1 2 3 4 5 BEFORE THE 6 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 7 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Case No. 4149 9 **Probation Against:** 10 DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER 11 NARINE ARUTUNYAN 10842 Keswick Street 12 Sun Valley, CA 91352 [Gov. Code, §11520] Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 13 86550 14 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 FINDINGS OF FACT 19 1. On or about November 9, 2011, Complainant Virginia Herold, in her official capacity 20 as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed 21 Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4149 against Narine Arutunyan (Respondent) before the Board 22 of Pharmacy. (Petition to Revoke Probation attached as Exhibit A.) 23 2. On or about October 17, 2008, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued Pharmacy 24 Technician Registration No. TCH 86550 to Respondent. The Pharmacy Technician Registration 25 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Petition to Revoke 26 Probation No. 4149 and has expired on January 31, 2012. This lapse in licensure, however, 27 pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 118(b) does not deprive the Board of its 28 authority to institute or continue this disciplinary proceeding.

3. On or about November 17, 2011, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4149, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4100, is required to be reported and maintained with the Board, which was and is:

10842 Keswick Street Sun Valley, CA 91352.

- 4. Service of the Petition to Revoke Probation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about November 23, 2011, the aforementioned documents were returned by the U.S. Postal Service marked "Undeliverable as Addressed; Forwarding Order Expired." The address on the documents was the same as the address on file with the Board. Respondent failed to maintain an updated address with the Board and the Board has made attempts to serve the Respondent at the address on file. Respondent has not made herself available for service and therefore, has not availed herself of her right to file a notice of defense and appear at hearing.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Petition to Revoke Probation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Petition to Revoke Probation No. 4149.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 86550, heretofore issued to Respondent Narine Arutunyan, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on March 22, 2012.

It is so ORDERED February 21, 2012.

& (. Wussi

STANLEY C. WEISSER, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

51068552.DOC DOJ Matter ID:LA2011601184

Attachment:

Exhibit A: Petition to Revoke Probation

Exhibit A

Petition to Revoke Probation

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF C	RE THE PHARMACY CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against,	Case No. 4149	
12	NARINE ARUTUNYAN	DETERMINATION TO DEVICE THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	
13	10842 Keswick Street Sun Valley, CA 91352	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION	
14	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. TCH 86550		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Complainant alleges:		
18	PAR	TIES	
19	Virginia Herold (Complainant) bring	s this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her	
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the I	Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
21	Consumer Affairs.		
22	2. On or about October 17, 2008, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration		
23	Number TCH 86550 to Narine Arutunyan (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration		
24	was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31,		
25	2012, unless renewed.	ъ .	
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
		1	

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	3.	In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of Accusation Against In the Matter of
the	e Accuso	tion Against Vermont Pharmacy and Medical Supplies; Hakop Demirchyan, owner;
Tr	inidad N	M. Bagoyo, PIC, and Narine Arutunyan," Case No. 3353, the Board issued a decision,
ef	fective C	October 21, 2009, in which Respondent's Pharmacy Technician Registration was
re	voked. I	However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Pharmacy Technician
Re	gistratio	on was placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with certain terms and
СО	nditions	. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 5. Section 4300 of the Code states:
 - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to File Quarterly Reports)

6. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 2 stated:

Reporting to the Board. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.

7. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 2, referenced above. Respondent failed to submit any quarterly reports to the Board.

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Interview with Board)

8. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 3 stated:

///

Interview with the Board. Upon receipt of reasonable notice, Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board upon request at various intervals at a location to be determined by the Board. Failure to appear for a scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff shall be considered a violation of probation.

- 9. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 3, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. On or about August 10, 2010, the Board notified Respondent via First Class and Certified Mail of her requirement to appear in person at a Board probation office conference. Respondent contacted the Board to postpone the conference. The request was granted.
- B. On or about October 5, 2010, the Board notified Respondent via First Class and Certified Mail of her requirement to appear in person at a Board probation office conference.

 Respondent did not appear at the conference and did not notify the Board of her non-appearance.
- C. Respondent failed to appear at the Board conferences scheduled on August 24, 2010, and October 19, 2010.

THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Submit Costs Recovery)

10. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 6 stated:

Reimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall be permitted to make installments pursuant to a Board-approved plan. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation. The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of her responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

11. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 6, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:

III

Ţ	
2	he
3	wa
4	su
5	
6	
7	
8	
. 9	
10	
11	
12	Pr
13	ar
14	
15	he
16	Le
17	
18	Ce
19	m
20	
21	CO
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	[[

A. On or about December 3, 2009, the Board notified Respondent via First Class mail of her requirement to reimburse the Board of its costs of investigation and prosecution. Respondent was instructed to submit monthly payments beginning January 10, 2010. Respondent failed to submit any payments to the Board.

FOURTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Submit Probation Monitoring Costs)

12. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 7 stated:

Probation Monitoring Costs. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board at the end of each year of probation. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

- 13. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 7, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. On or about December 8, 2010, the Board notified Respondent via First Class Mail of her requirement to pay the probation monitoring costs. The letter was returned marked "Moved Left No Address Unable to Forward."
- B. On or about January 14, 2011, the Board notified Respondent via First Class and Certified Mail of her failure to pay the probation monitoring costs. The letter was returned marked "Moved Left No Address Unable to Forward".
- C. To date, Respondent has made no payment to the Board for the probation monitoring costs.

FIFTH CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Notify Board of Address Change)

14. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 10 stated:

Notification of Employment/Mailing Address Change.

Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within 10 days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving and/or the address of the new employer, supervisor or owner and work schedule if known. Respondent shall notify the

2

3

5

6

7

1	Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
2		
3		
4	DATED: 119/11 () inginia tecolo	
5	VIRGINIA HEROLD	
6	Exècutive Officer Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
7	State of California Complainant	
8	Сотрішнані	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	LA2011601184	
14	60665345.doc	
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		ļ
25		
26		
27	·	
28		
	6	
1		ŧ

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Note: The caption and order language of this Decision page was amended on April 18, 2011 to correct an error in the identifying information of the document.

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

VERMONT PHARMACY AND MEDICAL SUPPLIES; HAKOP DEMIRCHYAN, OWNER; TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, PHARMACIST-IN-CHARGE

(disassociated as of 3/26/09) 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd. North Hollywood, CA 91606

7843 Melita Avenue North Hollywood, CA 91605 Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275,

TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO 30572 Sparrow Hawk Canyon Lake, CA 92587 Registered Pharmacist License No. 22293

NARINE ARUTUNYAN 10842 Keswick St. Sun Valley, CA 91352

323 W. Jackson St., #207 Glendale, CA 91206 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550,

Respondents.

Case No. 3353

OAH No. L-2009051007

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCLIPLINARY ORDER As to:

NARINE ARUTUNYAN
Pharmacy Technician Registration
No. 86550

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on October 21, 2009.

It is so ORDERED September 21, 2009.

Bennith H. Scheel

KENNETH H. SCHELL, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

VERMONT PHARMACY AND MEDICAL SUPPLIES; HAKOP DEMIRCHYAN, OWNER; TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, PHARMA CIST-IN-CHARGE (disassociated as of 3/26/09) 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd.
North Hollywood, CA 91606

7843 Melita Avenue North Hollywood, CA 91605 Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275,

TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO 30572 Sparrow Hawk Canyon Lake, CA 92587 Registered Pharmacist License No. 22293

NARINE ARUTUNYAN 10842 Keswick St. Sun Valley, CA 91352

323 W. Jackson St., #207 Glendale, CA 91206 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550, Case No. 3353

OAH No. L-2009051007

Settlement and ATED RETIFICION

STIPULATED RETIFIEMENT OF LICENSE AND ORDER Disciplinary Order As to:

NARINE ARUTUNYAN Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER Settlement

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on October 21, 2009.

It is so ORDERED September 21, 2009.

KENNETH H. SCHELL, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

BEFORE THE **BOARD OF PHARMACY** DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

VERMONT PHARMACY AND MEDICAL SUPPLIES; HAKOP DEMIRCHYAN, OWNER; TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, PHARMACIST-IN-CHARGE

(disassociated as of 3/26/09) 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd. North Hollywood, CA 91606

7843 Melita Avenue North Hollywood, CA 91605 Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275,

TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO

30572 Sparrow Hawk Canyon Lake, CA 92587 Registered Pharmacist License No. 22293

NARINE ARUTUNYAN 10842 Keswick St. Sun Valley, CA 91352

323 W. Jackson St., #207 Glendale, CA 91206 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550,

Case No. 3353

OAH No. L-2009051007

STIPULATED RETIREMENT OF LICENSE AND ORDER

As to:

NARINE ARUTUNYAN Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550

Respondents.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on October 21, 2009.

It is so ORDERED September 21, 2009.

Benneth H. Schell

KENNETH H. SCHELL, BOARD PRESIDENT FOR THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

	·	
1 2	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LINDA L. SUN	
. 4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 207108 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-6375	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804 Attorneys for Complainant	
7		RE THE
8	BOARD OF	PHARMACY
9		CONSUMER AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3353
12	VERMONT PHARMACY AND MEDICAL SUPPLIES; HAKOP DEMIRCHYAN,	OAH No. L-2009051007
13	OWNER; TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, PHARMACIST-IN-CHARGE	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER
14	(disassociated as of 3/26/09) 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd.	
15	North Hollywood, CA 91606	As to:
16	7843 Melita Avenue North Hollywood, CA 91605	NARINE ARUTUNYAN
17	Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275,	Pharmacy Technician Registration
18	TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO 30572 Sparrow Hawk	No. 86550
19	Canyon Lake, CA 92587 Registered Pharmacist License No. 22293	
20	NARINE ARUTUNYAN 10842 Keswick St.	
21	Sun Valley, CA 91352	
22	323 W. Jackson St., #207	
23	Glendale, CA 91206 Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550,	
24	<u> </u>	
25	Daniel J. J.	
26	Respondents.	
-27		

 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the aboveentitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Linda L. Sun, Deputy Attorney General.
- 2. On or about October 17, 2008, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number 86550 to Narine Arutunyan (Respondent). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2010, unless renewed. Respondent is represented in this proceeding by attorney Herbert L. Weinberg, whose address is McGuire Woods LLP, 1800 Century Park East, 8th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067.

JURISDICTION

3. Accusation No. 3353 was filed before the Board and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on May 12, 2009. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 3353 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 4. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 3353. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 5. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at its own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to

compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

6. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 7. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 3353.
- 8. Respondent agrees that her Pharmacy Technician Registration is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 9. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 10. The parties understand and agree that electronic or facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including electronic or facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 11. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

///

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacy Technician Registration Number 86550 issued to Respondent Narine Arutunyan is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. **Obey All Laws.** Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations substantially related to or governing the practice of pharmacy.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the Board, in writing, within 72 hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty or nolo contendere in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
 - a conviction of any crime
- discipline, citation, or other administrative action filed by any state and federal agency which involves Respondent's license or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the manufacturing, obtaining, handling or distribution or billing or charging for any drug, device or controlled substance.
- 2. Reporting to the Board. Respondent shall report to the Board quarterly. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Respondent shall state under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation. If the final probation report is **not** made as directed, probation shall be extended automatically until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.
- 3. Interview with the Board. Upon receipt of reasonable notice, Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Board upon request at various intervals at a location to be determined by the Board. Failure to appear for a scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff shall be considered a violation of probation.

.

///

///

- 4. **Cooperation with Board Staff.** Respondent shall cooperate with the Board's inspectional program and in the Board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of their probation. Failure to comply shall be considered a violation of probation.
- 5. Notice to Employers. Respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in Accusation No. 3353 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on Respondent by the decision. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, and within 15 days of Respondent undertaking new employment, Respondent shall cause her direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge and/or owner to report to the Board in writing acknowledging the employer has read the decision in Accusation No. 3353. If Respondent works for or is employed by or through a pharmacy employment service, Respondent must notify the direct supervisor, pharmacist-in-charge, and/or owner at every pharmacy of the terms conditions of the decision in Accusation No. 3353 in advance of the Respondent commencing work at each pharmacy.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision shall include any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief or other service as a pharmacy technician or pharmacy employee, whether the Respondent is considered an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

6. Reimbursement of Board Costs. Respondent shall pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of five thousand dollars (\$5,000). Respondent shall be permitted to make installments pursuant to a Board-approved plan. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of her responsibility to reimburse the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution.

7. **Probation Monitoring Costs.** Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board at the end of each year of probation. Failure to pay such costs shall be considered a violation of probation.

12_,

.~ 8. Status of License. Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active current license with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled.

If Respondent's license expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication, Respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

9. License Surrender while on Probation/Suspension. Following the effective date of this decision, should Respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may tender her license to the Board for surrender. The Board shall have the discretion whether to grant the request for surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall relinquish her pocket license to the Board within 10 days of notification by the Board that the surrender is accepted. Respondent may not reapply for any license from the Board for three years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the Board.

- 10. Notification of Employment/Mailing Address Change. Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within 10 days of any change of employment. Said notification shall include the reasons for leaving and/or the address of the new employer, supervisor or owner and work schedule if known. Respondent shall notify the Board in writing within 10 days of a change in name, mailing address or phone number.
- 11. **Tolling of Probation.** Should Respondent, regardless of residency, for any reason cease working as a pharmacy technician for a minimum of 40 hours per calendar month in California, Respondent must notify the Board in writing within 10 days of cessation of working as a pharmacy technician or the resumption of working as a pharmacy technician. Such periods of time shall not apply to the reduction of the probation period. It is a violation of probation for

///



Respondent's probation to remain tolled pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a period exceeding three years.

"Cessation of practice" means any period of time exceeding 30 days in which Respondent is not working as a pharmacy technician as defined in Section 4115 of the Business and Professions Code.

12. Violation of Probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order which was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be extended, until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

If Respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent, and probation shall automatically be extended until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the Board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty which was stayed.

- 13. **Completion of Probation.** Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's license will be fully restored.
- 14. **Board Approval of Employment.** Respondent shall obtain prior approval from the Board before starting any position in any Board-licensed facility in which she intends to work, whether paid or unpaid.
- 15. No Ownership of Premises. Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, or serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the Board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the Board within 90 days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written proof thereof to the Board.



PAGE 1/17 * RCVD AT 6/12/12009 7:20:54 PM [Eastern Dayligh! Time] * SVR:RICHTFAXI8 * DNIS:7854 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):01-02

- 1	
1	ACCEPTANCE
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully
3	discussed it with my attorney, Herbert L. Welnberg. I understand the stipulation and the effect it
4	will have on my Pharmacy Technician Registration License. I enter into this Stipulated
5	Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be
6	bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.
7	0111
8	DATED: 4/22/09
9	NARINE ARUTUNYAN Respondent
0	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Narine Arutunyan the terms and
1	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipmated Settlement and Disciplinary Order
2	I approve its form and content.
.з	DATED: 6/23/09
4	HERBERT WEINBERG Attorney for Respondent
15	
6	ENDORSEMENT
7	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
8	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.
9	Dated: Respectfully Submitted,
20	6-23-09 EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
21	Attorney General of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS
2	Supervising Deputy Attorney General
23	
24	LINDAL, SUNT Doputy Attorney General
25	Attorneys for Complainant
26 -	LA 2009602725
27	60427526.doe
28	
. [8

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (3353)

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 3353

İ		
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	·
2	GLORIA A. BARRIOS	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LINDA L. SUN, State Bar No. 207108	
4	Deputy Attorney General 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702	
5	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-6375	
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2804	
	Attorneys for Complainant	
.7		
8	BEFORE TH BOARD OF PHAI	•
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONS STATE OF CALI	UMER AFFAIRS
10		
11	The state of the s	la at norn
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 3353
13	VERMONT PHARMACY & MEDICAL SUPPLIES; HAKOP DEMIRCHYAN, Owner	OAH No. L-2009040779
14	TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO, Pharmacist-in-Charge 1012 N. Vermont Ave.	
15	Los Angeles, CA 90029	
16	6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd. North Hollywood, CA 91606	ACCUSATION
17	Retail Pharmacy License No. 48275,	
. 18.	TRINIDAD M. BAGOYO 30572 Spatrow Hawk	
19	Canyon Lake, CA 92587 Registered Pharmacist License No. 22293,	
20	NARINE ARUTUNYAN	
	10842 Keswick St.	
21	Sun Valley, CA 91352	
22	323 W. Jackson St., #207 Glendale, CA 91206	
-23	Pharmacy Technician Registration No. 86550,	
24		
25		
26	Respondents.	
27		

1.9

--

22 |

PARTIES

- 1. Virginia Herold ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy ("Board"), Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about October 30, 2006, the Board issued Retail Pharmacy License Number 48275 to Vermont Pharmacy and Medical Supplies ("Respondent Vermont Pharmacy"), with Hakop Demirchyan as owner, and Trinidad M. Bagoyo ("Respondent Bagoyo") as Pharmacist-in-Charge. The Retail Pharmacy License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 1, 2009, unless renewed. On or about May 11, 2009, an Interim Suspension Order was issued against Respondent Vermont Pharmacy, suspending it from operating as a pharmacy pending a full administrative determination of the charges alleged herein. (Exhibit 1.)
- 3. On or about November 6, 1961, the Board issued Registered Pharmacist License Number 22293 to Respondent Bagoyo. The Registered Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2010, unless renewed. On or about May 5, 2009, Respondent Bagoyo signed a "Stipulated Interim Suspension of License", to which she agreed that her Registered Pharmacist License was temporarily suspended pending a full administrative determination of the charges alleged herein. (Exhibit 2.)
- 4. On or about October 17, 2008, the Board issued Pharmacy Technician Registration Number 86550 to Narine Arutunyan ("Respondent Arutunyan"). The Pharmacy Technician Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2010, unless renewed. On or about May 11, 2009, an Interim Suspension Order was issued against Respondent Arutunyan, suspending her from practice pending a full administrative determination of the charges alleged herein. (Exhibit 1.)

2

.

•

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

__

2728

JURISDICTION

5. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code ("Code") unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Code section 4300, subdivision (a) states:

"Every license issued may be suspended or revoked."

7. Code section 4110, subdivision (a) states:

"No person shall conduct a pharmacy in the State of California unless he or she has obtained a license from the board. A license shall be required for each pharmacy owned or operated by a specific person. A separate license shall be required for each of the premises of any person operating a pharmacy in more than one location. The license shall be renewed annually. The board may, by regulation, determine the circumstances under which a license may be transferred."

8. Code section 4105, subdivision (a) states:

"All records or other documentation of the acquisition and disposition of dangerous drugs and dangerous devices by any entity licensed by the board shall be retained on the licensed premises in a readily retrievable form."

9. Code section 4201, subdivision (f) states:

"Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the pharmacy license shall authorize the holder to conduct a pharmacy. The license shall be renewed annually and shall not be transferrable."

10. Code section 4301 states:

"The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

n(c)	Gross	negligence
(0)	C1 000	110511501100

/

16.

"(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal regulatory agency."

COST RECOVERY

11. Section 125.3, subdivision (a), states, in pertinent part:

"Except as otherwise provided by law, in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before any board within the department . . . the board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unlicensed Activities)

- 12. Respondents Vermont Pharmacy, Bagoyo and Arutunyan are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o), for violating Code sections 4110, subdivision (a) and 4201, subdivision (f), in that Respondents operated Respondent Vermont Pharmacy without a valid permit, and relocated the pharmacy without Board approval. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about November 7, 2008, the Board received a Community

 Pharmacy Permit Application ("Application") and related documents for change of ownership of

 Respondent Vermont Pharmacy. The proposed new owner/buyer/president is Armen Grigorian

 ("Applicant Grigorian"), with Respondent Bagoyo as the Pharmacist-in-Charge, and Respondent

 Arutunyan as the secretary and co-owner. Pending issuance of a new permit, from about

 September, 2008 to February, 2009, Applicant Grigorian, Respondent Bagoyo and/or Respondent

 Arutunyan ordered and dispensed drugs under the former owner's permit. The corporate and

financial documents Applicant Grigorian submitted show that the sale of Respondent Vermont Pharmacy had already occurred in October, 2008, and Respondents had been operating Respondent Vermont Pharmacy without Board approval.

- b. On or about March 4, 2009, the Board received additional documents from Applicant Grigorian, including a new Community Pharmacy Permit Application and related documents, all signed on February 18, 2009, requesting a change of location of Respondent Vermont Pharmacy from 1012 N. Vermont Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90029 to 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd., North Hollywood, CA 91606. Pending Board approval of the change of location, Respondents had already relocated Respondent Vermont Pharmacy to North Hollywood as of about January, 2009.
- c. On or about March 26, 2009, the Board conducted an inspection of Respondent Vermont Pharmacy at its new location, 6320 Laurel Canyon Blvd., North Hollywood, CA 91606. Applicant Grigorian informed the inspectors that he purchased the pharmacy in September, 2008 and took over the business on October 1, 2008.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Maintain Pharmacy Records on Licensed Premise)

13. Respondents Vermont Pharmacy, Bagoyo and Arutunyan are subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (o) for violating Code section 4105, subdivision (a), in that during the Board inspection on March 26, 2009, pharmacy records were found on the unlicensed premise in North Hollywood.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 14. Respondent Bagoyo is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301, subdivision (c) for gross negligence, the circumstances are as follows:
- a. On March 26, 2009, during the inspection of the unlicensed premise in North Hollywood, Respondent Bagoyo informed Board inspectors that she knew the pharmacy moved, that she inventoried and packed the drugs but she did not know where the drugs or

1	pharmacy records were.		
2	b. From about September, 2008 to February, 2009, Respondent Bagoyo as		
3	Pharmacist-in-Charge, dispensed, ordered drugs and/or otherwise operated or allowed		
4	Respondent Vermont Pharmacy to operate without a valid permit.		
5	c. In about January, 2009, Respondent Bagoyo as Pharmacist-in-Charge,		
6	assisted and/or allowed Respondent Vermont Pharmacy to be relocated without Board approval.		
7	<u>PRAYER</u>		
8	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters		
9	herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:		
10	1. Revoking or suspending Retail Pharmacy License Number 48275, issued		
11	to Vermont Pharmacy and Medical Supplies; Trinidad M. Bagoyo, Pharmacist-in-Charge;		
12	2. Revoking or suspending Registered Pharmacy License Number 22293,		
13	issued to Trinidad M. Bagoyo;		
14	3. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Technician Registration umber 86550		
15	issued to Narine Arutunyan,		
16	4. Order Vermont Pharmacy and Medical Supplies, Trinidad M. Bagoyo and		
17	Narinen Arutunyan to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and		
18	enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;		
19	5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
20			
21	DATED: 5-11-09		
22	VIRGINIA HEROLD		
23	Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy		
24	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California		
25	Complainant		
26			
27			
28	60409026.wpd		