	4.	On or about June 22, 2012, an employee of the Department of Justice, served by
Cert	ified ar	nd First Class Mail a copy of the Statement of Issues No. 4164, Statement to
Res	ponden	t, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, Government Code sections 11507.5,
1150	07.6, ar	nd 11507.7, and Notice from Respondent/Applicant to Respondent's address on the
appl	ication	form, which was and is 27039 Cypress St., Highland, CA 92346. A copy of the
State	ement c	of Issues is attached as exhibit A, and is incorporated herein by reference.

- 5. Service of the Statement of Issues was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 6. A Notice of Hearing was served by mail at Respondent's address on the application and it informed him that an administrative hearing in this matter was scheduled for March 4, 2013. Respondent failed to appear at that hearing.
 - 7. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) The withdrawal of an application for a license after it has been filed with a board in the department shall not, unless the board has consented in writing to such withdrawal, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a proceeding against the applicant for the denial of the license upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order denying the license upon any such ground.
 - 8. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
 - 9. Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; and where the burden of proof is on the respondent to establish that the respondent is entitled to the agency action sought, the agency may act without taking evidence.
- 10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing based upon the allegation set forth in the Statement of Issues and Respondent's failure to establish entitlement to issuance of a license.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Angel Lopez has subjected his application for Pharmacy Technician Registration to denial.
- 2. Service of Statement of Issues No. 4164 and related documents was proper and in accordance with the law.
 - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

б

- 4. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to deny Respondent's application for licensure based upon the following violations alleged in the Statement of Issues:
- a. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 480 for a May 2011 conviction for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (driving while having more than .08% blood alcohol content) and a May 2007 conviction for violating the same vehicle code section and section 23152(a) (driving under the influence);
- b. Violation of Business and Professions Code sections 4300(c) and 4301(h) for use of alcohol beverages in a dangerous or injurious manner for twice driving under the influence;
- c. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 480 (c) for falsely failing to disclose these convictions on his application; and
- d. Violation of Business and Professions Code section 4300 (c) and 4301 (k) for having multiple misdemanor convictions involving the consumption of alcoholic beverages.

1	ORDER			
2	IT IS SO ORDERED that the application of Respondent Angel Lopez is hereby denied.			
3	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a			
4	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 7			
5	days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the			
6	Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.			
7	This Decision shall become effective on October 10, 2013.			
8	It is so ORDERED ON September 10, 2013.			
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11				
12	G (. Wasi			
13	By			
14	STANLEY C. WEISSER Board President			
15				
16				
17	DOJ docket number:LA2011601406 51321330.DOC			
18	31321330,DOC			
19	Attachment:			
20	Exhibit A: Statement of Issues No.4164; Proof of Service			
21	Exhibit B: Notice of Hearing; Proof of Service			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Exhibit A

Statement of Issues No. 4164; Proof of Service

1	Kamala D. Harris					
2	Attorney General of California					
	KAREN B. CHAPPELLE Supervising Deputy Attorney General					
3	GEOFF WARD Deputy Attorney General					
4	State Bar No. 246437 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	•				
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2660					
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804					
7	Attorneys for Complainant					
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
9						
10	STATE OF	CALIFORNIA				
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues	Case No. 4164				
12	Against:					
13	ANGEL LOPEZ 27039 Cypress Street	STATEMENT OF ISSUES				
14	Highland, CA 92346					
15	Applicant for Pharmacy Technician					
16	Registration					
17	Respondent.					
18		· .				
19	Complainant alleges:					
20		RTIES				
21		······································				
22	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official					
ţ	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.					
23	2. On or about October 11, 2010, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) received an					
24	application for an Applicant for Pharmacy Technician Registration from Angel Lopez					
25	(Respondent). On or about August 7, 2010, Angel Lopez certified under penalty of perjury to the					
26	truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The Board denied					
27	the application on July 12, 2011.					
28	111					
. 1						

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 480 in pertinent part authorizes the Board to deny applications for licenses or registrations if the applicant has been convicted of substantially related crimes or makes false statements of fact on an application:
 - "(a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
 - (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
 - (3) (A) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
 - (B) The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.
- (c) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the ground that the applicant knowingly made a false statement of fact required to be revealed in the application for the license."
- 5. Section 4300 subdivision (c) authorizes the Board to refuse a license or registration to any applicant who is guilty of unprofessional conduct:
 - "(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy, including, but not limited to, the following:

1	 (1) Medical or psychiatric evaluation. (2) Continuing medical or psychiatric treatment. (3) Restriction of type or circumstances of practice. (4) Continuing participation in a board-approved rehabilitation program. 		
3	 (5) Abstention from the use of alcohol or drugs. (6) Random fluid testing for alcohol or drugs. (7) Compliance with laws and regulations governing the practice of 		
4	pharmacy. "		
5	6. Section 4301 lists examples of unprofessional conduct:		
6	of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:		
7			
8			
9	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use		
10	of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or		
11	to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.		
12	the person to conduct with surely to the public the provide administrator by the house,		
13			
14	(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.		
15	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting		
16 17	in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or		
18	federal regulatory agency."		
19	(p) Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license."		
20	REGULATORY PROVISIONS		
21	7. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 in pertinent part defines when a		
7.	crime or act is substantially related to a license or registration:		
22	"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or		
23	facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially		
24	related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant		
25	to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."		
26	}		
27			
28	///		
ĺ	a a		

STATEMENT OF ISSUES

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Convictions of Substantially-Related Crimes)

- 8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(B), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of crimes substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician, as follows:
- a. On or about May 09, 2011, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood], in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Angel Lopez* (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2011, No. TVA801455). The court sentenced Respondent to 45 days in jail, placed him on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined him.
- b. The circumstances surrounding 2011 conviction are that on or about June 22, 2008, the San Bernardino Sheriff's Department stopped Respondent for speeding at 100 mph on the 210 freeway. He admitted to drinking and a preliminary field screening showed his blood alcohol content was .243% and .255%.
- c. On or about May 30, 2007, after pleading guilty Respondent was convicted of two misdemeanor counts for violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a) [driving under the influence of drugs and/or alcohol] and Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) [driving while having 0.08% and more, by weight, of alcohol in his blood], in the criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Angel Lopez* (Super. Ct. Riverside County, 2007, No. RIM498329). The court sentenced Respondent to a total of 12 days in jail, placed him on probation for a period of 36 months, and fined him.
- d. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about April 4, 2007, officers of the California Highway Patrol Riverside Department saw Respondent sitting alongside the road in a parked car with the door open and several empty beer cans on the ground in front of him. He appeared drunk. They warned him not to drive drunk and to have a woman who was with him drive him home. Despite their admonition, later that same day in the same area they saw him driving his car and stopped him. He was still drunk. He failed field sobriety tests and

two breathalyzer tests showed he had a blood alcohol content of .147% and .140%.

- e. On or about April 12, 2006, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 647, subdivision (f) [disorderly conduct: Intexication of drugs and/or alcohol], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Angel Lopez* (Super. Ct. Santa Ana County, 2005, No. 06CM01931). The court ordered Respondent to pay a fine.
- f. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about November 25, 2005, Respondent was found drunk in his parked car with the keys in his ignition. Two breathalyzer tests showed he had a blood alcohol content of .100% and .101%.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Dangerous Self-Administration of Alcoholic Beverages)

9. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 4300 subdivision (c) and 4301 subdivision (h) on the grounds of unprofessional conduct because on or about June 22, 2008 and April 02, 2007 Respondent drank substantial amounts of alcohol and drove while highly intoxicated, making him a danger to himself and others. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 8 and its subparagraphs as though set forth fully.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Knowingly Made a False Statement of Fact)

10. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision (c), in that on or about August 7, 2010, Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact by failing to disclose any-convictions on his application for licensure. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 8 and its subparagraphs as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Multiple Convictions Involving the Consumption of Alcoholic Beverages)

11. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code sections 4300 subdivision (c) and 4301 subdivision (k) because he has three misdemeanor convictions involving the use,

consumption, or self-administration of alcoholic beverages. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 8 and its subparagraphs as though set forth fully. **PRAYER** WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision: Denying the application of Angel Lopez for Registration as a Pharmacy Technician; and 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant LA2011601406 51082930_2.doc