1 2 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 4 In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 4216 Against: 5 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER DEHRYL NIXON 6 [Gov. Code, § 11520] Applicant for Pharmacy Technician 7 Registration 8 9 Respondent. 10 11 FINDINGS OF FACT 12 1. On or about October 2, 2012, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity as 13 the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed 14 Statement of Issues No. 4216 against Dehryl Nixon (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy. 15 2. On or about November 12, 2010, Respondent filed an application dated November 3, 16 2010, with the Board of Pharmacy to obtain a Pharmacy Technician Registration. 17 3. On or about October 17, 2011, the Board issued a letter denying Respondent's 18 application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration. On or about December 1, 2011, Respondent 19 appealed the Board's denial of his application and requested a hearing. 20 On or about October 11, 2012, an employee of the Department of Justice, served by 21 Certified and First Class Mail a copy of the Statement of Issues No. 4216, Statement to 22 Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, Government Code sections 11507.5, 23 11507.6, and 11507.7, and Notice from Respondent/Applicant to Respondent's address on the 24 application form, which was and is 2621 Somerset Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90016. A copy of the Statement of Issues and documents named above, with proof of service, is attached as exhibit A. 25 26 Service of the Statement of Issues was effective as a matter of law under the 27 provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

28

- 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; and where the burden of proof is on the respondent to establish that the respondent is entitled to the agency action sought, the agency may act without taking evidence.
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing based upon the allegation set forth in the Statement of Issues and Respondent's failure to establish entitlement to issuance of a license.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Dehryl Nixon has subjected his application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration to denial.
- 2. Service of Statement of Issues No. 4216 and related documents was proper and in accordance with the law.
 - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to deny Respondent's application for licensure based upon the following violations alleged in the Statement of Issues:
 - a. Violation of section 480, subdivision (a)(1), for conviction of a crime;
- b. Violation of section 480, subdivision (a)(2), for committing acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit;
- c. Violation of section 480, subdivision (c), for knowingly making a false statement of fact; and
- d. Violation of section 4301 for committing acts which if done by a licentiate of the business and profession, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of the license.

TI IS SO ORDERED that the application of Respondent Dehryl Nixon is hereby denied Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on withis seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on March 6, 2014. It is so ORDERED ON February 4, 2014. BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIR: STATE OF CALIFORNIA BY STAN C. WEISSER Board President Attachment:	n				
written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on March 6, 2014. It is so ORDERED ON February 4, 2014. BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIR: STATE OF CALIFORNIA BY STAN C. WEISSER Board President Attachment:					
seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on March 6, 2014. It is so ORDERED ON February 4, 2014. BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIR: STATE OF CALIFORNIA BY STAN C. WEISSER Board President Attachment:					
vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. This Decision shall become effective on March 6, 2014. It is so ORDERED ON February 4, 2014. BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA By STAN C. WEISSER Board President Attachment:	S				
This Decision shall become effective on March 6, 2014. It is so ORDERED ON February 4, 2014. BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA By STAN C. WEISSER Board President Attachment: Attachment:	3				
BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIR STATE OF CALIFORNIA By STAN C. WEISSER Board President Attachment: Attachment:	3				
BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIR STATE OF CALIFORNIA By STAN C. WEISSER Board President Attachment: Attachment:	s				
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 By STAN C. WEISSER Board President 15 16 17 Attachment:	3				
STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 By STAN C. WEISSER Board President 15 16 17 Attachment:	>				
By G. Weisser By STAN C. WEISSER Board President Attachment: Attachment:					
Board President 15 16 17 Attachment:					
Board President 15 16 17 Attachment:					
Board President 15 16 17 Attachment:					
16 17 Attachment:					
17 Attachment:					
Attachment:					
18					
Exhibit A: Statement of Issues No. 4216; Statement to Respondent					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27 28 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 27 28 28					

Exhibit A

Statement of Issues No. 4216; Statement to Respondent

4	Waret D. Hanne					
1 2	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Antonio Lopez, Jr.					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 206387					
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702					
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2536 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804					
7	Attorneys for Complainant					
. 8	BEFORE THE					
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Case No. 4216					
12	Against:					
13	DEHRYL NIXON 2621 Somerset Drive STATEMENT OF ISSUES					
14	Los Angeles, CA 90016					
15	Respondent.					
16						
17	Complainant alleges:					
18	PARTIES					
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official					
20	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.					
21	2. On or about November 16, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) received an					
22	application for Pharmacy Technician Registration from Dehryl Nixon (Respondent). On or about					
23	November 3, 2010, Respondent certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all					
24	statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied the application on					
25	October 17, 2011.					
26						
27						
28						
	1					

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

JURISDICTION

3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board of Pharmacy under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- "(1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- "(2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.
- "(3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- "(B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- "(c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license."
 - 5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

24 25

23

26 27

28

crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business. or profession for which the license was issued.

- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code. "
- Section 4300 provides in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation."
 - Section 4301 states, in pertinent part: 7.

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the

III

record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency.

"(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

3. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Conviction of a Crime)

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent was convicted of a crime. On or about July 1, 2008, after pleading noto contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 487, subdivision (a) [grand theft] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Dehryl Nixon* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2008, No. 8CP05905). The Court sentenced Respondent to serve 13 days in Los Angeles County Jail and placed him on 36 months probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about June 23, 2008, while employed by Rite Aid in Los Angeles, CA, Respondent by his own admission, admitted to stealing \$950 from the store safe.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, or Deceit)

10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (a)(2), in that Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself, or substantially injure another. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Knowingly Made a False Statement of Fact)

11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (c), in that on or about November 3, 2010, Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact by failing to disclose his conviction, on his application for licensure. In addition, Respondent signed under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the forgoing was true and correct, on his application for licensure. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in paragraph 9, as though set forth fully.

26	7	1
27	/	/

.28

7//

2

4 5

3

6 7

. 8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Acts Warranting Denial of Licensure)

- 12. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 4301, subdivision (p) and 480, subdivisions (a)(3)(A) and (a)(3)(B), in that Respondent committed acts which if done by a licentiate of the business and profession, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of his license as follows:
- Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician which to a substantial degree evidence his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, in violation of sections 4031, subdivision (1), and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, as though set forth fully.
- Respondent committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit, in violation of b. section 4301, subdivision (f). Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 9, as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

Denying the application of Respondent for registration as a Pharmacy Technician; 1. and

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 2.

VIRGINIA HEROLD Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

LA2011506075