- 1				
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS			
2	Attorney General of California LINDA L. SUN			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANTONIO LOPEZ, JR.			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 206387			
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013			
6	Telephone: (213) 897-2536 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804			
7	Attorneys for Complainant			
8	BEFO	RE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	]		
12	VERONICA CUSIMANO	Case No. 4322		
13	1233 S. Barry Ave., Apt. 103	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER		
14	West Los Angeles, CA 90025	[Gov. Code, §11520]		
15	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 79524			
16				
17	Respondent.			
18				
19	FINDING	S OF FACT		
20	1. On or about June 16, 2014, Complainant Virginia K. Herold, in her official capacity			
21	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed			
22	Accusation No. 4322 against Veronica Cusimano (Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.			
23	(Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)			
24	2. On or about November 14, 2007, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy			
25	Technician Registration No. TCH 79524 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration			
26	was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 4322,			
27	expired on December 31, 2014, and has not been renewed.			
28				
- 1		-		

- 3. On or about July 10, 2014, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4322, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board. Respondent's address of record was and is: 1233 S. Barry Ave., Apt. 103, West Los Angeles, CA 90025.
- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about August 11, 2014, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Return to Sender Unclaimed Unable to Forward." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made herself available for service and therefore, has not availed herself of her right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 4322.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as

taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4322, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4322, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$3,442,50 as of February 17, 2015.

# **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Veronica Cusimano has subjected her Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 79524 to discipline.
  - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.
- (a) Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (1) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician. On or about June 18, 1998, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 415 [disturbing the peace] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Veronica Cusimano* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 1988, No. 8BB0098).
- (b) Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), for violating section 4060, in that Respondent was found to be in possession of a controlled substance without a valid prescription.
- (c) Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivisions (h) and (j), in that or on about February 1, 2011, Respondent used and/or was under the influence of a controlled substance.

Business and Professions Code section 4301, subdivision (g), in that Respondent (d) 1 knowingly made a false statement of fact to the Board by failing to disclose her 1998 conviction 2 case against her, on her initial application for licensure. 3 **ORDER** 4 IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 79524, heretofore 5 issued to Respondent Veronica Cusimano, is revoked. 6 Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a 7 written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within 8 seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may 9 vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute. 10 This Decision shall become effective on April 24, 2015. 11 It is so ORDERED March 25, 2015. 12 13 **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 16 Board President 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 DOJ Matter 1D;LA2012506976 27 Exhibit A: Accusation 28

Exhibit A

Accusation

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ANTONIO LOPEZ, JR. Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 206387 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2536 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804  Attorneys for Complainant  BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4322		
12	VERONICA CUSIMANO A C C U S A T I O N		
13	1233 S. Barry Ave., Apt. 103 West Los Angeles, CA 90025		
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 79524		
15 16	Respondent.		
17			
18	PARTIES		
19	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
20	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
21	2. On or about November 14, 2007, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy		
22	Technician Registration No. TCH 79524 to Veronica Cusimano (Respondent), The Pharmacy		
23	Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought		
24	herein and will expire on December 31, 2012, unless renewed.		
25	JURISDICTION		
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following		
27	laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated,		
28			
	1 Accusation	1	
- 1		•	

#### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b) provides, in pertinent part that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
  - 5. Section 490 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In addition to any other action that a board is permitted to take against a licensee, a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
- "(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a board may exercise any authority to discipline a licensee for conviction of a crime that is independent of the authority granted under subdivision (a) only if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the licensee's license was issued.
- "(c) A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203,4 of the Penal Code."
  - 6. Section 492 states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, successful completion of any diversion program under the Penal Code, or successful completion of an alcohol and drug problem assessment program under Article 5 (commencing with Section 23249.50) of Chapter 12 of Division 11 of the Vehicle Code, shall not prohibit any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division, from taking disciplinary action against a licensee or from denying a license for professional misconduct, notwithstanding that evidence of that misconduct may be recorded in a record pertaining to an arrest.

"This section shall not be construed to apply to any drug diversion program operated by any agency established under Division 2 (commencing with Section 500) of this code, or any initiative act referred to in that division."

- 7. Section 4300 provides in pertinent part, that every license issued by the Board is subject to discipline, including suspension or revocation.
  - 8. Section 4301 states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.

Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

"(g) Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts,

- "(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.
- "(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.
- "(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order

to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

- "(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."
  - 9. Section 4060 states, in pertinent part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7, or furnished pursuant to a drug order issued by a certified nurse-midwife pursuant to Section 2746.51, a nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 2836.1, or a physician assistant pursuant to Section 3502.1, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.5, or a pharmacist pursuant to either subparagraph (D) of paragraph (4) of, or clause (iv) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (5) of, subdivision (a) of Section 4052."

#### REGULATORY PROVISIONS

10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states, in pertinent part:

"For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a

 licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare."

#### CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE

11. "Marijuana," is a schedule I controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11054, subdivision (d)(13) and is categorized a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022. "Phenobarbital," a barbiturate, is a Schedule IV controlled substance as defined by Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(26), and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 4301, subdivision (I) and 490, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a pharmacy technician. On or about June 18, 1998, after pleading guilty, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 415 [disturbing the peace] in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Veronica Cusimano* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 1988, No. BUR8BB0098). The Court placed Respondent on 2 years probation, with terms and conditions. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about June 9, 1998, Respondent was found to be disturbing another person by loud and unreasonable noise.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

### (Illegal Possession of a Controlled Substance)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), for violating section 4060, in that Respondent was found to be in possession of a controlled substance without a valid prescription. On or about February 1, 2011, during a narcotics investigation, by the Los Angeles Police Department, Respondent was contacted. She was observed to be standing in an alley, lighting a pipe, and smoking from it three times. When

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

III

111

# PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 79524, issued to Respondent;
- 2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 6/16/14 VIRGINIA HEROLD
Executive Officer

Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California
Complainant

LA2012506976