1			
2			
3			
4		•	
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4323	
12	LAVERNE JOAN WILLIS	Ouse 110, 4323	
13	1108 S. Riverside Avenue, #3H Rialto, CA 92376	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH		
15	39707	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
16	Respondent.		
17		٠.	
18			
19	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>		
20	1. On or about September 6, 2013, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity		
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed		
22	Accusation No. 4323 against Laverne Joan Willis (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy		
23	(Board). (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)		
24	2. On or about May 7, 2002, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration No.		
25	TCH 39707 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at		
26	all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4323 and will expire on March 31,		
27	2014, unless renewed.		
28			
		1	

.28

,	3.	On or about September 25, 2013, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class
Mail c	opies	of the Accusation No. 4323, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request
for Di	scove	ry, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and
11507	.7) at	Respondent's prior address of record. Respondent's address of record was:
		e Pl 35 92376.

- 4. On or about December 9, 2013, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4323, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is:
- 1108 S. Riverside Avenue, #3H Rialto, CA 92376.
- 5. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 6. On or about October 31, 2013, a signed certified mail receipt for the aforementioned documents sent to 966 Lorraine Pl 35, Rialto, CA 92376 was returned, showing that the documents had been received.
- 7. On or about January 22, 2014, the aforementioned documents sent to Respondent's address of record, 1108 S. Riverside Avenue, #3H, Rialto, CA 92376, were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Not Deliverable as Addressed, Unable to Forward."
 - 8. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.

. 27

- 9. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4323.
 - 10. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 11. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4323, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4323, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 12. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$1,000.00 as of January 22, 2014.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Laverne Joan Willis has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 39707 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.
- a. Conviction a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician, to wit: misdemeanor reckless driving with injury (Vehicle Code section 2314, subdivision (a)), a violation of Business and Professions Code sections 490 and 4301, subdivision (l).

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS	
2	Attorney General of California MARC D. GREENBAUM	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General BORA SONG	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 276475	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2674 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
7	E-mail: Bora.Song@doj.ca.gov	
8	Attorneys for Complainant •	
9	BEFORE THE	
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4323	
13	LAVERNE JOAN WILLIS	
14	966 Lorranie Pl 35 Rialto, CA 92376 ACCUSATION	
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH	
16	39707	
17	Respondent	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIES	
21	1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity	
22	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about May 7, 2002, the Board of Pharmacy ("Board") issued Pharmacy	
24	<u> </u>	
25	Technician Registration No. TCH 39707 to Laverne Joan Willis ("Respondent"). The Pharmacy	
26	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
•	herein and will expire on March 31, 2014, unless renewed.	
27		
28	<i> </i>	
ĺ	1	

Accusation

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Business and Professions Code section 118, subdivision (b), 1 provides that the expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 4300 permits the Board to take disciplinary action by suspending or revoking any license issued by the Board.
- 6. Section 4300.1 states, "The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."
 - 7. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.

¹ All further statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

"(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

8. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

"(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.

"(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning

of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

9. Section 493 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

"As used in this section, 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'permit,' 'authority,' and 'registration.'"

|| ||

|//

-23

REGULATORY PROVISION

0. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3 provides that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

- 12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 490 and Section 4301, subdivision (I), in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician.
- 13. On or about July 29, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Laverne Joan Willis* (Super. Ct. San Bernardino County, 2011, No. FSB904892), Respondent entered a guilty plea and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of reckless driving with injury, a violation of Vehicle Code section 23104, subdivision (a). The Court ordered Respondent to serve 42 days in San Bernardino County Jail with 42 days credit for time served, placed her on 36 months probation, and ordered that she pay restitution and \$270.00 in fines and fees.
- 14. The circumstances of the offense are as follows: On or about November 10, 2009, Respondent was driving eastbound on Highland Avenue toward her passenger P.B.'s apartment in San Bernardino, California, when Respondent "snapped" and began yelling "Fuck Jay-Z. Fuck Beyonce. They are all devil worshipers." She told P.B. that she will worship her father because

12

22 23 24

20

21

26 27

25

28 ///

he is God. Respondent turned southbound on E Street and began to drive at approximately 65 to 75 miles per hour while continuing to yell. Respondent asked P.B. several times, "Who do you want me to kill?" while swerving toward pedestrians. When P.B. did not answer Respondent's question, Respondent said, "Fuck you. I am going to kill you." As Respondent drove southbound on E Street, she failed to stop for all stop signs and traffic signals from 16th Street to Rialto Avenue. In the intersection of E Street and Rialto Avenue, Respondent failed to stop at a red light and collided with a vehicle driven by C.S., who was traveling eastbound on Rialto Avenue in the number one lane. This also caused a third vehicle, which was traveling eastbound on Rialto Avenue in the number two lane to collide with Respondent's vehicle.

After the collision, Respondent stopped her vehicle for a short period of time, at which point P.B. began to exit the vehicle. Respondent, however, began to drive again, so P.B. lost her balance while exiting the vehicle and fell backwards, striking the back of her head on the asphalt. Respondent continued southbound on E Street a few feet before exiting the vehicle and walking away from the scene, C.S. followed Respondent until San Bernardino Police Officer L.R. arrived at their location. As a result of the traffic collision, P.B. sought medical attention and suffered physical pain, including but not limited to pain to her neck, head, and lower back, and scrapes and bruises. S.C., a passenger of a vehicle involved in the collision, suffered six broken ribs, a bruised lung, a bruised sternum, a bruised diaphragm, a bruised spleen, internal bleeding, and bruising on the left side of her body from her armpit to her lower leg. She spent six days in the hospital and was given an epidural to aid her breathing.

Respondent was arrested and charged with three felony counts including a violation of Penal Code section 422 [criminal threats], Penal Code section 245, subdivision (a)(1) [assault with a deadly weapon, by means likely to produce great bodily injury], and Vehicle Code section 2000 (, subdivision (a), [leaving the scene of an accident]. The San Bernardino County District Attorney's Office dismissed the felonies in light of her guilty plea to a misdemeanor count of Vehicle Code section 23104, subdivision (a) [reckless driving causing injury].

///

HI

III

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Acts Involving Moral Turpitude, Dishonesty, Fraud, Deceit, or Corruption)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Section 4301, subdivision (f), in that Respondent committed an act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13 as though set forth fully herein.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Knowingly Making a False Statement of Fact)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (g), in that Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact to the Board by failing to disclose her 2011 conviction on her renewal application for licensure. The circumstances are as follows: On or before March 30, 2012, Respondent submitted a renewal application for Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 39707. On the renewal application, Respondent checked box "H", answering "NO" to the inquiry, "Since you last renewed your license, have you had any license disciplined by a government agency or other disciplinary body; or, have you been convicted of any crime in any state, the U S A and its territories, military court or a foreign country?" Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 13 as though set forth fully herein.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of State Laws and Regulations Governing Pharmacy)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (o), in that she committed an act or several acts in violation of the state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 13 through 15, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 18. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges the following:
- a. On or about April 4, 1994, in a prior criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Laverne Joan Willis* (Mun. Ct., Valley Div., San Bernardino County, 1997, No. MVA004906), Respondent was convicted of violating Penal Code section 488 [petty theft], a misdemeanor. She was sentenced to one day in jail, placed on 12 months of probation, and ordered to serve 40 hours in the Work Sentence Program in lieu of paying a \$280.00 fine. On or about August 22, 2003, the conviction was set aside and dismissed pursuant to Penal Code section 1203.4.
- b. On or about October 2, 1997, in a prior criminal proceeding entitled *People v. Laverne Joan Willis* (Mun. Ct., Valley Div., San Bernardino County, 1997, No. MVA011599), Respondent was convicted of violating Penal Code section 422 [criminal threats] and Penal Code section 594, subdivision (a) [vandalism], both misdemeanors. Respondent was sentenced to 10 days in jail and placed on 36 months of probation. The circumstances of the offense are as follows: On or about August 18, 1995 at approximately 10:35 p.m., Respondent pounded on a neighbor's door in her apartment complex and yelled threats such as, "I'm going to kill you," and "I'm going to get your ass." During this incident, Respondent also broke the neighbor's bedroom window by throwing a "club" steering wheel lock.
- c. On or about August 14, 2001, Respondent knowingly made a false statement of fact to the Board by failing to disclose her 1994 and 1997 criminal convictions on her Application for Registration as a Pharmacy Technician. The circumstances are as follows: On or after August 14, 2001, Respondent submitted her Application for Registration as a Pharmacy Technician. On said application, under penalty of perjury, Respondent answered "No" to question "7." Question "7" stated: "Have you ever been convicted of or pled no contest to a violation of any law of a foreign country, the United States or any state laws or local ordinances? You must include all misdemeanor and felony convictions, regardless of the age of the conviction, including those which have been set aside under Penal Code sections 1000 or 1203.4..." Complainant refers to,

and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraph 17, subparagraphs (a) and (b), as though set forth fully herein. 2 **PRAYER** 3 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, 4 and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 5 Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 39707, issued 6 to Laverne Joan Willis; 7 Ordering Layerne Joan Willis to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of 8 9 the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Section 125.3; and Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 10 11 12 DATED: 13 Executive Officer 14 Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs 15 State of California Complainant 16 LA2012506947 17 51303048.doc 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28