondent Fruitvale Avenue Pharmacy (FAP) has been operating as a pharmacy in Oakland, California. From on or about October 21, 2009, until May 19 2011, Respondent Ijeoma Nwanyiocha Esomonu (INE), the owner of FAP,

7

11 12

10

14 15

13

16 17

18 19

2021

22

23

2526

27 28 was also the pharmacist-in-charge (PIC) at FAP. From on or about October 21, 2009, until May 19, 2011, Respondent Iroegbu Clifford Esomonu (ICE) worked as a pharmacist at FAP. From May 19, 2011, to the present, Respondent ICE took over as the PIC at FAP.

- 26. On May 17, 2011, a Board inspector (inspector) conducted an inspection at FAP because the Board had identified FAP as a pharmacy that failed to report any Controlled Substances Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) data to the Department of Justice (DOJ). During the inspection at FAP, the inspector observed several pharmacy law violations. Specifically, the inspector noted that FAP had never transmitted any CURES data to the DOJ.
- 27. While at FAP, the inspector looked into prescription documents from Bay Internal Medicine (BIM). The prescriptions appeared legitimate but, upon further investigation, the inspector found that none of prescribers' contact information on the prescriptions contained a valid working phone number. The inspector asked Respondent ICE to verify the BIM prescribers on the Medical Board of California's website. The web search revealed that none of the BIM prescribers' listed addresses on the Medical Board's website matched the addresses on the prescriptions. Significantly, Respondent ICE admitted to the inspector that he had never before verified a prescriber using the Medical Board's website. In addition, the inspector observed numerous other violations of pharmacy laws. At the conclusion of the inspection, the inspector prepared an inspection report documenting various pharmacy law violations. The inspector gave a copy of the report to Respondent ICE, and then had Respondent ICE review and sign off on it. During his inspection, the inspector obtained certain documents including prescription documents, dispensing detail reports, and Cardinal Health Narcotic Sales Reports. The inspector also requested FAP to provide additional documents and explanations regarding pharmacy law violations indicated in the report.
- 28. On or about May 30, 2011, the inspector received and reviewed faxed responses from FAP. Subsequently, the inspector contacted 15 different prescribers listed as the supposed prescriber on the prescription documents. The inspector sent each prescriber a list of prescriptions from FAP to verify the legitimacy of the prescriptions. Each of the prescribers

> 11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

responded with a written statement that they did not authorize the prescriptions from FAP. In fact, one prescriber from Kaiser stated he did not authorize any of the prescriptions and that they were written on prescriptions that were stolen from his locker at the hospital. Additionally, one prescriber from BIM stated their practice had been closed since June 2009. All the BIM prescription documents that the inspector sent to the BIM prescribers to review were dated and filled by FAP in 2011.

- Physician Assistant G. P. (G. P.) was one of the 15 prescribers that the inspector contacted to verify the legitimacy of the prescription documents he got from FAP. On or about June 7, 2011, the inspector received a response from G. P., indicating that he did not authorize the prescriptions and the documents were forgeries. In addition, G. P. noted that several of the prescription documents were not written on proper controlled substances prescription forms. Significantly, during the May 17, 2011 visit to FAP, the inspector had made the same observation that FAP had improperly dispensed controlled substances on five invalid prescription documents. These five prescription documents were invalid because they lacked several required security features such as quantity check off boxes, the required statement of "Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted," and the prescriptions were not sequentially numbered.
- The inspector's investigation revealed that, from October 2009, to about April 2011, FAP failed to transmit CURES data to the DOJ. The investigation further showed that, from about July 2010, to May 2011, Respondent INE, and Respondent ICE had filled over 350 fraudulent prescriptions. Many of these prescription documents contained significant irregularities that should have prompted Respondent INE and Respondent ICE to verify the legitimacy of the prescription before dispensing the controlled substances. In addition, a review of FAP's dispensing printouts obtained during the investigation showed Respondent Ice's initials on most, if not all, of the printouts' "filled by" section. Furthermore, in a written statement, Respondent ICE admitted that he was the pharmacist on duty everyday since the opening of FAP. 111

¹ G. P. is used in this document rather than the actual name of the Physician Assistant.

CAUSES OF DISCIPLINE AGAINST RESPONDENT FAP

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Failure to Transmit Dispensing Data to CURES) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11165, subd. (d))

31. Respondent Fruitvale Avenue Pharmacy's (FAP) Pharmacy License is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), because FAP violated Health and Safety Code section 11165, subdivision (d), in that from on or about October 21, 2009, until approximately April 2011, FAP failed to transmit dispensing data for Schedule II, Schedule III, and Schedule IV controlled substances to the Department of Justice for the Controlled Substances Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) on a weekly basis. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Filled Prescriptions with Significant Irregularity) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (o) and 16 CCR § 1761, subd. (a))

32. Respondent FAP's Pharmacy License is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), because FAP violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a), in that pharmacists and staff at FAP filled over 350 irregular prescriptions. Many of these prescription documents order an unusually large amount of controlled substances for "as-needed" purposes. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Failure to Verify Legitimacy of Prescriptions for Controlled Substances)
(Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11153, subd. (a))

33. Respondent FAP's Pharmacy License is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), because FAP violated Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), in that pharmacists and staff at FAP failed to uphold their corresponding responsibility of verifying the patient's legitimate medical purposes for controlled substances. The pharmacists and staff at FAP breached their corresponding responsibility by furnishing unusually large quantities of controlled substances to patients without confirming with the supposed prescribers the legitimacy of the prescriptions. In fact, the pharmacists and staff at FAP filled approximately 350 fraudulent prescriptions, many of which were for unusually large

quantities of controlled substances. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Dispensed Controlled Substances on Invalid Prescriptions)
(Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11164)

34. Respondent FAP's Pharmacy License is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), because FAP violated Health and Safety Code section 11164, in that the pharmacists and staff at FAP furnished controlled substances to patients based on invalid controlled substances prescription forms. Specifically, during May 2011, FAP filled five prescription documents that lacked several required security measures. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

CAUSES OF DISCIPLINE AGAINST RESPONDENT INE

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Failure to Transmit Dispensing Data to CURES) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11165, subd. (d))

35. Respondent Ijeoma Nwanyiocha Esomonu (INE), as Pharmacist-in-Charge of FAP, has subjected her Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), by violating Health and Safety Code sections 11165, subdivision (d), in that from on or about October 21, 2009, until approximately April 2011, INE failed to insure that FAP transmit to the Department of Justice for the Controlled Substances Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) dispensing data for Schedule II, Schedule III, and Schedule IV controlled substances on a weekly basis. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Filled Prescriptions with Significant Irregularity)
(Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (o) and 16 CCR § 1761, subd. (a))

36. Respondent INE, as Pharmacist-in-Charge of FAP, has subjected her Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), because she violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a), in that pharmacists and staff at FAP filled over 350 irregular prescriptions. Many of these prescription documents order an unusually large amount of controlled substances for "as-needed" purposes. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Failure to Verify Legitimacy of Prescriptions for Controlled Substances)
(Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11153, subd. (a))

37. Respondent INE, as Pharmacist-in-Charge of FAP, has subjected her Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), because she violated Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), in that pharmacists and staff at FAP failed to uphold their corresponding responsibility of verifying the patient's legitimate medical purposes for controlled substances. The pharmacists and staff at FAP breached their corresponding responsibility by furnishing unusually large quantities of controlled substances to patients without confirming with the supposed prescribers the legitimacy of the prescriptions. In fact, the pharmacists and staff at FAP filled approximately 350 fraudulent prescriptions, many of which were for unusually large quantities of controlled substances. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct-Dispensed Controlled Substances on Invalid Prescriptions) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11164)

38. Respondent INE, as Pharmacist-in-Charge of FAP, has subjected her Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), because she violated Health and Safety Code section 11164, in that the pharmacists and staff at FAP furnished controlled substances to patients based on invalid controlled substances prescription forms. Specifically, during May 2011, FAP filled five prescription documents that lacked several required security measures. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

CAUSES OF DISCIPLINE AGAINST RESPONDENT ICE

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Filled Prescriptions with Significant Irregularity)
(Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (0) and 16 CCR § 1761, subd. (a))

39. Respondent Iroegbu Clifford Esomonu (ICE), as a pharmacist of FAP, has subjected his Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), because he violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a), in that Respondent ICE filled prescriptions with significant irregularity. Many of the prescriptions order unusually large quantities of controlled substances including oxycodone, hydrocodone with

acetaminophen, alprazolam and promethazine with codeine. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Failure to Verify Legitimacy of Prescriptions for Controlled Substances)
(Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11153, subd. (a))

40. Respondent ICE, as a pharmacist of FAP, has subjected his Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), because he violated Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), in that, on numerous occasions, Respondent ICE failed to verify the legitimate medical purpose for prescribing an unusually large quantity of controlled substances. In fact, FAP's drug dispensing printouts show that Respondent ICE filled approximately 350 fraudulent prescriptions, many which were for unusually large quantities of controlled substances. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct-Dispensed Controlled Substances on Invalid Prescriptions) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11164)

41. Respondent ICE, as a pharmacist of FAP, has subjected his Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), in that Respondent ICE dispensed controlled substances based on invalid prescription documents. Specifically, Respondent ICE's initials were on the pharmacy dispensing printouts for those five invalid prescription documents. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30 above.

TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct-Use of Alcohol in a Dangerous Manner) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (h))

- 42. Respondent ICE has subjected his Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (h), in that on or about April 23, 2012, Respondent ICE was arrested by a San Leandro police officer for driving under the influence of alcohol. The circumstances are as follows:
- 43. On or about 12:25 a.m. on April 23, 2012, a San Leandro police officer stopped Respondent's vehicle for a violation of Vehicle Code section 21650 (a vehicle on the highway must be driven on the right side of the road). The officer approached Respondent's vehicle and observed symptoms of intoxication including red watery eyes, alcoholic odor emitting from

Respondent's breath and slurred speech. Respondent failed a series of field sobriety tests. Two breath tests from Respondent at approximately 1:19 a.m. and 1:22 a.m. revealed a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .13.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Conviction) (Bus. & Prof. §§ 490 & 4301, subd. (I))

- 44. Respondent ICE has subjected his Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (l), and section 490, in that Respondent ICE was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacist. The circumstances are as follows:
- 45. On or about December 13, 2012, in a criminal matter entitled *People of the State of California v. Iroegbu Clifford Esomonu*, in the Alameda County Superior Court, Respondent ICE was convicted upon plea of no contest to the violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) (driving with a blood alcohol level of .08 or more), a misdemeanor. Respondent ICE was ordered to serve 15 days in county jail. Respondent was placed on probation for 3 years with various conditions including completing a Drinking Driver Program and paying various fees and fines.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

46. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent ICE, Complainant alleges that on or about December 28, 2010, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2010 45230 and ordered Respondent ICE to pay a \$2,500 fine for violating sections 4301, subdivisions (h) and (l) [arrest for driving under the influence and conviction on the lesser charge of wet/reckless]. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

Revoking or suspending Pharmacy License Number PHY 50064, issued to
 Fruitvale Avenue Pharmacy Inc.;

Amended Accusation

- 1	1	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF	- · ·
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General TIMOTHY J. McDONOUGH	
4	Deputy Attorney General	9.
,	State Bar No. 235850 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor	
5	P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550	
6	Telephone: (510) 622-2134 Facsimile: (510) 622-2270	
7	E-mail: Tim.McDonough@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
8	<i>y</i>	
9	BOARD OF	RE THE PHARMACY
10	DEPARTMENT OF C	CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
11		1
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4579
13	FRUITVALE AVENUE PHARMACY INC. 2693 Fruitvale Avenue	
14	Oakland, CA 94601	ACCUSATION
15	Pharmacy License No. PHY 50064,	
16	IJEOMA NWAYIOCHA ESOMONU	
17	FRUITVALE AVENUE PHARMACY INC. 16 Minaret Road Oakley, CA 94561	
18		
19	Pharmacist License No. RPH 53516,	
20	and	
21	IROEGBU CLIFFORD ESOMONU FRUITVALE AVENUE PHARMACY INC. 6726 Corte Santa Maria	
22	Pleasanton, CA 94566	
23	Pharmacist No. RPH 53445	
24	Respondents.	
		}
25		
26		
27	M .	
28		

Accusation

5

14

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

26 27

28

PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about October 21, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy License Number PHY 50064 to Fruitvale Avenue Pharmacy Inc. (Respondent FAP). The Pharmacy License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on October 1, 2013, unless renewed.
- 3. On or about April 23, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 53516 to Ijeoma Nwanyiocha Esomonu (Respondent INE). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on April 30, 2015, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about March 28, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist Number RPH 53445 to Iroegbu Clifford Esomonu (Respondent ICE). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in this Accusation and will expire on September 30, 2013, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 6. Section 4011 of the Code provides that the Board shall administer and enforce both the Pharmacy Law [Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4000 et seq.] and the Uniform Controlled Substances Act [Health & Safety Code, § 11000 et seq.].
 - 7. Section 4300 of the Code states:
 - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked.
- "(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board, whose default has been entered or whose case has been heard by the board and found guilty, by any of the following methods:

- "(1) Suspending judgment.
- "(2) Placing him or her upon probation.
- "(3) Suspending his or her right to practice for a period not exceeding one year.
- "(4) Revoking his or her license.
- "(5) Taking any other action in relation to disciplining him or her as the board in its discretion may deem proper.
- "(e) The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code, and the board shall have all the powers granted therein. The action shall be final, except that the propriety of the action is subject to review by the superior court pursuant to Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure."
- 8. Section 4300.1 of the Code provides that "[t]he expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

STATUTORY/REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. Section 4301 of the Code states, in relevant part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(a) Gross immortality.
- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or

7

14

11

18 19

17

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

"(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

- 10. Section 4113, subdivision (c), of the Code provides that "[t]he pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy."
- 11. Section 490 of the Code provides, in relevant part, that the Board may suspend or revoke a license when it finds that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the license.
 - 12. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'"

- 13. Health and Safety Code section 11165, subdivision (d), states:

 "For each prescription for a Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV controlled substance, as defined in the controlled substances schedules in federal law and regulations, specifically Sections 1308.12, 1308.13, and 1308.14, respectively, of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the dispensing pharmacy or clinic shall provide the following information to the Department of Justice on a weekly basis and in a format specified by the Department of Justice:
- "(1) Full name, address, and the telephone number of the ultimate user or research subject, or contact information as determined by the Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, and the gender, and date of birth of the ultimate user.

2

3

Accusation	
6.	
cable box where the following quantities shall	
s shall be printed on the form so that the prescriber	
ances shall be printed with the following features:	
62.1 states, in relevant part:	
made on a controlled substance prescription form	
abstance classified in Schedule II, III, IV, or V,	
ption for a controlled substance, unless it	
n shall prescribe a controlled substance, nor shall	
54 states, in relevant part:	
T)	

 "(8) Prescription blanks shall contain a statement printed on the bottom of the prescription blank that the 'Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted."

- "(b) Each batch of controlled substance prescription forms shall have the lot number printed on the form and each form within that batch shall be numbered sequentially beginning with the numeral one."
 - 16. Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), states:

"A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use."

- 17. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, states:
- "(a) No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription.
- "(b) Even after conferring with the prescriber, a pharmacist shall not compound or dispense a controlled substance prescription where the pharmacist knows or has objective reason to know that said prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose."
 - 18. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
 - "For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license

pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

- 19. Section 4021 of the Code states:
- "Controlled substance' means any substance listed in Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 11053) of Division 10 of the Health and Safety Code."
- 20. Oxycodone is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M).
- 21. Hydrocodone with acetaminophen is a Schedule III controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11056, subdivision (e)(4).
- 22. Alprazolam is a Schedule IV controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1).
- 23. Promethazine with codeine is a Schedule V controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11058.

COST RECOVERY

24. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in relevant part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

25. From about October 21, 2009, to the present, Respondent Fruitvale Avenue Pharmacy (FAP) has been operating as a pharmacy in Oakland, California. From on or about October 21, 2009, until May 19 2011, Respondent Ijeoma Nwanyiocha Esomonu (INE), the owner of FAP,

was also the pharmacist-in-charge (PIC) at FAP. From on or about October 21, 2009, until May 19, 2011, Respondent Iroegbu Clifford Esomonu (ICE) worked as a pharmacist at FAP. From May 19, 2011, to the present, Respondent ICE took over as the PIC at FAP.

- 26. On May 17, 2011, Board Inspector conducted an inspection at FAP because the Board had identified FAP as a pharmacy that failed to report any Controlled Substances Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) data to the Department of Justice (DOJ). During the inspection at FAP, Inspector observed several pharmacy law violations. Specifically, Inspector noted that FAP had never transmitted any CURES data to the DOJ.
- Internal Medicine (BIM). The prescriptions appeared legitimate but, upon further investigation, . Inspector found that none of prescribers' contact information on the prescriptions contained a valid working phone number. Inspector asked Respondent ICE to verify the BIM prescribers on the Medical Board of California's website. The web search revealed that none of the BIM prescribers' listed addresses on the Medical Board's website matched the addresses on the prescriptions. Significantly, Respondent ICE admitted to Inspector that he had never before verified a prescriber using the Medical Board's website. In addition, Inspector observed numerous other violations of pharmacy laws. At the conclusion of the inspection, Inspector gave a copy of the report documenting various pharmacy law violations. Inspector gave a copy of the report to Respondent ICE, and then had Respondent ICE review and sign off on it. During his inspection, Inspector obtained certain documents including prescription documents, dispensing detail reports, and Cardinal Health Narcotic Sales Reports. Inspector also requested FAP to provide additional documents and explanations regarding pharmacy law violations indicated in the report.
- 28. On or about May 30, 2011, Inspector received and reviewed faxed responses from FAP. Subsequently, Inspector contacted 15 different prescribers listed as the supposed prescriber on the prescription documents. Inspector sent each prescriber a list of prescriptions from FAP to verify the legitimacy of the prescriptions. Each of the prescribers

responded with a written statement that they did not authorize the prescriptions from FAP. In fact, one prescriber from Kaiser stated he did not authorize any of the prescriptions and that they were written on prescriptions that were stolen from his locker at the hospital. Additionally, one prescriber from BIM stated their practice had been closed since June 2009. All the BIM prescription documents that Inspector sent to the BIM prescribers to review were dated and filled by FAP in 2011.

- 29. Physician Assistant George Pearson was one of the 15 prescribers that Inspector contacted to verify the legitimacy of the prescription documents he got from FAP. On or about June 7, 2011, Inspector received a response from Mr. Pearson, indicating that he did not authorize the prescriptions and the documents were forgeries. In addition, Mr. Pearson noted that several of the prescription documents were not written on proper controlled substances prescription forms. Significantly, during the May 17, 2011 visit to FAP, Inspector had made the same observation that FAP had improperly dispensed controlled substances on five invalid prescription documents. These five prescription documents were invalid because they lacked several required security features such as quantity check off boxes, the required statement of "Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted," and the prescriptions were not sequentially numbered.
- 30. Inspector 's investigation revealed that, from October 2009, to about April 2011, FAP failed to transmit CURES data to the DOJ. The investigation further showed that, from about July 2010, to May 2011, Respondent INE, and Respondent ICE had filled over 350 fraudulent prescriptions. Many of these prescription documents contained significant irregularities that should have prompted Respondent INE and Respondent ICE to verify the legitimacy of the prescription before dispensing the controlled substances. In addition, a review of FAP's dispensing printouts obtained during the investigation showed Respondent Ice's initials on most, if not all, of the printouts' "filled by" section. Furthermore, in a written statement, Respondent ICE admitted that he was the pharmacist on duty everyday since the opening of FAP.

CAUSES OF DISCIPLINE AGAINST RESPONDENT FAP

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Failure to Transmit Dispensing Data to CURES) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11165, subd. (d))

31. Respondent Fruitvale Avenue Pharmacy's (FAP) Pharmacy License is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), because FAP violated Health and Safety Code section 11165, subdivision (d), in that from on or about October 21, 2009, until approximately April 2011, FAP failed to transmit dispensing data for Schedule II, Schedule III, and Schedule IV controlled substances to the Department of Justice for the Controlled Substances Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) on a weekly basis. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Filled Prescriptions with Significant Irregularity) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (0) and 16 CCR § 1761, subd. (a))

32. Respondent FAP's Pharmacy License is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), because FAP violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a), in that pharmacists and staff at FAP filled over 350 irregular prescriptions. Many of these prescription documents order an unusually large amount of controlled substances for "as-needed" purposes. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Failure to Verify Legitimacy of Prescriptions for Controlled Substances) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11153, subd. (a))

33. Respondent FAP's Pharmacy License is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), because FAP violated Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), in that pharmacists and staff at FAP failed to uphold their corresponding responsibility of verifying the patient's legitimate medical purposes for controlled substances. The pharmacists and staff at FAP breached their corresponding responsibility by furnishing unusually large quantities of controlled substances to patients without confirming with the supposed prescribers the legitimacy of the prescriptions. In fact, the pharmacists and staff at FAP filled approximately 350 fraudulent prescriptions, many of which were for unusually large

-11

quantities of controlled substances. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Dispensed Controlled Substances on Invalid Prescriptions)
(Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11164)

34. Respondent FAP's Pharmacy License is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), because FAP violated Health and Safety Code section 11164, in that the pharmacists and staff at FAP furnished controlled substances to patients based on invalid controlled substances prescription forms. Specifically, during May 2011, FAP filled five prescription documents that lacked several required security measures. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

CAUSES OF DISCIPLINE AGAINST RESPONDENT INE

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Failure to Transmit Dispensing Data to CURES) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11165, subd. (d))

35. Respondent Ijeoma Nwanyiocha Esomonu (INE), as Pharmacist-in-Charge of FAP, has subjected her Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), by violating Health and Safety Code sections 11165, subdivision (d), in that from on or about October 21, 2009, until approximately April 2011, INE failed to insure that FAP transmit to the Department of Justice for the Controlled Substances Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) dispensing data for Schedule II, Schedule III, and Schedule IV controlled substances on a weekly basis. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct-Filled Prescriptions with Significant Irregularity) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (o) and 16 CCR § 1761, subd. (a))

36. Respondent INE, as Pharmacist-in-Charge of FAP, has subjected her Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), because she violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a), in that pharmacists and staff at FAP filled over 350 irregular prescriptions. Many of these prescription documents order an unusually large amount of controlled substances for "as-needed" purposes. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Failure to Verify Legitimacy of Prescriptions for Controlled Substances)
(Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11153, subd. (a))

37. Respondent INE, as Pharmacist-in-Charge of FAP, has subjected her Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), because she violated Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), in that pharmacists and staff at FAP failed to uphold their corresponding responsibility of verifying the patient's legitimate medical purposes for controlled substances. The pharmacists and staff at FAP breached their corresponding responsibility by furnishing unusually large quantities of controlled substances to patients without confirming with the supposed prescribers the legitimacy of the prescriptions. In fact, the pharmacists and staff at FAP filled approximately 350 fraudulent prescriptions, many of which were for unusually large quantities of controlled substances. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct-Dispensed Controlled Substances on Invalid Prescriptions)

(Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11164)

Respondent INE, as Pharmacist-in-Charge of FAP, has subjected her Pharmacist

38. Respondent INE, as Pharmacist-in-Charge of FAP, has subjected her Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), because she violated Health and Safety Code section 11164, in that the pharmacists and staff at FAP furnished controlled substances to patients based on invalid controlled substances prescription forms. Specifically, during May 2011, FAP filled five prescription documents that lacked several required security measures. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

CAUSES OF DISCIPLINE AGAINST RESPONDENT ICE

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct-Filled Prescriptions with Significant Irregularity) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (0) and 16 CCR § 1761, subd. (a))

39. Respondent Iroegbu Clifford Esomonu (ICE), as a pharmacist of FAP, has subjected his Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), because he violated California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, subdivision (a), in that Respondent ICE filled prescriptions with significant irregularity. Many of the prescriptions order unusually large quantities of controlled substances including oxycodone, hydrocodone with

acetaminophen, alprazolam and promethazine with codeine. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct-Failure to Verify Legitimacy of Prescriptions for Controlled Substances)
(Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11153, subd. (a))

40. Respondent ICE, as a pharmacist of FAP, has subjected his Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (j), because he violated Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), in that, on numerous occasions, Respondent ICE failed to verify the legitimate medical purpose for prescribing an unusually large quantity of controlled substances. In fact, FAP's drug dispensing printouts show that Respondent ICE filled approximately 350 fraudulent prescriptions, many which were for unusually large quantities of controlled substances. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30, above.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct-Dispensed Controlled Substances on Invalid Prescriptions) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (j) and Health and Safety Code § 11164)

41. Respondent ICE, as a pharmacist of FAP, has subjected his Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 430 L, subdivision (j), in that Respondent ICE dispensed controlled substances based on invalid prescription documents. Specifically, Respondent ICE's initials were on the pharmacy dispensing printouts for those five invalid prescription documents. The circumstances are further explained in paragraphs 25-30 above.

TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct-Use of Alcohol in a Dangerous Manner) (Bus. & Prof. § 4301, subd. (h))

- 42. Respondent ICE has subjected his Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (h), in that on or about April 23, 2012, Respondent ICE was arrested by a San Leandro police officer for driving under the influence of alcohol. The circumstances are as follows:
- 43. On or about 12:25 a.m. on April 23, 2012, a San Leandro police officer stopped Respondent's vehicle for a violation of Vehicle Code section 21650 (a vehicle on the highway must be driven on the right side of the road). The officer approached Respondent's vehicle and observed symptoms of intoxication including red watery eyes, alcoholic odor emitting from

Respondent's breath and slurred speech. Respondent failed a series of field sobriety tests. Two breath tests from Respondent at approximately 1:19 a.m. and 1:22 a.m. revealed a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .13.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct-Conviction)

(Bus. & Prof. §§ 490 & 4301, subd. (1))

- 44. Respondent ICE has subjected his Pharmacist License to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (I), and section 490, in that Respondent ICE was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a pharmacist. The circumstances are as follows:
- 45. On or about December 13, 2012, in a criminal matter entitled *People of the State of California v. Iroegbu Clifford Esomonu*; in the Alameda County Superior Court, Respondent ICE was convicted upon plea of no contest to the violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) (driving with a blood alcohol level of .08 or more), a misdemeanor. Respondent ICE was ordered to serve 15 days in county jail. Respondent was placed on probation for 3 years with various conditions including completing a Drinking Driver Program and paying various fees and fines.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

46. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent ICE, Complainant alleges that on or about December 28, 2010, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2010 45230 and ordered Respondent ICE to pay a \$2,500 fine for violating sections 4301, subdivisions (h) and (l) [arrest for driving under the influence and conviction on the lesser charge of wet/reckless]. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

 Revoking or suspending Pharmacy License Number PHY 50064, issued to Fruitvale Avenue Pharmacy Inc.;

- Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 53516, issued to
 Ijeoma Nwanyiocha Esomonu, Fruitvale Avenue Pharmacy Inc.;
- Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 53445, issued to Iroegbu Clifford Esomonu, Fruitvale Avenue Pharmacy Inc.;
- 4. Ordering Fruitvale Avenue Pharmacy Inc., Ijeoma Nwanyiocha Esomonu and Iroegbu Clifford Esomonu to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
 - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 9613 Jugine Schold

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy
Department of Const

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2013901373 90329994:docx