Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 21 W. Camino Real, Arcadia, CA 91007.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about April 10, 2014, the aforementioned documents were received at the address of record. The return receipt was signed and dated by "Elizabeth Roybal," and returned to the Board by the U.S. Postal Services.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4395.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4395, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4395, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$3,547.50 as of August 4, 2014.

## **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Martin F. Roybal has subjected his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 77315 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- (a) Business and Professions Code sections 490, 4300, and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a registered pharmacy technician, as follows:
- (i) On or about January 23, 2012, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while have 0.08% or more, by weight, of alcohol in the blood (BAC)], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Martin Fernando Roybal* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2011, No. 1AH04771).
- (ii) On or about July 05, 2001, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while have 0.08% or more, by weight, (BAC)], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Martin Fernando Roybal* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2001, No. 1SA01743).
- (iii) On or about February 15, 2000, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 653M, subdivision (b) [annoying repeat phone calls], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Martin Fernando Roybal* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2000, No. 0AL0049401).

# ORDER IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 77315, heretofore 2 issued to Respondent Martin F. Roybal, is revoked. 3 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 4 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 5 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 6 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 7 This decision shall become effective on January 9, 2015. 8 It is so ORDERED on December 10, 2014. 110 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 (. Wussi 13 By 14 STAN C. WEISSER **Board President** 15 16 51569714.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2012507675 17 jz(8/6/14-rev)18 Attachment: Exhibit A: Accusation 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

26

27

28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Supervising Deputy Attorney General KIMBERLEE D. KING Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 141813		
3			
4			
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2581 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
- 9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	Y day North Andrew College	G	
}	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4395	
12	MARTIN FERNANDO ROYBAL 21 W. Camino Real		
13	Arcadia, CA 91007	ACCUSATION	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 77315		
15	Respondent,		
16	100pondon.		
17		•	
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).		
22	2. On or about June 2, 2008, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.		
23	TCH 77315 to Martin F. Roybal (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in		
24	full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30,		
25	2014, unless renewed.		
26	JURISDICTION		
27	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following		
28	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.		
		1	

Accusation

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), states that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
  - 5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."
  - 6. Section 492 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 ([Healing Arts] commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for

professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

"This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division."

- 7. Section 4300, subdivision (a), states that "[e] very license issued may be suspended or revoked."
  - 8. Section 4300.1 states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

9. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- "(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.
- "(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.
- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

9

 $^{\rm H}(1)$ 

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27 28

The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United "(j) States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

- "(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use. consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203,4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

"(0) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

#### REGULATORY PROVISION

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

## COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3 states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Conviction of Substantially-Related Crime)

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300, and 4301, subdivision (I), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- a. On or about January 23, 2012, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while have 0.08% or more, by weight, of alcohol in the blood (BAC)], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Martin Fernando Roybal* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2011, No. 1AH04771). The court placed Respondent on probation for a period of 36 months, with terms and conditions including a first offender alcohol/drug education and counseling, and fined him. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or

about October 15, 2011, a Los Angeles Sheriff's Department Officer stopped Respondent's vehicle after observing him entering an intersection on a red light, and stopping in the middle of the intersection, in violation of Vehicle Code section 21453, subdivision (a). The officer made contact with the Respondent and smelled an odor of an alcoholic beverage emitting from Respondent's breath and person. The officer also observed Respondent to have bloodshot red eyes and slurred speech. The officer was unable to administer the field sobriety tests out of concern for the Respondent's safety. The Respondent was swaying and unable to stand without assistance. The Respondent subsequently agreed to two breathalyzer tests with results of .18 and

9 ,19 BAC.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Conviction of Substantially-Related Crime)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300, and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- a. On or about July 05, 2001, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while have 0.08% or more, by weight, (BAC)], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Martin Fernando Roybal* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2001, No. 18A0174301). The court placed Respondent on probation for a period of 3 years, and fined him. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 29, 2001, Respondent unlawfully, drove a vehicle, while having 0.08% or more, by weight, (BAC).

25 ///

26 | ///

27 11 ///

28 1///

б

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Conviction of Substantially-Related Crime)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300, and 4301, subdivision (l), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- a. On or about February 15, 2000, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 653M, subdivision (b) [annoying repeat phone calls], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Martin Fernando Roybal* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2000, No. 0AL0049401). The court sentenced Respondent to serve 15 days in jail, placed him on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined him. The court set aside the judgment and dismissed the case on December 07, 2007 pursuant to section 1203.4 of the Penal Code. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about February 11, 2000, Respondent telephoned and made contact with a family member, using obscene language and threatening to inflict injury to that family member, in violation of Penal Code section 653M, subdivision (a).

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Conviction of Substantially-Related Crime)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 490, 4300, and 4301, subdivision (I), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a registered pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- a. On or about April 04, 1995, after pleading *guilty*, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 594, subdivision (a) [vandalism], in the

(Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 1995, No. 95M01152). The court placed Respondent on probation for a period of 24 months, and fined him. The court set aside the judgment and dismissed the case on January 11, 2008 pursuant to section 1203.4 of the Penal Code. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about March 18, 1995, Respondent maliciously damaged and destroyed personal property belonging to another person, violating Penal Code section 594, subdivision (a).

criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Martin Fernando Roybal

#### SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Dangerous Use of Alcohol and/or Drugs)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (h), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent used alcohol in a dangerous manner on April 29, 2001, violating the state statute. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 12 through 13, as though set forth fully.

## SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Dangerous Use of Alcohol and/or Drugs)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (h), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent used alcohol in a dangerous manner on October 15, 2011, violating the state statute. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 12 through 13, as though set forth fully.

## EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Knowingly Made a False Statement of Fact)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (g), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact by failing to disclose the following criminal convictions on his original application for licensure signed under penalty of perjury on March 8, 2007:

28 | ///

- (a) February 15, 2000 violating Penal Code section 653M, subdivision (b) [annoying repeat phone calls], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Martin Fernando Roybal* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2000, No. 0AL0049401);
- (b) July 05, 2001 conviction for violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while have 0.08% or more, by weight, (BAC)], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Martin Fernando Roybal* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2001, No. 1SA0174301).

## NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Knowingly Made a False Statement of Fact)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (g), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on February 28, 2012, Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact in his license renewal application. The license renewal application asked whether Respondent had been convicted of any crime since his last renewal. Respondent answered "no", when in fact, Respondent suffered a DUI conviction in January, 2012. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in paragraph 12, as though set forth fully.

## TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit and/or Corruption)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4300 and 4301, subdivision (f), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts and was convicted of crimes involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, and / or corruption. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 14, 15, 18 and 19, as though set forth fully.

## ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Violation of Pharmacy Act)

21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that Respondent committed acts and was convicted of

1	crimes that violated the Pharmacy Act. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates,	
2	the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 12 through 15, inclusive, as though set forth fully.	
3	PRAYER	
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
5	and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:	
6	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 77315, issued	
7	to Martin F. Roybal;	
8	2. Ordering Martin F. Roybal to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation	
9-	and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and	
10	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
11		
12	DATED: 3/15/14 Ungina Herold VIRGINIA HEROLD	
13	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy	
14	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
15	Complainant	
16	LA2012507675 51254644.doc	
17	03/11/13-IC	
18		
19		
20		
21	······································	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		