BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Case No. 4517
Marlene Ramirez	OAH No. 2013120511
Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52404	
Respondent.	

DECISION

The attached Proposed Decision of the Administrative Law Judge is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy as the decision in the above-entitled matter, except that, pursuant to the provisions of Government Code section 11517, subdivision (c)(2)(C), the following technical change is made to paragraph one on page one under Factual Findings:

"On July 18, 2013, complainant filed a petition to revoke probation against respondent alleging that respondent violated at least three conditions of her probation, which went into effect on September 13, 2011."

The Following technical change is made to paragraph four on page two under Background and License History:

"On May 6, 2010, the board filed Accusation in Case No. 3612 against respondent."

The technical change made above does not affect the factual or legal basis of the Proposed Decision, which shall become effective on December 26, 2014.

This decision shall become effective on February 2, 2015.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 2nd day of January 2015.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By (. Wasi

STAN C. WEISSER, Board President

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:

Case No. 4517

MARLENE RAMONA RAMIREZ,

OAH No. 2013120511

Pharmacy Tech. Reg. No. TCH 52404

Respondent.

PROPOSED DECISION

Debra D. Nye-Perkins, Administrative Law Judge, Office of Administrative Hearings, State of California, heard this matter in San Diego, California, on October 30, 2014.

Karen L. Gordon, Deputy Attorney General, Department of Justice, State of California, represented complainant, Virginia Herold, Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.

Marlene Ramona Ramirez, respondent, appeared on her own behalf and was present throughout the proceeding.

The matter was submitted on October 30, 2014.

FACTUAL FINDINGS

Jurisdictional Matters

- 1. On July 13, 2013, complainant filed a petition to revoke probation against respondent alleging that respondent violated at least three conditions of her probation, which went into effect on September 15, 2011.
- 2. On September 16, 2013, respondent timely filed a notice of defense and this hearing followed.

Background and License History

- 3. On January 7, 2004, the California State Board of Pharmacy (the board) issued Marlene Ramona Ramirez (respondent) original technician registration number TCH 52404.
- 4. On April 6, 2010, the board filed Accusation in Case No. 3612 against respondent. The accusation alleged that respondent was convicted of misdemeanor Malicious Disturbance on December 8, 2008, and felony Assault with a Deadly Weapon on September 18, 2008. The respondent and the board entered into a stipulated agreement regarding the allegations in the accusation on May 16, 2011.

Based upon the stipulation, on August 16, 2011, the board issued a decision in Accusation No. 3612 effective September 15, 2011, that revoked respondent's pharmacy technician registration, stayed the revocation, and placed respondent on probation for a period of three years with nineteen different terms and conditions.

- 5. On September 13, 2011, respondent signed a declaration stating that she had appeared before representatives of the board, who fully explained all of the terms and conditions of probation to her, and that she fully understands those terms and conditions.
- 6. One of the terms and conditions of probation, Term and Condition 16, required respondent to participate in random drug screening at her own expense, including biological fluid testing. Condition 16 stated that respondent "may be required to participate in testing for the entire probation period and the frequency of testing will be determined by the board or its designee."
- 7. Another term and condition of probation, Condition 7, required respondent to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the total amount of \$250.00 through quarterly payments. The term and condition further stated as follows:

There shall be no deviation from any payment schedule absent prior written approval by the board and its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

8. Another term and condition of probation, Condition 19, required respondent to submit to the board for approval within sixty days of the effective date of the decision of September 15, 2011, "a community service program in which respondent shall provide free health-care related services on a regular basis to a community or charitable facility or agency for at least 100 hours for the entire term of probation." This term further provided requirements for the commencement and reporting on the status of the community service project. The term further stated "[f]ailure to timely submit, commence, or comply with the program should be considered a violation of probation."

Testimony of Katherine Sill, Pharm.D.

- 9. Katherine Sill received her Doctor of Pharmacy degree in 1988 from University of Southern California, School of Pharmacy. She is currently employed by the board as an Inspector and her duties include: inspecting any facility or person licensed by the board, investigating complaints involving licensed premises or persons, investigating diversion of dangerous drugs, and monitoring licensed individuals or premises on probation. Dr. Sill has held this position for the past three years and has conducted over six hundred inspections during that time. Prior to her position as an Inspector for the board, Dr. Sill was employed as a Pharmacy Services Manager, a Clinical Pharmacist, and Staff Pharmacist at hospitals and other facilities. In addition to her current job as an Inspector, Dr. Sill currently works on a per diem basis as a Staff Pharmacist at a pharmacy and has done so since 2001.
- orders for prescriptions, pulling medications from the shelves and preparing them for use, packaging and labeling medications, and billing customers. The pharmacy technician is essentially an extension of the pharmacist. Licensed pharmacy technicians work under the supervision of a registered pharmacist and have open access to controlled substances, dangerous drugs and pharmaceutical devices. They also have access to confidential information contained in patient records and billing information. They must exercise good judgment and adhere to statutes and regulations regulating pharmacy operations. Pharmacy technicians who abuse substances are particularly dangerous to the public and to themselves because they have easy access to drugs and dangerous devices, and they may attempt to perform their job duties while they are impaired. While a pharmacist is required to supervise a pharmacy technician, it is practically impossible to oversee all activities of a pharmacy technician. Accordingly, pharmacy technicians must be trustworthy and reliable to perform their duties honestly, ethically and without mistakes.
- 11. Dr. Sills testified that there are currently only four Inspectors for the board on the probationary team, i.e., those that are responsible for monitoring probationers for compliance with the terms of their probation. Dr. Sills first became a member of the probationary team in March 2012. At that time respondent's file was transferred to her from another Inspector named Tina Thomas, who subsequently moved to a position with another state agency in August 2013.
- 12. Dr. Sills typically meets with probationers on a quarterly basis in order to monitor their compliance with their probationary terms. With regard to respondent, Dr. Sills has had no meetings with respondent because after respondent's file had been assigned to her and the quarterly report time period was due, the board was already in the process of initiating a petition to revoke respondent's probation. Dr. Sills has reviewed respondent's file with the board in this matter, including the investigative report prepared by the previous Inspector, Tina Thomas, and all correspondence contained therein.
- 13. Dr. Sills stated that according to the terms of respondent's probation, respondent was required to enroll in a drug screening program by October 3, 2011.

Respondent met that requirement and was properly enrolled in a drug screening program named FirstLab by that date. At the time of enrollment into the program, FirstLab provided respondent with instructions to call into (call-in) FirstLab every day to learn whether she was required to be tested that day.

Correspondence between the Board and Respondent

- 14. On September 12, 2011, the board sent a letter to respondent informing her she was required to complete enrollment for the required biological fluid testing pursuant to Condition 13 of her probation with FirstLab by October 3, 2011. The letter further stated: "Be sure to read the enrollment packet in its entirety. . . . follow the instructions within the enrollment packet for daily reporting." Respondent completed her enrollment with FirstLab by the October 3, 2011, date. The next day, on September 13, 2011, respondent signed the declaration stating that she met with the board regarding the terms of her probation and that she fully understood the terms and conditions.
- 15. On November 8, 2011, Inspector Tina Thomas sent a letter to respondent regarding respondent's failure to call-into FirstLab on five different dates (October 22, 2011; October 27-28, 2011; November 1, 2011; and November 5, 2011) and failure to submit to testing on three different dates (October 19, 2011, and October 27-28, 2011).
- 16. On November 15, 2011, respondent called Tina Thomas regarding her failure to call into FirstLab daily, her concern about her ability to pay for the random drug testing, and her request for information regarding the frequency of testing. Inspector Thomas informed respondent that she could not provide respondent with information regarding the frequency of testing as that would impede the purpose of making the testing random. Tina Thomas summarized the telephone conversation and entered it into respondent's file.
- 17. On February 21, 2012, the board sent another letter to respondent regarding respondent's failure to call into FirstLab on thirty-eight different days, and her failure to submit to testing as scheduled on twelve different days. The letter also advised respondent that she has failed to meet the first required payment toward the cost of investigation and enforcement pursuant to Condition 7, and that she failed to submit a community service program for approval pursuant to Condition 19. The letter informed respondent that she was in violation of probation. The dates that respondent failed to call into FirstLab were identified as follows:

October 11, 2011	November 13, 2011
October 22, 2011	November 20, 2011
October 27, 2011	November 10, 2011
October 28, 2011	December 23, 2011, to January 1, 2012
November 1, 2011	January 21, 2012
November 5, 2011	January 24, 2012, to February 9, 2012
November 9, 2011	

The dates that respondent failed to appear for scheduled bodily fluid testing were identified as follows:

October 19, 2011	December 23, 2011
October 27, 2011	December 27, 2011
October 28, 2011	December 30, 2011
November 3, 2011	January 5, 2012
November 10, 2011	January 9, 2012
December 1, 2011	February 1, 2012

- 18. On March 13, 2012, respondent called Inspector Thomas to complain that she had provided biological fluid for testing on March 12, 2012, and that she was again scheduled on March 13, 2012, to provide biological fluid for testing. Respondent complained that she had no more money to pay for the testing and stated that she would not submit to the testing on March 13, 2012. Inspector Thomas again informed respondent that her failure to provide biological fluid for testing would be a violation of respondent's probation. Inspector Turner summarized the telephone conversation and placed it in respondent's file.
- 19. A biological fluid test result from FirstLab taken on February 27, 2012, tested positive for alcohol. As a result, on March 19, 2012, the board sent respondent a letter informing her that her pharmacy technician license was suspended immediately pursuant to the terms of her probation. In the letter the board requested that respondent provide a letter of explanation for the positive test by March 26, 2012. On March 22, 2012, respondent faxed a letter to Inspector Thomas. In this letter respondent explained that she had a cough and cold symptoms she took over the counter cold medication that contained alcohol, and she had been using hand sanitizer that contains alcohol. Respondent provided copies of the packaging for the medication and hand sanitizer. On March 26, 2012, the board sent respondent a letter informing her that the suspension of her pharmacy technician license was lifted as a result of her explanation.
- 20. On April 6, 2012, and April 30, 2012, the board again sent letters to respondent regarding her failure to call-in to FirstLab for biological testing on February 19, 2012, March 4 and 24, 2012, and on April 24 and 29, 2012, as well as failure to provide biological fluids for testing as scheduled on April 24, 25 and 27, 2012. Both letters also noted that respondent failed to make payments as required pursuant to Condition 7 and she failed to provide a community service program for approval pursuant to Condition 19. Both letters informed respondent that she was in violation of her probation.
- 21. On May 17, 2012, the board again sent a letter to respondent advising of her failure to comply with the terms of her probation. In this letter the board advised respondent that she failed to call-in to FirstLab daily from April 30, 2012, to May 16, 2012, and she did not provide biological fluid for testing as scheduled on May 7 and 9, 2012. The letter further advised respondent that she remained in violation of Condition 7 for her failure to make payments toward the costs of investigation and prosecution, and she remained non-compliant

with Condition 19 for failure to submit a community service program to the board for approval. The letter concluded with a statement that her administrative case would be referred to the Attorney General for further disciplinary action.

Respondent's Testimony

- 22. Respondent is thirty-two years old and has two children, ages fourteen and twelve. Respondent graduated from Alvord Continuation High School in 2000 and attended California Paramedical and Technical College in Riverside to become a pharmacy technician in 2003. She successfully tested for and received her pharmacy technician registration on January 7, 2004. Respondent has worked for the past ten years at a Vons grocery store, first as a courtesy clerk and after October 2004 as a pharmacy clerk. At some point she took a position as a pharmacy technician at Vons.
- 23. Respondent incurred her felony conviction for assault with a deadly weapon with the likelihood of great bodily injury on September 18, 2008. As a result, on October 21, 2008, respondent was sentenced to three years supervised probation, to serve sixty days in county jail, and she was required to participate in counseling and pay restitution in the amount of \$6,899.51. The circumstances that led to that conviction were that on December 29, 2007, respondent was involved in a fight with a woman on the dance floor of bar. Respondent hit the victim in the face with a glass bottle causing the victim to need stitches from cuts located above her left eyebrow, the left side of her nose and to her middle left finger. Respondent finished paying restitution to the victim in June 2014. Respondent successfully completed her probation for this conviction.
- Respondent incurred her misdemeanor conviction for malicious disturbance on 24. December 8, 2008, and she was placed on summary probation for three years with certain conditions, ordered to pay fines and fees and to serve six days in jail. The circumstances that led to that conviction were that on July 20, 2008, an investigator working in a plain clothes capacity for the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) was patrolling a parking structure in Riverside, California located next to a licensed facility. The investigator observed respondent staggering and with bloodshot watery eyes and flushed face get into the driver's side door of a vehicle and start it. A second investigator then approached the vehicle and asked respondent to step out of the vehicle. The second investigator administered field sobriety tests to respondent, who failed those tests. Respondent was thereafter arrested for driving while intoxicated. Pursuant to a plea agreement, respondent pled guilty to misdemeanor malicious disturbance. Respondent testified that to the best of her knowledge she has not consumed any alcoholic beverages since the July 20, 2008, incident. Respondent successfully completed her probation for this conviction.
- 25. About one month after the board's decision placing her on probation, respondent took and passed the exam to obtain her Pharmacy Technician Certification from the state of California on October 5, 2011.

- 26. With regard to the payment of the cost of investigation and enforcement of \$250, respondent has only paid \$50.00 of that amount and admitted to failing to pay the remainder of her required payments. Respondent stated that the reason she did not make her payments toward the \$250 was because she had other financial obligations, including paying for the restitution fee to the victim from her felony conviction, attorney's fees, court fees and bail fees.
- 27. Respondent testified that despite having met with representatives of the board and executing the declaration on September 13, 2011, when she enrolled with FirstLab for her biological fluid testing, she did not understand that she needed to call-in every day for instructions whether or not she needed to come in on that day for biological fluid testing. Respondent testified that it was not until December 2011 or January 2012 that she finally understood that she must call-in daily. Respondent admitted to missing multiple call-in and testing requirements during her probation. Furthermore, respondent said that the cost of the testing became far too much for her to pay as of October 2011. She contacted Inspector Thomas to complain about the cost of the testing on multiple occasions.
- 28. Respondent testified that she spoke with Inspector Thomas at some point after she was placed probation and asked if she could satisfy her community service requirement by working at an animal shelter. Respondent was told by Tina Thomas that work at an animal shelter would not satisfy Condition 19, which requires respondent to perform "health-care related services." Respondent then applied to do volunteer work at a community hospital named Parkview. Respondent's application to work as a volunteer at that facility was rejected because she had a felony conviction. Respondent testified that after receiving that rejection, she simply gave up on trying to find a community service project that would satisfy Condition 19. Respondent never asked Tina Thomas or any other board representative if the board could recommend any other community service projects that would satisfy Condition 19.
- 29. Despite her admissions of failing to meet the requirements of Conditions 7, 16, and 19, respondent would like to keep her pharmacy technician license in order to keep her current job.

LEGAL CONCLUSIONS

- 1. When a licensee is on probation and the agency has filed a petition to revoke probation, the burden of proof is on the agency. The standard of proof required to establish the allegations in the petition is "preponderance of evidence." (Sandarg v. Dental Board of California (2010) 184 Cal.App.4th 1434, 1441.)
- 2. Business and Professions Code section 4300, subdivision (a), provides that "[e]very license may be suspended or revoked."

3. Business and Professions Code section 4300.1 provides that the expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license does not deprive the board of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action against the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.

Cause Exists to Revoke Probation

- 4. Respondent violated Condition 16 of her probation when she failed to call in on multiple occasions and submit to biological fluid testing. Her assertion that she didn't understand that she was required to call in daily is not credible. As early as November 8, 2011, the board sent multiple letters to respondent informing her that her failure to call-in daily and to provide biological fluid samples when scheduled was a violation of her probation. Yet despite these letters, respondent continued to fail to call-in daily and to report for biological testing when scheduled. Respondent's failure to call-in on a daily basis and failure to appear for drug testing when scheduled constitutes a violation of her probation. She claimed that she simply did not understand that she needed to call-in daily, but the evidence suggests that she was informed of this requirement prior to and at the time of her enrollment.
- 5. Respondent violated Condition 7 of her probation when she failed to pay the costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$250 through quarterly payments. Condition 7 specifically stated that "[t]here shall be no deviation from any payment schedule absent prior written approval by the board" and that "[f]ailure to pay such costs by that deadline as directed shall be considered a violation of probation." Despite the clear language of Condition 7, respondent failed to pay the costs in the time required on the asserted basis that she had other more important expenses. Respondent's failure to pay the costs on a quarterly basis is a violation of probation.
- 6. Respondent violated Condition 19 when she failed to her to submit to the board for its prior approval a community service program "in which respondent shall provide free health-care related services on a regular basis to a community or charitable facility or agency for at least 100 hours for the entire term of probation." Respondent ignored this Condition. Although her attempt to volunteer at community hospital was rejected by the hospital, respondent made no other effort to comply with this Condition and testified that she simply "gave up." Respondent's failure to submit for approval a community service program to the board is a violation of probation.
- 7. The primary purpose of the board's licensing and regulatory authority is to protect the public. Whenever protection of the public is inconsistent with other interests sought to be promoted, "the protection of the public shall be paramount." (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4001.1.) The board is obligated to ensure that an individual holding a pharmacy technician license is authorized and qualified to hold the license. Respondent's heartfelt desire to retain her license and keep her current employment is understandable but does not take priority over public protection. Respondent has not taken the steps necessary to retain her license. She had several opportunities to comply with the terms of her probation and was

given multiple warnings regarding her lack of compliance. Respondent's financial hardship related to the cost of drug testing and payment of the cost of enforcement and prosecution is an insufficient reason to allow her to ignore the requirements of her probation to which she voluntarily agreed at the time of settlement with the board. It is not in the public's interest to require the board to wait indefinitely for her to comply with those terms. In light of the totality of the evidence, the only appropriate measure of discipline is to set aside the stay of the revocation that was issued on August 16, 2011, and to revoke respondent's pharmacy technician registration.

ORDER

The August 16, 2011, stay of the revocation of Marlene Ramona Ramirez's pharmacy technician registration number TCH 52404 is vacated. Marlene Ramona Ramirez's pharmacy technician registration is hereby revoked.

DATED: December 2, 2014

DEBRA D. NYE-PERKINS

Administrative Law Judge

Office of Administrative Hearings

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	KAREN L. GORDON Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 137969 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266		
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2073 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against: Case No. 4517		
13	MARLENE RAMONA RAMIREZ 4334 Santee Place PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION		
14	Riverside, CA 92504		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52404		
16 17	Respondent.		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
	PARTIES		
20			
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her		
22	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer		
23	Affairs.		
24	2. On or about January 7, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician		
25	Registration Number TCH 52404 to Marlene Ramona Ramirez (Respondent). The Pharmacy		
26	Technician Registration was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will		
27	expire on January 31, 2014, unless renewed.		
28	, 111		
	1 PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION Case No. 4517		
- 1	A PARATOLI TO TOTAL ORDER PROGRESSION CONTRACTOR INTO THE CONTRACTOR CONTRACT		

3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of Accusation Against Marlene Ramona Ramirez," Case No. 3612, the Board of Pharmacy issued a decision, effective September 15, 2011, in which Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration was placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 4300 (a) of that Code provides that every license issued by the Board may be suspended or revoked.
 - Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

7. The Decision and Order imposing probation on Respondent's license as referenced in paragraph 3 above, Term and Condition 13 states:

If a Respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply is a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

III

III

26 27

28

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Submit Cost Recovery Payments)

- 8. At all times after the effective date of the Decision and Order imposing probation on Respondent's license, Term and Condition 7 states in pertinent part:
 - 7. Reimbursement of Board Costs. As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$250.00. A quarterly payment schedule may be approved by the Board. There shall be no deviation from any payment schedule absent prior written approval by the board and its designee. Failure to pay such costs by that deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 9. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 7, referenced above. Respondent failed to reimburse the Board for its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$200.00.

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Submit to Random Drug Screening)

- 10. At all times after the effective date of the Decision and Order imposing probation on Respondent's license, Term and Condition 16 states in pertinent part:
 - participate in random drug testing, including, but not limited to, biological fluid testing (urine, blood), breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or other drug screening program as directed by the board or its designee. Respondent may be required to participate in testing for the entire probation and the frequency of testing will be determined by the board or its designee. At all times, Respondent shall fully cooperate with the board or its designee, and shall, when director, submit to such tested samples for the detection of alcohol, narcotics, hypnotics, dangerous drugs or other controlled substances as the board or its designee may direct. Failure to timely submit to testing as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 11. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Term and Condition 16 of her probation. Respondent has only reported for drug screening sporadically since October 11, 2011, and has missed all scheduled drug testing since April 24, 2012.

| | ///

26 1//

27 1//

28 1///

3

5

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

2526

27 28

THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Establish a Community Service Program)

- 12. At all times after the effective date of the Decision and Order imposing probation on Respondent's license, Term and Condition 19 states:
 - 19. Community Services Program. Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall submit to the board, for its prior approval, a community service program in which respondent shall provide free health-care related services on a regular basis to a community or charitable facility or agency for at least 100 hours for the entire term of probation. Within 30 days of board approval thereof, respondent shall submit documentation to the board, demonstrating commencement of the community service program. The record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request. Respondent shall report on progress with the community service program in the quarterly reports. Failure to timely submit, commerce, or comply with the program should be considered a violation of probation.
- 13. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 19 of her probation. Respondent has not submitted the name of the community service program for approval by the Board.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Board of Pharmacy in Case No. 3612 and imposing the disciplinary Order that was stayed, thereby revoking Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52404 issued to Marlene Ramona Ramirez (Respondent);
- 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52404 issued to Marlene Ramona Ramirez;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 7/18/13

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2012704646 80764078.doc

Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Board of Pharmacy Case No. 3612

BEFORE THE **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Again

Case No. 3612

MARLENE RAMONA RAMIREZ

8651 Camelia Drive Riverside, CA 92504

Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52404

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This decision shall become effective on September 15, 2011.

It is so ORDERED August 16, 2011.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

(. Wusi

By

STANLEY C. WEISSER

Board President

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS					
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN L. GORDON					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 137969	•				
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101					
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266					
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2073 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061					
8	Attorneys for Complainant					
9	BEFORE THE					
	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
10	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3612				
12	MARLENE RAMONA RAMIREZ					
13	8651 Camelia Drive	OAH No. 2010100122				
14	Riverside, CA 92504	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER				
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52404					
16	Respondent.					
17						
18	In the interest of a prompt and speedy settl	ement of this matter, consistent with the public				
19	interest and the responsibility of the Board of Ph	armacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs,				
20	the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order which will					
21	be submitted to the Board for approval and adoption as the final disposition of the Accusation.					
22	PARTIES					
23	Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.					
24	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala					
25	D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Karen L. Gordon, Deputy Attorney					
26	General.					
27						
28						

- 2. Marlene Ramona Ramirez (Respondent) is representing herself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise her right to be represented by counsel.
- 3. On or about January 7, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52404 to Marlene Ramona Ramirez (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 3612 and will expire on January 31, 2012, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 3612 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 17, 2010.

Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 3612 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3612. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

26 | ///

25.

27 | 111

28 1///

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 3612.
- 9. Respondent agrees that her Pharmacy Technician Registration is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board of Pharmacy (Board)'s probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY -

- 10. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 52404 issued to Respondent Marlene Ramona Ramirez is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (3) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. Certification Prior to Resuming Work

Respondent shall be automatically suspended from working as a pharmacy technician until she is certified as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4202(a)(4) and provides satisfactory proof of certification to the board. Respondent shall not resume working as a pharmacy technician until notified by the board. Failure to achieve certification within one (1) year shall be considered a violation of probation. Respondent shall not resume working as a pharmacy technician until notified by the board.

During suspension, Respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of any other board licensed premises (wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs) any drug manufacturer, or any other location where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding or dispensing; nor shall Respondent manage, administer, or assist any licensee of the board. Respondent shall not have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances. Respondent shall not resume work until notified by the board.

Subject to the above restrictions, Respondent may continue to own or hold an interest in any licensed premises by the board in which she holds an interest at the time this decision becomes effective unless otherwise specified in this order.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy-two (72) hours of such occurrence:

an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the

Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws

a plea of guilty or nolo contendre in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment

☐ a conviction of any crime

discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state or federal agency which involves Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report any such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

3. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, Respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

4. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear at two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

.

5. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of her probation. Failure to cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

6. Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 3612 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent undertaking any new employment, Respondent shall cause her direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge (including each new pharmacist-in-charge employed during Respondent's tenure of employment) and owner to report to the board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 3612 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent's responsibility to ensure that her employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgement(s) to the board.

If Respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent must notify her direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge and owner at every pharmacy of the terms and conditions of the decision in case number 3612 in advance of the Respondent commencing work at each pharmacy. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent undertaking any new employment by or through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent shall cause her direct supervisor with the pharmacy employment service to report to the board in writing acknowledging that she has read the decision in case number 3612 and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent's responsibility to ensure that her employer(s) and/or supervisor(s) submit timely acknowledgment(s) to the board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or to cause that/those employer(s) to submit timely acknowledgements to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary or relief service or pharmacy management service as a pharmacy technician or in any position for which a pharmacy technician license is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is considered an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

7. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount \$250.00. A quarterly payment schedule may be approved by the Board. There shall be no deviation from any payment schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of her responsibility to reimburse the board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

8. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

9. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current pharmacy technician license with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current license shall be considered a violation of probation.

If Respondent's pharmacy technician license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication Respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

10. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should Respondent cease work due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may tender her pharmacy technician license to the board for surrender. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the Respondent's license history with the board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall relinquish her pharmacy technician license to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent may not reapply for any license, permit, or registration from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board.

11. Notification of a Change in Name, Residence Address, Mailing Address or Employment

Respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving, the address of the new employer, the name of the supervisor and owner, and the work schedule if known. Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of a change in name, residence address and mailing address, or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer(s), name(s), address(es), or phone number(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

III

12. Tolling of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacy technician in California for a minimum of twenty (20) hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall toll the period of probation, i.e., the period of probation shall be extended by one month for each month during which this minimum is not met. During any such period of tolling of probation, Respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation.

Should Respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason (including vacation) cease working as a pharmacy technician for a minimum of twenty (20) hours per calendar month in California, Respondent must notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of cessation of work and must further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the resumption of the work. Any failure to provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for Respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months.

"Cessation of work" means calendar month during which Respondent is not working for at least twenty (20) hours as a pharmacy technician, as defined in Business and Professions Code section 4115. "Resumption of work" means any calendar month during which Respondent is working as a pharmacy technician for at least twenty (20) hours as a pharmacy technician as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4115.

13. Violation of Probation

If a Respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed.

If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. Notice and opportunity to be heard are not required for those provisions stating that a violation thereof may lead to automatic termination of the stay and/or revocation of the license. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction, and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

14. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board indicating successful completion of probation, Respondent's pharmacy technician license will be fully restored.

15. No Ownership of Licensed Premises

Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the board within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written proof thereof to the board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.

16. Random Drug Screening

Respondent, at her own expense, shall participate in random testing, including but not limited to biological fluid testing (urine, blood), breathalyzer, hair follicle testing, or other drug screening program as directed by the board or its designee. Respondent may be required to participate in testing for the entire probation period and the frequency of testing will be determined by the board or its designee. At all times, Respondent shall fully cooperate with the board or its designee, and shall, when directed, submit to such tests and samples for the detection of alcohol, narcotics, hypnotics, dangerous drugs or other controlled substances as the board or its designee may direct. Failure to timely submit to testing as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Upon request of the board or its designee, Respondent shall provide documentation

from a licensed practitioner that the prescription for a detected drug was legitimately issued and is a necessary part of the treatment of the Respondent. Failure to timely provide such documentation shall be considered a violation of probation. Any confirmed positive test for alcohol or for any drug not lawfully prescribed by a licensed practitioner as part of a documented medical treatment shall be considered a violation of probation and shall result in the automatic suspension of work by respondent. Respondent may not resume work as a pharmacy technician until notified by the board in writing.

During suspension, Respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of or any other board licensed premises (wholesaler, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs) any drug manufacturer, or any other location where dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding or dispensing; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or assist any licensee of the board. Respondent shall not have access to or control the ordering, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and devices or controlled substances. Respondent shall not resume work until notified by the board.

Respondent shall not direct, control or perform any aspect of the practice of pharmacy. Subject to the above restrictions, Respondent may continue to own or hold an interest in any licensed premises in which she holds an interest at the time this decision becomes effective unless otherwise specified in this order.

Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

17. Attend Substance Abuse Recovery Relapse Prevention and Support Groups

Upon one positive drug screen, Respondent shall begin regular attendance at a recognized and established substance abuse recovery support group in California, (e.g., Alcoholics Anonymous, Narcotics Anonymous, etc.) which has been approved by the board or its designee. Respondent must attend at least one group meeting per week unless otherwise directed by the board or its designee. Respondent shall continue regular attendance and submit signed and dated documentation confirming attendance with each quarterly report for the duration of probation. Failure to attend or submit documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.

18. Abstain from Drugs and Alcohol Use

Respondent shall completely abstain from the possession or use of alcohol, controlled substances, dangerous drugs and their associated paraphernalia except when the drugs are lawfully prescribed by a licensed practitioner as part of a documented medical treatment. Upon request of the board or its designee, Respondent shall provide documentation from the licensed practitioner that the prescription for the drug was legitimately issued and is a necessary part of the treatment of the respondent. Failure to timely provide such documentation shall be considered a violation of probation. Respondent shall ensure that she is not in the same physical location as individuals who are using illicit substances even if Respondent is not personally ingesting the drugs. Any possession or use of alcohol, controlled substances, or their associated paraphernalia not supported by the documentation timely provided, and/or any physical proximity to persons using illicit substances, shall be considered a violation of probation.

19. Community Services Program

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, a community service program in which Respondent shall provide free health-care related services on a regular basis to a community or charitable facility or agency for at least 100 hours for the entire term of probation. Within thirty (30) days of board approval thereof, Respondent shall submit documentation to the board demonstrating commencement of the community service program. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request. Respondent shall report on progress with the community service program in the quarterly reports. Failure to timely submit, commence, or comply with the program shall be considered a violation of probation.

23 | ///

8.

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 ///

27 | ///

ACCEPTANCE I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent **ENDORSEMENT** The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs. Dated: April 5, 2011 Respectfully submitted, Kamala D. Harris Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General Karen L. Gordon Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SD2010800200

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

.15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

80485189.doc

. Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3612

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California 2 ALFREDO TERRAZAS Senior Assistant Attorney General 3 LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336 4 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101 5 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 6 Telephone: (619) 645-3037 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE 9 BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: . Case No. 3612 12 MARLENE RAMONA RAMIREZ 13 8651 Camelia Drive Riverside, CA 96049 ACCUSATION 14 Pharmacy Technician Registration 15 No. TCH 52404 16 Respondent. 17 18 Complainant alleges: 19 PARTIES 20 Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity 21 as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs. 22 2. On or about January 7, 2004, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician 23 Registration Number TCH 52404 to Marlene Ramona Ramirez (Respondent). The Pharmacy 24 Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought 25 herein and will expire on January 31, 2012, unless renewed. 26 27 111 28

Accusation

11.

12_.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board). Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - 5. Section 4300 of the Code states:
 - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 480 of the Code states:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(3)(A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- "(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.

 "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, no person shall be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he or she has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 4852.01) of Title 6 of Part 3 of the Penal Code or that he or she has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he or she has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.

"(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license."

Section 482 of the Code states:

"Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- "(a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- "(b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

"Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee."

- 8. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 9. Section 493 of the Code states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact,

and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'"

10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

",,,

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has clapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

28

11_.

.

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(December 8, 2008, Conviction for Malicious Disturbance on July 20, 2008)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1) of the Codes in that she was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions as a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On September 11, 2008, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number RIM519395, Respondent was charged with Count 1, a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 664-23152, subdivision (a), attempted driving under the influence of a drug or alcohol, and Count 2, a misdemeanor violation of Vehicle Code section 664-23152, subdivision (b), attempted DUI, Alcohol/0.08 percent. On December 8, 2008, the complaint was amended to add Count 3, a misdemeanor violation of Penal Code section 415, subdivision (2), malicious disturbance. On December 8, 2008, Respondent pled guilty to Count 3 and was granted three years of summary probation, sentenced to serve 6 days in the county jail with credit of 1 day for time served, and to pay a fine of \$1763.40. Counts 1 and 2 were dismissed.
- b. The facts that led to the conviction are that on July 20, 2008, an investigator working in plain clothes capacity for the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) was patrolling a parking lot/structure located at 3737 Main Street, Riverside, California. This parking structure is known for minor drinking and drug use and is used by patrons of an ABC licensed premises known as Trilussa. The investigator observed two females walking in the parking structure talking and laughing loudly. They were standing in front of cars that were attempting to leave. They also argued with a male in the structure. The investigator observed that they were swaying and staggering as they walked. The investigator also observed that one female, later identified as Respondent, had blood shot watery eyes and a flushed face. Respondent was observed getting into the driver's side of a vehicle and starting it. A second investigator then approached the vehicle and had Respondent turn off the engine and exit the vehicle. The investigator requested that Respondent perform field sobriety tests (FSTs). Respondent failed to

 perform the tests as demonstrated. Based on failing the FSTs, the objective signs and symptoms of intoxication, Respondent was arrested by the Riverside Sheriff's Department for violation of Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), DUI. Respondent was transported and booked into custody at Robert Presley Detention Center (Riverside County Jail).

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(September 18, 2008, Conviction for Assault With Deadly Weapon on December 29, 2007)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (1) in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On December 31, 2007, in San Bernardino County Superior Court case number FCH7000638, Respondent was charged with Count 1, a felony violation of Penal Code section 245, subdivision (a)(1), assault with deadly weapon with a special allegation of personally inflicting great bodily injury. On September 18, 2008, the complaint was amended to add Count 2, a felony violation of Penal Code section 245, subdivision (a)(1), assault with deadly weapon: great bodily injury likely. On September 18, 2008, Respondent pled noto contendere to Count 2 and Count 1 was dismissed. On October 21, 2008, Respondent was granted three years of supervised probation, sentenced to serve 60 days in county jail with credit for 6 days served, required to participate in a counseling program, and pay restitution of \$6,899.51.
- b. The facts that led to the conviction are that on December 29, 2007, an officer with the Chino Police Department responded to a bar on a report of a fight between two females. Upon arrival, the officer identified a female subject, the victim, whose face was bleeding. The victim stated she was dancing when someone hit her with an unknown object, but did not know who hit her. One witness reported that she saw Respondent hit the victim in a face with a bottle. Respondent stated that a female had pushed her on the dance floor and she defended herself by fighting back and taking the female to the ground. The victim was taken to a hospital. The officer spoke with the doctor who treated the victim, who stated the victim had a cut above her left eyebrow that required three stitches, a cut on the upper left side of her nose that required three stitches, and a cut to her middle left finger which required four stitches. The doctor believed the

Accusation |