for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100 and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1704, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:

11901 Taylor Street Riverside, CA 92503

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about March 18, 2013, the U.S. Postal Service returned the Domestic Return Receipt signed by "Rosa Gonzalez" acknowledging receipt of the Accusation served by Certified mail.
- 6. On or about April 24, 2013, Respondent was re-served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4477, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at an alternate address for Respondent, which was:

996 N. Parker Street Orange, CA 92867

8 Crange, CA 92867

- 7. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 8. On or about April 29, 2013, the U.S. Postal Service returned the Domestic Return Receipt signed on April 25, 2013, acknowledging receipt of the Accusation.
 - 9. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

- 10. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4477.
 - 11. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 12. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4477, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4477, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 13. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$715.00 as of May 23, 2013.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Jesus Salvador Gonzalez Rivera has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 89655 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l) of the Code in that on or about June 29, 2012, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Jesus Salvador Gonzalez Rivera, aka Jesus Salvador Gonzalez, aka Jesus Salvado Gonzalez,* in Riverside County Superior Court, case number

RIM1208229, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence, and Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 or more, misdemeanors. Respondent admitted, and the court found true the special allegation that Respondent was previously convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) within 10 years. Said convictions are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician.

- b. Respondent has subjected his registration to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about April 6, 2012, Respondent operated a motor vehicle while substantially impaired by alcoholic beverages.
- Respondent has subjected his registration to disciplinary action under sections c. 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about June 29, 2012, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) (driving under the influence of alcohol), and Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), (driving with a BAC of .08 percent or more). On or about October 25, 2006, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), and Vehicle Code section 23224, subdivision (a), person under 21 in possession of alcohol.

///

///

///

27

28

ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 89655, heretofore issued to Respondent Jesus Salvador Gonzalez Rivera, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on August 21, 2013. It is so ORDERED July 22, 2013. (. Wusi STANLEY C. WEISSER, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIR DOJ Matter ID: SD2012704442 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation

Exhibit A

Accusation

- 1	i	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	. "
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 101336	
4	AMANDA DODDS Senior Legal Analyst	
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2141 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9	BEFOI	RE THE
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
11	STATE OF C	CALIFORNIA
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4477
13	JESUS SALVADOR GONZALEZ RIVERA	ACCUSATION
14	11901 Taylor Street Riverside, CA 92503	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No.	
16	TCH 89655	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about March 22, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician	
24	Registration Number TCH 89655 to Jesus Salvador Gonzalez Rivera (Respondent). The	
25	Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges	
26	brought herein and will expire on July 31, 2013, unless renewed.	
27	111	
28	111	
		1

4.

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states: "Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."
- 5. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 482 of the Code states:

Each board under the provisions of this code shall develop criteria to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when:

- (a) Considering the denial of a license by the board under Section 480; or
- (b) Considering suspension or revocation of a license under Section 490.

Each board shall take into account all competent evidence of rehabilitation furnished by the applicant or licensee.

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

27

28

111

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

9. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

2

Salvado Gonzalez, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number RIM1208229, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence, and Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 or more, misdemeanors. Respondent admitted, and the court found true the special allegation that Respondent was previously convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) within 10 years, as detailed in paragraph 16, below.

- b. As a result of the convictions, on or about June 29, 2012, Respondent was granted 48 months summary probation, and he was committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for 30 days, with credit for one day, to be served in the Sheriff's Labor Program. Respondent was further ordered to complete a Drinking Driver Program, pay fines and fees in the amount of \$2,044, and comply with enhanced DUI probation terms. On October 2, 2012, Respondent's probation was revoked and reinstated for his failure to enroll in the Drinking Driver Program, and his failure to appear for the Sheriff's Labor Program. The court has ordered Respondent to appear at a second probation violation hearing on January 18, 2013, for failure to complete the Driving Driver Program and the Sheriff's Labor Program.
- c. The facts that led to the convictions are that on or about 4:30 in the morning of April 6, 2012, officers from the Riverside Police Department were dispatched to investigate a domestic disturbance involving Respondent and his girlfriend. Upon arrival at the reported residence, the parties could not be found. Police dispatch received a call from an intoxicated male (Respondent) stating he was stopped at a nearby intersection waiting for the police to contact him. The police officers found Respondent in the passenger seat of his vehicle, but he eventually admitted he had been the driver, and that he had consumed alcohol. The officer noted that there was a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from Respondent, and he had bloodshot, watery eyes. Respondent submitted to a series of field sobriety tests which he was unable to complete as explained and demonstrated by the officer. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence. Respondent provided two breath samples which were analyzed by the Portable Evidential Breath Testing device with a BAC of .21 and .18 percent, respectively.

o

--

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

14. Respondent has subjected his registration to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about April 6, 2012, Respondent operated a motor vehicle while substantially impaired by alcoholic beverages, as detailed in paragraph 13, above.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Multiple Alcohol-Related Convictions)

15. Respondent has subjected his registration to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about June 29, 2012, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) (driving under the influence of alcohol), and Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), (driving with a BAC of .08 percent or more), as described in paragraph 13, above. On or about October 25, 2006, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), and Vehicle Code section 23224, subdivision (a), person under 21 in possession of alcohol, as described in paragraph 16, below.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 16. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, Complainant alleges:
- a. On or about October 26, 2006, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California v. Jesus Salvador Gonzalez Rivera*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number RIM489533, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence, and Vehicle Code section 23224, person under 21 in possession of alcohol, misdemeanors. Respondent also pled guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23140, subdivision (a), minor under 21 driving with a BAC of .05 or higher, an infraction.
- b. As a result of the convictions, on or about October 26, 2006, Respondent was granted 36 months summary probation, and he was committed to the custody of the Riverside

1	County Sheriff for six days, with credit for one day, to be served in the Sheriff's Labor Program.	
2	Respondent was further ordered to complete a four-month First Offender DUI Program, pay fines	
3	fees, and restitution in the amount of \$1,675.40, and to comply with DUI probation terms.	
4	PRAYER	
5	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
6	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:	
7	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 89655,	
8	issued to Jesus Salvador Gonzalez Rivera;	
9	2. Ordering Jesus Salvador Gonzalez Rivera to pay the Board of Pharmacy the	
10	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and	
11	Professions Code section 125.3;	
12	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
13		
14 .		
15	DATED: 3/1/13 () warnie Send	
16	VIRGINIA NEROLD Executive Officer	
17	Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs	
18	State of California Complainant	
19	Complantant	
20	SD2012704442	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		