[		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		RE THE PHARMACY
7	DEPARTMENT OF C	CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
8		]
9	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 4200
10	GABRIEL J. TAMAYO 1204 East El Norte Parkway	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
11	Escondido, CA 92027	
12	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 61215	[Gov. Code, §11520]
13	Respondent.	,
14		
15		
16	FINDING	S OF FACT
17	1. On or about January 25, 2012, Comp	olainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity
18	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharma	cy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed
19	Accusation No. 4200 against Gabriel J. Tamayo	(Respondent) before the Board of Pharmacy.
20	(The Accusation attached as Exhibit A.)	
21	2. On or about February 24, 2005, the I	Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy
22	Technician Registration No. TCH 61215 to Resp	oondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration
23	was in full force and effect at all times relevant t	o the charges brought in Accusation No. 4200
24	and will expire on January 31, 2013, unless rene	wed.
25	3. On or about February 1, 2012, Respo	ondent was served by Certified and First Class
26	Mail copies of the Accusation No. 4200, Stateme	ent to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request
27	for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Governm	nent Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and
28	11507.7) at Respondent's address of record whic	h, pursuant to Business and Professions Code
		1
		DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

1	section 4100 and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1704, is required to be reported
2	and maintained with the Board, which was and is:
3	1204 East El Norte Parkway
4	Escondido, CA 92027
5	4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of
6	Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section
7	124.
8	5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
9	(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent
10	files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall
11	constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
12	6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him
13	of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No.
14	4200.
15	7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
16	(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions
17	or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
18	respondent.
19	8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds
20	Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the
21	relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as
22	taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on
23	file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 4200, finds that
24	the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 4200, are separately and severally, found to be true
25	and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
26	9. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and
27	Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation
28	and Enforcement is \$702.50 as of March 12, 2012.
	2
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

1	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES
2	1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Gabriel J. Tamayo has subjected
3	his Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 61215 to discipline.
4	2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
5	3. The Board of Pharmacy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
6	Registration based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported
7	by the Default Decision Investigatory Evidence Packet in this case:
8	a. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under sections 490 and
9	4301, subdivision (1) of the Code in that on or about May 5, 2011, in a criminal proceeding
10	entitled People of the State of California v. Gabriel Jaime Tamayo, San Diego County Superior
11	Court, case number CN289305, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating
12	Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence of a controlled
13	substance, a misdemeanor, and Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a), possession
14	of a controlled substance, a felony. The court found true the enhancements that Respondent was
15	previously convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) within 10 years.
16	Said crimes are substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy
17	technician.
18	b. Respondent has subjected his registration to disciplinary action under section
19	4301, subdivision (h) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about March 11, 2011,
20	Respondent was impaired by controlled substances while operating a motor vehicle.
21	c. Respondent has subjected his registration to disciplinary action under section
22	4301, subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about March 11, 2011,
23	Respondent violated the following state laws regulating controlled substances: Health and Safety
24	Code section 11377, subdivision (a), Health & Safety Code section § 11350, subdivision (a),
25	Health and Safety Code section 11375, subdivision (b)(2), Health and Safety Code section 11357,
26	subdivision (c), and Business and Professions Code section 4060.
27	d. Respondent has subjected his registration to disciplinary action under sections
28	4301, subdivision (k) of the Code in that on or about May 5, 2011, Respondent was convicted of
	3
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

1	violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a), possession of a controlled
2	substance, a felony.
3	<u>ORDER</u>
4	IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 61215, heretofore
5	issued to Respondent Gabriel J. Tamayo, is revoked.
6	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a
7	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within
8	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may
9	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.
10	This decision shall become effective on May 25, 2012.
11	It is so ORDERED on April 25, 2012.
12	BOARD OF PHARMACY
13	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	& C. Wasi
15	By STANLEY C. WEISSER
16	Board President
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	DOJ Matter ID: SD2011801473
24	Attachment:
25	Exhibit A: Accusation
26	
27	
28	
	4
	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER

# Exhibit A

Accusation

[	
1	Kamala D. Harris
2	Attorney General of California
3	LINDA K. SCHNEIDER Supervising Deputy Attorney General
	State Bar No. 101336 AMANDA DODDS
4	Senior Legal Analyst 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266
5	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2141
'	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant
;	
	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY
	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
.	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 4200
	GABRIEL J. TAMAYO A C C U S A T I O N
	1204 East El Norte Parkway Escondido, CA 92027
	Pharmacy Technician Registration
5	No. TCH 61215
7	Respondent.
	Complainant alleges:
	PARTIES
	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity
ľ	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.
	2. On or about February 24, 2005, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy Technician
	Registration Number TCH 61215 to Gabriel J. Tamayo (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician
	Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and
;	will expire on January 31, 2013, unless renewed.
5	///
7	
8	
د	
	1

κ.		
1	JURISDICTION	
2	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
3	Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the	
4	Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.	
5	4. Section 4300, subdivision (a) of the Code states "Every license issued may be	
6	suspended or revoked."	
7	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
8	5. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or	
9	revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially	
10	related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the	
11	license was issued.	
12	6. Section 493 of the Code states:	
13	Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a	
14	board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who	
15	holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive	
16 17	evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related	
18	to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.	
19	As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."	
20	7. Section 4301 of the Code states:	
21	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of	
22	unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is	
23	not limited to, any of the following:	
24		
25	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be	
26	dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.	
27	the person to conduct with safety to the phone the practice authorized by the licelise.	
28		
	2	
	Accusation Accusation Accusation	

Accusation

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

(k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

## 8. Section 4022 of the Code states

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.

(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a \_\_\_\_\_\_," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.

(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.

9. Section 4059 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that a person may not furnish any

25 || dangerous drug except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist,

26 veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any

27 dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist,

- 28 veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7.
  - 3

-	
1	10. Section 4060 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that no person shall possess any
2	controlled substance, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician,
3	dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor.
4	<b>REGULATORY PROVISIONS</b>
. 5	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, states:
6	
7 8	(b) When considering the suspension or revocation of a facility or a personal license on the ground that the licensee or the registrant has been convicted of a crime, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of such person and his present eligibility for a license will consider the following criteria:
9	(1) Nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s).
10	(2) Total criminal record.
11	(3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or offense(s).
12 13	(4) Whether the licensee has complied with all terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the licensee.
14	(5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee.
15	12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
16 17 18 19	For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
20	COSTS
21	13. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
22	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of
23	the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and
24	enforcement of the case.
25	DRUGS
26	14. Marijuana is a Schedule I controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety
27	Code section 11054, subdivision (d)(13), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business &
28	Professions Code section 4022.
	4
	Accusation

, r

Ł

1 15. Vicodin and Norco, brand names for acetaminophen and hydrocodone, are Schedule
 2 III controlled substances as designated by Health and Safety Code Section 11056, subdivision
 3 (e)(4), and are dangerous drugs pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

4 16. Hydrocodone is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and
5 Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(I), and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business
6 and Professions Code section 4022.

7 17. Clonazepam, the generic for Klonopin, is a Schedule IV controlled substance under
8 California Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(7), and is a dangerous drug
9 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

10 18. Alprazolam, the generic for Xanax, is a Schedule IV controlled substance under
11 California Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision (d)(1), and is classified as a
12 dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

13

14

15

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (May 5, 2011 Criminal Conviction for DUI/Drugs &

## Possession of a Controlled Substance on March 11, 2011)

19. Respondent has subjected his registration to discipline under sections 490 and 4301, 16 subdivision (1) of the Code in that he was convicted of crimes that are substantially related to the 17 qualifications, duties, and functions of a pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows: 18 On or about May 5, 2011, in a criminal proceeding entitled People of the State 19 a. 20 of California v. Gabriel Jaime Tamayo, San Diego County Superior Court, case number 21 CN289305, Respondent was convicted on his plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (a), driving under the influence of a controlled substance, a misdemeanor, and 22 23 Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a), possession of a controlled substance, a felony. The court found true the enhancements that Respondent was previously convicted of 24 25 violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b) within 10 years, as detailed in paragraph 23, below, within the meaning of Vehicle Code sections 23626 and 23540. Two additional 26 counts of possession of a controlled substance (Health & Saf. Code, § 11350(a)), possession of a 27 designated controlled substance (Health & Saf. Code, § 11375(b)(2)), and possession of more 28

-5

than one ounce of marijuana (Health & Saf. Code, § 11357(c)), were dismissed as part of the plea agreement. 2

1

b. As a result of the conviction, on or about July 7, 2011, Respondent was granted 3 five years formal probation, and ordered to serve 180 days in jail, stayed pending successful 4 completion of probation. Respondent was further ordered to complete 15 days of Public Work 5 Service, with credit for one day, pay fines, fees, and restitution in the amount of \$2,709, and 6 comply with felony probation terms. 7

The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about the evening of March c. 8 11, 2011, a patrol officer with the Escondido Police Department observed Respondent driving in 9 an unsafe manner and conducted a traffic stop. As the officer approached Respondent's vehicle, 10 the officer noted that there was a strong odor of marijuana emanating from the vehicle. 11 Respondent told the officer he was coming from work at a local pharmacy. Respondent admitted 12 to the officer that he had approximately one ounce of marijuana in the vehicle and said that he 13 had a medical authorization for it due to back pain and anxiety. Respondent said he had not used 14 15 marijuana in the previous two weeks. The officer found the marijuana in an unmarked black canister on the rear passenger floorboard. Respondent exhibited the symptoms of drug use: 16 bloodshot and watery eyes, slurred and mumbled speech, a lax face and jaw, and a dry mouth. 17 Respondent was asked to exit his vehicle and sit on the curb; he walked with an unsteady gait. 18 Inside a backpack on the back seat was an additional baggie containing marijuana, numerous 19 empty Ziploc baggies and packaging materials, and separate baggies containing eight tablets of 20 Vicodin, 20 tablets of Clonozepam, 3.5 tablets of Norco, and 16 tablets of Alprazolam. 21 Respondent confirmed that the backpack and medications belonged to him. Respondent admitted 22 he had consumed Norco and Alprazolam that morning. Respondent stated he did not have 23 prescriptions for the medications and that he gets them from a friend who gets them in Mexico. 24 25 Respondent acknowledged that he should have a prescription for the medications. Inside the center console the officer found a small digital scale, \$127 in various denominations of U.S. 26 currency, and \$120 in currency wrapped with a rubber band, additional baggies of marijuana, and 27 a baggie containing four Norco tablets, three Clonazepam tablets, two Vicodin tablets, and five 28

6

Accusation

Alprazolam tablets. Respondent denied he was selling the medications. Respondent was administered a series of field sobriety tests which he was unable to complete as explained and demonstrated by the officer. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence, possession of controlled substances, and possession of controlled substances without a prescription.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Unprofessional Conduct – Dangerous Use of Controlled Substances)

20. Respondent has subjected his registration to disciplinary action under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about March 11, 2011, Respondent was impaired by controlled substances while operating a motor vehicle, as detailed in paragraph 19, above.

# THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

12 (Unprofessional Conduct – Violation of State Laws Regulating Controlled Substances) 21. Respondent has subjected his registration to disciplinary action under section 4301, 13 subdivision (j) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that on or about March 11, 2011, as 14 detailed in paragraph 19, above, Respondent violated the following state laws regulating 15 controlled substances: Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a), Health & Safety 16 Code section § 11350, subdivision (a), Health and Safety Code section 11375, subdivision (b)(2), 17 18 Health and Safety Code section 11357, subdivision (c), and Business and Professions Code 19 section 4060.

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Conviction of a Drug-Related Felony)

22 22. Respondent has subjected his registration to disciplinary action under sections 4301,
23 subdivision (k) of the Code in that on or about May 5, 2011, as detailed in paragraph 19, above,
24 Respondent was convicted of violating Health and Safety Code section 11377, subdivision (a),
25 possession of a controlled substance, a felony.

26 ///

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

27 ///

28 ///

7

1	DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS
2	23. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, pursuant
3	to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769, Complainant alleges:
4	a. On or about January 7, 2010, in a prior administrative proceeding, Respondent
5	was issued Citation and Fine number CI 2008 37307. The citation was based on Respondent's
6	December 24, 2005 arrest, and March 20, 2006 conviction, for driving with a blood alcohol
7	concentration of .08 percent or more (in violation of section 4301, subdivisions (h) and (l) of the
8	Code); and Respondent's April 3, 2008 arrest, and June 16, 2008 conviction for petty theft (in
9	violation of section 4301, subdivisions (f) and (l) of the Code). The citation ordered a fine of
10	\$600.
11	b. On September 21, 2010, Respondent was issued a modified Citation and Fine
12	reducing the fine to \$400. Respondent complied with the citation on November 9, 2010.
13	PRAYER
14	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
15	and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:
16	1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration Number TCH 61215,
17	issued to Gabriel J. Tamayo;
18	2. Ordering Gabriel J. Tamayo to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the
19	investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section
20	125.3;
21	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
22	
23	DATED: 1/25/12 ()uping Herd
24	VIRGINIAHEROLD
25	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy
26	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
27	Complainant
28	SD2011801473
	8