BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 4574

PRITI CHATWANI

3024 Pacific Avenue Livermore, CA 94550

Pharmacist License No. RPH 53463

Respondent.

REVISED DECISION AND ORDER

Having identified technical errors in the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, with the parties' mutual agreement, the Board of Pharmacy hereby revises its prior order, continuing to adopt such stipulation, but with the following technical corrections:

• In the Stipulated Disciplinary Order, the references in terms 9, 10, and 12 to a "designated representative" are revised to refer to a "pharmacist".

This decision shall become effective on August 31, 2015.

It is so ORDERED on July 31, 2015.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ву

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm. D. Board President

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 4574

PRITI CHATWANI 3024 Pacific Avenue Livermore, CA 94550 ORDER GRANTING STAY OF EFFECTIVE DATE OF DECISION

Pharmacist License No. RPH 53463

Respondent.

ORDER GRANTING STAY OF EFFECTIVE DATE

Complainant and respondent timely requested reconsideration of the decision in the above-entitled matter pursuant to section 11521 of the Government Code. Good cause appearing, in order to allow the board additional time to consider the petition, in accordance with the provisions of section 11521 of the Government Code,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date of the Decision and Order, in the above-entitled matter is further stayed until 5 p.m. on August 3, 2015.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 21st day of July, 2015.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

VIRGINIA HEROLD
Executive Officer

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 4574

PRITI CHATWANI 3024 Pacific Avenue Livermore, CA 94550

Pharmacist License No. RPH 53463

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on July 22, 2015.

It is so ORDERED on July 15, 2015.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Вy

AMARYLIS GUTIERREZ
Board President

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Prid Chatwari 4574)

| 1 | | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | KAMALA D. HARRIS | | | |
| 2 | Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM | | | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARETTA WARD | | | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 176470 | | | |
| 5 | 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 | | | |
| | Tetephone: (415) 703-1384 | | | |
| 6 | Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant | | | |
| 7 | BEFORE THE | | | |
| 8 | BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 0 | And the second s | · } | | |
| | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 4574 | | |
| 12 | PRITI CHATWANI 3024 Pacific Avenue | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER | | |
| 13 | Livermore, CA 94550 | | | |
| 4 | Pharmacist License No. RPH 53463 | | | |
| 5 | Respondent. | | | |
| 6 | | J | | |
| 17 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A | GREED by and between the parties to the above- | | |
| 8 | entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: | | | |
| 19 | PAR | <u>TTES</u> | | |
| 20 | 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy. | | | |
| 21 | She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala | | | |
| 22 | D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of Calif | ornia, by Marctta Ward, Deputy Attorney | | |
| 23 | General. | | | |
| 24 | 2. Respondent Priti Chatwani ("Respor | ident") is represented in this proceeding by | | |
| 25 | attorney Tony Park, whose address is: 2855 Michelle Drive, Suite 180, Irvine, CA 92606-1027. | | | |
| 26 | 3. On or about May 7, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy Issued Pharmacist License No. | | | |
| 27 | RPH 53463 to Priti Chatwani (Respondent). Th | e Pharmacist License was in full force and effect | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |

at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4574 and will expire on April 30, 2017, unless renewed.

4. Records of the California State Board of Pharmacy show that Priti Chatwani, RPH 53463 is and has been President and Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Medicine Shoppe since June 11, 2007.

JURISDICTION

- 5. Accusation No. 4574 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on October 14, 2014.

 Respondent timely filed its Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 6. A copy of Accusation No. 4574 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 7. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4574. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 8. Respondent is fully aware of its legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on its own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California

 Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation
 No. 4574.
- 11. Respondent agrees that her Pharmacy License is subject to discipline and they agree to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, understands and agrees that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. RPH 53463 to Respondent Priti Chatwani (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for four (4) years on the following terms and conditions.

Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the Board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the

 Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any state or federal law
- a plea of guilty or nole contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- 13 a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency which involves Respondent's Pharmacist License or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling or distribution or billing or charging for of any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report any such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, Respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of definquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until

such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee, upon request at such intervals and locations as are determined by the Board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the Board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall cooperate with the Board's inspection program and with the Board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of their probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 4574 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on Respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent undertaking any new employment, Respondent shall cause their direct supervisor, designated representative-in-charge (including each new designated representative-in-charge employed during Respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 4574 and terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent's responsibility to ensure that their employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the Board.

If Respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent must notify their direct supervisor, designated representative-in-charge and owner at each entity licensed by the Board of the terms and conditions of the decision in case number 4574 in advance of the Respondent commencing work at each licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the Board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen

From: Tony Park

2 3 4

1

6 7

5

8 Q

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

26

25

27 28 (15) days of Respondent undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent shall cause their direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that they has read the decision in case number 4574 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be the Respondent's responsibility to ensure that their employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the Board.

Pailure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgements to the Board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary or relief service or pharmacy management service as a designated representative or in any position for which a designated representative license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the Respondent is considered an employee or independent contractor or volunteer.

No Supervision of Interns, Serving as Pharmacist-in-Charge (PIC), Serving as Designated Representative-in-Charge, or Serving as a Consultant

During the period of probation, Respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge or designated representative-in-charge of any entity licensed by the board nor serve as a consultant unless otherwise specified in this order. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

7. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$17,267.00. Respondent shall make said payments as follows: Payment can be made on a payment plan approved by the Board or its designee. There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of their responsibility

S

Ř

to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

Respondent shall be jointly and severally liable for payment of costs of investigation and prosecution with Respondent The Medicine Shoppe.

8. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

9. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current designated representative license with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If Respondent's designated representative license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication Respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

10. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should Respondent cease work due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may tender their designated representative license to the Board for surrender. The Board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the Respondent's license history with the Board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall relinquish their designated representative license to the Board within ten (10) days of notification by the Board that the

Fax: (949) 336-2314

27

28

surrender is accepted. Respondent may not reapply for any license, permit, or registration from the Board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the Board.

Notification Change Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address or Employment 11.

Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving and the address of the new employer, supervisor and owner and work schedule, if known. Respondent shall further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address and mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the Board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

Tolling of Probation 12.

Except during periods of suspension, Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a designated representative in California for a minimum of 40 hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, Respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should Respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease working as a designated representative for a minimum of 40 hours in California, Respondent must notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of cessation of work and must further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of work. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for Respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

I

 "Cessation of work" means any calendar month during which Respondent is not working as a designated representative for at least 40 hours as a designated representative as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4053.

"Resumption of work" means any calendar month during which Respondent is working as a designated representative for at least 40 hours as a designated representative as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4053.

13. Violation of Probation

If a Respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the Board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction, and the period of probation shall be automatically extended, until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

14. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the Board indicating successful completion of probation, Respondent's designated representative license will be fully restored.

15. No New Ownership of Licensed Premises

Respondent shall not acquire any new ownership, legal or beneficial interest nor serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any additional business, firm, partnership, or corporation licensed by the Board. If Respondent currently owns or has any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently

4 5

or hereinafter licensed by the Board, Respondent may continue to serve in such capacity or hold that interest, but only to the extent of that position or interest as of the effective date of this decision. Violation of this restriction shall be considered a violation of probation.

16. Community Services Program

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, a community service program in which Respondent shall provide free health-care related services on a regular basis to a community or charitable facility or agency for at least 56 hours per year for the first four (4) years of probation. Within thirty (30) days of Board approval thereof, Respondent shall submit documentation to the Board demonstrating commencement of the community service program. A record of this notification must be provided to the Board upon request. Respondent shall report on progress with the community service program in the quarterly reports. Failure to timely submit, commence, or comply with the program shall be considered a violation of probation.

17. Remedial Education

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to general compounding and pharmacy law. The program of remedial education shall consist of a total of forty (40) hours. With minimum of ten (10) hours per year, which shall be completed within four (4) years of probation at, Respondent's own expense. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes.

Failure to timely submit or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the Board, is provide to the Board of its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may require the Respondent at her own expense, to take an approved examination to test the Respondent's knowledge of the court. If the Respondent does not achieve a passing score on the examination,

2

3

4

*

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

4

15

16

17

18

this failure shall be considered a violation of probation. Any such examination failure shall require Respondent to take another course approved by the Board in the same subject area.

18. Consultant for Owner or Pharmacist-in-Charge

During the period of probation, Respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, or serve as a consultant to any entity licensed by the Board. In the event that Respondent is currently the Pharmacist-in-charge of a pharmacy, the pharmacy shall retain an independent consultant at its own expense who shall be responsible for reviewing pharmacy operations on a monthly basis for compliance by Respondent with the state and federal laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy and for compliance by Respondent with the obligations of pharmacist-in-charge. The basis for review may be reduced to quarterly by the Board or its designee. The consultant shall be a pharmacist licensed by and not on probation with the Board and whose name shall be submitted to the Board or its designee, for prior approval. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall not be a pharmacist-in-charge at more than one pharmacy or any pharmacy of which she is not the current PIC. The Board may, in case of an employment change by Respondent or for other reasons deemed appropriate by the Board or its designee, preclude the Respondent from acting as a pharmacist-in-charge. Failure to timely retain, seek approval of, or ensure timely reporting by the consulting shall be considered a violation of probation.

19

11

11

20

21 | 1/

22 1 /

23 1 / /

24 / /

25 /

26 1/

27 | /

28 /

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21.

22; 23

24.

25 26

27 28 <u>ACCEPTANCE</u>

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney. Tony Park. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 8/28/15

PRITI CHATWANI Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Priti Chatwari the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 05/28/2015

TONY PARK Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.

Dated:

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALAD, HARRIS

Attorney General of California

108HUA A. ROUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

9F2013404287 41298552.doox

12

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (Priti Charwani 4574)

From: Tony Park Fax: (949) 330-2314

To: 14157035480@icfax.cc Fax: +14157035480

Page 28of 29 05/28/2015 4:15 PM

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 4574

| • | | |
|--|---------------|--|
| Kamala D, Harris | | |
| Attorney General of California JOSHUA A. ROOM | | |
| Supervising Deputy Attorney General | | |
| MARETTA WARD Deputy Attorney General | | |
| State Bar No. 176470 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 | | |
| San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 | | |
| Telephone: (415) 703-1384 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 | | |
| Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY | | |
| | | |
| STATE OF | | |
| In the Matter of the Citation Against: | Case No. 4574 | |
| THE MEDICINE SHOPPE | | |
| 3024 Pacific Avenue | L C CYCL TION | |
| Livermore, CA 94550 | ACCUSATION | |
| Pharmacy License No. PHY 48618 | | |
| PRITI CHATWANI 3024 Pacific Ayenne | · | |
| Livermore, CA 94550 | | |
| Pharmacist License No. RPH 53463 | | |
| Respondent. | | |
| | 4 | |
| | | |
| Complainant alleges: | | |
| PAI | RTIES | |
| 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity | | |
| as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. | | |
| 2. On or about June 11, 2007, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy License Number | | |
| PHY 48618 to Puchkar International LLC; Pushkar International Inc., to do business as "The | | |
| Medicine Shoppe" (Respondent Medicine Shoppe). The Pharmacy License was in full force and | | |
| The business entity was changed from an LLC to a corporation on September 26, 2009. | | |
| | | |
| | Accusation | |

effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 1, 2015, unless renewed.

- 3. On or about May 7, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 53463 to Priti Chatwani (Respondent Chatwani). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2015, unless renewed.
- 4. Records of the California State Board of Pharmacy show that Priti Chatwani, RPH 53463 is and has been President and Pharmacist-in-Charge of Respondent Medicine Shoppe since June 11, 2007.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 6. Section 4300(a) of the Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
 - 7. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

8. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

Accusation

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Section 4077, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"Except as provided in subdivisions (b) and (c), no person shall dispense any dangerous drug upon prescription except in a container correctly labeled with the information required by section 4076."

Section 4113, subdivision (c) of the Code states: 12.

"The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy."

- California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1711, provides:
- "(a) Each pharmacy shall establish or participate in an established quality assurance program which documents and assess medication errors to determine cause and an appropriate response as part of a mission to improve the quality of pharmacy service and prevent errors."
- "(e) The primary purpose of the quality assurance review shall be to advance error prevention by analyzing, individually and collectively, investigative and other pertinent data collected in response to a medication error to assess the cause and any contributing factors such as system or process failures. A record of the quality assurance review shall be immediately retrievable in the pharmacy. The record shall contain the following:
 - 1. the date, location, and participants in the quality assurance review;
- the pertinent data and other information relating to the medication error(s) 2. reviewed and documentation of any patient contact required by subdivision (c).
 - the findings and determinations generated by the quality assurance review; and, 3.
- recommend changes to pharmacy policy, procedure, systems, or processes, if 4. any.

The pharmacy shall inform pharmacy personnel of changes to pharmacy policy, procedure, systems, or processes made as a result of recommendations generated in the quality assurance program."

14. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1715 provides:
The pharmacist-in-charge of each pharmacy shall complete a self-assessment of the pharmacy's compliance with federal and state pharmacy law before July 1 of every off-numbered year.

- 15. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716, provides:
 Pharmacists shall not deviate from the requirements of a prescriptions except upon the prior consent of the prescriber.
 - 16. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.2, provides:
- "(j) prior to allowing any drug product to be compounded in a pharmacy, the pharmacist-incharge shall complete a self-assessment for compounding pharmacies developed by the board."
- "(f) The pharmacist preforming or supervising compounding is responsible for the integrity, potency, quality, and labeled strength of a compounded drug product until it is dispensed.
- 17. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.3, subdivisions (a)(3)(4)(6) and (8) provide: that for each compounded drug product, the pharmacy records shall include the identity of the pharmacy personnel who compounded the product, the identity of the pharmacist reviewing the final drug product, the manufacturer, expiration date and lot number of each component, and the expiration date of the final compounded drug product.
- 18. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.4, subdivision (a) provides: As related to Business and Professions Code section 4076 subdivision (a)(9), the label of a compounded drug product shall contain the expiration date of the effectiveness of the drug dispensed.
- 19. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.7, subdivision (a) provides: Any pharmacy engaged in compounding shall maintain written documentation sufficient to demonstrate that pharmacy personnel have the skills and training required to properly and accurately perform their assigned responsibilities relating to compounding.

- 20. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.8, provides:
- "(a) Any pharmacy engaged in compounding shall maintain, as part of its written policies and procedures, a written quality assurance plan designed to monitor and ensure the integrity, potency, quality, and labeled strength of compounded drug products.
- "(c) The quality assurance plan shall include written standards for qualitative and quantitative integrity, potency, quality, and labeled strength analysis of compounded drug products. All qualitative and quantitative analysis reports for compounded drug products shall be retained by the pharmacy and collated with the compounded record and master formula,"
- California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, provides: No pharmacist shall compound or dispense any prescription which contains any significant error. omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Upon receipt of any such prescription, the pharmacist shall contact the prescriber to obtain the information needed to validate the prescription.

24

26

25

27 28

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- On or about September 6, 2011, Patient "A" presented with a prescription for liothyronine, thyroid medication, "T-3", at 3024 Pacific Avenue in Livermore, California, the Respondent pharmacy establishment known as The Medicine Shoppe.²³
- Respondent Chatwani compounded and filled the prescription, which was designated as RX 6101993, on or about September 6, 2011, The prescription either read "150 mcg" (150 micrograms), or "150 mg" (150 milligrams). The compounded product was dispensed in a

² Liothyronine or Liothyronine sodium is a synthetic version of one of the two hormones made by the thyroid gland. It is used for treating persons who are hypothyroid (do not produce enough thyroid hormones).

³ Mog refers to "micrograms." Mg refers to "milligrams." Micrograms are 1000 times smaller than milligrams.

container that read "150 mcg," but Respondent Chatwani later reported that it was her intention to compound the product in a 150 mg strength.

- 24. Thereafter, Patient "A" began taking the medication as prepared by Respondents and suffered injury as a result by having to be admitted to a hospital for 7 days.
- 25. Subsequent chemical lab analysis of the T-3 prescription prepared by Respondents demonstrated the compounded capsules were neither 150 micrograms nor 150 milligrams.

 The T-3 capsules contained on average 9406 meg of liothyronine a compound strength that was dangerous to Patient "A" and resulted in her hospitalization.
- 26. On or about May 15, 2012, a Board Inspector conducted an inspection and investigation of Respondent Medicine Shoppe. The inspector met with Respondent Chatwani and noted that RX 6101993 was labeled as T-3 150 mcg but not compounded as such.
- 27. The Board Inspector requested the completed Community Pharmacy Self-Assessment form and Compounding Pharmacy Self-Assessment form. The most recent Community Pharmacy Self-Assessment available was completed on or about July 10, 2009. There was no Compounding Pharmacy Self-Assessment completed. The version of the Community Pharmacy Self-Assessment Respondent Chatwani used on or about July 10, 2009 contained sterile compounding questions only and did not apply to Respondent Medicine Shoppe's non-sterile compounding.
- 28. Written training documentation for Respondent Pharmacist-in-Charge Chatwani was not available during inspection.
- 29. The Board Inspector reviewed the pharmacy compounding log books, Respondent Chatwani presented the page and the compound record book containing prescriptions compounded for Patient "A". The Board Inspector noted the compounded records for RX 6101991, 6101992 and 6101993 were missing the following required items: (1) the identity of the pharmacy personnel who compounded the drug product; (2) the identity of the pharmacist who reviewed the final drug product; (3) the expiration date of the final compounded drug product; and (4) the lot number for each compound component.

- 30. The Board Inspector asked Respondent Chatwani if she had completed any compound product testing in the past year. She replied she had not. Respondent Chatwani estimated her pharmacy compounds to be approximately 50 medications each month. Respondent Chatwani further indicated she was the only pharmacist checking compounding medications.
- 31. The Board Inspector asked Respondent Chatwani for the pharmacy's Compounding Policy and Procedures. Respondent Chatwani could not locate the Compounding Policy and Procedures.
- 32. The Board Inspector asked Respondent Chatwani about the incident involving Patient "A" on September 6, 2011. Respondent Chatwani indicated the dose for liothyronine was 150 milligrams and stated that she compounded the prescription for 150 milligrams. However, the label for Patient A on RX 6101993 showed "T-3 150 mcg."
- 33. The Board Inspector reviewed the Quality Assurance Report for RX 6101993 for the incident related to Patient "A". The document was missing the date of the review, recorded the complaint as "Mislabel (T-3 150 mog)" and contained only the comment "Patient states-wrong strength." No other details were provided. There were no findings, determinations, or recommended changes to policies, procedures, systems, or processes.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - No Self-Assessment By Pharmacist-In-Charge)

34. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) and/or (o), and/or 4113(c), and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1715 in that Respondents failed to complete a self-assessment as pharmacist-in charge to ensure pharmacy compliance with state and federal law.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - No Compounding Self-Assessment)

35. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) and/or (o), and/or 4113(c), and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.2(j) in that Respondents failed to complete a self-assessment for compounding pharmacies prior to allowing any drug to be compounded in the pharmacy.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Variation from Prescription)

36. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) and/or (o), and/or 4113(c), and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716, in that Respondents deviated from the requirements of a prescription without the consent of the prescriber.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Uncertain Prescription)

37. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) and/or (o), and/or 4113(e), and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, in that Respondents compounded or dispensed a prescription which contained a significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Specifically, Respondents compounded and dispensed RX 6101993 to Patient "A" without accurately confirming the dose with the prescriber when the prescription appeared to be written with an uncertain dose.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Quality Assurance Review Lack of Detail-)

38. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) and/or (o), and/or 4113(e), and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1711(e), in that Respondents' Quality Assurance review record for RX 6101993 dispensed to Patient "A" on September 6, 2011, lacked a date, participants, pertinent data reviewed relating to the reported medication error, findings, determinations, and recommendations on changes to or maintaining pharmacy policies, procedures, systems or processes.

| | /

| 1 | | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| 6 | (Unprofessional Conduct - Dispensing Dangerous Drug Incorrectly) | | |
| 7 | 39. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) and/or (o), | | |
| 8 | and/or 4113(c), and/or 4076(a)(7)/4077 in that Respondents labeled and dispensed RX 6101993 | | |
| 9 | to Patient "A" as T-3 150 mcg when in fact the product was not compounded as such and | | |
| 10 | therefore did not meet state and federal labeling requirements. | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| 13 | (Unprofessional Conduct - Drugs Lacking Quality or Strength) | | |
| 14 | 40. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 and/or 4113(c) in | | |
| 15 | that Respondents labeled RX 6101993 for Patient "A" as T-3 150 mog when the compounded | | |
| 16 | medication contained an average of T-3 9,406 mcg per capsule. | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| 19 | (Unprofessional Conduct - Failure to Exercise Professional Judgment) | | |
| 20 | 41. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 and/or 4306.5, | | |
| 21 | and/or 4113(c), in that according to the compound record and a statement from Respondents, | | |
| 22 | Respondents failed to appropriately exercise professional judgment in preparing a compounded | | |
| 23 | medication that matched neither the prescription authorized by the prescriber nor the label placed | | |
| 24 | on the container. | | |
| 25 | NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| 26 | (Unprofessional Conduct - Gross Negligence) | | |
| 27 | 42. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301(c), and/or | | |
| 28 | 4113(c), in that according to the compound record and a statement from Respondents, | | |
| ı | 10 | | |

Respondents failed to appropriately exercise professional judgment in preparing a compounded medication that matched neither the prescription authorized by the prescriber nor the label placed on the container.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - No Expiration Dates on Compounded Drugs Labels)

43. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) and/or (o), 4076(a)(9)/4077, and/or 4113(c), and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.4(a) in that Respondents labeled and dispensed RX 6101991, RX 6101992, and RX 6101993 to Patient "A" with no product expiration date on the label as required.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - Compound Record Missing Required Items)

44. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) and/or (o), and/or 4113(c) and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.3(a)(3)(4)(6)(8) and (9), in that Respondents labeled and dispensed RX 6101991, RX 6101992, and RX 6101993 to Patient "A" when the compound record for each was missing: the identity of the pharmacy personnel who compounded the product; the identity of the pharmacist reviewing the final drug product; the lot number of each component.

TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct - No Documentation of Training for Compounding Staff)

45. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) and/or (o), and/or 4113(c), and/or California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.7(a), in that on May 15, 2012, Respondent Chatwani stated during an inspection, and the Board inspector determined, that there was no compounding training documentation on record for Respondent Chatwani as the compounding pharmacist.

| 1 | // | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | 11 | | |
| 5 | THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| 6 | (Unprofessional Conduct - No Compounding Quality Assurance) | | |
| 7 | 46. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(j) and/or (o), | | |
| 8 | and/or 4113(c), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.8(c), in that | | |
| 9 | Respondents, during an inspection by the Board on May 15, 2012, had no qualitative or | | |
| 0 | quantitative Compounding Quality Assurance records available from the past year, for a reported | | |
| 1 | volume of approximately 50 compounded prescriptions each month. | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | FOURTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| 4 | (Unprofessional Conduct - Responsibility for Integrity, Potency and Quality of Drug) | | |
| 5 | 47. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301 and/or California | | |
| 6 | Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1735.2(f), in that on or about September 6, 2011, | | |
| 7 | Respondents dispensed a compounded product pursuant to RX 6101993 for Patient "A" that was | | |
| 8 | lacking in integrity, potency, quality, and/or label strength. | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 0 | FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| .1 | (Unprofessional Conduct - Making a False Statement) | | |
| 2 | 48. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under section 4301(g) and/or 4113(e) | | |
| 3 | in that there were conflicts in various records made and provided by Respondents. | | |
| 4 | On or about June 13, 2012, Respondents provided a statement about the compounding | | |
| 5 | of RX 6101993 containing information which conflicted with the compounded record provided | | |
| 6 | by the Respondents on May 15, 2012. | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |

- The compound record for RX 6101993 stated 4.5 grams of liothyronine powder was used to compound RX 6101993. The Compound Rx Report provided by Respondents and the patient receipt stated .0450 grams of liothyronine powder was used to compound RX 6101993.
- The compound record for RX 6101992 stated 0.0008 grams of liothyronine powder and 7.17 grams of cellulose were used to compound RX 6101992. The Compound Rx Report provided by Respondents and the patient receipt for RX 6101992 stated 0.008 grams of liothyronine powder and 5.067 grams of acidophilus lactobacillus powder 1BU/gram was used to compound RX 6101992.

OTHER MATTERS

- 49. To determine the level of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Medicine Shoppe and/or Respondent Chatwani (collectively Respondents), Complainant further alleges:
- a. On or about December 15, 2010, Citation No. CI 2010 46106, was issued to Respondent Medicine Shoppe, for (1) dispensing dangerous drugs incorrectly labeled, Bus. & Prof. Code Section 4077(a)/4076(a)(11)(A); (2) Varying from prescription, California Code of Regulation, Title 16, Section 1716; (3) Failure to have written policies and procedures, Bus. & Prof Code Section 4101(b); (4) Failing to comply with self-assessment form, California Code of Regulations, Title 16 Section 1715(a); (4) unprofessional conduct false representation, Bus. & Prof. Code Section 4301(g). A fine of \$5,000 was issued and paid.
- b. On or about December 15, 2010, Citation No. CI 2012 53638 was issued to Respondent Chatwani, for (1) dispensing dangerous drugs incorrectly labeled, Bus. & Prof. Code Section 4077(a)/4076(a)(11)(A); (2) Varying from prescription, California Code of Regulation, Title 16, Section 1716; (3) Failure to have written policies and procedures, Bus. & Prof Code Section 4101(b); (4) Failing to comply with self-assessment form, California Code of Regulations, Title 16 Section 1715(a); (4) unprofessional conduct false representation, Bus. & Prof. Code Section 4301(g). A fine of \$5,000 was issued and paid.

| 1 | | |
|------|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | II | |
| 6 | 11 | |
| 7 | <u>PRAYER</u> | |
| 8 | WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, | |
| 9 | and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: | |
| 10 | 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy License Number PHY 48618, issued to | |
| [1 | Respondent Medicine Shoppe; | |
| 12 | 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 53463, issued to | |
| 13 | Respondent Chatwani; | |
| 14 | 3. Ordering Respondents to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the | |
| 15 | investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section | |
| 16 | 125.3; | |
| 17 | 4. Taking such other and further action as is deemed necessary and proper. | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | marin 9/20/14/ Queina Xla and al | |
| 21 | DATED: VIRGINIA HEROLD | |
| 22 | Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs | |
| 23 | State of California Complainant | |
| 24 | Complainan | |
| 25 | SF2013404287 | |
| 26 | 41080679,docx | |
| 27 . | | |
| 28 | | |