1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4398	
12	SHARNA JANAY THOMAS	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
13	512 West 64th Place Inglewood, CA 90302	DEFROIST DESCRIPTION AND ORDER	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 104559	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
15	No. TCH 104559		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	1. On or about August 14, 2014, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official		
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs,		
21	filed Accusation No. 4398 against Sharna Janay Thomas (Respondent) before the Board of		
22	Pharmacy. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
23	2. On or about July 16, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy		
24	Technician Registration No. TCH 104559 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration		
25	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4398,		
26	expired on February 28, 2014, and has not been renewed.		
27	3. On or about September 26, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class		
28	Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4398, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request		
	1		
	(SHARNA JANAY THOMAS) DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER		

for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 512 West 64th Place, Inglewood, CA 90302.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
 - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4398.
 - 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4398, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4398, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$4,142.50 as of August 27, 2015.

4

11

9

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Sharna Janay Thomas has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 104559 to discipline,
 - 2, The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.
- Business and Professions Code sections 4301, subdivision (1) and 490, in conjunction a. with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that, Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician as follows:
- On or about July 7, 2011, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% or more, by weight, of alcohol in the blood] and one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.1, subdivision (a) [driving while having a suspended license] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Sharna Janay Thomas (Super, Ct. Los Angeles County, 2011, No. 1LT00583.) The court ordered Respondent to serve 2 days in jail and placed her on 3 years probation.
- On or about November 28, 2006, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 415(2) [disturbing the peace; loud/unreasonable noise] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Sharna Janay Thomas (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2006, No. 5SB03612.) The court ordered Respondent to serve 2 days in jail.
- (iii) On or about November 28, 2000, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) [theft] in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Sharna Janay Thomas (Super, Ct. Los Angeles County, 2000, No. 0WL04021.) The court placed Respondent on 36 months probation. 111

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

111

ORDER 1 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 104559, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent Sharna Janay Thomas, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This Decision shall become effective on October 26, 2015. 8 It is so ORDERED September 24, 2015. 9 10 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 14 By 15 Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. 16 **Board President** 17 51892489.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2012507681 18 Attachment: 19 Exhibit A: Accusation 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHBLLE MCCARRON Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 237031 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2544 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE	
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4398	
12	SHARNA JANAY THOMAS	
13	512 West 64th Place Inglewood, CA 90302 A C C U S A T I O N	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 104559	
15 16	Respondent,	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	PARTIES	
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).	
22	2. On or about July 16, 2010, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.	
23	TCH 104559 to Sharna Janay Thomas (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was	
24	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on	
25	February 28, 2014, unless renewed.	
26	JURISDICTION	
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following	
28	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.	
	1	
!	Accusation	

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), states that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 4300, subdivision (a), states that "[e]very license issued may be suspended or revoked."

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 6. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
 - 7. Section 492 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that

division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

"This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division."

8. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . . .

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misderneanor or not.

. . .

"(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

.

- "(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- "(!) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or

dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nole contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency,"

REGULATORY PROVISION

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

///

III

COST RECOVERY

10. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions of Substantially-Related Crimes)

- 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300 and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence her present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by her registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- a. On or about July 07, 2011, after pleading noto contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% or more, by weight, of alcohol in the blood]; and misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 14601.1, subdivision (a) [driving while having a suspended license], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Sharna Janay Thomas* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2011, No. 1LT00583). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 2 days in jail, placed her on probation for a period of 3 years, and ordered her to pay a fine or serve 15 days in jail. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 28, 2011, a California Highway Patrol Officer, while on duty, during a routine traffic stop, stopped Respondent for failing to maintain a lane position. The officer made contact with the Respondent, and the Respondent provided the officer with a suspended driver's license. The officer smelled an odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from Respondent's breath and person. The officer also observed Respondent to have shurred speech, red and watery eyes. The Respondent admitted to the officer that she had consumed, "Two Smirnoff's." The Respondent agreed to a series of Field Sobriety Test which she failed to complete satisfactorily.

6

9

12 13

15

14

16 17

> 18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 Respondent subsequently agreed to two breath samples with results of .19% BAC and .18% BAC.

- On or about November 28, 2006, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 415 (2) [disturbing the peace: loud/unreasonable noise], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Sharna Janay Thomas (Super, Ct. Los Angeles County, 2005, No. 5SB03612). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 2 days in jail. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 16, 2005, the Manhattan Beach Police Department set up a check point where Respondent was a passenger in the vehicle. The driver of the vehicle was arrested for driving while under the influence of alcohol. The Respondent was the owner of the vehicle and the officer advised Respondent that her vehicle was going to be impounded because the driver was driving her vehicle with a suspended license. The Respondent became belilgerent and began to yell and cuss at the officer. The Respondent yelled at the officer, "You're not taking my F...ing car." The Respondent continued to yell profanity at the officer and at her friend being arrested. The officer smelled a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from Respondent's breath and person. The officer observed Respondent to have poor balance, slurred speech, red and watery eyes. The Respondent was subsequently arrested for violating Penal Code section 647, subdivision (f), after the officer determined that Respondent was intoxicated to the extent that she could no longer care for herself or the safety of others.
- c. On or about November 28, 2000, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 484, subdivision (a) [theft], in the criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Sharna Janay Thomas (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2000, No. 0WL04021). The court placed Respondent on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined her. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 02, 2000, Los Angeles Police Officers responded to a call to investigate a suspect in custody for attempting to cash a forged check at a Well's Fargo Bank Branch, in the city of Los Angeles, Ca. The bank manager verified that the check sequence presented by Respondent was off and that the business indicated on the check did not authorize the check to be cashed. The officers identified Respondent as the female suspect who attempted

 to eash a forged check. The officers arrested the Respondent for violating Penal Code section 470 and a warrant check revealed that Respondent had an outstanding warrant.

d. On or about December 21, 1998, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 602 (j) [trespass: injure to property], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Sharna Janay Thomas* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 1998, No. 8PN06839-02). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 1 day in jail, placed her on probation for a period of 24 months, and fined her. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about October 13, 1998, Respondent was observed by loss prevention officers at Nordstrom Department Store, via the store surveillance camera, entering the department store with a large purse and grabbing items quickly. The officer followed the Respondent into the dressing room and observed her placing items in her purse from an adjacent stall. The Respondent was observed exiting the store, passing numerous registers, without paying for the merchandise concealed in her purse.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (h), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent did use an alcoholic beverage to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself or others when she was found to be under the influence of alcohol on January 28, 2011 and April 16, 2005. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 11, subparagraphs (a) and (b), inclusive, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Convictions Involving Alcohol)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (k), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of more than one misdemeanor, involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances, when she was found to be under the influence of an alcoholic beverage on January 28, 2011 and April 16, 2005

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 104559, issued to Sharna Janay Thomas;
- 2. Ordering Sharna Janay Thomas to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Code section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper,

DATED: 8/14/14 VIRGINIA NEROLD

Executive Officer
Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2012507681 51548311.doc